The Collection of Hindu Law Texts

Volume II. Part IV.

YÂJNAVALKYA SMRTI

WITH THE COMMENTARIES

OF

- (1) The MITAKSHARA by Vijaanesvara Bhikshu
- (2) The VİRAMITRODAYA by Mitramiśra
- (3) The DÎPAKALIKÂ by Sûlapâŋi Vyawahârâdhyâya.

Chapters VIII-XXV (Pages 978-1880)

An English Translation with notes, explanations etc

J. R. GHARPURE, B. A., LL. B. (Honours-in-Law),
Principal Law College Poona Fellow of the University of Bombay,
Senior Advocate, Fellowill Courts of India Bombay



(Al rights reserved)

Printed by Mr. Anant Vanayak Patvardhan, at the Asyathushan Press, Poons Peth Bhambords. House No. 919/1

and

Published by V J Gherpero, B A, LL n at the office of The Collection of Hindu Law Texts, Girgson, Rombay



NOTE

The Chapter which now follows ie, the VIII—deals with the Laws of Partition and Inheritance and topics cognite to these Mi Colebrooke selected this portion from the Miskshara of Vyanec swara and presented it along with his translations of similar portions from other works describing the whole collection as "Standard Hindu Law Books In fact, what is known and referred to as Colebrooke's Miskshara or simply the Miskshara in judicial decisions generally is this "Exhact from the Miskshara' composing so much of its work as relates to Inheritance."

Having regard to the length of the period for which Colebiookes translation has been in use and lefted upon as an authority, and having regard to its excellence as a translation which has thus acquired a high authority as a work of reference, special care has been taken in the following pages not to distrib the spirit and generally even the letter, of Colebiooke's translation, infless a departure was found to be necessary, in which case the differences are explained in the notes below the line

Another point to be noted is the division into Chapters, School and Paragraphs noticeable in Colebiooke's translation There is no such division in the original work. It will be remembered that the Makkhara's a running commentary written by Sn Vijiāneswara on the Simpto of Yajavalkya. Vijiāneswara takes the verses of Yajavalkya and uppends his gloss thereto, and the English Trunslation of the First Book and the first seven Chipters of the Second Book of this work published in these "Collections". In a closely followed the workfood adopted by Vijiāneswara so that the translation appearing in this. Series presents an exact resemblance of the original text as it is. The same method is continued in the following Chapters. However, for facility of comparison and reference, the divisions made by

Colebrooke are indicated by black figures in the body of the translation, and the portion covered in each page is also indicated in brackets at the top. It is, however, necessary that the text is appreciated in its original form from which this division into Chapters, Sections and Paragraphs appears to have been made by Colebrooke probably for convenience of reference. For it has led at times to serious consequences in that some of the judgments of the Courts appear to have been influenced by this division. Indeed, some of the placket in Colebrooke's translation have been treated as reses, giving an impression that the portion

under reference represented reses in the original Survi.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Subvent

Chapter VIII DISTRIBUTION ON DÂVA

Bubject	Page	, Subject	Pag
Colebrooke Ch I Sect	1.	Colebrooke, Sect	
Dâya, Defined	979	Parlation ofter father's death	
, Discussed	n (1) ,	Special alianes	1003, 100
, its Divisions	n	Mother's property, daughters	,
, Apratibandha		entitled	1005, 100
, Sapratibandha		in default, the 1880e	. ,
" Defined and discussed	980	Colebrooks Sect 1	
Partition defined	21	Property not hable to parlate	n 1008
,, its dependence on relation		Self acquisitions	1000
n points to be discussed	, ,	Detrument to paternal wealth	1010
Ownership	,	Joint acquisitions by Jabour	1011
, sources of (Gantam		Things not partible	1012
, additional for each		Horrisoms &c	1013
, does at ariso from i		loga & Aşkema	1014
alone or from any		Сопшол way	1015
means?	b	Basis of indivisibility	1016
h Discussed-	982-	Additions to the common stock	1017
Reasons in support of a worldly		Colebraoke Sect Y	
The Long Salva	984		1017, 1018
Additional modes of acquisition		Nobendha	1019
Special acquisitions	556, 987	Berth right of members	, , 1030
Ownership by buth	968	Colebrooke Sect. VI	
Fathor's power over movables	988-383	After born sons	1022
Rights in the ancestral property		, mode of allotment	1023
Father's enecial powers	921	1. Property reworted to	1024
Exception, for pious purposes	192	One in the womb	1925
Transfer with consent of all	993	Gifts by parents	1026
Transfer with consent of all	993	Colebrooke Seci. VII	
Celebrooks, Sect II		Mother entitled to a share when	
Partition by the father	3te ,1tc	divide after father's death	1027
Special share for the eldest	,	The unsuitated to be initiated	1028
Time for partition	932-936	The easters to be maintained	1023
Periods for partition	1	" ahare of	99
Share for the wafe	937	mode of acceptant	1491
Condition for	997-998	Colebrooke Sect. VIII	130, 1031
Those who are able, may not tak	. 911	Sons of different wives	1033
Partition with unequal shares		Their shores	1034
The eldest son	1601	Waves and sons of different sars	
may electricate			

Subject.	Page 1	Subject	Page
Colebrooke Sect 13	-	Colebrooke Sect	11
	m 163i	The daughters	1988
Laffects discovered after partition	1037	Priority among	
Property withhold	038, 10*1	Drughter s son	108)
,	0.8, 10	Colebrooke Sect	lu .
Calebraoke, Sect. V	ì	The parents	1090
The Duramushyayan i con 1029		Mother preferred, why	10 11, 10 12
Niyoga	1041		
Chretity the highest ideal	1012	Colebrooke Sect i	
Julyage discoved	1013		103
	1014	Uterine and others Brother a cons	1091, 1021
Colebraske Sect X	ı '		1096
Linds of sone	1010	Calchranke Sect	
The Futrilaputra	1015	(reirajas	1098
Gudhaga, Adnina Paunarbhat.	1017	The grand mother	1947
Dettaka	1048	Act II of 1929	10º8 (n)
Doughter e cons	104)	Other agreetes	1059
Cersmontes	7050	Sajandas, Sambuolakas 6.0	1100
firsta Intrima, Sahodha,		Colebrooke Seat	VI
Amuddha	10 1, 1052	Bandhes	1101
Order of priority 1055,	1058 1083	Kinds of Bandhus	1102
Colebrooks Sect 3	(II	Colebrooke Sect	V II
Dâst, Dân putra	10:8-53	Buccession of Strangers	1102
	1061 1064	Succession under Viramitro	
Colebrooke Ch II Se	at t	daya	1104-0a
		, Sulaparii	1100
The canon of whattance	106	Colebrooke Seat	
The wife Beveral waves	1000	Herrs to 1 ermit &c	1107-08
Order of priority	1064	Epiritual brother	1100
Hears when no son	1068	To a Norththile	,
The wife's right to second	10:0	A hornut may have property	
Gatea and Provara	1671 (n)	Calebruake Sect	
Niyoga motive for	1072, 1074	Re-sucon-Defined	1111
Condemned	1075 1076	Who may, and who may not Succession.	
Purposes of wealth	1077		1112
The four Purusharther	1078	Be unsted we not re unsted	1113-16
Sagrifice, meaning of	1079	Colebracke Sect	
Rechest	1080	Bestile to the father	1117-18
The lault of Vakyabloda	1082	Disqualification only tempo	1119
Nijonurada, Arthu Ada	1083	Petita	rary 1120 1121
Châturmânya	1084	Their Sont	1121
Conclusion wife is chiefle	d to	Also daughters	1122
property	1037	Their Wayss	1123-24
		•	1,20-21

		*	
Subject	Page	, Subject	Paga
Colebrooke Sect	ìέ	Thear Chaldren	1136
Woman's property	112a	Sous	1137
Stridhans-Kinds of	1126	Stop-daughter	1138
—D fined	1137	Breach of bearothal	1140
Adhyagm	1128	Upon the death of the betrothe	ed 1141-42
Bandhudatta, Sulla	1129	Hasband's right to wife's pro-	
Am âdheya	1136	Solatiam for Supersession	1144
Succession to 1	131, 1139-40	What as supersossion ?	1143
Varying according to the		Colebrooke Seet XII	
forms of marriage	1132	Proof of a Partition	1146
Husband and his sapandar	1133	The Fancha mahaminas	1146 (n)
Parents	1131	Indiers of Separation	1147
Daughters	1100	Proof when partition is denied	1148
	BOUNDARY	DISPUTES	
Subject	Page	Subject	Page
Kinds of boundaries	1149	When ambirators not available	1100
Varieties of disputes	1150	The King should astermine	,
Persons who should decide	1151	Mode of determination	1151
Sthaceras, Maulas, Vrdikas	1101-03	Rale as to gardens, were houses	
Bigns of past boundaries	1153		1103-63
When no marks discernible	1154, 1156	In ease of eneroschments	1164
Number of the arbitrators	1150	When to be condened ?	1165
The Procedure	1150-06	Vertettes of embankments &c	
Interval for the test	1157	theye bandhya Ce	3160
On a false decision	1158	For raising in another's field	1167
Punishments	1159	One who does not cultivate	1168
C	hapter X (Pp	1169-1189)	

DISTUTES DEEWITA OWNERS OF CATTLE AND HERDSMEN

Subject	Page	Subject	Page
bor Damago by a buffalo Cow &c	110+	I reeptions e g big bulls,	
For Cattle resting in the feld after		strav cattles &c	1176-77
consuming the crops	1170	Rule as to Herdenen	1176
In land where gross or fuel is stored		Their duty to restore eatile	
When crops damaged	117:	Fine open a loss of catt'e	1179
l'ranciple of assessment	1173 1174	Pasture ground to be left for	1140-81
Walful trespass alone punishat lo In unenclosed fields	1175	Villages, estics and towns &c	1152

Chapter XI (Pp 1183-1192) SALE WITHOUT OWNTBSHIP.

Subject	Page	Subject	Paga
Owner's right to recover property	1183	Transactions open to strack	1167
Purchaver's duty	1184	Alternative courses Abettors	1137-89 3190
After the seller is found	1185	When recovery made by state	officers ,,
Secret purchases	1186	Exception to the rule	1191-92
Proof	1187	Special rule	1192
ELEI Cyablei	METIN:	p 1193–1201) v of Gitts	
Bubject	Page	Subject	Page
Division of the subject, discussed	1193	Gifts must be completed	1100
	133-94	Valid grite	1197
What may not be given Things excepted	1190	Invalid gifts	1198
(rifts and acceptances always		Fraudulent transactions	1199

Chapter XIII (Pp 1202-1208) RESCISSION OF PURCHASE

Charitable gufts

1200-n1

to be in the open

Bubject	Pago	Enhyoet	Page
Reservition defined.	1202	Reduction allowed in gold &c	1205
Interval for a rescussion	1202-04	Increase allowed in gold &c	1206-07
When things are not returnable	1203	General rule	1207, 1208

Chapter XIV (Pp. 1209-1229)

• BZ/	DACH OF CONT	TAGE OF BEHAVIOR	
Subject	Page	Subject	Page
Attendants and their ku	nda 1209 12 10	Restrictions as to slavery	1214, 1217
Slaves, their varieties	1211	Reasons for manuscrittion	1216
No relief for aperiates	rom	Buty of an apprentice	1218, 1219
ascetensm	1214 1218	His position	1220
	AL . 1744 4		

Chapter XV (Pp 1231-1229) TRANSCRIESSION OF A COMPACT

Subject	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{age}}$	Subject	Page
What is a compact?	1221	Rules and decretons to be followed	1225
Pallandis, Naigames & a Learned men to be appointed	1223	Appendment of representatives These should be duly henoured 12	1226 26-27
Customery Rules	1223	Outlifestrons of a reason	1228
Embezzelment of common pro-	porty 1994	Extension of rules to Associations	1229
*		1	13

Agg 3g

Chapter XVI (Pp 1230-38)

Subject	Page	Subject	Page
Penalty for Desertion	1230	Loss in transit, responsibility	
What is Descriton ?	1231	of a Carrier	1235
Rule when wages not fixed	1232	Obstruction by labourer, pountly for	1236
One disregarding orders	1233	Exception —illness	1237
Joint performance	1234	Obstruction on Auspicious occasions	1238

Chapter XVII (Pp 1239-1245) GAMBLING & BETTING ON ANIMALS

Subject	Page	Subject	Pago
Gambling and Betting defined	1230	Who to decide disputes ?	1242
Acoper of the gambling house	,,	Gambling with false dice 124	2, 1245
" his daties	,	Use of the house for detection	•
" his obligation	1240	of criminals	1243
, his position 1	241, 1214	Same rules for betting on animals	,

Chapter XVIII (Pp 1946-1256)

ABUSE

Subject Ahuse defined Kinds of Nepithura abuse, penalty for Ablic abuse	Page 1246 " 1247, 1251 1848	Subject Abuse of superiors by inferiors And convertely Penalties Penalties for different abuses Of Brahmania According to Viramitrodaya	Page 1248 1249, 50 1252 1253 1253-56
---	---	---	---

Kinds of Napithura abuse, penalty for 1 Ashite abuse	247, 1251 1848	Pensities for different abuses Of Brohmanas According to Viramitrodaya	1252 1253 1253-56
Chapte	er XIX (I	p 1257-1275)	
	A884	AULT	
Subject	Page	Bubject	Page
Assault defined	1257	Restitution of property	1267
Assault, kinds of .	,	Damages, how to be assessed	1268
Rules of procedure	1258	Offences against property	1269
Decision, when no witnesses	1259	Throwing dangerous substance	SF .
Pensities in special casts	1260	ju houses die	27
Assault on superiors, penalty for	1261-62	Assault on beasts	1270
Assault by equals	1263	Injury to animals	1271
For violent attacks	1264	Injury to trees &c	"
For mild attacks	1265	Injury to szered places	1272
Injury to limbs &c	1266	Injury to creepers &c Other cosmits	1273
For restricting movements Attack by many	<i>a</i>	According to Visamitrodays	127 3-7 5

Chapter XX (Pp 1276-1282)

Subject What is a Salass of Three varieties of Highest middle, lowest Fine for	Page 1276 1276, 77 1277	Subject Canang a Sahara to be committed, Alassing the venerable &c Injury to neighbours, relations &c Additors with a videw, not grung help when abla and other hands of Saharas	Page 1279 1280 1281
Schoza and theft	1278	and other kinds of Schael	1201

(Pp 1283-1295) Similar offences

Washermen mesappropriating	1	Palso weighteent	1289
glothes	1283	Adulterating articles of good q	miny
Their responsibility	1284	with bad	,
Panning family quarrels	128a	Talsely selling take goods	1200
Faladying scales	1286	Maspproprection of deposits	1231
Frend by Examiner of come	1.87	Unlawful combines	1,02, 93
Quack physicians	"	Ring to determine prices	1,393
I'also simprisonment &c	1388	Profit on sale of commodities	1204
Dishonestly letting a party go	,	Preposples of fixing the praces	1200

Chapter XXI (Pp 1296-1303) SON DECIVERY AFTER SALE

Subject	Page .	Babyect	Page
Division of the subject	1296	Non-delivery after receipt of price	1000
Consequences of non-dilivery	1207	Rescusion when not allowed	1301
On a re-sale	1298	Time for Bosomeron	1302
Frandulent sales	1299	Rescussion after the period	1:03

Chapter XXII (Pp. 1304-1316) TRADING BY PARTNERSHIP

Babjoct	Page	Babject	Page
Rules we to profit and loss	1304	Penaltres for	1303
Pariner a rights & responsibilities	1300	Buccession to a trader dy	ng abroad 1310
King a dues	1306	When a partner may be e	rnellad 1311
Evasion of excise duties	1307	Estended application of to Sarrificial Prioris	
Unanthorned acts by rists servent	a 1308	Beveratelasses of pressis, z	1311-16 (rdhina &c 131

Chapter XXIII (Pp 1317-1339)

	~	1107	
Subject	Page	Babject	Pag
Thoft defined	1317	The pick-peckets, cutpurses	
How to apprehend a thief? 13	17-21	Thefts of varying grades	
, detect , 13	18-21	puntahments	1337-1333
Suspected persons	1319	General principles for deter	mining
These must clear themselves	1320		1327-28-29
Punishment for a third 1335	, 1339	According to magnitude	1330
" a Brāhmanu	1322	Accomplises	1331-3.
Relative responsibility of villages	1323	, Responsibility of	,,,
	23 24	Bodily harm	1332
King's obligation	1325	Wicked women, special rule	1333
Special penalties for particular		How to trace a marderer?	1336
offengen	1326	Mode of inquiry The inconduction, poisoners &	1337
			M4 1001-00
Chapter .	KXIV (Pp 1340-1363)	
ADUL	rery 1	WITH WOMEN	,
Subject	Page	Sabject	Paga
Detection of adultery	1340	Interconres with	13:1-53
Signs of, undue intensity &c	1341	Pronetutes	1352-53
Dageptions	1342	Vebyes and the carnae	1354
Persistence even though warned	1343	Account of their origin	13a≤ (n)
Châranas &o	1344	Intercourse with	13.6
Penalty for adultery 1345	1347	Forcible intercourse	1356
The Gurutales offence	1346	Unnatural offences	1857
Regarding a musilen	1346	Intercourse with Antyra	1348 1363
For defiling a maiden	1349	Maxed antercourse	1359
Slander of a maiden 1300	1361	Kidaspping a maiden	1360
Protected women - acaruddia	1351	Intercourse by many	1362
	YYV / I	'p' 1364-1380)	
ACCOUNT AND	COME I	DISPUTES (Prakina)	
Miscrania.			
Subject	Page	Subject	Page
What is Prokerps?	1364	Penalty for divulging state see	
Tampering with Royal Decrees	1365	, atraking elders, semior	
Dealer in false articles	1366	, 1mpersonation	1374
Injury by quadrupeds &c	1367	Wrong decisions The frurts	1375 1376
CORVEYANCES	1368	Unwarranted petition for a Re	
Penalty for negligence	136)	Causes decided in Ignorance	1378
" Connering at adultory	1379	lane unpartly received	1370 nicy 138
, for sedition	1911	Your of holding a judicial inq	Ht. 199

NOTE TO ABBREVIATIONS. "The Collections of Hindu Law Texts" Collections - Stands for

as published in this Series. Collections Sk Collections Sanskrit texts. . . Mane --Manu-Serra as published in the Sacred Peoples of the Fest Series, Vol. XXV

and this Series. Published by Dr. Jolly, S. B. E. Series Nîrada -Vol. XXXIII.

' Published by Dr. Jolly, S. B. E. Series Behasnati Vol. XXXIII.

Anandisrama Series No. 61. Gantama -Sacred Books of the East Series.

Apastamba -Sacred Books of the East Series and the Varistha ---11

Bombay Sanskrit Series.

Quotations from Katyayana Compiled by Kâtyâyana ---11

Mr. P. V. Kane Jainsini --

The Sutres of Jaimini, as published in the 41

Anandásruma Series No. 54.

Sacred Books of the East Series and the Baudharana -

Mysore Sausket Series

CHAPTER VIII.

*Page 73. On the Distribution of Dava.

- (1) Evidence, harman and drvine, has been severally explained.

 The distribution of D'aya' is now being propounded by the Yogamarta?
- (2) Here the term D typ symbos that wealth' which becomes
 the property of another solely by rayon of his
 relation to the owner. (3) It is of two sorts. Un
 obstructible and obstructible Here the wealth of the father as also

In the next continue the Anther explans the term D_{q}^{A} to be "that weakly bright becomes the property of another, solely by reason of relation to the owner." And this means that "weakly" which becomes the property of another, in the right of the relation of odiquing and person or the like, which he foars to he stakes or other relative who is the owner of the evoluth, is signified by the form D_{q}^{A} of (see Subdelline p 4.2 H 30-33) thus leading to the distinction of the surfery and ewrit rays

Another form which floorress special attention equally with the larm Days is the word (ferm) Vicings I is found to have been translated as "partition". The better translation however would be "Distribution" at this word would cover the cares both of Institutes and of Interctance

This parsage has been referred to in a number of eases. So particularly Gaulin Magazieless. But Jalah 24 Bom. 132

- Yogo murh, the "contemplation swell", the image of holmess es the sage l'air mail et
- age 29 Marks.

 Nealth—the original word is upp It models all kinds of properly and is not necessarily confined to money. As contrasted with the wordy flund, it may mean morable. Estato's comprehensive
- 4 Here the word may "rolation is confined to end indicative of relation by family connection. See Janda Kore vs Si-o Pershai 17 Cel. 3757, 78
- 5. Colebrooks translates as "modeling-bell". The originally origined from both Michigan and India Colebration. 5 has \$7.54 Mixton a limit Law Its that exists on which the fature has been as a rested interest by the mere fact of this existence. See electropions of Chanderarkas J. in Box Forms in Rel. Son. 3.0 flow 424

Definition of Unhan additionated Obstructable Dave

of the (paternal) grandfather becomes the property of his sons or grandsons, in the right of their home his sons and grand-ons respectively and time (this) is an inheritance not liable to obstruct

ion But property devolves on (paternal') uncle, brothers and the rest, (but only) in the theence of the male issue and of the owner, and thus the existence of a son, and the existence of the owner are impediments' (to the devolution); and as it is (only) in the absence of these that the property devolves upon those in their cupratty 10 as uncle and brother (respectively), this is an inheritance subject to obstruction. The same should be understood in respect of their sons and the rest

(4) Partition (1 shiften) is the adjustment of diverse rights regarding property held collectively by assigning severally (to indi-15 viduals) particular portions of the aggregate (5) Entertaining the same opinions it has been said by Navada' "Where a partition of" the raternal estate is instituted by the sons, it is called by the

' learned Partition of Daya (heritage)-a title at law " Paternal"

¹ This translation is in accordance with the reading adopted in the text of the "Collections' es विज्ञासक्तिम् The other reading to विज्ञासदिनम् which at rears to have been before Mr Colebrooks when he published his translation 2 Protionalish-

प्रस्तहारे स्वामितहालम प्रतिकाच -The existence of the son, and also of the owner, are the obstructions. It should be noted that this element of non vesting disting unbes it from the maker an which the interest being rested the existence of these two or off are do not work as impediments. The right of representation is always given effect to, with the result that the interest of persons in brical order to quaffected, and is therefore unobstructed See Subalhum also 1 42 1 31

^{3 ;} e the male home and the corner

⁴ Compare with this I transited on (1 1000 II, 6-10) and enterished experi विभाव की जन्मापि प्रविवयनक्ष्याचे विभाग : See Latibinte ve Ganget Horde 5 BHCHOCA 128/135, and I ellianmal vs Kath . Mad CIFT o Ch AIII 1

A The term in the test is halpy is (weeks) is contemplated or thought of

here is indicative of any relationship which is a cause of property. Also (the expression) 'By the sons' sudicates relations by pro-

- (6) (Thus) the following points have to be expounded in this chapter, 11 (4) of what time (b) of what (e) how, and (d) by whom is a partition to be made? Of these, 'at what time,' 'how,' and hy whom' will be explained in the coarse of interpreting the (several) verses' (bearing on these subjects) respectively. 'Of what,' a printion (may take place) is the only subject (to be) considered here
- J(7) Does the right of ownership arise after partition, or does 10 partition take place of property after there was the right of owner ship? Here the right of ownership is used being explained. (And the question is) whether the right of ownership is deducible from Sister alone, or whether it may be obtained from other (mexis of) proof?
- 1 'Any telahounlap লাল বিশ্বনাল বিধান বাবে কৰাৰ hterally when translated it would be it inclinated by extoerion of relationship which as a range of property. Only the process the framelyted it as if the original wave লগত আছিল প্ৰতিষ্ঠান কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব কৰিব।

In this sense, any relationship is not necessarily flather a (first) which entitles the claimant to take the property, not necessarily squate or cognitive bit generally a claim based on kgalfattle

But the legal title is limited by the next line to propinquity hamm?-a high has been brought in the explanation of 444 —by the sous

2 The Virantirolays makes it further clear and restricts it to relations connected by linest consurgamity See also Solodhan Text p 43 it 9 it. Tr p 10; it is 24.

This while the interpretations of the Metabland and the Promiteelige post more to a separatory body, the Dign Mage stateds it to water body at it to point family referent metabors of the promise and the state of the production of the goods of any 1 lat on 1 vary relative. Accordingly Assaults as pointed out the distribution of it is projected to the nother and like others?

It should be noted that this disciprace between the two points of view It is keeping with their respective backgrounds. For which the remarks of the Mail chard, I discribe and I remains lays used others are introductory of the views II II is also tracted the perition by the Judier. The Days Bidge treats a nearly of partition.

7 Of Yal syalkya

¹ See Subalding, Test 1 43, Il 16 20 Tr 1 10 Il 3 25

(8) Here! (it may be said that) it is proper to say that it is deducible from Sistra alone, on account of the text An objection of Gautama2 : " An (individual becomes) owner by

"inheritance, purchase, partition, science, or finding. Acceptance is for 5 "a Balliman an additional (mode of acquisition); conquest for a Kaint "riya, (and) gain (by labour) for a Vaisea or Sadra". If the right of ownership be deducible from other (means of) proof, this text would not have any force So Manu, while discussing the extended application of the term thief, observes "A Brahmana seeking to obtain " wealth from a man who took? what was not given to him, is regarded 10 " precisely as a thief, even though he obtain it by sacrificing for such "a men or by teaching hun". If the right of ownership were (deducable from) merely temporal (sources), the rule which directs the punish ment of such as obtain wealth by officiating at religious rites, or by similar means, from one 'who took what was not given to hun,' 15 would be irrelevant. Moreover, were (the right of) ownership a (purely) worldly matter one should not say: "my property has "been wronufully taken by bim"; for according to the above assumptlon the ownership would be with the trespasser. Now (if it he said that because) the property of another was seized by this man, and 20

(that therefore it) does not become the property of the usurper, (the answer is) then no doubt can arise, whether it appertain to one or the other, just as would be the case in regard to the distinction of

6, Ch VIII 340

^{1.} The Arther sets out the opponent's argument which is called the green

² Ch X 39-42 3 Cf Apprehenno, vel Occupation

A Lat at would be meaningles. The original is the star matters, "This text would have no meaning "

b vicity means "an extended application or application by analogy" अतिहेशी मान इतायमस्य इतर्राग्य प्रयोगाय आहेश ।

also अभ्यानेव प्रणीतायाः सन्तनाणा पर्मतंत्रते:। अन्यान वार्षत प्राप्तिरतिदेशः स उच्यते ॥ वा प्राहताक्ष्मणी वस्मानत्समानेषु कर्मत । धर्मप्रवेशो वेन स्यादतिदेश स उपवेत ॥

^{7.} Freng " If he wishes or doutes to take or obtain "

⁸ See Subalhim Text P, 43 II, 30-36, Tr 110 II 7-27.

Yashavalkya Verse 114

gold, silver or the like. Therefore ownership is deducable from (the commands of the Sistra slope.

(9) To this the answer is, (the right of) ownership is temporal only, for it effects transactions relative to worldly purposes, just as rice or similar substances do.

purposes, just as noe or similar substances do. But the consecrated fire and the like deducible from the S'hstra' do not give effect to achons relative to seenlar purposes

[It may again be argued] Indeed even the consecrated fire, &c has the expectry to boil food &c [The answer is] not so, for it is not as? such that the con- 10 secrated fire effects the boiling of food &c Then

The Austrat

13 pot so, for it is not as such that the con- 10

secrated fire effects the boding of lood &c. Then

as what? [Answer] It is as fire (actually) perceptible to the senses

(and) as such

Here, however, it is not through its visible form, either gold or

the like, that the purchase of a tining is effected, It but by reference to ownership Indeed, that use of property is seen even among the imbaliants of the (larbrious) countries of the frontier who are unacquainted with the practice laid down in the Sutra, since purchase, sale and other transactions are observed among them.

(10) Moreover, such as are conversant with the science of tensoring deem ownership acquired by regulated means of acquisition

^{1.} Here ends the objection or $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} \exp i$ and from the next sentence follows the next-sent

² The basis of the consecration of fire is faure above.
3 Afro has two characters the sparinal one of consecration, and the

worklik one of combustion — It off ets the besing of food in its worklif capacity as an ordinary fire, as also in its special spiritual capacity

4 gravilit The border or frontier region According to the Americles-

a प्रकृता: The country of the Michebbas', "यन तो सन्देश (धार्" (भार नहार)

⁵ Colebrooke translates thus "deam regulated means of acquisition a matter of popular recognition." The original has 'নিবন্ধৰ কাৰ্য,'

a matter of popular recognition. In the third

* PAOT 74 claime of the Engset Shira the venerable Girat has demonstrated as unterable an objection, which might be ready at 1 firestrations relative to the acquisitions of models could be also becomes presented.

5 "of goods, apply to religious ecremony there could not be any property, sales proprietary right is not temporal." by showing that "the efficies of acceptance and other modes of acquisition in constituting proprietary right is a matter of popular recognition." Indeed, if acquisition of wealth be only for (the performance)

The objection of religious eccentions, there would be no right would not be possible (To this the ensurer would be) It is a blunder

The above of any me who affirms that acquisition/does not produce a proprietary interest since this is a continuing the accept, the Author, premising the accept.

ame, by popular recognition, of the notion of the right of ownerships even in stating the demonstrated conclusion, proceeds to cyplain the purpose of the disquisition in this manner. "Therefore is breach of

1 The Loyd Suira—(See Balambhatti Sk p-12» Subabhin p 41 Tr pp
112 114) This is a dequation as regards the dears of acquisition (Loyd) and is
introduced in the Second Suira or Adularena "specific given in Conjection"
Suiract of Mahalarena "specific given to the first Polas of the fourth Adularena or the Suiract of Januaria

In the first Softwa the sixtuation between religious and personal purposes a canned (x-veryon) indicates). In the wood, the measure is whether the net of a men of g the militum of a core due as relative to the person or to the act of religion. In the short the greatest comment is whether restrictions as to the means of equations entered in the first an effective control to the first classes, must be taken as relative to the person or to the religious coremony. And the demonstrated conduction which grouped when magnets it explains the damp such for any number of purposes but it can be used only by the person who sequence is and sure, therefore, the person acquirings it is the containt factor and the purposes for which it may be used may "sary, the restrictions as to acquisition close to the person.

Acquasiton implies a relation between two elegals, the maner and his ownhand to de nother and son, there can, therefore, be no capturation without the
things to be acquared, and it is a combination in terms to say "exequition does
not produce proportion tight?" so it is to affirm "my mother in charges we cannot
See Bilambhatti Sk p 126 il 34-56 Also Substitut Text pp 44 and 45
Tr pp 113-115.

the restriction affects the person not the religious ceremony"—and the meaning of this passage is thus expounded. If restrictions respecting the requisition of chattels regard the religious ceremony, its celebration would be perfect with such property only, is was acquired consistently with those rules, and the celebration of the religious ceremony would not be perfect, if performed with wealth obtained by infiringing the rules and consequently according to the objector, the fault would not affect the run for the deviated from the rule but according to the demonstrated conclusion since the restriction regard

'ed consistently with those rules, and the celebration of the religious 5 'exercises would not be perfect, if performed with wealth obstuded by 'infringing the rules and consequently according to the objector, the fault would not affect the main if he deviated from the rule but according to the demonstrated conclusion since the restriction regard ing acquisitions affects the person the performance of the religious corremony is complete even with property acquired by a breach of the rule, and it is an offence only on the part of a main, because the has violated an obligatory rule." It is consequently acknowledged, that even with its graned by infringing restrictions, as property because, otherwise there would be no completion of a religious ceremony.

[15] From what has been said before it should not be supposed

that even what is obtained by robbery and like such means, would be property, for, proprietary right in such instances is not re-gained by the world and it (also) disagrees with established practice (12) Thus since property, obtained by so reptance or any other (sufficient) means is established to be temporal the acceptance of alms

and similar other modes (presembed) for a Brahmana, conquest and similar means for a Kehalinga historiary and the like, for a Vasya and service and the rest for a Salara are propounded as restrictions

meetided for spiritual purposes, while inheritance and like others are modes stated as examine to all, (vale the text) * An individual becomes owner by inheritance, purchase, partition sensace or finding?

(13) Here (rulths) 'unberitance' is (used to indicate) unobstruchled herita.c 'Parchase' is well known "Partition aggrifes.

1 By the venerable Gerse e Trabhakara.

² Of Gautama Ch X 39 (See | 9521 3 abres)

³ Acquisition by occupation of Occupation 4 experiences

bentage subject to obstruction! "Seizure" or occupation is the appropriation (such as) of water, grass, wood and the like, not previously apportaining to any other (person as owner) "I inding" is the discovery of a ludden' treasure or the like If these reasons exist, 5 the person is (recognised as the) owner. If they take place, he becomes proprietor "In the case of a Brolmana, that which is obtained by acceptance is additional"-(the meaning of this text' is) that, in the case of a Brilimana whatever is obtained by means of gift &c is in additional (mode of acquisition) not common (to all tribes). simil arly as to the text. ' In the case of a Kshairing, what is obtained by victory." additional" is organ understood (The meaning is that) hi the ease of a K hairma, whatever is obtained by conquest, americanent, or the like is (an additional mode) not common (to all the tribes) (So in the text') "In the case of a langua and a Statra what is "earned? (as wages by labour)" Here also "additional" is again 15 understood-(The meaning is that)-what is extined as wages by agriculture Leening the cattles, or the like, is in the case of a Vaisuri a (mode of acquisition) not common (to all tribes), and in the case of a S'urdra, that which is corned in the form of wages by service of on the regenerate, and by similar means, is (a mode of somusition) not common (to all tribes)

Thus, likewise, among the various causes of property which are peculiar to mankind, whatever has been stated as peculiar in the case of certain mixed classes in the direct" or inverse" order of the tribes e g driving of horses in the case of the Sutar, and like other modes. is indicated by the word "curned", for all such acquisitions assume

¹ संपतिकाची साम्र । ९ निपारे ।

³ Fig is the reading adopted here. There is another reading to mity Tr "if they are known &c?

^{4 .} of Gautama cited above

s summer : s peculiar or special mode of acquisition specially mentioned 8 क्लिकेक

⁹ эчения- r the usee of a mixed marriage when the father is of a class higher than flut of the mother See Acharadhyava Ver 91 92 pp 248, 200 above

¹⁰ m@cun—is the issue of a mixed marriage where the mother is of a higher elses and the father of a lower one See Acharadhyaya Ver 93-94 p 252 above

the form of wages or hire : for according to the (lexicon) Trikander! "the word nericia is used to indicate wages or occupation." All this should be understood as wealth acquired by modes not common (to all tribes)

- (14) As for the precept, (respecting the succession of) the widow and the daughters' &c., the declaration (of the order of succession). even in that text, is intended to prevent any mistake, although the proprietary right be a matter familiar to the world, where many persons might (but for this precept) be supposed entitled to share the heritage by reason of their affinity to the (deceased) owner. The whole's 10 therefore unexceptionable.
- (15) As for the remark, that if property were temporal, it could not be said "my property has been taken The obsection. "away by him", that also is not accurate; for a and The susper doubt respecting the proprietary right does arise through a doubt concerning the purchase, or other transaction, which is the cause of that right.
- (16) The purpose of the preceding discussition is this: A text says: "When Brahmanas sequire wealth by a blameable act," "they are purged of the guilt by the abundonment of such wealth, by "prayer, and by rigid austerity." Now, if property he deducible only from S'astra, that which has been obtained by blameable means e.g. by accepting presents from an improper person, or by larter, or similar other means would not be property at all, and consequently would not be property partible among sons. But if the proprietary interest be a worldly matter then even what is obtained by accepting presents from an improper person &c. is property, and may be divided among heirs; and the atonement above referred to in the text "they are " purged of the guilt by its abandonment &c" regards the acquirer

only; but of the sons, however, their proprietary 30

interest arises by their right of inheritance and

* PAGE 75

^{1.} Amara III 5.214.

² Yajh II. 135, infra

^{3.} Of Mann Ch. XI 194 46

therefore no blame attaches to them, since Mana' says. "There are "seven lawful modes of acquiring property, (i.) inheritance, finding, "or friendly donation purchase, conquest lending at interest, the 'performance of work, and the acceptance of gifts from virtuous men'

performance of work, and the acceptance of gifts from virtuous shall (17) Next, it is doubted whether the right of property suses from partition or the division of a proprietary interest which already

was existing? (18) Of these (positions) that
Another position of property straining from partition is right, since
a man to whom a son is born is enjoined to
in maintain a holy life for, it property were vested by birth slone,

10 maintain a holy fire for, it property were vested by birth 4,000, the estate would be common to the son as soon as born and the father would not be competent to maintain a sacrificial fire and perform other religious datties which are recomplished by the use of wealth (13) Lalewise, the probabilition of a division of thit, which

15 is obtained from the liberality² of the father, previous to separation, would not be pertinent since no partition of it can be supposed, for it has been given by consent of all prities As says Nixada'.

"The two lands of property, 11 gain of valour and the wealth of a "property not subject to partition, and so is a favour onferred by one property not subject to partition, and so is a favour onferred by

20 "property not subject to purtition, and so is a favour conferred by
the father (exempt from purition)" (20) So the text concern
ing an affectionate gett vize white has been given by a husbind,
"when pleased, to his wife, she may consume as she pleases, even
"when he is dead, or may give it away excepting immovable

"property," would not be pertunent, if property were vested by birth alone. Nor is it right to connect the words 'excepting immovable property' with the terms "what has been given" (in this text) for that would be a forced construction by connection of disjointed

See—Danoder vi Parma undas I Bom. 165 — Jagmoha elas vi Mangalilas 10 Bom. 546 Suraytum vi I dimah 25 All. 3.3

¹ Ch X 115 See I unauparts Papparat prajar 4 Mad at page 21 where the court exters into an olaborate discension of this and other passages of the Mitakhara, for determining the relative positions of a father and a son under the Mitakhara.

² amis e favour, pleasure 3 Chapter \111-6

³ Chapter VIII-6 4 Of Vishnu 5 Cf Mayakha IV 18-9 where it is uncribed to A arada

terms (21) As for the text "The father is master of the genus, pearls and corels and of all (other movable property), but mether the father nor (even) the grand father is so of the wholet mmovable 'estate" and also (the text2) ' By favour of the father, clothes and ornaments are used but immovable property may not be consumed. even with the father's indulgence"—which passages forbid a gift of immovable property through favour they both relate to immovables which have descended from the paternal grand father. And although after the grand father is dead his effects become the common property of the father and sons at appears even from this text, that the gems pearls, and other movables, belong exclusively to the father, while the unmovable estate remains common (22) There . fore property is not by birth but by demise of the owner or by partition Accordingly, since the demise of the owner is a cause of property there is no room for supposing that a strugger could not be prevented from taking the effects because the property was vacant after the death of the father before partition. So likewise, in the case of an only son the estate becomes the property of the son by the father's decease, and does not require partition

(23) To this the answer is It has been shown that property is got a matter of popular recognition and the right of sons and the rest, by birth, is nost familiar to the world and so it council be denied but the term partition is generally understood to relate to effects belonging to several owners, and does not relate to that which appertuies to another, nor to goods so weard or unowned. For the text of Gustama expresses "Let ownership of wealth be taken by birth as the venerable teachers direct,"

(24) Moreover the text above cited viz " (the father is the master) of "gens pearls could so" in pertunent to the supposition of a proporticary

¹ greg.-The meaning of the text is that, not the father not even the grand father is the master of any of the immovable property &c

² The author of this fext is not known

See Shr Silveon Pe shi vs Shr Hanher Pavili 23 Bom 150 at p. 161 3 THEFTE WITTIG —There being no requester right over the property Le on account of the non-existence of the owner Le (See Balambhatti Sk. p. 131).

⁴ m of Adrada IL I-4 shave

right vested by birth. Nor is it right to affirm that it relates to immovibles which have descended from the paternal grand father, since the text expresses " neither the father, nor even the grandfather!" This rule, that the grandfather's own acquisition should not be given away while a son or a grandson is living, indicates a proprietary nuterest by birth According to the other opinion, the precious stones. pearls, clothes, ornaments and other effects though inherited from the grandfather, belong to the father under the special provisions of the law, so according to our opinion also, the father has' power, under 10; the same text, to give away such effects, though acquired by his father Thus there is no difference

(25) As for the text of Vishous no "(That which has been 'given) by the nusband when pleased &c" which mentions a guit through affection, that must be interpreted as relating to property scurred by the father lumself and given with the consent of his sons 15 and the rest for, by the text above cited at '(the father is the ' master of) gems pearls &c' the fitness of things only those other than immovables, for an affectionate guit was determined with certainty (26) As for the alleged disquidifications for religious 20 duties which are prescribed by the Veda and which require for their accomplishment the use of wealth sufficient for such purposes is inferred from the concuey of the text steelf which enjoins their per

formance and which is in the pature of a command.

¹ The word succestral can only apply to the proporty of the paternal grand father and his accestors and not to the solf-acquisition of the father See Banganih ve Maharay 8 Luck 28, see also Muhammad Husain ve hisra Na idan 30 Bem L R 979 (P C)

^{2 --} That which is stated in the text of Navada outed above

³ See по 1 в 294

^{4 . .} Difference of opinion 5 Cited above at p 988, 11 22-24

Breof Sarala & Freduct

⁷ Thus a father cannot give even a small portion of ancestral property to his daughter on the ground that she looked after him in his old ago. Janapea vs Chamero 59 Bom 409 nor can be make a will Parcables vs libagrant 30 Bom 593

⁸ Both Balambhatu & Subodhim emplain this by saying that the power to perform these is given by special texts which enjoin their performance

(27) Therefore' (at a settled that) ownership in the father's or grand father's estate is by birth. Said it (also) stronds (as good his) that the father has independent power in the disposal of effects others than immovables for indispensible acts of duty and for purposes prescribed by the texts of law as gifts through affection, support of the family, relief from distress and so for he but he is subject to the control of his soon and the rest in regard to the immovable estate, whether acquired by himself or inherite! from his father or other

1 This text regarding the light maght in the amountal property and the father a power of disposal over the same have been noticed in various cases

In this set Tumme? Mod 32.2 at p 352 Tumme? Of a beserve an follows an expert to this portion of the Music Anser. The effect of this several purposes taken together as that which the ownership of the son is recognized in all property, hather the self-acquired property of in Either or ascertant the father has power to dispose as the pleasance of its self-acquired morables and with a central which it is non must give of the self-acquired morables out with a central which it is non must give of the self-acquired morables of the propose that the power of mescalar morables acts and day but the seen may nated of him if to applies mosterial would havebloom for minuments to proposes other than those smothcood. See also diverge as Chemistra 90 Dens. 490-37 Dens. If it is applied to the second of th

The following may be noted as eme of there

Pao Balvant Sungh vs Pant Kethory 2 I A 511 6 at 1 08 Gandhi Vaganial vs Bat Jadhab 21 Dom 214 Bachno vs husbaldos 20 Dom 61

Ponnapa I illa: vs Pappurayyangar 4 Mul. at pp 8 16, 42 &c

Gangula ve Ancha Bagulu 4 Mad at 1 89 Surger June Medali ve Parca r. Anna 4 Mad at n. 103

Varaeimka vs Lenlatades 8 Mud 293

Anna Taular vs I amehandra Taular 32 Mad et p 381

(This care discusses the several portions of the Mitakulara bearing on the father singlet over necestral property generally)

Anh Parthad vs ham Charan 1 All 100 Saint vs Madho 1 All 200

Janda Acer vs Shee Pershed 17 Cal at p 36

Chandradon S. 19h vs Unto Ported 31 All 176 180 184 187 211

Annal Ram vs. Vangalum 31 All 359

Mohamund vs Aufur Mondul 28 Cal 8"4

2 Col brooks translates as funcestral. The word in the original text is giving funcasists a belong up to the grandituder. Mr. Dobbrooks a translation has been commented on in Joseph Freed by Fou For 12 2 M at 1 02 referring to the remarks of living 1 C Choselin Mi Henda Law page 375 (2nd Ld.)

predecessor, tide the text "Though namovables or bipeds have been "acquired by a man lumself, a gift or sile of their should not be made 'without convening all the sons. They, who are born, as also they who 'are yet unbegotten, and they who are still in the worth, require the 5 'meens of support, no gift or sile should, therefore, be made."

*Page 76

(28) An exception for it follows ³ "Even 1 single individual An exception with a conclude a donation, inoriging, or sale, of "image conclude a donation, inoriging, or sale, of a conclude a constant of the sale of fundy, and especially for pious purposes" (29) The meaning of that texts this while the sons and grandons are minors and incorpolate of giving their consent's or doing similar sots, or while the brothers are so and continue unseparated, even one person, who is capable, may conclude a gift hypothecitous or sale, of immorable property control as gift hypothecitous or sale, of immorable property property for supporting the fundity or for supporting the fundity of the supporting the fundity of the supporting the fundity of the supporting t

- "Of Vydes as cited in other compilations"—Colebrooks
 Bringers so used in the Remakers & —Colebrooks
- 3 See Bhou vs Rajhungth 10 Bom at p 233, also eco, Gound vs Deckapy a 40 Bom L B 530 at p 043
- 4 and thus runder the expenditure a valid hading charge upon their share.

 of "Hadingroundle dates" "The expression in Sanchett Tites are a figuration (Action Kattengria and the Art Tree of
This expression has been subjected by picked invision—as Granders allows Described 27 Mod. at p. 209. As regard the term Adv-hand the later,—the was of the shows expression, Maisbarrant Ayrus J Obstrave as follow in Pomer par a Propersion, Maisbarrant Ayrus J Obstrave as follow in Pomer par a Propersion, Maisbarrant Ayrus J Obstrave as follow in Pomer par a Propersion, Maisbarrant Ayrus J Obstrave as follows from the March and the first of consistency of the Maisbarrant and the case of grid to Consistency and the March and the case of grid to Consistency and the March and the case of grid to Consistency and the March and the case of grid to Consistency and the March and the case of grid to Consistency and the March and the case of grid to Consistency and the Maisbarrant and the Consistency and the Maisbarrant and Maisbarrant and the Consistency and the Consistency which is not a group of committees of the Graddist and march productes that the Futher's common which is named in one out of a group of committees. The expression samply means a facts, such as the Graddist and the like other with her necessarily to be done? See See 168 2 Each See

such as obseques of the uncestors (manes) (30) As for the text-

Kinsmen whether unseparated or separated are equal in respect of (rights in) immovables, for one (alone) has not power over the whole to make a wift sale or mortgage -it must be interpreted thus among unseparated kinsmen the consent of all is indispensably requisite because since the estate is held in common no one is fully empowered to make an alienation but among separated kinsinen

the consent of all tends to the facility of the transaction, by obviat ing any fature doubt as to their separation or union it is not required on account of any want of sufficient power in the single

Owner and hence the transaction is value even without the consent of separated kinsmen (31) Again as for the text 3- Land passes by six (formalities) by consent of townsmen of kinsmen of neigh bours and of heirs and by guit of gold and water -consent of townsmen is required for the publicity of the transaction since it is provided that acceptance of a gift especially of the immovable pro perty should be public, but not that the contract remains incomplete

the consent of kuismen and of heirs has already been explained (32) By gift of gold and nater-since the sale of immovable pro perty is forlydden by the text In regard to the immovable estate sale is not allowed (but) a hypothecation may be made with the consent (of persons interested), and since also donation is pinised in the text Both he who accepts land as a gift as also he who gives it are performers of a holy deed and shall surely go to beaven, even when

without the consent of the townsmen the consent of neighbours serves to obviate any dispute concerning the boundary the use of

a sale is to be made it should be conducted, for the transfer of immovable property, in the form of a gift, delivering with it gold and water (to rathly the donation) This is the meaning

¹ Colebrooks translate obseques of the father but the word pur when used in connection with Sraddha indicates other ancestors also who are dead and whose Studden is to be performed

² Of Behaspata XXV-13 S o Ponnappa vs Poppu ayyangar 4 Mad 1 at pages 9 10 54 where this text has been referred to and discussed

³ The author of the pas age is not known

⁴ Yajnavalkya.H 176 tec 111 A 218 at p 938

(33) Although the right of property is by birth alone in the estate of the father or the grandfather, we shall mention (later on) a'special rule in the text (IL 121) " Land which was acquired by " the grandfather &c."

994

15

f Colebrooke Sect. II. 1

(1) Now! with a view to expound, at what is no, by whom, and how, partition may be made, the Author says

Yājnvalkva. Verse 114.

If the father makes a partition, let him separate his sons at his oleasure, and either separate the eldest with the best share, or (if he 10 choose) all may be (made) equal sharers

Mitâksbarâ -(2) Vibbâgam chet pitâ kuryât if (ever) the father wishes to make a partition, then ichchhaya vibhajet, he may at his elensure sougrate, sutin, his sons from himself; whether one, two, or more sons

(3) The will being unrestrained and no rule being suggested, the Author adds, by way of restriction, jyeshtham . Secual rule for the wa freshthahhagena, and either (sevarate) the eldest share of the eldest with the best share. From this it is understood? that he may separate the eldest with the best share, the middle-most 20 with a middle share, and the youngest with the smallest's share. (4) This distribution of the best and other portions is propounded by Mana': " The additional share (deducted) for the eldest shall be "one-twentieth (of the heritage) and which is the best of all the "chattels; for the middle-most, half of that; for the youngest, a 25 "quarter of it"

¹ Aggreg -Tr while pointing out (as to, at what time &c .- the Author says &c) This portion has been referred to in Kale Paraladva Pomeharan 1 All 160 (F B) Where it has been held that " The sou has under the Mulakshard right to demand partition and part of his share in the ancestral immovable property during the life time of the father and against his wall?

² अनुवर्तते a e at follows

^{3.} t c. as given in the text of Manu here immediately following

⁴ Ch 1X 112.

- (5) The term wâ, ather, is release to the subsequent alternative etc., sarve wâ ynh samânsaal or 'all may be equal charers, s.c. or all, namely, the eldest and the rest, should be made particlers of equal portons.
- (6) This unequal distribution moreover, is allowed in reference to property acquired by himself. But, if the wealth descended to him from a line of ancestors, an unequal partition it has pleasure is not proper, as equality of ownership (over such property) will be declared (further on)
- (7) Under the text! "If the father makes a partition &a", Penost for Partition when the Lather desires separation, the's some period for partition Another period, also, is when, even when the father is living, but is indifferent to wealth and disnalized! to pleasure, and when the mother is incaptible' of bearing issue—at such a time a partition is admissible merely at the option of the sons', even against the wab of the lather, as is shown by Nirade, who, eventually reaches a subsequent to the demine

* Page 77 who, premising partition successions to the demands of both parents by the text.* "Therefore, let the "sons divide the wealth equally, when the father is dead", adds", 'Or "when the mensituation of the mother has cressed, and the assers are a married, or when the father's sexual dense is extinguished, and he has become indifferent to worldly interests". Here the words "Let 'the sons divide the wellth equally "are understood. Ossiams" likeway, brung said "After the dennee of the Father, let the sons divide 'this state," state in second peopd. "or when the mother is post. 25

5 Transis is satuated or fed up with pleasures

6 Lat it means—and when the mother has ceased to menstructe
7 The Sanskrip expression to an entirely, at the mere option of the sons. The

word ge is used in a collective as also in a distributive sense. It may therefore be at the option of one son or of more

8 Ch XIII. 2 9 Ch XIII 3 10 Ch XXVIII 12

¹ quantum-Hereafter to be stated

² Colebrooke translates "from his father ", but the expression is विक्रमावले pitrkramayate) Tr "descended from an unbraken line of male ancestors "

³ Yajitavalkya II 121 4 Yajitavalkya II 124

"child bearing," and a third period has been indicated viz, " while the father lives if he desires separation " So, even while the mother is capable' of hearing more assue, though the father be unwilling, if he be addicted? to vice or afflicted with a lasting disease a partition 5 is admissible by the choice of the sons As says Saukha '(Eyen) when the father does not wish partition of inheritance takes place if he be 'old, disturbed in intellect, or diseased," (114)

996

10

Śûlanâai

Now the Distribution

Liliavalkya Verse 114

The father if he makes a distribution of this self acquired property, then he may make the sons separate according to his wish, and not the with of the ann

As says Vishnu? ' If the father separates the sons he may make the sons separate according to his wish in regard to property acquired by 15 'himself In regard to the grand father a property, however, the ownership "of the father and the son is equal partition cannot be according as he 'may wish,' Here ownership is the cause

When the father is dead the some may divide the father e property There will be no ownership of these when the father 20 is living and faultless ' Funitiess 1 o not degraded

At some places Narada' mentions a division by the wish of the son even in regard to the father a acquisitions thus "When the mother has ceased to menstruate and the sisters have been married when the father s

सङ्बद्धाम्प्—(Lat) has (yet) the menetraction

The translation of Mr Colebrooks is retained here—but the expression in Sanskrites supposed when his conduct is not in conformity with (the rules of) Dharms -has a wider meaning and force Being addicted to vice is only one phase of " the conduct not being in conformity with Digerma , and the son would thus have a wider range of excumstances under which he can ask of a partition

Moreover in explaining the text of Smalls in the next line Balambhatta gives अप्रमानिति es an equivalent of त्रपतिचेताले—which Col brooke has translated as 'disturbed in intellect According to him the two expressions bear an identical meaning and would mean. Whin the father has become irreligions ?

4 Ch XIII a

- 'serval deere is extinguished, and he has become past (all) desires'
 'Second deere is extinguished,' r.e., when his capacity for sexual
 empyment is gone Past (all) desires'; e. from the householder's position
 to that of the bermit
- Jyeshiham we.' Or the eldest &c're, he should separate them with the largest share for the eldest. Or he may so make. (The partition I that all may become equal sharers. So Nārada! "By the father himself, then who have been separated into equal or less shares of the property, for them 'that itself is the law, indeed the father is the master of all '(114)
- (8) Partition at the pleasure of the father has been stated to 10 of be of two sorts 227, equal and emergical. In this connection, in the case of an equal partition the Author adds a particular rule

Yajnavalkya Verse 115

If he make the ellotments equal, his wires? (such of them.) to whom no Stradbana? had been given by the husband or the father-in-law, must 15 be made partiskers of equal portions

Mākkhārā.—(9) When by he own choos the father maker all most particlers of equal portions then the wives also should be made participants of shares equal to those of sons. Yaihm such of the wives thatrift invarience we stretchman an daltam, so whom no strukture. And been green (to a woman) the Author decess further on 'Cverse 148 half a share to be allotted to her (in the text) "or if any had been given (to him senge a half" (10) II, however, he make the allottents by allotting the best enach, etc., to the eldest &c, then the avives do not get the best or such other portions, but receive equal shares of the aggregate from which the appeal shares have been

¹ Ch VIII 15

^{2.} A The community of Laterages on this should be noted. He still blace as which the the father the well-as of his soon and grandous and laterage when no articles has been given by their hydroid or follow in the continued should be made partial, as of their his basic ("Sas Frienrages 2.21 it 10.12). See also To Do yea Kan as Supposed VI 1. 27. 47.

"child bearing," and a third period has been indicated viz, "while the "father lives if he desires reparation." So, even while the mother is captible of bearing more issue, though the father be unwilling if he be addited it to view or afflicted with leading like the product of the control o

capable' of bearing more issue, though the father be unwilling if he be addicted to tree or afflicted with a lasting disease a partition 5 is admissible by the choice of the sons As says Sankha. (Even) when the father does not wish, partition of inheritance takes place if he but old, disturbed in intellect, or diseased. (114)

Śūlapāni

Now the Distribution

10 Yšjňavalkja, Verse 114

906

The father of he makes a distribution of this solf-acquired property, then he may make the sons separate according to his wish, and not the wish of the son

As easy Vishout. If the father separates the sons homey make the bounce separate according to his west in regard to property acquired by himself. In regard to the grand father a property, however, the counterful of the father and the son is equal, partition cannot be according as he may well. Here conversible is the case.

So Devata 'When the father is dead the sons may divide the 20 'father 8 properly There will be no ownership of those when the father is living and faultless Faultless 1 e not degraded

At some places Narada' mentions a division by the wish of the som even in regard to the father e acquimitions thus. When the mother has "ceased to meastruste, and the sisters have been married when the father s sexual desire is extinguished, and he has become past (all) desires 'Sexual desire is extinguished,' re, when his capacity for sexual enjoyment is gone 'Past (all) desires i e, from the householder's position to that of the hermit

Jucobiham we 'Or the eldest &c': e, he should separate them with the largest share for the eldest Or he may so make (the partition) that all may become enual sharers So Narada! "By the father himself, those who have been senarated into aqual or less shares of the property, for them 'that itself is the law, indeed the father is the master of all "(114)

(8) Partition at the pleasure of the father has been stated to 10 of be of two sorts me, equal and unequal. In this connection in the case of an equal partition the Author adds a particular rule

Yâjñavalkya Verse 115

If he make the allotments equal, his wives? (such of them) to whom no Stridhana3 had been given by the bushand or the father in law, must 15 be made partakers of equal portions

Mriakshara -(9) When by his own choice the father makes all his sons partakers of equal portions then the wives also should be made participants of shares equal to those of sons Yaram such of the wives bhattra swajurena wa stridhagam na dattam, to whom no stridhang had been given by the hisband or by the pather in law. But if stridhana had been given (to a woman) the Author directs further on' (verse 148) half a share to be allotted to her (in the text) "or if any had been given, let him assign a half " (10) If, bowever, he make the allotments by allotting the best share, &c, to the eldest &c, then the 25 wives do not get the best or such other portions, but receive equal shares of the aggregate from which the special shares have been

¹ Ch XIII 15

² A wife gets this share only after the actual distribution Protopmull v. Dhambati 03 Cal COI, (1 C) Roop vs Amost 42 Bom 533

² Colchrooke translates the word Stredhous as separate property-Having regard to the lechnical character of this expression and having regard to the fact that the word is more properly understood as Strudione than by any of its Luglish e myslents, the original word has been retained in the translation

⁴ Shee Agran ve Janla Franci, 33 All 508 See Pountypa vs Papputnypanger 4 Mad 1/20-22 (F B) Summer Theber vs Chunder Mun Thehar 8 cal 17

subtracted, as also their special additional share as laid down by Anastamba' 'And the formture in the house. The ornaments, are the "wife's (property) '

ຂໍ່ມີໂລກລິສໄ

Yalaavalkya, Verse ere

Yad. 'If &c' If the father, by his wish makes them (the sons) parinkers of count shares then the wives also who are without sons should be made partakers of equal shares, to whom Siridham has not been given by the husband or any other by reason of the text 'Or if any had been given a half has been declared. If however Stridham had been given, 10 balf should be given

Hanself, however, if there be (only) one son he should take two shares If he has more than one sons less than two shares As say Sankha and Likhita ' He, if he has one son, should make two shares for himself

Similarly also Two shares should be take for himself, when the father 15 makes a partition ' This text' of Narada is even to this effect also (115)

¹ II 14-8 B

Mr Colobrooke translates ' The formiture as the home and her ornaments are the wife's (property)— this is in accordance with the published fertracts' of the text of Apastamba A reference to the text of Apastamba itself however bounts to a different state of Bungs This text occurs in the 14th Khanda of the 2nd Book of Apasiamba s Dharmanatra This Khanda treats of the Daynellhaga or "Thebribution of herstage" From § 1 to 5 the general line of hoirs is men tioned § 5 mentioning the king as taking by escheat Then from § 6 begin special rules of inheritance, and § 7 and § 3 give the special right of the eldest son to certain items of property, § 9 mentions the wife solum to special property and \$ 10 modifies it to some extent. The text quoted in the Mitakahnra is part of § 8 and 9 Parce 7 8, 9 and 10 run thus

a In some eccutives, gold, black cattle, black produce of the earth is the share of the eldest (7) The charact, and the furniture in the house according to some § (8) The share of the wife consults of her ornaments, and the wealth of the rilate That, however, 12 opposed to Sastra (2)

It will thus be seen that "the fermiture in the house" in the passage from Apastambs forms part of § 8 which (together with § 7) exclusively mentions properties which go to the elde t son, while it is in para § 9 alone that a reference to the ornaments of the wafe as made. The translation given above and the punctionation are in accordance with this rending of the lext

² Ch XIII 12

20

(II) To the two alternatives before stated, ms, "and either "separate the eldest with the best since or (if he choose) all may be '(made) equal sharers " (II 114 p 9941 9 above), the Author pronounds an exception

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 116 (1)

Of one who is able and who is not desirous of having any share, the separation may be effected by group (firm) a trifle

Mitakhara — (12) To one who is himself competent to earn weight, and ambaminary who is not derouse of having any share from his father's weight is a who does not wish to have any share, anything, whatsover, i.e., landth a triffe an article of no viduo may be given and pribak kryfs, expendion effected i.e., the division may be (thus) completed by the father, so that the children, or other heirs of that son, may have no future claim of inheritance

(13) By the text "or the eldest with the greatest share" the distribution of greater and less shares have been shown. To forbud, in such a case, an unequal partition made in any other mode that that which renders the distribution uneven by means of deductions (of the special charges) such as are distated by Sestra the Author adds.

Yajnavalkya, Verse 116 (2).

A partition made by the father among sons separated owith greater or less shares, if (it be) according to Dharma, is pronounced valid.

Mitalshar?—(14) Of the sons vibraktāsām separated spinādaka, with a grater or less share if such an unequel partition is diarmyah made according to Dharma, i e as dictated by Sastra, then that 2 division, pithrah made by the falter, is (regarded as) completely made, and cannot be afterwards et aside, this is pronounced surtah by Mano and the rest. If, however (it be) otherwise, it may be set and even though made by the father as says Maraba. "A father, who is afflicted with theses, or influenced by wrath, or whose mind is engrossed by sensial passion, or who acts contrary to with the Sastra dictates, thus no power in the distribution of the estate."

Vîramitrodaya

Now the Author begins the Chapter on Dayabhaga. Its definition has been given by Narada! "Where a partition of the paternal estate is "instituted by the sons, it is called by the learned-partition of the 5 "Daya-a title at law

By the use of the two terms partrya 'paternal', lanayah' sons', it is intended to show that it is indicative of only those relations by propinquity who are connected through his own seed Vibhagali, 'partition where property is held in common ownership, and when by parti 10 cular arrangement and the like, that is removed and a restricted owner ship is super rupposed Daya, means 'wealth, e e, wealth which was accurred by reason of relationship to the owner. A share of that is withdra, 'partition

Here, the Author first states the partition when the father is 15 living

Yåiñavalkya Verses 114 115 116

Pita, 'the father, chel, 'if, vibbagam' a partition, i c. of property of his personal ownership as being either his self acquisition, or proparty (lost to the family and) recovered by him Furydi, 'makes,' then schehlava, at his pleasure, a c. according as he may desire, by giving property more or less even, suidn, 'the sons,' he 'may separate ubhidel That "ays vishou" "If a father makes a partition with his sons, he man "dispuse of his self acquired property as he likes Manut also "When the father acquires sucestral property which was unrecoverable, the 25 "property he need not divide with his sons if he does not (so) desire, it is his self acquired The father may leave such recovered property (which was lost), but in regard to other property, when the father makes a partition, some tall, the sons, should be the participators of equal shares 30

In regard to the oldest, with the eldest son's share at together with a tenth or a twentieth part, he should divide

Of the sons, moreover, the shares should be made equal to his

On the other hand, however, of the father who makes the partition, of the wives for whom bhurira na smararena ma 'either by (their) hus 30 band or by (their) father in lan, na stridhanam dattam, 'no stridhana bad been given, those wives, and the wives who have stridhana, should be made participators of equal property, or should be given shares from the

1 Cb XIII 1

25

divided property. If, however, in pursuance of the text of NSrada' viz.,
"Two shares should be take for himself, when the father mikes a
"partition," or in pursivance of the text of Hisrita viz., "Or after
"dividing a small portion, he should take the largest and remain', he
(the father) vikes a double or a very large share for himself, then from
his own share uself, '(they should be made purthases of could portions'

Saktazya, 'of one who is competent' ne, who is himself competent to make acquisitions, and from the ancestral property, ankamalauya, 'who is not desirous of having (any share), i.e., who does not wish, to such a son, some small share, such as a pratha of nee or the like, for meeting the objections by his son, should be given by the father, and by the brothers also, prihak knyd, 'the separation should be effected', i.e., the partition should be made.

Of those who have been separated with the assignment of more or presented to be legal, dharmon, partition as mide by the father is prenounced to be legal, dharmon. Therefore one should not miso objections at a later time. As for the father, by reason of the same being distliked by the people, such a partition is certainly, illegal. This is the meaning. Vida Apastambat. "After reving pladdened the clidest zon "by some (choice portion of his) wealth, during his fift time, he should "divide his weath equal harmongs his sons," by some property (e. b) a choice portion of his property. By the particular mention of min, during his his time, he intends that after he is dead, the wife does not get a shrie (114-116).

Śńlapani

Ynjännalkyn Verse 126

Gittern, 'Ablo &c.' One who by rewon of his learning &c. Is competent to carn and has no d-wise for the common property, such a one should be under separate by the valuers after giving how a builtie work as a rise-field, or the like for abriating any disestrement by his sums in a fixed field.

Of those, however, who have been made separate with more or less by reason of its lasting been mad by the father, that itself is (according to) less. In such a case no disagreement should be permitted in after time (116).

[Colebrooks Sect. III.]

*Paor 78.

1002

Partition after the father's decease, I

·(1) The Author next propounds another period of partition, å other nersons as making it, and a rule respecting the mode :

Yainavalkva, Versa 117 (1).

The sons should divide, after the parents, both the assets and the debts. of them equally.

Milakshara: -(2) Pitroh, of the parents i. e., of the mother and the 10 father, urdhwam, after, i en after the death. Thus the period (for partition) is shown. By (the expression the) sutih, sons, the persons who make the distribution are indicated. By (the expression) samam, equally, the rule as to the mode (of partition) is indicated, i.e., in equal shares only, they should divide the assets and the debts. 15 (3) But Manu, having premised? "after the death of the father

"and of the mother" and having declared, "The An Objection. "eldest alone may take the whole paternal estate; "the others should live under him just as (they lived) under their father"; has said " The additional share (deducted) for the eldest is the 20 " twentieth part (of the heritage), as also that which is the best of all "chattele; for the middlemost, half of that, but one-fourth for the "youngest". Of the entire property the twentieth portion, as also that which is the best of all the chattels, that must be given (by way of deduction) to the eldest; half of that, a fortieth part, and a middl-25 ing chattel, should be allotted to the middlemost, and a quarter of it—the eightieth part, and an article of low's quality should be given

deductions among brethren separating after the death of the mother and the father. Moreover,6 by the text?. "But if there be no deduction, the allot-30 "ment of shares shall be in this manner: Let the eldest son take one

to the youngest, and thus Manu has exhibited a distribution with

See Joirem vs. Nathu 31 Bam. 54-8 Bom. Ic. R. 634.

2. Ch. IX. 104. 3. Ch. IX. 105. 4. Ch. IX.112.

5. Colebrooks Tr. "worst chattel".

6. From here begins the last part of the objection against an "equal portion," 7. Ch. IX. 116-117.

10

share in excess and the next born one half share more and the younger ones one share each, thus is the law settled , thus by alloting to the cluest two shares to the (brother) next born a share and a half and to those born next after one share each even when authout deductions he has directed an unequal partition among brethren sepa rating after the dermies of the narents

And when a division is made during the father's life time the Author (1e Yanawallaya) himself has exhibited an unequal distribution (by the text). "Or the eldest with the best share &c (II 114 p 182 1 21 above)

Hence an unequal partition is admissible even at all times. How then is a restriction introduced requiring that consciould divide only in equal shares. ?

(4) The question (put) here is thus answered. True this unequal partition is found in the Sastra still however, as

this abborned by the world it must not be practised since that is foolided by the text. One must not be practically
which procures not the edectable bias and a shorred by the people
even though it be allowed by law. As for example notwithstanding
the direction in the text. Let him offer a big bull or a big good to
a venerable priest, still as that is abborred by the people it is not
precised. Also as 'Slay a barren own as a victim consecrated to
Mitra and Varenta notwithstanding this direction as to the slaughter
of a cow in the text, still as it is abborred by the people that is not
practised.

(5) It has also been said. As the law relating to appointment "and also the rule regarding the slaughtering of a cow as a victim is not now in use, so also in the partition with deductions dot current now."

(5) Apastamba, also haven, delivered his opinion (in the text*)

' He (ie the father) sloudd, during his life time divide his wealth

l lay scallya 1 lob | 365 H "-4 above " lay scallya I 100 p 30" H 30 %, above

⁷ In a fext of I hatto—(* o Balamhatta p. 130) The m aming is that there are two I dh wone ordained by the I ede and the other estimated elsewhere than I dla See Balamhatta and Sak this 1 114 4 H 6 H 6 H 1

equally amongst his sons? and by the text? 4 Some hold that the eldest is the heir' having premised, as the opinion of some, the succession of the eldest to the entire estate, and having exhibited as the opinion of others the distribution by (the method of) deductions having regard to (the usage of) purheular places ## 2 "(In particular "countries), gold black kine and the black produce of the earth belong to the eldest. The charget and the furniture in the house are the father's and according to some ornaments as also property (received by her) from kinsmen belong to the wife ' his refuted it as being forbidden by S sara, and I as himself pointed out the proli-10 bation in the Sastra thus It is recorded in Scripture without dis tinction that Manu distributed his herriage among his sous"

(7) Therefore unequal partition though noticed in the S istia still as it is disapproved by the world and is contrary to Scriptures it 15 should not be procused And for this reason the restrictive rule is laid down, or (the brethren) should divide only in equal shares 1

(8) It has been declared that sons may part the effects after the death of the mother and father. There the Author states an exception in regard to the mother s (separate) property

Yajnavalkya Verse 117 (3rd quarter) 20

Of the mother's (property) the daughters (shall take) the residue (after the navment) of debte

Mitakshara - (9) Matur the Mother's property, duhitare, the dau there shall divide machchhesbam the residue of debt 1 e the residue 25 (remaining) after the discharge of the debts contracted by the mother Hence, the purpose (of the preceding part) of this text is that when the mother's assets are equal to or less than her debts the sons may (take and) divide them (10) The meaning is *Page 79 this A debt mearred by the mother, must be 30 discharged by the sons only and not by the daughters but the

¹ Apastamba II 6 14 8 2 Apastamba II 6 14 9 3 Apastamba II 6 14 11 4 This is a passage from the Intt right Vida-Balambhatta. There is a mis take in the print of the text for हम क्या ! ब्रुवेच्या read सद पुनस्की असी

⁵ See Vithalree vs Kamran 24 Bom 317 2 Bom L E 154 156

20

ı (°

daughters shall take the residue of her assets after the payment off of her debts. And this is proper. For by the rule1: "A male child "is procreated if the male seed predominate, a female child by the " prevalence of the female," as portions of the (body of the) female (parent) abound in the female children, the Stridhana property goes to the daughters, and as portions of (the body of) the father abound in the male children, the father's estate goes to the sons.

(11) Even there, a special rule has been propounded by fautama, "The stridigna property sees to her daughters unmarried, and "(failing them) to the unprovided". The meaning of this is this: If there be a competition of married and unmarried daughters, to the unmarried alone goes the stridbana; and if among the married daughters there he a competition between the endowed and the unendowed (daughters) at belongs exclusively to such as are unendowed-'Unendowed' means destitute of wealth.

(12) In answer to the question, "in the absence of daughters " who should take the residue of the mother's estate that may remain " after payment of her debte"? the Author adds :

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 117 (last quarter) In their default, the issue (succeed).

Mitakshara:-- (13) Tabbya, in their absence, i. e., of the daughters ric i, e,, in default of daughters, anwayah, the sesse, s. e., the sous and the like others, should take. This, moreover, was already demonstrated by the text: "The sons should divide equally ... after the parents &c. but it is here expressly declared for the sake of greater perspicuity.

¹ Manu, Ch III 42

^{2.} See Balamohatts p. 142

³ Ch XXVIII-22.

^{4.} Cf Yafilatallya H 117. p. 1002 (above)

Vîramitrodaya

Now the Author mentions the partition when the father is dead

Válňavalkya Verse 117

Pitrok of the parents' : c. of the mother and the father, rktham, 5 'assets,' rnam cha, 'debt also,' urdhwam, 'after them, i.e. after the death of the parents, suidh, 'sons, a e, the issue Saman subharegul, 'should divide conally

Matur, ' of the mother,' the wealth, her dulutare, ' daughters ' also, conally with the brothers, if a residue remains over after the discharge 10 of the mother's debts, then they should take. The debts, the sons alone should nav

Dubitrnámite, ' in default of daughters,' i. e , in the absence of dau-

ghters, the daughters sons, should get the share which would have been obtained by their mother So says stanu! "But when the mother has " died all the uterme brothers and the interine sisters shall equally divide "the mother's estate To the daughters of those (daughters), to those "even according to proportion ' "Should divide the property," is what follows In the text of Norada "Of the mother, the daughters, in the " absence of daughters, the right for an equal share is of the maidens only.' That has been stored by Brahasnatt "Stridling shall belong 90 " to the children, the daughter also will be a shater in it, if she be not in " coverture, the married, however, gets just a trifle as a mark of honour." 'To the children, r c, to the sons 'In coverture' r c, married, fast a mark of honour's c resulting as an indication of simply tes pectful regard 'A trifle such as a cloth &c Quutamat "The 25 stridhen; property goes to the daughters unmarried and (fuling them) to the unendowed "'Unendowed' means children, unlucky, and having a poor husband," so says Ratnakara Manu' "Whatever may be the separate property of the mother, that is the share of the immarried daughter' la daken 'separate property,' at the time of marriage, received from the father and others tastetha". " Now the distribution ef disa among brothers. And those women who have no children, for them, until after they bear sons twomen here has connection with (the word) brothers Smularly of a widow about whom there is an 35 expectation for a son a share for a brother a wife should be constituted, 1 Ch 17 1/2 1/3 2 777 87 ** 111.77 E

. 72/11 40 41

4 17 132

30

On a son being born to her, that share shall belong to the son. Upon a certainty of the absence of a son, however, that portion should be taken by the husband's brothers and the like.

After the father, says Rehaspatt': "In his absence, however, the "Marker shall take an equal (share) to that of a sen. The mathers shall take an equal (share) to that of a sen. The mathers with these, and the maidene a faurth part." (Mother a.c., one having sons. 'Mothers' i.c., the step-mothers without sons. These are all entitled to a share equal to a son's. Of these, the sister a.c., the unmatried daughter (of the father's becomes entitled to a fourth share in the father's property. This is the meaning.

Vyasa: "The childless wives of the father, however, have been "declared to be partiakers of an equal share." (117)

Śûtapâņt

The Author mentions partition after the father's death.

Yāļāavalkya, Verse 117

When sons, all are of emissest qualities or of inferior qualifications, then after the death of the mother and the father after making equal divisions of the father's property as also of the debts, should make the distribution

What, moreover, has been said by Menu*viz, "Of the sidest, the a "twentieth (part) shall be the additional share," that has a reference to the youngest when of inferior qualifications. In the case of these with high qualifications, a prohibition for an additional share having been laid by himself viz, "There is no additional where from among (humbers) "complete site in their occupations".

The property of the mother, as may remain after discharging the debt, the daughters should make count distribute a but the . On the state a special rule, "The Skridenus is of the daughters, numarried as "well as the unembreed." Unmarket of *c, although married, the childien, the monogless, has whored, as also the untudy.

3 XXVIII 24.

In the absence of these, the issue, i. e, the sons, sons' cons, and the rest (117)

| Colebrooke Sect. 17 |

[Effects not lable to partition.]

(1) The Author mentions things not liable to partition.

Yainavalkya, Verses 118, 119

Without detriment to the paternal estate whatever else is acquired by

Ä a man himself, as a present from a friend, as also a nuptial present, shall not belong to the co-heirs (118).

Nor shall he, who recovers hereditary property which had been taken away, give it up to (his) co-parceners, nor also what was gained by 10 learning (119).

Mitakshara .- (2) Pitrdravyawirodhena, without detriment to the

estate of the father or the mother, yat swayamarjitam, that which is accounted by a men himself, madram, a present from a friend, 2. c. obtained from a friend, audwähikam, a mipual present, 1. c., 15 obtained at the marriage, tal na bhavet, that shall not belong, dâyâdanâm, to the co-parceners, s. c, the brothers Any property, whatever, which had descended in succession, kramat, from paternal ancestors, briam and, had been taken away by others, and through inability or any other cause, had remained unrecovered by the 20 father and the rest, he among the sons, who recovers it with the consent of the rest, tad dayadebhyo un dadyat shall not one un to (his) co-

parenars, as, to the brothers or the rest, the recoverer alone shall take it (3) Here, if it be land, the recoverer takes the fourth part, but the remainder, however, belongs to all equalty. as says Sankha "If one alone "recovers land (inherited) in regular succession and which had been 25

"formerly lost, having first given but a fourth part, the rest' may

I Including the acquirer lumsoff-Balumbhatti

The rule here stated " was intended to apply strictly to hereditary property of which the members of the family had been violently or wrongfully disposeesed or adversely kept out of possession, for a length of time - "Property unjustly detained which could not be recovered before" is the import of Manu IX 200," Visitation vs Ammunion, 5 Mad H C R 150 ot 157 Sec also West and Bubler on Handa Law, p 71 (Sed Edn.) Accordingly at was hold, in Bajaba ve Trambal, 34 Bom 106, where certain family property was allotted to a member of one brauch of the family in virtue of a compromise and the same was purchased by a member of another branch with his own money not forming part of the joint family property, that the rule stated in the text here did not apply to such a case,

Colebrooke 11v 42° Yazan aliya

' divide the remainder according to their proper shares" (4) In the expression, 'In regular succession' the word 'inherited' is understood (5) Likewise yallabdham what reas gained vidyaya, by learning te, by the study of the Vedas or by teaching or by expounding the meaning of the Vedas, that also he need not give up to his co parceners, but the acquirer himself should alone take

(6) Here, moreover the expression ' without detriment to the 'paternal estate whatever else is acquired by a man himself' must be everywhere understood Without detriment to the paternal estate what was obtained from a friend, without affecting the paternal estate what was obtained as a nuptral present, without expenditure of ance stral property what was recovered of the hereditary property, without use of the paternal wealth what is gained by learning and thus it is to be connected with each member of the sentence in this manner And hence, at the charge of the patrimony, what is obtained from a friend as the return of an obligation conferred, what is received as a nuntial present at a marriage concluded in the Asural form or the hke, and what is recovered of the hereditary estate by the expenditure of paternal wealth and what is gained by learning acquired at the expense of ancestral wealth all that must be shared in by all the brethren and also by the father (7) Moreover,3 from the very fact that the clause "without detriment to the paternal estate is in every

I . e , with all story . e , must be taken by implication to be predicated of each kind of individual acquisition enumerated immediately further on

² At the Asars form of marriage receipt of money by the father or his kinsmen from the bridegroom is the principal feature 3 The reading here adopted is in second with that of the Subolhim (see

Collections Vol II p 50 H 7-10) The commentator says that as these seque sations (or , friendly gifts &c I made at the charge of the patrimony are hable to be shared so anything obtained as a more guit pure and simple and not being included among these acquisitions must be subject to partition though promited without the use of the paternal goods The author of the Bellamilhatis gives another reading res or stor (on taths-)

[&]quot; not thus , and according to this reading donations pure and simple will be ex cluded from the common particle preparty. Even there the Author notices the reading given and adopted in the text here (See Bajembhatti Sk p 144 ll 19-20) कविसकी पाउ

place understood, even what is obtained as a gift,1 without waste of the patrimony, is hable to partition. But, if that were not understood with every member of the text, it (1 e, the text) need not have commenced by specifying "gifts from friends," "nuptial 5 presents" and other similar acquisitions.

* Page 80.

1010

(8) It may be urged that the enumeration of friendly gifts and similar acquisitions is pertinent, as showing that An Objection gains are exempt from partition, even though

10 obtained at the expense of the patrimony. To this the reply is: Were it so, it would be inconsistent with well-

The Answer established usage,2 and also would contradict the

text of Narada3 in regard to gains of science, tra, " He, who maintains "the family of a brother while he was acquiring learning, shall take "a share in the gams of learning, be he ever so ignorant (himself)" 15 Moreover, the definition of wealth, not participatable, as being acquired by learning is propounded by Katyayana thus: "that wealth

1 may (Prati graha)-is a grit pare and simple

, 2 समापात-शिक्षापार " the received practice of uncertage persons " Colebrooke. 3 Ch XIII 10

d विद्यानविगरतन -अधिमध्य is to sequire - a free translation would be - while be was receiving education. The meaning is that such knowledge would not be knowledge acquired exclusively by the sequirer alone, but jointly with the help of a brother who took care of his family And this is a fair role

6 This definition of Matyagana is not exhaustive for Dunga Dut Joshi va Ganesh, 32 All 305 at p 312 and also observations in Ghose's Handa Law, Second Ed. p 520 521 The result of the rollings on this text is-that the fruits of an ordinary elementary education could not be regarded as the gains of seisnes acquired at the expense of ancestral wealth Metharames Resarkand, 20 Born L. R. 568, 45 I A 41

See Paulies Valor Chetty vs Surya Chetty, 1 Med 262 s c 4 I A 100-116 Lachman Kuar vs Dehs Prasad, 20 All 435 approving Lakshman vs Jamaalai

6 Bom 225 and Krishnan vs Wore 15 Bom 32

The detriment to the paternal wealth must be of an appreciable character, the mere fact that some patermonual wealth was used for some time will not convert self-acquisition into a point property Bachcho Kunssar vs Dharma Das, 28 All 347. Gams of proststation were held to be self acquirition. Beelegam vs Sworman.

4 Mail 330 A Vakil's gains were also held to be his self acquisition. Durogestia Gentharidhu ve D Narasamanh, 7 Med. 47. See also Dhamildores Lall ve Gannat Lal 10 Cal 122 and Hagaribabas ve Sudantes, Bom H C F J. (1880) page 126. 'which is gained by means of learning acquired from mother with the "help of maintenance received from stringers is termed acquisition "through learning" (9) Moreover, if the expression "without

"detriment to the paternal estate" be taken as a separate clause, austining obtained by gift would be exempt from purition contrary to established usege (10) This very thing has been made clear by Maant! "What one conpures by his bloom without using the patriumny, he need not give up to the co hears, nor what he has gained

by science " (11) By lakeur—by service, war, or the like
(12) Indeed it is unnecessary to declare, that effects obtained 10
An objection service in the friends, and similar acquisitions made without using the naturancy, are exempt

from partition, since there was no rule directing a partition (of these).
It is a well known rule that what is equired by one belongs to him only
and to no other person. While a prohibition (necessarily) implies a 15
possible supposition of the contrary.

(13) Here a certain writer suggests the existence of a previous supportion than 1. Whatever property the cleast acquires after the 1 father's death a share of that belongs to the younger brothers provided they have duly preserved learning," by interpreting this text, 1. 20 to mean—that 16 the electry oungest, or maddlemost facquire property) whether after the death of the father or when he is not dead? (a share shall accrue) to the rest whether younger or elder' grounds do can't for inferring a supposition that getts from friends and the like are hable to participon, whether the father be alwe or dead, and to this 'is 25

prohibited

(14) The argument is erroneous. Here there is no prohibition
of anything arising by inference, but an explana
tory repetition of what was demonstratively true

¹ Ch IX 206 Lord Soumer has summed up the entire case law in October 54 Hatenchood 48 I A 162 See Act XXX 1999 under which all self context on have been made underweight. The Act has according to 6 2 (b) retrospective effect 2 pff or things any—are though previously known or assumed as established.

³ Of Manu Co IX, 204

^{4 18} the rule which may be set up as aroung from inference or implications 5 % 3787 — An explanatory repetition of or reference to what is already mentioned

for most of the texts in this chapter are merely' repetitions of what is already well known to the world

- (15) Or you may be stissled with considering this as an exception to what is suggested by the text. All the brethren shall be of "equal threes of that which has been acquired by them in concert." And it is therefore a mere error to deduce such a suggestion from the word 'cliest,' and the like in the text' before credit: "What "ever property the eldest segments after the futher's death &c." Therefore, this passage must be interpreted as an exception to the general doctrine, deduced from texts concerning gifts from friends and the rest it that they are except? from partition both before the father a death and after his denue.
 - (16) So, other things exempt from partition have also been enumerated by filans. 'Cookes, a velucie an ornament, cooked food, water and women (property intended for acts which left) this acquisition,' and preservation of property as well as the common way, are declared not 'liable to partition.' (17) The indivisibility attaches only to clothes which are not worn. What is worn by each person belongs exclusively to him. As to what was worn by the father, these should be given by the brethern partitioning after (the death of) the father, to the Br himsan who partitioning after (the obseques As says Brasspath, The clothes ornaments, bed, and

similar articles belonging to the father, as also his vehicle and the
1 নিগতিন্তিৰ সভাৱত্বীৰ—Balamblanta thus explains the two एक — 'The
first excludes the suggestion that it was established by the rule of Sastra and the

second excludes the suggestion of a prohib tion

2 Of Brhaspata Ch XXV 14 - Raboubbatta

3 . . The text of Manu IX 204 cated above at p 1011

4 There is a missible in the print of the Sanskrit on page 80 1 16 Instead of a Guirneter read michaging

5 Ch IX 219

OH YYA SO

⁶ up is the acquisition of cometing not in the possession of the owner (county appeal in the preservation of that which his been sequinted (appeal (pend). As serifices and oil or possessis faither such acquisitions and preservations Coldrecode has transition the expression as seathers and proon so to This term has been explained by Viginanevera hazared further on (cope 1041 10 285)

⁷ Resement Shastaram Balkreshus vs Waines Gopal 47 Bom 389

" like, should be given, after honouring him with fragrant drugs and "flowers to the person who partakes of the funeral repast But new clothes are indeed subject to distribution

(18) A relación e the means of conveyance, such as, horses ht ters and the like Here also, that on which each person rides, belongs exclusively to him. As for the father's (at should be disposed of) similarly as the clothes If the borses and the like be numerous, they must be distributed among co heirs who live by the sale of them. If there cannot be a division on account of the unevenness of the number. they belong to the eldest, Vide the text of Manu? "Let him never 10 "divide a single goat or sheep, or a single beast with uncloven hoofs, a single goat' or sheep it has been prescribed, belongs exclusively to the eldest (19) As to ornaments also, that which was worn by each person is exclusively his. What was not worn, is common and is indeed liable to partition ' Such' ornaments, as are worn by 15 women during the life-time of their husband, the heirs (of the "husband) should not divide among themselves, those who divide become degrated" By specifying particularly 'such ornaments as "are worn" it appears that those which are not worn are liable to a division (20) Gooked food - such as boiled rice sweet cakes and the like - 20 that also is exempted from partition (and) should be consumed according as circumstances allow (21) Water t e a reservoir of water, such as a well and the like And that being uneven," must not be divided by regard to its value, but is to be enjoyed by turns. (22) Women, t e, Diss when uneven, must not be divided 25 by (regard to) the value but should be made to work by turns

Colebrooks Translates them Meaning that the worship with fragrant drugs and flowers as to be offered to the things given and not to the person to whom they are given. It is better that the worship is taken to be offered to the recipient of the things than to the things themselves

² Ch IX 110

³ Kulluka and other commentators of Manu add that not even the price of anch is divisible श्रीहरीय तस्याम ह नगुण्यस्थानस्थन स्मीहाच स्थित शामासाव स्थितन्।

⁴ Manu IX .00

⁵ fiven 'incommensurato' 'indivisible in equal parts' would give an accurate idea

C E o Gorni vs Tambak 36 Bom 273-277

But women kept in concubrage (by the father), such as adulteresses? and others, although even in number, must not be shared by the sous, sade the text of Gaulama" "Nor shall there be a martition of " nomen connected (with the father or other members of the faimly)"

(23) The term Youl shema is a conjunctive com-*Page 81 nound word made of (the two words) Yoga and

K-hema By the word Yoga is signified a cause of obtaining some thing not already obtained - e. a sacrificial act to be performed with fire consecrated according to (the rules of) Srute and Smrti-10 By the term Kihema is denoted an auspicious act which becomes the means of conservation of what has been obtained, such as the giving of alms elsewheres than at the altar, or the making of a tank or a garden and the like Both these, though ancestral, or though accomplished at the charge of the patrimony, are indivisible as Laugakshi

declares; "The learned have named a conservatory act Kylemus, 15 "and a sacrificial one Yega; and both these are pronounced indivisible, "as also the bed and the scate" (24) Some hold, that by the compound term Touckshema, those who effect sucrificial and conservancy acts are intended e a, the king's counsellors, the supendary priests, and the

rest Others say, parasoles, cow-tails weapons, shoes and similar 20 things, are meant (25) The Common way, or road of ingress and egress to and from the house, garden, or the like, is also indivisible (26) As to the exclusion of land from partition as stated by Usanas (in the text) "specificial gains land, written documents, prepared food, water.

²⁵⁴⁸²¹⁻This tistin occurs later on at 11-200 and Vignanusyara explains 1 street.—This listin occurs later on at II-200 and Vigitanevara explains it thus "A femals slave kept in the house and restrained from having intercourse with other men as a maleguard aguinst any breach in the a review' दास्य स्तापिता कुण्यादार्थ-प्रशास गृष्ट पर स्वारम्भियो कुण्यासभीयमा निकदा आगस्या Also bed Mat Handers vs Normadra, I Lock 184, and Res Mayahlas ve Des Negudes, 53 I A 153

² effeunt See Natura Ch XII 49-63 Ch XXVIII. 47

⁴ मोगलम-मोग 18 obtaining comothing not secured (अधानस्य प्रापकास्) and क्षेत्र 19 the preservation of that which has been secured (unity questions) sered. The two kinds of acts which are the means of acquisition and pre-

servation are called 32 and 57 and are thus defined इट-अग्रिहात तर सत्य पेदाना चैत्र शास्त्रम् । आतिथ्य वैश्वदेवश्व इष्टमित्यभिर्धीयते ॥

वर्त-वाकीक्रपतडागादिदेवतायतनानि च । अक्षप्रदानमाराम पूर्वमि प्रसिधीयते ।।

o shift mag " Erecting an onter secred well " would also be another way of translating at and may such the context

^{6 -}man -Colebrooke translates at as " climar"

"and women, are indivisible among kinsmen even to the thousandth "degree," that has a reference to sons of a Brahmana by women of the Kshabrua or other castes, Vide the text 1 "Land obtained by accept " snee of donation, must not be given to the son of a Kehatriva or of " any other wife of an inferior tribe, even if the father give to such " sons, on his death, the son of the Britimus wife may resume it' (27) Sacrificial cains, i.e. acquired by officiating at religious sacrificial performances (28) What is obtained through the father's favour will be subsequently declared exempt from partition The supposit ion, that any thing, acquired by transgressing restrictions regarding the mode of acquisition, is indivisible, his been already refuted (29) It is (thus) settled that whatever is acquired at the charge of the partimony, is subject to partition But in such a case, the acquirer shall have a double share under the text of Vasishihas "And if any "one, among them," has made hamself alone an accountion, he may "take a double portion of 1t,"

Viramittodaya

Now the Author states property which is not liable to partition

Yaiñavalkya Verses 118, 110

Without detriment to a without spending the property of the parents, 20 minutes of the parents, and the property of the parents, and the property of the parents, and the parents of the p

- 1 Of Brhaspata Ch XXV 30-Balamblatta
- 2 साजनत (causal): by causing a secretion to be performed by another
 3 II 123 (1) 4 Sea p 987 II 25 etc 5 Ch XVII 51
- 3 II 123 (1) 4
- 7 In a recent case a special (half) slare was given to a member in view of his amportant services to the family. As thathers vs Availassemes (1938) Mad. 410.
 - 8 Verse 867

"straugers when the learning was nequired elsewhere, wealth obtained on "account of such learning is termed acquisition through learning." Here also, according to prakésa, the basis of indivisibility is the absence of any connection with the paternal estate, that is not proper; because the fault of uselessnesss would arise by the separate mention.

This, moreover, is not liable to partition when (his) family is not maintained by the co-parceners during the period of the acquisition clearumg by him; if ook, it is certainly durisible, who this text of Kātyāyana!: "He, who maintains the family of a brother while he was "acquiring learning, shell take a share in the gains of learning, be he "ever so is mount filmself!".

By the use of the first char is indicated what was obtained respectfully at the time of the Manhaparka (reception) as stated by Manu. By the use of the word cha a second time, however, are added "What!

5 "was given by the grandfather, or by the father out of affection, that "should not be taken away from him, as slot that which may have been "given by the mother", as stated in this text of vy@sn, as also that which the Author will state hereafter. By the use of the word an, fooly, twice, are evalued (both those lands) by regard to their having no connection of with the paternal wealth. By the use of the word an, flowever, the co-constituted any other convention of any other co-parecent in the trecovery is evelyed. (118.119).

Śûlapâŋ).

The Author mentions property not liable to partition Yaffinyafakya, Versc 118

25 Without injury to the paternal cetate, by husbandry and like other means, what has been acquired elsewhere, acquired through friendship, or received at marriage, that is not capable of distribution among the planers (118)

Yajaavatkya, Verse 110

30 Property descended from the father, grand-father &co, and lost by the father through the absence of strength, he who recovers it back, need not give it back to the sharver if he is unwilling. Similarly what is gained through learning he should also not give. Kälyöyana mentione gains of learning: "With maintenance offered by another, when a

game of rearing; With manuemence offered by another, when a 35 "man acquires learning, what is obtained in due course with this, that is "called gains of fearning" (119).

230a valkya Verse 120 (1-2)

(30) The Author propounds an exception to this rule Yâjñavalkya, Verse 120 (1).

But if the Common stock be improved, an equal division is ordained.

Mitakshara:—(II) Among unseparated brethren, sananyarthazya, if the common stock, samuthaze, be improved, i. e., augmented by any one of them through agriculture, commerce, or similar means, an equal distribution nevertheless takes place; and a double share is not allotted to the acquirer.

Yîramitrodays

To this the Author mentions an exception

10

Yâjiiavalkya, Verse 120 (1)

In the money-extrang business carried on by all the brothers together in common, however, such as in the forth of agriculture, trading in merchandlise dee, all have an equal share. By the use of the word to, 'however,' the Author discriminates the indivisibility in the case of acquisitions made without the use of the paternal wealth, 120 and

Calebranks, Sect. V. 1

[Equal rights of father and son in ancestral property.]

(1) The distribution of the paternal estate among sons has been shown. The Author next propounds a special rule concerning the division of the grandfather's effects by grandsons.

Yainavalkya, Verse 120 (2).

Among claimants by different fathers, the allotment of abares shall be by regard to the fathers.

Milikshara:—(2) Although grandsons have by birth a right of ownership in the grandiather's estate equally with sons, still the distribution of the grandiather's property must only be adjusted through their fathers and not with reference to themselves. The meaning here expressed is this: when quasquarated brothers die,

^{1.} officer-Without any discimmation or distinction.

^{2.} figging—i.s., by regard to their father through whom they are connected with the remoter ancestor or with the family generally. gra-meant a door-medium".

"strangers when the learning was acquired elsewhere, wealth obtained on "account of such learning is termed acquisition through learning." Here also, according to Prakasa, the basis of indivisibility is the absence of any connection with the naternal estate, that is not proper; because the 5 fault of usclessnesse would arise by the separate mention.

This, morcover, is not liable to partition when (bis) family is not maintained by the ec-parceners during the period of the acquisition of learning by him; if not, it is certainly divisible, vide this text of Kâtvavana': "He, who maintains the family of a brother while he was " acquiring learning, shall take a share in the gains of learning, be he "ever so ignorant (himself)".

By the use of the first cha is indicated what was obtained respectfully at the time of the Madhuparka (reception) as stated by Manu-By the use of the word cha a second time, however, are added "What 15 " was given by the grandfather, or by the father out of affection, that " should not be taken away from him, as also that which may have been " given by the mother", as stated in this text of Yvasa, as also that which the Author will state hereafter. By the use of the word eva, 'only', twice, are excluded (both those kinds) by regard to their having no connection 20 with the paternal wealth. By the use of the word in 'however', the cooperation of any other co-parcener in the recovery is excluded, (118,119),

Sûlapâni.

The Author mentions properly not liable to partition Yalaavalakya, Verse 118

Without injury to the paternal estate, by huebandry and like other 25 means, what has been acquired elsewhere, acquired through friendship, or . samived at merriage, that is not capable of distribution among the charges (118).

Yajñavalkya, Verse 110

30 Property descended from the father, grand-father &c., and lost by the father through the absence of strength, be who recovers it back, need not give it back to the sharers if he is unwilling. Similarly what is gained through learning he should also not give. Kâtyâyane mentions gains of learning: "With maintenance offered by another, when a

" man acquires learning, what is obtained in due course with this, that is 35 "called gains of learning" [119].

(30) The Author propounds an exception to this rule Vâjňavalkya, Verse 120 (1).

But if the Common stock be improved an equal division is ordained

Miláksharā: - (31) Among unseparated brethren, sâmānvarthasya, if the common stock, samuthane, be marroned, i. e. anomented by any one of them through agriculture, commerce, or similar means, an equal distribution nevertheless takes place; and a double share is not allotted to the acquirer.

Viramitrodaya

To this the Author mentions an exception

10 Yâjñavalkya, Verse 120 (1)

In the money-earning business carried on by all the brothers together in common, however, such as in the form of agriculture, trading in merchandise &c., all have an equal share. By the use of the word

in, ' however,' the Author discriminates the indivisibility in the case of 15 acquisitions made without the use of the paternal wealth, 120 (1),

Colebrooks, Sect. V. 1

[Equal rights of father and son in ancestral property. 1

(1) The distribution of the paternal estate among sons has been shown The Author next propounds a special rule concerning the division of the grandfather's effects by grandsons

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 120 (2).

Among claimants by different fathers, the allotment of shares shall be by regard to the fathers.

Mitakshara:- (2) Although grandsons have by birth a right of 25 ownership in the grandfather's estate equally' with sons, still the distribution of the grandfather's property must only be adjusted? through their fathers and not with reference to themselves. The meaning here expressed is this: when unseparated brothers die-

^{1.} Affireq-Without my discommention or distriction.

^{2.} figgin -i.e., by regard to their father through whom they are connected with the remoker ancester or with the family generally. To means a door mediam".

leavingt male issue and ore has two sons, another has three sons and a third has four sons, and thus the number of sons (of these) is unequal, then the two receive a single share which appertained to their father, the other three also take a single share appertaining to their father, and the (last) four also obtain one share due to their father So, if some of the sons be living and some have died leaving male assue, the same method should be observed, the surviving sons take their own allotments, and the sons of their deceased brothers receive the shares of their own fathers respectively. Such is the 10 adjustment prescribed by the texts (120)

Viramilradaya

Now in the grandfather's property the Author mentions the share of the grandsons through different fathers

Vâlās valkva, Verse 120 (2)

Where one has one son, another has four sons, there two allot-15 ments should be made, and of these one share should be taken by I one who is the only son of his father, while by all the four sons of the other together should be taken the other share. By the use of the word in 'however,' it discriminates the shares for the grandsons by their 96 number 120 (2)

(Alapan)

Yôlôavalkya Verse 120

When, of the common stock, there occurs an increase by means of astriculture trade to merchandese &c, then the distribution shall be equal In such a case the apportanement of an additional share should not be made on the consideration that 'he has brought infmuch more', or the like This is in regard to the unlearned, so says Manu. "If the property belonging to these all of whom are unlearned be acquired by agriculture 1 Lat after proceeding sons

25

s. This is the meaning of the fext when the claimants are removed by more than one degree from the common ancester, the devision shall be by reference to the root of each group, and not by regard to the several undividual claiments themselves In other words the distribution will be per stripes and not per Capita

This text has been referred to in coveral cases, see Ganyu vs Chaudrabhagaler 32 Bom at 284 Asigonala vs Somappa 33 Bom at 681 Dels Pracad vs Thalarial 1 All at p 111 4ppaps vs Pamehondra 16 Born at 38, 34

² Ch TX 205

"in such a case the distribution shall be equal in the property which is not "paternal; this is the rule". 'Agriculture' i.e., tilling the soil. "Not

Yaznavallya Verse 121

"paternal" i. e. in the property other than that acquired by the father.

Anekaptival, i.e., those who are the sons of different brothers of these although they be even or off in number, (still,) when the grand-father's property is being distributed, whatever was the share of their father, that alone would be (their share), and not that the determination of the share be distributely for each, (129).

(3) If the father he alive and separate (from the grandfather), or

An objection if he have no brothers (it may be urged that) the grandstrated, in the grandfather's estate since it has been

directed that if the father be decased "shares shall be allotted in the "right of the father"; or admitting a partition to take place (it may be urged that) it should be made according to the pleasure of the father, 15 like a distribution of his own acquisitions: to obviate this doubt, the Author save

Yājhavalkya, Verse 121.

Land which was acquired by the grandfather, a corrody, and also chatels in these the ownership of the father and also of the son it the same.

Maakshara: (4) Bhuk, land, a rice field or other ground. Nihandhah, corrody, i. c., from each bundle of leaves so many leaves; similarly so

many nuts from an ordiard of arecal-as has been * Page 82. defined (before). Drawyan, chattels, gold, silver &c

(5) Such as was acquired by the paternal grandfather, through acceptance of gifts, or by conquest or other means; tatta pith putraya cha swamyan, in these the one crossing of the father and of the son, is university known," and bearing this in mind

I. शेवाय देताओं हुद्धे शिक्षणे जाला-which Colobrooks translator as : " a passition of the grand-father's estate with the grandson would not take place."

^{2.} Colebrooks translates this as "from a plantation of hells peoper".—But the original does not specify any kind of "leaves." The general word rul (partia) is used 3 squares—The word squares not conjoined to the areas tree alone.

^{&#}x27;'कश्रकश्च प्रभाव प्रश्नशतके राष्ट्रदार्कीण ।कोठ प्रश्नीसकायाच्य पद्भित्रकोषण्या । 1' -कोद्रीकोशा 4. i. e. in com, on Xijlaralkyn Åchâridhyāyn I. 318 p. 580 il. 4-7.

See Telang J: in Appair Narkar vs Ranchandra 16 Bout, 29 (P. B.) at p. 37 and Eurgeant C.J. at pp. 33-34; also Koruppi vs Santara Karayan 22 Mad. at p. 312.

a partition takes place; for, hi, i.e., since, the right is sadriam, the same, i. e. equal (or alike), therefore it is not that partition can be made only by the father's choice; nor is there a double share for the father-(6) Hence also it is ordained by the preceding text, (II. 120) that "The allotment of shares shall be by regard to the fathers", although the right be equal. (7) The text (II. 111) " when the father makes "a partition" relates to property acquired by the father himself. So also the text!: "Two shares let the father keep for himself, when mak-"ing a partition" relates to self-acquisitions. The dependence of sons, as affirmed in the following passage2: "While both parents live, nr "the control remains, even though he' has arrived at old age ". must relate to effects acquired by the father or mother. Similarly the text's "They are not masters, while their parents are living," (8) Thus, while the mother is capable' of bearing more sons, and the father retains his worldly desires, even when the father does not desire partition, a distribution of the grandfather's estate does nevertheless : take place by the will of the son- (9) So likwise, if the unseparated father is making a donation, or a sale, of effects inherited from the grandfather, the grandson has even the right of prohibition. But if the effects were acquired by the father, he has no right of prohibition, as he is dependent on him. On the contrary he must give his consent-(10) Consequently the difference is this: although he has a right by hirth in his father's and in his grandfather's property, still, since in regard to the father's property, he is dependent on his father and since the father has a predominant interest as it was acquired by himself, the son must 95

give his consent to the father's disposal of his own acquired property. On the other hand, as regards the grandfather's estate, however, the

^{1.} Of Narada Ch. XIII. 12. See also Brhaspati XXV. 5.

Author anknown. Mr. Colebrooke in a note in his translation remarks that Bilambhatta assigns it to Manu, but it is not found in the Billambhatti (see Collections, vol. 6, p. 152), nor in Manu.

^{3.} Colebroke translates it as "though they have arrived at old age," meaning the parents; but the reading of the Mithhelmark is जरवाडी सम्बन्ध:—" even though be less arrived at old age "—t. e., the son.

 ^[1] They have not power over it—the paternal estate-i, e., while their parents live " must be referred to the same subject.] Colebrooke Tr.

 ^{6.} सरअस्कायां मातृति—when the nutther has the periods of menstruction.

(right of) ownership of both is without a distinction, and (consequently) the right of profibition also exists! (11) By the text:—"If "the father recover ancestral property not recovered by his combine, he shall not, unless willing, share it with his sons, for in fact it was acquired by him"—while laying down that if the father recovers property, which had been acquired by an ancestor, and taken by a stranger, but not recovered back by the grandfather, he need not himself share it, against his holination, with his sons, just as is the case with his self-acquisitions even Manu shows that the father, however reluctant must divide with his sons, at their pleasure, the effects acquired by the paternal greandfather.

Vitamitrodaya

In regard to the ancestral wealth, on a partition with the father; the determination of the share is not at the pleasure of the father, but for the father a double, and for the sons, there shall be an equal share; as the Author save

"Bhish, 'land', or gold and other kind of property, 'jubandha, 'na corrody,' i.e. something settled on by the King, such as, a cess from a ferryman or the like, itils, whetever was earned by the grandfulner, '1900 in the fatter, of the other, and of both, adding other sams rachyam,' the ownership shall be equal', and not that the partillon shall be by the fatter's often above. This is the meaning.

"Indeed: "In property acquired by the granditables, immorable and leading the service of the factor
These paveges were referred to in the following cases; Deri Persol vs Goments Kore 22 cal 110. Kalpards vs Somagne 33 Boom dr. 651. Stal vs Maddo J.M. at p 307. Mattigen Chetta vs Storigis's Mad. et p. 450.
 Qb. IX. 200.
 Ch. XXV, 3.
 Ch. XXII, 12.

10

śūlapâni Vålinavalkva, Verse 121

Nibandha, 'a corrody', such as in the case of a mine &c, granted by the King and the like as a fixed grant of gold, &c. In regard to these, t. c. 5 the land &c. of the father and of the son, the right of ownership is equal, therefore, the partition shall be at the desire of the son, and the distribution also shall be equal. So Brhaspatit: "In property acquired by the "grandfather, immovable as well as movable, an equal share has been " declared for the father and the son also." (121),

T Colebrooke Sect. VI. 1

[Right of a posthumous son and of one born after partition.]

(1) How shall a share be allotted to a son born subsequently to a partition of the Estate? Anticipating this question the Author replies

Yainavalkya Verse 122 (1)

When the sons &c. have been separated, a son who is (alterwards) 15 horn of a woman of the same Varna (class) shares the distribution.

Mitakshara:--(2) Vibbakteshu, among the sons' being separated, one born afterwards, savarnayam, of a wife equal in class, vibbagahhak, shall share the distribution. What is distributed, is a distribution. The distri-20 bution is of the allotments of the father and mother. He shares that: and so he is a Vilhagabhil (one who is entitled to a share in the distribution). In other words, he obtains, after (the demise of) his parents both their portions. The mother's portion, however, only if there be no daughter, for it is declared that "Daughters share the 25 "residue of their mother's property, after payment of her debts." (3) Sons by a woman of a different tribe however, receive merely

their own proper share, from the father's estate. And as for the mother's property (they get) the whole of it.

(4) The same rule is propounded by Manu: "A son, born after "a division, shall take the parental wealth only". The term parental, 30 nitruam, must here be interpreted as "appertaining to both father "and mother", for it is ordained that: "A son born before (partition), "has no claim on the wealth of his parents; nor one begotten after "it on that of his brother". (5) The meaning (of this text) is this: 1. Ch XXV. 3 2 See Dular Keers vs Desarlanath Messer 32 val. at p. 241.

^{3.} Yajhwalkya H 118 at p 1008 H, 5-6. 4 Ob IX. 216.

^{5.} By Brhaspati Ch. XXV. 18-Bilambhatti p. 154

one, born previously to the distribution of the estate, has no properly in the share allotted to bis lather and mother who are separated, nor is one, born of parents separated, a proprietor of his brother's allotment (6) Thus, whatever has been sequired by the father in the period subsequent to partition, belongs entirely to the son born after separation. For it is so ordained: "All the wealth which is "acquired by the father limself, who has made a partition with his "sons, goes to the son begotten by him after the partition; those, however, who re-united themselves with the father after partition, the son born after partition should whate with these the goods of the father after his death, as directed by bland" "Or if there are any who "are re-united with him, he shall share with these "192 (1)

PAGE 83

(8) When the sons have made a partition subsequently to .
the death of the father, how shall a share be allotted to one born 15
afterwards? Anticipating this question the Author says

Yājñavalkya Verse 122(2)

His allotment must be made only 5 out of the visible estate corrected for income and expenditure.

Milikshur2:—(9) A share alloted for one who is born after a separation of the brethren, which took place subsequently to the death of the father, at a time when the mother's pregnancy was not manifest, is tadviblized, his allotment.

(It may be asked) but whence shall it be taken? (So) the Author replies: drivial, out of the visible estate, taken by the brethren. Of what sort? Aya-vyaya-visodhitat, corrected for income and expenditure. Aya,

- i. e., from their elder children Bilambhatti.
 By Brhaspati Ch. XXV. 19—Bilambhatti.
- By Brhatpati Ch. MAY. 15—Italiannastic.
 Kalganda vs Somappa 33 Bom at 684; Krishna vs. Somi 9 Mail. 71.
- 4. Ob. IX 216.
- Colcinecto translates "His illatement must absolutely to made &c," and discontine cephalin it by a reference to Substitut, Substitut, Deveror, only states that the allument absolute he made any from the crisis's β. (ξerity algors give). Permising this by cephaning we as being used in a restriction seem (greened to mightened)—and substitutionally, page 5.11. 31.

6. His allotment-offeners, c, the share of such a one born after partition,

income, is that which is produced daily, monthly, or annually. Vyaya expenditure, is the Equidation of debts contracted by the father. Out of the amount of property, which has been corrected for such income and expenditure, a share should be taken and given as "his allocament".

- (10) The meaning here expressed is this: Including in the several! shares the income thence arisen, and subtracting the father's debts, a small portion should be taken from the remainder of each of the shares respectively, and an allotmost equal to their own portions, should thus) be formed for the son born after partition.
- 10 (11) This must be understood to be likewise applicable to a neiphew who is born, after reparation, of a brother who was childless at the time of partition, when the pregnancy of his widow was not manifest. (12) But if the pregnancy be manifest the distribution should be made after awaiting (her) delivery. As says Vasighlat "Now "Yollow the rules regarding the partition of heritings among brethrand:

 "And (lot it be delayed) until those widows who are childless, (but are "pregnant; bear sons." This text should be interpreted thust "Until "the delivery of those widows who are pregnant." 122 (2).

ী মানিশ্বিক মালা i e., in each distributive share. মানিশিক is the unit or basic quantity of a share.

2 Ralambhaff notices another reading (see p. 1551. 4), ris., " ATTRE "
which connects it with the wife of the brother—there would, however, be no
difference in meaning.

3 Cb. XVII 40—41.

⁴ Mr. Coldwooks adds the following such as this passage; "The most natural construction of the original test is of 1911 in 1912 in 191

Viramitrodova

Now the Author states the share of a son born after partition

Vibhakteshu 'alter partition', by the sons, thereafter, sawarakyam pathydm filtah ando, 'a son born of a wife of the same warnd; subhakea '5 bhith,' shares the distribution'; i.e. from the property distributed in the partition among all the brothers, evoluting the partition added by accretion and also what was spent, in the remaining property he becomes entitled to a share as may be property due (to kim.)

If, however, the son boundter partition be devoid of any qualifiestion, then driyamdirid, 'only out of the visible estate', such as the cow, the buffale &c. dynaysya visibatiof, 'corrected for mome and expenditure', of him 1.c. the one born after partition, wibbigai spil, 'shall the allotment be.'

If it be argued that under the text of Nārada!" when the mother in a re-text of meast case at one mass case and the sisters have been married", when there is a probability for (the appearance of) a brother, a partition having been prohibited in terms, how can it be possible for a son to be born after partition? To that the answer is, the desire of the father proponderating, the text of Nāceda is set andee, otherwise facer would be the fault? of the text under consideration being with no object (for its application). This text under consideration is in regard to one who at the time of the partition on was in the womb.

In regard to one born of the momb which had conceived after the partition, Manu's says: "A soh, born after a drission, shall take the "wealth of the father only; or those who become re-unled with bins, be "new bare a distribution along with them." The meaning of the word wid, for, is that after the death of the father, be shall take the father's street from those who had re-united with the father.

Brhaspart: "In the case of those of the sterine brothers who have "made a partition with the father, or those who are welt provided—such as "for those who are the branch as the state". Smithally,—"Whitever has been acquired by the father after he land separated from tile soons, all that belongs to the son born after the partition; those born "before have been declared to be not entitled. As in the case of "(inberhed) property, so also as to debths as also in regard to doubted, "pledges and sales, they are each not entitled, excepting as to the "executial rices and watery oblishous." (122).

^{1.} Ch. XIII. 12. 2 Affrente 1 3. Ch. IX. 216. 4, Ch. XXV, 17; 10:20 .

Śûlapâni

Yaliiavalkva, Verse 122

If the conception in the womb was not known at the time of the partition, but afterwards a child was born of a wife of the same caste, be shall take a share from all those who partook of a share, as says Vishout "Sons who have separated from their father, should give a share "to one (who is) horn after the partition "

As regards the conception after partition, however, Manu² says: " A " son, born after a division, shall take the father's wealth only; or those " who had become re-united with bim, be should share along with these." 10

Drsuddwets, 'or from the visible' &c., s. c. what was not evallable at the time of the partition, that after being corrected for income and expenditure, whatever is found as the residue from such property, he gets as his own chare, (122).

(13) It has been stated that a son born after partition takes the 15 whole of his father's goods as well as of his mother's But, here, if the senarated father or mother affectionately bestow ornaments or other present on a separated son, then in such a case the gift should not be resisted by the son born after partition, nor, even, if actually given must it be resumed. So the author says

Yâinavalkya, Verse 123 (1).

The wealth which had been given by the parents to one, belongs to him

Mitakshara: -- (14) By the mother and the father, being separated (from their children), to a son separated before, what is given, such as 25 an ormanent &c., belongs exclusively to him; and does not become the property of the son born after partition (15) By a parity of reasoning, what was given to any one, even before partition, appertains solely to him. (16) So when there is no son born after partition, and the brethren divide the effects of the separated parents after their 30 death, what had been given to each of them, belongs severally to each and is shared by none other. This must be understood,

1. Ch. XVII. 2.

Galebrooke Sect, VII.

I Shares allotted to provide for widows and for the cuptials of unmarried daughters. The initiation of the uninitiated brothers defrayed out of the point funds 1

(1) When a distribution is made during the life of the father, the participation of his wives, equally with his sous, has been directed by the text!: "If he make the allotments equal, &c." With a view to point out an equal participation of the mother even when the partition takes place after the death of the father, the Author says

Yainavalkya, Verse 123 (2).

Of heirs dividing after (the death of) the father, let the mother also take an equal share.

Mitiskharā:—(2) Vishajatām, of hers dwadan, pilurērdhwam, after the fether, :e., after the death of the father, mālā apē baral, let the mother also take, anism, a share, samm, equal, to that of her son; provided no strāhana has been given. But if any had been given she is entitled to half a share, as the Author will mention later on.

1 See Mijfarakara II 116 (Sk o 71 10 --10, Eng rt. p out II.14-15)

See Dular Keer's a Dearkeanth Maser 32 Cal 241 and Bett Remor re
Jank Kenner at 33 All 118, where at p 121 the Court choevers "This (i e, this
presses in the text allowing a stare to the mother) in our ophilon implies an
actual division of the femily properly, that is, a completed partition ander which
there is a division of interest as well as segarate passession. We do not think
that many severates of interest where no actual devision of the property takes
place confers on the mother a right to schare equal to that of her son, " See also
Standard via Vallages 40 Sem 3. It 1920 at p 10.

And in She Norum vs Justs Pershol 34 All 545, the came court observes at p 100 offer referring to that text, "It is thus manufest that Yighwallyn and the anise of the McGird-winnes admission between pershipse during the hill-time of the father and partition after his domine. In the former came a stars is albeited to the wife of the father, in the bidde, obtained with some message partition." So also Pershipsed at Distances of I A 32 May we should \$2 Dismals 5.

3 For a discussion is 60 the persons underside by the word mother, so Maria vs. Chanamard 8 Mail 118 at 9 121 & 6. Hashen 3th vs. Brauppe 34 Born. L. R. 1325. A step mother, Har Mornin vs. Balanthine Raid 83 Mil 85, and word when the father as braup Parting Single vs. Balay Single 32 Mil 806 (T. R.), a great mother, Kenden 61 at 65 Gard 43 Hi 125, just centure, so Jenovice vs. I fundle 51 Hem 411, and in Holomous vs. Dreamas 48 Bonn 460, a stop-great mother, were ball to be cattled to a chatter.

4 Xiginathyp. 11. 118.

5

Viramitrodaya In the clause as "also what was obtained by learning", with a view to point out the additional property implied in the word cha. 'as also, the Author states that one separated cannot obtain property 5 from a brother to whom it has come as an affectionate gift

Vålfiavalkya, Verse 123 Pitrblyam, 'by the parents', this (expression) is indicative of the

paternal grandfather also. At a partition after the death of the futher, not only that the brothers are entitled to a share, but their mothers also, and also the 10 step-mothers-the co-wives (of the father), so the Author says. Pituli,

'of the father,' ardimam, 'after' i.e. after the death. By the word api, 'also', are included the step-mothers (123).

> Śûlapâni Válřiavalkva, Verse 123

By the mether and the father whatever has been given to their son, daughter, and the rest, such as an ornament &c. that belongs to him alone oven after the death of the father; that should not be distributed.

After the death of the father, when the sons make a partition, the mather also shall take a share equal to that of the son. So following the 20 rule as to a souless man, says Brhaspati': "In his absence, bowever, the mother gets a share equal to those of the sons ". Yyosa states a special rule: "The seniess wives of the father have been declared to be entitled to an equal share, as also the grandmother; all these have been declared 25

"to be equal to the mother. "(123).

(3) If any of the brethren be unimitiated? when the father dies. (it may be asked) who is competent to complete2 their initiation? So the author says

Yajnavalkya, Verse 124 (1)

The unmitiated (brothers), however, should be initiated by those 30 brothers who have been initiated before.

Mitakshara :- (4) Bhratshbib, by the breiliren, who make a portition after the death of the father, asanskrish, the unimitiated, brothers sanskaryah, should be initiated, at the charge of the common estate.

1. Cb XXV. 64.

the ceremonies of these h

^{2.} As to what is the meaning of initiation in the care of males and females see-Sendralm'vs Shir Narsyen 32 Bom B!, at pages 86, 87-90 referring to and dis arring the case in 27 Mad, 200. See Sublayon vs Annia Ramayya 63 Mad, 84, where expenses for a daughter's marriage were allowed in a sull between a father and sons. But marriage expenses of a male member cannol be allowed. See General vs Sensoros 50 Bonn, L. R. 456. 3 th Mesers To additional? Tr. " who has the authority" (to perform

Cotebrooke I VII 57

5

10

(5) In regard to unmarried sisters, the Author states a special rule

Yajnavalkya Verse 124 (2)

And the sisters also, but by giving them, as an allotment, the lourth part of his own share

Mitakshara '—(6) The meaning of the above passage (is this) Bhagunyascha, and the natura also, who are not (already) married must be disposed of in marriage by the brethren By doing what? By contributing a fourth part of their own allotments

* Page 84

Thus it appears, that daughters also participate after the death of their father. Here in everying "from his own shere" the meaning is not that a fourth part shall be deducted out of the portions allotted to each brother and shall be so contributed, but that the daughter of (a wife of) a particular caste shall be absorpable to a son of the same cute as berself. The same expressed as this if (e.g) the made in be the daughter of a Brillmanh, a fourth share becomes hers of so much as in (likely to be) the amount of an allotment for a son by a Brillmanh wife.

(f) Thus, for example, it a certain person had one when, only a 20 Brithman, and one son and one despiter, then in each a step, the whole pretent esterts should be divided into two pasts and one such part be subshiveded into four, and the quarter share being given to the girl, the rendue shall be taken by the son. When, however, there are two sons and one daughter, the whole of the piternal estate should be divided 25

(1) Applying this text, emeng others, the Oxicotta High Coast hold in Charaman Salava Cop, Salas of Ox 11 that it has comptons to a lined widow governed by the Mitakubar I sow to make a wind guite of a reasonable position of the immovable property of her invisual to ber daughter at the occasion of the displayers greate a secretory of which the macrosp of the daughter would be completed and consumented) and that such a guit was banding on the rever flowary heart of the absurd?

Recently the Bombay High Coart held in the Fall Brench Case of 1 younderpays Placebase 37 Bom 251 at page 271 has that sate do not puttly the well-turns of immovable property by an adopting wallow in fevers of her daughter attaining majority and accented to by the natural failure if the adopting to the fine of depicts.

2 preparing country of the property of the p

into three parts, and one such part be sub-divided into four, and the quarter having been given to the daughter, the remainder shall he shared by the two sous. If, however, there he one son and two daughters, the father's property should be divided into thirds, and one (of these) shares be severally sub-divided into quarters, and having given two (quarter) shares to the two daughters, the son shall take the entire residue. Thus should be applied the rule in the case of brothers and sitters of a the cutte variether of an even or never number.

sisters of a like caste whether of an even or uneven unmber is sisters of a like caste whether of an even or uneven unmber (8) When, however, there is one son of a Brâhmarî wife, and can should be a few first in the part and a state a should be

1030

10 one daughter of a K-hatriyà wife, then the paternul estate should be divided into seven parts, and the parts which would be assignable to the son of a K-hatriyà wife should be divided into four parts, and having given such a fourth part to the daughter of a K-hatriyà wife the residue, the son of the Brillman's shall take Or, if there he two

15 sons of a Brilinean vife, and one daughter by a Kelairigit wife, the father's estate shall be divided into eleven parts, and from these, the three parts which would be assignable to a son by a Kelairigit wife should be divided into quarters, and having given such fourth part to the daughter of the Kelairigit wife, the entire residue, the

20 two sons of the Brithmani wite shall equally divide and take. Thus the mode of distribution should be inferred in all cases of even or uneven number of brothers and sisters of different castes

mode of distribution should be intered in all cases of even or uneven number of brothers and sisters of different castes (9) Nor is it right to interpret the text: "by giving the fourth" "part of his own share &c." as signifying giving money, sufficient

for her marrisge, by considering the word 'fourth' is laving no special significance, as this would contradict the text of Manu'. "To "the Maiden sisters, let the brothers give (portions) out of their own "allottenst respectively, each out of his own share a fourth part; "those who refuse to give, shall become degraded." (10) The sense-for

"those who reture to give, shall become degraded." (10) The sense of 30 this parage is as follows: Brothers of the Bulhmana and other tribes should give to their sisters of the Bulhmana and other tribes respectively portions out of their own allotments as prescribed (for them)

¹ Mr Cohbrocks has "and two shares severally sub-divided into quarters".

At, however, the quantity of the distributive share allotted comes to be the same sayest here, this variation in the reading does not trake any difference.

² of rever-time is saying meaning, intention, jurious—It always eigoifee—a particular purpose. Thus is capitaled in Sanski by the significant word 175 "power or force." 3 Ch. IX—118.

having regard to their tribe—i.e, under the text! to be mentioned subsequently eir. "a Bridymana should take four shares" &c., and should give to each a quarter out of their respective allotments. And its not meant that a quarter should be given by deducting it from one's own share; but that to each maiden should be given severally 5 the quarter of a share ordained for (a son of) that particular class. The mode of adjusting the division when the castes are dissimilar, as also when the number is uneven, has already been stated. And the allotment of such a share appears to be indispensably requisite, since the refusal of it is pronounced to be a sin in the text! "Those who It "refuse to rive shall become decraided."

(II) If it be alleged, that here also, the mention of a quarter has no special significance, and the allotment of property safficient to defray the expenses of the nupdals is

all that is meant to be expressed; the answer is, oo; there is no support
The answer for the assertion that the allotment of a quarter
of a share has no special significance in both the
Smrts; and, moreover, the withholding of it is pronounced to be a sin.

(12) As for what is objected by some, "that a sister who has
"many brothers would be greatly enriched if

Another objection
answer

"(it be understood that) the (text prescribing an)
"allotment of a fourth share were positively meant,
"and that a brother baving many siters would be entirely deprived of
"multi-" under condition."

"mealth," such a conclusion already stands obviated by what has been said before. It is not here directed that a quarter shall be deducted out of the brother's own share and given to his setten, whence any such consequence should arise. (12) Hence, the interpretation of Medhitithi, Anshāya as well as of other writers is square and ascorate, and not that of Bhrotheria. (14) 'Threstore, after the death of the father, a madea is also entitled to a share. But if it be before, she obtains that only, whatever it be, which her father gives, some there is no special precept respecting this care. Thus all is unexceptionable.

¹ Yájfavalkya II 125 p 1033 2 Of Xi Manaille 3 te in text of Yájnavalkya & Mana

⁴ Here there is a mistake in the print at p 84 1 27 for apendic random collebrooks translates apply as "who has no compact" The Commentator of that name, however, is well known

Viramitrodava

In regard to a partition after the death of the father, the Author states another special rule

Yâliînvalkya, Verse 124

5 The brothers for whom the sacraments of initiation, marriage &c have not been performed, should have the sucraments performed

by the brothers on whom the rites have been performed.

Bhaginyatcha, 'the sisters also', might, 'of one's one', distat' from
the share, of the son in accordance to his share, datad,' by giring', i
thate, sinathrya', should have the sacrument performed on them.' By
the first use of the word in, 'however', is evaluated any limitation as to
the quantity of wealth for a ceremony, and by its use the second time,
the evaluated it is absence.

If the fourth of a share is not sufficient for the performance of the 15 marriage ceremony of a sister, whatever is accessive for the marriage, so much wealth should be contributed by ell in proportion to the property, "Of the unmarried damsels, they should perform the "ceremony (cfmarriage) according to the wealth," vide this text of \(\) thinu.\(\) which has been included by the Auther (124).

Sûlapâni

Yülfünvalkyn, Verse 124

Physicians I state the frothers of whom the sacraments had been performed, "minuralization," after the (death of the) father, from the marental weelth also "the unmutated" exempted horizon school have performed for teem the rise such as the "parkerma and the rest

Ehogengen, 'The sates also,' by gaving a fourth part from one's own (share of the) property, with (the use of) that wealth itself, should have the ceremony of merrage performed for them Brhaspart' (in the text). "Their mothers shall get an equal share, and the daughters, the fourth of a share of the naternal estate, that also

30 "a share", has stated a fourth of a share of the p has been stated as for the purpose of a ceremony

When however, a marriage we not possible with a fourth of a share Orvats says "To the denghters sleep should be given wealth for their "marriage, of a sool less man, however, the daughter born according to 50 "tho live of the Aryan, shall take the wealth like a son" 'According to once your wealth', so says 'vishens' "Of the numerared daughters the "ceremony should be performed according to the (magnitude of the) wealth' (134)

20

95

[Colebrooke Sect. VIII. 1 [Shares of sons belonging to different tribes,]

(1) In this manner by the text " If the father make a distri-

bution &c." the mode of adjustment of a distribution among brothers of equal caste, whether made with each other or with their father, has been pronounced. The Author now describes the (mode of) partition among brethren dissimilar in class

yâjñavalkya, Verse 125, & Page 85

The sons of a Brithmana (in the several tribes or varnas) have four 10 shares, or three, or two, or one respectively according to the tribe (or ranas); the children of a Kehatruat have three portions, or two, or one, and those of a Tailyas take two parts or one.4

Mitakshara: (2) Under the text " Three (wives) respectively according to the tribe of each &c" it has been pointed out that a Britimang 15 may have four wives, a Khatraya three, a Vasya two, and a S'adra one. In such cases, (the expression) Brahmanatmajah, the sons of a Brahmana, means the sons begotten by a Brahmana,

(3) Varnaish, according to the tribes or varnas of each. By the word curva are indicated women of the different classes such as the 20 Brûhmana, and others.

The termination S'as (47), subjoined to a noun in the singular number and locatives (or other) case bears a distributive sense conformably with the grammatical rules viz-

"The affix sas (me) comes optionally after crude forms denoting numbers and words denoting units of a cera in the engular number, when a distributive zense is to be expressed and the word is a haraka's

1 Of Yajdayalkya II 114 p 994 before 2 se under similar circumstances

3 This yerse is the further development of the law as to informarriage as laid down in verse 57 of the Achdridhysya. Mr. Mandisk in a note to this postage observes "Maringes with women of a dissimilar class have been prohibited in this Acts age" and refers to Nirrayasundu HT catang a text of Narada "हिमालासस्याज्य कश्वास्त्रप्रस्था" Tr "so also the marriage at a deeps with a maiden of a dissimilar class." He observes in conclusion - "the text of Yajhvelkya has therefore no 4 Acharadhyaya, vorse 57 p 168 above application now "

5 अधिकलाकार - Kiraka (कारड) to the relation subsisting between a noun and a vorb in a sentence, or between a noun and other words governing at There are six such Kandar belonging to the first coven cases excepting the genetive, etc., (1) 47 (2) कर्मन् (3) करण (4) सबकात, (5) अवहरूप mad (6) अधिकरण (for the locative)

6 - "सम्बोतन बना विशासन् " - Panen 6-4-43 सहन - words denoting numbers

- that by which the sense of unit as expressed

And hence, sons begotten by a Brûkmana (on women) in the several tribes, shill respectively hate four shares, or three, on two, or one, chalustrowychaldagin sysh, 10 they shall be entitled to such shares

(4) The resuming here expressed is this. The sons begotten by a Brithmena on a Bulmani talk four shares apiece', similarly those begotten by him on a K-thatray' receive three shares each, on a Vanya', two each, and on a S'abrit one each

(5) Kishtasjih, the children of a Khatriya, re begotten by a Khatriya on women of the several tribs—for that is here understood,—
10 tridwychabigh pathikramam, has the eshares, hoe, and one respectively, in the order of their tribs re the sone begotten by a Khatriya upon a Khatriya the three shares each, upon a Kraya two cach, and upon a Saided one caol. (6) Viduh, these of a Vassya, re begotten by a Variya—
15 there again the expression Varianach (respectively in the order of their tribes) is understood—have, respectively, two chireces or one in the order of their tribes: re those begotten by a Vassya upon a Vassya, take two shares aspiece, and upon a Kindi, one cub. (7) Sance for a Saidra one wife only allowed to lam, be cannot have sons of a different one and the said of the lamb of the said of the said of the said one wife only a laword to lam, be cannot have sons of a different one wife only as allowed to lam, be cannot have sons of a different one and the said of the sa

(8) Although, the expression "sirill have four shares, or three, "or two, or one" has been need without any restriction, still, it must be understood to relate to (moperty) other than land obtained by the acceptance of a grit. For it is declared. "Land obtained by "acceptance of donaton, must not be given to the son of a Kidatryst to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to "them, the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given to the son of a Brillmann may resume it when his father given the son of a Brillmann may resume the son of a Bril

¹ The meaning here expressed may be thus illustrated Emprose a Bridmann dies leaving behind him feet some born of wives of each class respectively. Then his estate should be divided into 10 sharm out of which

⁴ should be given to the son by the Brahmani wife

^{3 , , ,} Kehatriya.

^{1 &}quot; " s " Sodra " and so en

² Mann 111 13 The text is with man signs - A Suden woman only must be the wife of a Suden man?

³ By Behaspate, Ch XXV 30 Balennihatta

(9) Since 'acceptance of donation' is here expressly stated, land obtained by purchase or similar means appertains also to the sons born of a Kehatriyh or other inferior women. For the son by a Sadra woman is specially probibited (in the text): "The son begotten on "a S'adr'd woman by suy man of a twice-born class, is not entitled to \$ "a share of land." (10) Now, it land acquired by purchase and similar means did not belong to the sons of a Kshatriya or Vaisya wife, the special exception of a son by a Salara would not be nertinent (11) As for the text3: "The son of a Brahmana, Kşhalriya, " or Vaisya, by a S'wird wife is not entitled to a share in the inherit-

" ance; whatever his father may give him, let that be his property "that too relates to the case where something, however inconsiderable, has been given by the father, in his life-time, to his son by a Sadra woman. When, however, no affectionate gift has been bestowed (on him by his futher), he participates for a single share. Thus there is 15 nothing contradictory.

Viramitrodaya

Now the Author mentions the shares of the brothers of different classes

Yalfavatkys, Verse 125

Of a Brâhmana the four sons born respectively of his four wives viz. a Brahmeni and the rest chalustridwyekabhagalhajo, become entitled to four, three, two and one share (respectively)'. Of a Kahatriya the three sons born of his three wives viz. the Kshatriya and the rest, respectively are entitled to three, two, and one share. Of a Vaisya, however, the sons born of a Vallya and a Sadra wife are entitled to two and one 95 share respectively; this is the meaning.

This, moreover, is in regard to property other than land received by the acceptance of a donation, vale the tens: "Land obtained by accept-"ance of a gift must never be given to the son of a Kshahriya, or other "(wife); even though their father may have given it to them, the son "of a Brahmani may resume it after the death of the father."

^{1,} sifey—is a prohibition and not a more exception by emission

^{1.} story—is a promibition and not a more exception by emission
2. This also is a text of Belaspul Ch XXV, 32-see Bilambhatti p. 180 1.20 S Of Mana IX. 155. & Dâyabhāga Ch 9, p. 22

Colebrooke adds in bracket (of the movables) 5. Of Brhaspati XXV. 36

As to the son of a Siddia wife, what has been stated in the rule as to one share for him, that applies in the case where he does not get what was given to him through affection by the father while living. Otherwise however, "The son of a Brahmana, Kahatriya, or Vassya by a Sudra " wile is not entitled to a share in the inheritance; whatever his father " may give him, let that be his property." According to this text,1 it

should be understood that he is entitled to a share (125).

1036

. .

Sûlaoûni

Vâjčiavalkya, Verse 125

The sons procreated by a Brahmana, upon his four wives such as a 10 Brahmani and the rest, shall take four, three, two and one shares respectively from the property after dividing it into ten parts. Those born to a Kabatriya upon his three wives, such as the Kabatrust and the rest, shall take three, two, and one respectively. This has an application 15 in regard to the married wives (125)

I Colebrooks Sect. IX. 1

I Distribution of effects discovered after partition, 1

(1) Something is here added respecting the residue after a general distribution of the estate. The Author directs the distribution 20 of property withheld by fraud of brothers &c.

Yâiñavalkva, Verse 126.

Effects which have been withheld by one co-beir from another, and which are discovered after the separation, let them again divide in equal shares : this is a settled rule.

(2) Milákshará: - Effects, drawyam, ie the common property such 95 as had been withheld by co-parceners from each other, and was also not known at the time of the general distribution of the estate, and vibbakte yaddriyate, such as have been discovered after the partition, of the patrimony, tattamaih amsaih vibbajeran, let them divide that in equal 30 shares, it shills, this thus is the settled rule, i. c. the rule of the law.

. ... (3) Here, by saying 'in equal shares', a partition with deductions has been forbidden. By saying 'let them divide,' it has been pointed out that the property is not to be taken exclusively alone by 1 Manu IX, 155.

the person by whom it was discovered. (4) Thus, since the text is thus significant, it does not imply that no offence is committed by embezzing the common property.

(5) But (it is arged) Mane has inducated an offence only in the
An elucation elect, if he appropriate to himself the common
property, and not so on the part of the younger
brothers? Vide the text! "An eldest brother who from avarace
"shall defrand his younger brothers, shall no longer be honoured as the
"eldest shall be deprived of his additional share, and be punished
by the kins."

(6) (To this) The answer is, it is not so For by prononocing such conduct criminal in the case (even) of an elder brother, who is admittedly independent and is in a quasi-parental position, it is more assurably shown—under the rule in the maxim? of the 15 and the staff—to be (much more) criminal in the younger

loaf and the staff—to be (much more) orininal in the younger brothers, who are subject to the centrol of the eldest, and are little in tatelage as some and moverour, such copduct has been delared to be an offence without exception in the Snaff: "Imm, indeed, who "deprives an heir of the right share, he does certainly destroy; or, if 20 "he destroy not him, he destroys has son, or clae has grandson." (7) He who deprives an heir s. a person entitled to a share s debars or evaluates him from a share s. e. does not weld to him its uruser.

1 Chapter IX 313, read in the text "altique"

² sprengerron, as the incurred the loss and the step" or the state and the other. So notes on page 36 one; Sankin—If a number of enter are attached to a step of state and the state actual of the other actua

now with this perties of the Sonn searches which deals with the animal securior. The pessage occurs in a discussion whether the Biblionas should have a proteon at this secretion, one comparing with they should not be mentioned and man there a charp, and the other and searching that they should be remitteded and man there a charp, and the other and searching that they should be remitteded and size a recome in support of it is the text in the pessage which is a solution come if the interval of its indicate that the pessage which is a solution or in the generations following (See Assauldstram Senses No 22 Part I page 172. The discussion begins 5° p 176, see also Signará Commentary)

allotment, such a one who is thus debarred of his share, destroys or annihilates: e. renders a criminal that person who so debars him of his right; or, if he do not immediately destroy him, he destroys his son or his grandson. (3) It is (thus) pronounced to be criminal in any person whose any distinction as to the eldest (or youngest &c) to withhold common property.

(9) If it is argued that blame is not incurred by one who takes
the goods, thinking them (to be) his own, under the
notion that the common property also becomes his
property and appertains also to him (individually). (10) The answer is,
that is wrong. For, though he took it thinking it (to be) his owns still
have the ten taken the property of another person, con-

The answer. be has taken the property of another person, contrary to the injunction which forbids his so doings

and thus he certainly does incur blame. (11) As', in answer to a
15 proposed solution of a difficulty, "If an oblation of green kidney
"beans be not procurable," and black kidney beans be used in their
stead by reason of the resemblance, the prohibition contained in the
rule use. "black kidney beans are not fit to be used in sacrifices' does
for apply, since they were used by unistake for ground particles of
20 green kidney beans, it is on the contrary maintained, as the right
opinion, that, "while the ground particles of green kidney beans be
"taken, the ground particles of black kidney beans are also actually
"employed as being unforbidden and the prohibitory command is
"cousequently applicable in this case (by inference)." (12) Therefore
it is existabled, but from the letter of the law and first hard and in the probability of the second of the law and first hard and the probability of the second of the law and first hard and the probability.

25 it is established, both from the letter of the law and from reasoning, that an offence is committed by taking common property.

In the organizate of the objecter and the reply to it is sufficiently apparent
from the text shold. According to the fixed rules of interpretation eigenvectorablence
as the a part is revere of the thing itself And the neuraling lates is that any (the
black blancy brancy having been probabled; generally, the probabilistic extends to
these segen the—which as part of the Margha Intell of ultraville, although mixed
with other sorts, have to be avoided, and for this region they must not be used as a
mathitute for the green ways. (See also Bilganhalling). 19 18 & Blackfilding, 19 6 by

20

95

Viramitrodays

Thus even when a partition has taken place of the common stock everywhere, if any property has been taken away by any one, in regard to that property no special right accrues to him individually, as a distribution of that portion has not taken place; and so a fresh partition must be made of that property, so the Author says

Válňavalkya, Verse 126

Samath, ' in equal ', i. e , equal to the share in the partition which had taken place before, The rest is clear, (126)

Sülsoant

10 The Author mentions about a Japsed share

Yalifavalkya, Verse 126

What was kept concealed at the time of the partition, but was

discovered afterwards, that should be made (into) equal (parts) and distributed. The meaning is that an additional share &c should not be given to the eldest. This also holds in the case of a debt, so save Manu' "If after all the dobte and assets have been duly distributed "according to the rule, any property be discovered afterwards, one must "divide all that equally " (126)

I Colebrooke Sect X 1

[Rights of the Dwyamushyayana or son of two fathers]

(I) Intending to propound a special allotment for the Dwydmuchydyana (or son of two fathers), the Author describes the nature of that relation:--

Yâmayalkya, Verse 127.

By one who has no male usue, a son begotten on the soil of another man, under a legal appointment to both also, is such a one lawfully heir, and giver of funeral oblaturus-

1 Ch ix 218

[&]quot;As here described, the Dwylmuthylyana is restricted to one description of son us the Kyletrajo or "son begoiten on the wife", but the town is applicable to any adopted son retaining his fibra relation to his natural father along with his acquired relation to his adoptive father" Colebrooke See also Dassica er. Lingungards 19 Rom 428 at pp 464 and 473 (a case of Linguyats-based on custom), & Mayne's Hindu Lity p 173

Mitakshara -(2) Under the rule of the law contained in the text!, To a sonless widow, one commanded by the Giru &c., apotrena by or endo has no rais tame a e by the husband a brother or the like parakshelre on the soil of another is on the wife of another myogotp addah son begotten under a legal appointment from venerable persons ubhavoh to hoth a e the owner of the seed as well as that of the soil he is rikthi neir a c successor to their estates and pindadata, quer of funeral oblations, dharmatah according to lau

(3) The meaning of this is as follows. When the person who is 10 duly appointed such as the husband's brother, or other person and being even himself destitute of a ninle issue, proceeds to an intercourse with the wife of a childless man, for the sake of raising issue both to lumself and for the other the son whom he so begets is the child of two fathers and denominated Dun limusky lyana He is hear to both 15 and offers funeral oblations to both (after their death) (4) When however the person appointed, has male assue and has intercourse with the wife for the cake of rusing up issue to her husband only, the child so begotten by him is the son of the owner? of the wife, and not of the owner of the seed And such a one by this restriction is not hear of the owner of the seed, nor is he qualified to present funeral oblations to him as has been so declared by Manut, ' Where by a st coal compact a field is made over (to another) for (the sowing of) the seed then the owner of the seed as well as of the field are both considered in this work as joint sharers of it (1 & of the crop) 25 (5) By special compact to when the field is delivered by the owner of the soil to the owner of the seed on an agreement in this form Let the child which will be here produced belong to us both' then of the child begotten in that soil the owners of both the seed and the soil are considered by the mighty arges as joint sharers (6) Sos If there be no special agreement with respect to the crop between 30 ' the owner of the field and the owner of the seed the lenefit clearly

s e I Jej crallys in Acharathyaya 6870 p 18 above in which the Induced a Frother a ray In or a sugatra have been allowed to raise usus on the sonk a widow of the ed as disclative and an his son is call dithe A hetraja con s o of the wife a Lustand by marriage Ashelea (land) here manns wife

^{2 1} e 116 tipler

⁴ O B-43

⁵ Manu Ch. IX-52

belongs to the owner of the field; for "the receptacle is more important than the seed." (7) "If there be no special agreement with respect to the coron"; i.e. with a special agreement of... Let the child hegotten here belong to us both", whichever child is begotten on another's ground, that child verily is of the owner of the soil; for the receptacle is more important than the seed; as is observed in the case of cows, mares, and the rest.

**Page 87.

(8) Even here, however, the appointment for raising up issue is only in relation to a woman who had been betrothed, since any other such appointment is forbidden 10 by Manu. For, after premising an appointment thus: "From a brother-"in law or from a Saninda (by means of cohabitation with him) by "a woman who has been duly authorised, the desired offspring "may be secured, on failure of issue. The person, however, so "appointed to raise issue on the widow, shall at night annointed with clarified butter, and silent, beget one son (only), but never a second "in any case," Manus has himself prohibited this practice : "By "regenerate men, a widow must not be authorized to conceive by "any other; for any, who authorize her to conceive by another, "violate the primeval law. The raising of an issue by appointment "is nowhere mentioned in the mantrast regarding marriage, nor is "the re-marriage of widows mentioned in the rules concerning "marriage. This practice which is reprehended by the larned Dicilar "as fit only for beasts, is referred to even in connection with men, "while King Vena held sovereign sway. He possessing the whole 25 "earth, and therefore emirent among saintly Kings, formerly "brought about a confusion of tribes when his intellect was infatuated

^{1.} For Oakbrooke translates "Commission". So Dilambatil p. p. 16:15c, where a discent from this doctrine is noted and process are cited showing that a latter is from to dispose of his girl, if shar took weeksly yilighted, the Indicad like before the estimal collection of the survivage. In rach a cross also remains a damset (X may) and may be deprosed of by the father.

^{2.} Ch. IX-59 CO

^{3.} Ch IX-e1-18.

^{4.} i.e. hymns from the Fels bearing on, or chant d at, nuptial rites.
5. A Rijorphi is a king who has all the chara terish s of n saint. The compound is sorred in Santart to Industry "Hat he is a king as well as a saint."

(9) Nor is an option to be inferred from the contrast of precept and prohibition, since they, who authorize the An objection practice of appointment, are expressly censured

considered and disloyalty is strongly reprobated in speaking of the duties of women while continence is much praised. As says Manu? 'Better that she (the faithful wife) emaciate her body by " living voluntarily on pure flowers roots, and fruit, but let her not 10 when her lord is deceased, even pronounce the name of another 'man"-By this text having prohibited recourse to another man for the sake of maintenance Manus interdicts the recourse to another man even for the sake of progeny thus "Let her, until death con-"traue patient (of all injuries) self-controlled and continent, and 15 "maintain before her vision that most incomparable rule of virtue, "followed by women' devoted to one husband only Many thousands

"of Brihmanas having avoided sensuality from their early youth, have gone to heaven (even) without continuing their race. And 'like those Brahmachiris' a virtuous wife who, after the death of her hashand settles herself in a uniform continent life ascends to

heaven even though she has no son But a woman who from a 'desire to have offspring violates her duty towards ber (deceased) husband brings on herself disgrace in this world and loses her 25 "place (with her husband) in the next world" Therefore it is not

1 Mark the gloss of Wedhetshi on this werse. He says that union with another man bad but emanating the body is also bad The text of Manu Better that she consciste the body &c has an amplied censure also for the emeciation of the body. It only means that between the two swils the evil of emacisting the body may be professed ' बालशान्यवामीक नेशमनभाग्य । देहसनमान राजम् । इट त्यावप्रमानत बन्द केन पुरचेण सप्रयोग । ° Ch V 157

3 Ch V 158 161

4 दश परिवस्ता मा एक्य-के-चार्वे the rule of Gr 'लि'च सपल्यादिव ' (4 1-35) ' In forming the femanine with the aff x and (s) the word of always takes the substitate a in the words like treet and the rest "

^{5 ,} c persons taking a lifelong yow of colibary and living accordingly

10

right to deduce an option from the injunctions of affirmation and probibition

- (10) Thus Nigoga' having been probabiled in the case of a wife sanctified by marriage, what then is a Nigoga sanctioned by law? so the (same') author saye. "The dansel, whose husband shall die "after troth verbally plighted, the brother of the hisband may take! "her according to the following rale having espoused her in die "form she being clad in white garments, and pure in her conduct," let him privately approach her once meach proper season, until "issue be had."
- (11) It appears from this very passage, that he, to whom a damest was verbally given, is her hisband even without a formal acceptance on his part. If he dae, his own unterme brokher, whether elder or younger, shall take her 'e. marry her "In dee form" is a situated by the Statras, "having espoused her" is e welded 15 her, and according to the following rule, namely the rule distenting the besidesting of the body with charfied butter, and the restraint in speech 60—let him "privately 'i.e. in scoret, approach her, clad in a white robe, "and puter in her conduct" is charing a restruit on her mind, speech and gesture, once at each menstrainton, until 20 conception (12) Such a marriage is normal," and a usere part of the

two part, whence a rigular materings between the parties muchi be inferred See Colobrooks citing Bajambhaji; p 167 & Sabedhmi p 57, ll 23-20

I According to the rule of interpretation fifth of first "when there are two contradictors tests, an option is infrired." So the Author says that no case the inferring me option ratios on the ground limit there are two injunctions of a contradictory character or, one of sillimation and another of segation. An option (Farmy would arms at the two impuritions were of an equal character (greenof gravity.) But have whale a converse is passed upon those who authorizes such a province, some such is to be found in reference to those sub-rist or before in reference to those sub-rist or before an extraction of the Burker's passed on the reference of the province of the negative character's are therefore not equal, and therefore an option cannot be inferred (See Billian behing 16.5) if \$-0.50 Subclimar Ty \$7.7, II \$-5.00.

² Nylogu-us raising issue on the wafe of a deceased person. See Yajii, 1 68-69 p 187 Note 1 on page 1010 2 s. Mana Ch IV, 69-70

⁴ R≥n—"know her"

5 • • at each mentrustion period

6 The meaning is —that as the migrations as to clarify d butter and other
observances are prescribed as more forms an approaching an authorized widow so
these espousies are a more part of that intercourse, and not a principal ord sulctant-

1044 Mitâksharâ, Vîramitro, Sûţa,—Further considered Cotebrooke LX, 12-13 Yêşhardiya \ Verse 127

form in which an authorized widow shall be approached, like the injunction of clarified batter &c. It does not make her the wedded wife of her brother-in-law. (13) Therefore, the issue thus begotten belongs to the bustand of the wife' and not to the brother-in-law by 5 special persentent, however, the issue may belong eyen to both.

Viramiteadaya

Among the sens of the same varia or of different varias, of twelve cotts such as the Awasa and other sons, the Author mentions a partition among themselves, and in some cases a non-division, but coupled with (a right to) maintenance

Yajaavalkya, Verse 127

Perakheter, 'en the sell of another', apularena, 'ty one who has no male issue', by the husband's brother, or by one of a different gotra, nugegna,' under an appointment', by the elders, a son alphaliadi, 'begotten', subaporely, 'ol both even', i. of the owner of the seed and of the land stop, becomes the helf entitled to take the eutire britage, and pusheddad, 'the giver of funeral oblations' slay dharmatal,' under the law', i. e. in accordance with the provisions of law.

If to the owner of the seed, or to the owner of the soft, afterwards

an anneas son be born of another wife, (then) necentling to the

Brahmspurdags: "The Khietron son takes three parts, and Patrahistand
"the fourth part." This is the meaning of the word cha, "also." By the
word cha, 'shoo, is included the father by the seed, and of the soil. (127).

Śūlapūni

Yájásvalkya, Verse 127

Napoga "appointment such as annotated with clarified butter &co."
Apatrican by one who has no male issue; upon the wife of another
napogotechia becetten under a legal appointment, subseque, of both,
he is entitled to perform the funcal eccentry, as well as to take the
observable is to be with his consent of both, so says Nareda": "With
"the consent of the owner of the land he whose seed is soon in the land;
"the issue of that is considered to be of both, of the owner of the seed and
"of the sed!" (127).

25

^{1.} Lit. Tr. " owner of the soil " हिल्लाहित i. s. to thoman who was her .husband by matriage.

^{2.} Cb. XII. 68

_ r

[Colobreoke Sect, XI.] [Sons by birth and adoption,]

 A distribution of shares among sons, equal or unequal in class, has been explained. Next, intending to show the rule of succession among sons principal and secondary, the Author first describes their characteristics.

Yājñavalkya, Verses 128-132, 133 (1) The Aurasa, 1. & 'legitimate son' is he who is procreated on a lawfully

wedded wife; equal to him is 'the son of an appointed' daughter', Puteudsutah, Keherraya is 'one begotten oo a wife' by a angutra relation of her I husband or hy another (128)

One secretly produced in the hame is known as Ghidhaja, 'n secretly

*Phors 88 | porn son' A 'damset's child', Kilnina, is one born
of the maternal orand-father (129).

A child hern of a woman whose marriage had or had not been consummated, it called 'the son of a re-married woman', Pitunarihanuch-He, whom his mother or father ray give (in adoption) shall be considered as 'the adoption', Datata's

(130) A sen hought, Kreta is one sold by them. A son made by a himself, Kretama is one adopted by the man himself Ohn who gives himself is a non self given Sanguandata. One who was (along with him mother) accepted in matriage while he was in the womh is called a non received with the bride. Schoolhopse

(131) He, who, having been deserted (by his parents) is taken (for 25

adoption) is 'a deterted son' Aperudilla, [131 (1)]. Multishara:—(2) The assue of the breast (uras) is an Aurasa t, c. the legitimate one Spoth a one, moreover, dharmapalmish is one, form of a lampfully redded utife!—A woman of equal turbe, esponsed in lawful wedded, is "a legally wedded utife,"—and a son begotten on her is a threa and lawfully son, and if either for earl. (3) Talamba nature.

true and legiturate son, and is chief in rank. (3) Talsamah putrika
1 se a daughter appliated to usuo under the contract mentioned by
Yanjaha (XVII 17) are further on p 1946 if 4-6

2 Wife is of the person with whom she was lawfully accided.

This passage means that such a san would have preference when there is a competition between this and other kinds of case and not that other kinds of sons are not heart at all. See Francischaps Ch. II. Part II. 2

and are not herrs at all seed in membrangs and a fine it is a fine of the first And occording to I fooders Freyer's the sons born of herfully woulded wires although of different tenes are all legitimate. So also Believikett p. 169

although of different tribes are an imprimense resource passed and p 100.

These principes were referred to an Mississia of Tarabase and 11 Mail. 49 at p 52 and Tulib Karr er Diday. Let 12 All at p 250.

sutah, equal to him as the son of an appointed daughter. 'Equal to him a c equal to the legitimate son' The son (born) of a daughter (appointed) is a *Patrila rate* And accordingly he is equal to a legitimate son. As has been suid by Vassalina' "This dames! who 5 "has no brother, I will give unto thee, decked with orinnents; (so "that) the son who may be hore of her shall be my son' to that term may mean a daughter regarded as son. All the same such a one is also similar to a legitimate son, as she has more of the partial class of the mother's body and less of the father's As says Vasnaha'. "The second is an appointed daughter herself." The meaning is that the second (land of) son is the appointed daughter herself (4) The son of two fathers (*Duyhausshyhyana*) is inferior to the instinct father's legitimate son, because he is produced in another's soil, (5)Kabrayah kehtrajikus sogerhen wit, the Kabrayan so so legitimate son, for the second is an another's soil, (5)Kabrayah kehtrajikus sogerhen wit, the Kabrayan son legitimate son legitimate son begotten one.

^{1 0}b XVII 17

² Oh XVII 15—The reading in the Vasiginals Suira is (third) मृतीय and not (second.) द्वितीय

³ The martif mara is of four descriptions (1) The first is the daughter speciated to be a son (see Vaushtha XVII 15 with a) (2) The next is her son He is called the son of an appointed daughter', without any spacial contract He is however to be distinguished from the next t e the third class. He is not in the place of a sen but in the place of a son's son and is a daughter a son Accordingly he is described as a daughter's con in the text of Sankha and Zukhta "An appointed daughter as like unto a sen, as Prachetasa has declared her off spring is termed a son of an appointed daughter he offers funeral oblations to the maternal grand fathers and to the paternal grandenes. There is no difference between a son s con and a daughter's son in respect of bonefits conferred." (3) The description of a con of an appointed daughter is the child born of a daughter will a was given in marriage with an express stipulation as stated by Voushtha XVII 17 He apperhone to his material give Mather we un uliquet non (2) The fourth is a child born of a daughter who was given in marriage with a stipulation in this form the child who shall be born of her shall perform the obseques of both He belongs as a sen to both grandfathers. But in the case where she was in il ought selected for an appointed daughter she is so without a compact, and mere ly by an act of ile mind (Mann Ch IX 127 and 136) Hamadra quoted in Cole brooke S.o also Thatur Jeebanth Stuph as Court of source L R 2 I A 103 160 Fah vi Geenda 1 Bem 10° and in particular Karuppi Vichiar vi Sankara Narayong Chett, J Mad 300 at p 312 sqq, and Jamiyatram vs Bai Jamaa 2 Bom. H O Rep 11 at p 17

urfe by a sagotra relation of her hisband or by another. By another, starcia, se by one who is not a supenda or by a hordrer of the husband is a Kihetraja son (123)

- (6) Güdhajah, the secretly born son is one prachehama utpannah, receiffy produced, in the husband's house. By excluding the case of a child begatten by a man of inferior or superior tribe this must be understood to be restricted to an instance where it is not ascert aimed who the father is, but it is certain that be must belong to the structure.
- (7) Kannah a denset 3 claid, however, is a son be other on a 10 damed by a man of equal class, (under the same limitations) as mentioned before, and he is the son of this maternal grandisther provided she be uncorried and abide in her fathers house. But if she he material, the child becomes the son of the husband alone. As any blant "A son whom a drawel secretly hears in the house of 10 her father is considered as the son of the hisband and denominated "diamete son pas being born of an unmarried woman (12) and the father is considered as the son of the hisband and denominated "diamete son pas being born of an unmarried woman (12) and the son of the hisband and denominated "diamete son pas being born of an unmarried woman (12).

(8) Panarbbuvah, the son of a remarried woman, is the son be gotten by a man of equal class on a twice married woman akikalâyam wa kshatayâm wâ uhose marriage had or had not been consummated.

1 • 1 This clame starts with the assumption that the beginter though an howen is not of a discussive case. The only thing unknown is the particular ideality of the mas. For the againstness is to the patients person must be the healthings not of the wine and the knowledge of the country in the many be obtained froughts for survey the health of the best of the particular who is Belt if the really do not know he true hearing been secondly violated by a stranger in a dark night them the child may bear the union of Goldage that not 6 Goldages and reportly so called no documbed before [see Belemblatte p. 170 If 18 is strong Medicaparajara]. Belemblatte he trifers to the opinion of some excounting to which the child must be sharedment.

As to the status of each a son whose father is unknown its will have it a same status and descript as that of his mother and the same opinion is quoted by Colebrale as being that of Vacharyats Marra in the S addhe-Chin amon

2 See Balambian; p 170 H 20 24 Banasel does not here signify unmarried only for even with that unport the term in frequently used in the sense of one who has had no counceion with a man. It signifies a woman with whom a regular marriage has not here consummated

See also Suiodhum p 58 ll 7 10 Tr p 146 H 12 15 3 Ch IX 172

(9) He, who's is given by his mother under her husband's direction, while the husband is absent on a journey or is dead, or who is given by the father, or by both

(parents) to a person of the same class, becomes datlakah, the adopted son, of him to whom he is given As says Mann "He, whom his mother "or his father, in a time of distress allectionately give with (a libration "of) water and who is of the same class, is called a son given "

(10) By specifying distress (it is intimated that) the son the given unless there be distress. This probabition regards the gives? (11) So an only son must not be given. Vide the text of Vanibhat. "But let him not give or receive as only on; "(12) Nor, though a numerous progeny exist, should no eldest son be given, such a lone as the principal winong those who fulfills the office of a son as is shown by the following text." By the eldest son as soon as "born a man becomes the father of mile issue":

15 "born a man becomes the father of mile issue"

(13) The mode of accepting a son for adoption is propounded by

Mode of scorplance

"the king, make burnt offerings in the middle of the hores, reciting

*Caox 80 the Vythits and title (as a son) an un remote luminar, just the interestrationage but relatives?"

1 These passages have been referred to in a number of cases of which the following may be noted—Panjuba vs. Rhapitchian. 2 Norm 330. Shin Jahun Suruh 12 Simila 18 Rasia I analakshmanma 26 I. A. 110. 2 Ch. IX. 168. 3 And not the taker fee Billimbhajia & bubadhing p. 881. 11 Tr. 146. 1.20.

con eagli to be been of some wrman, when the adoptive father could have

³ And not the taker Res Bilambhajia & Subadhim p 58 1 21 Tr 146 1 20
4 Ch XV 3 5 Of Manu Ch IX 105
6 Ch XV 6 Sea I apa Walued Deb vs Sea Joya wath Incomes, 2 Pat 409 at

p. 48 for esemble of adoption. It is only one who is devoid of inside. who can def. Thus the existence of all spatied sets inside to an adoption. However, or Upsayable 40 from 4 \(^3\) A contrary new has been taken in Ma fran see November 18 valence who is 64 fail. As for such of dala vs. D electro 37 from L. It 147 at pp. 100 fail.

7. Note the following very important remarks of West and Dishler in

consection with the (Crichian or St. St.). Say it is known assumed that the Crichian or St. Say it is known as the control of the system of th

(14) By the expression-" an un remote Lineman "-the adoption of one very distant by country and language is forbidden

(Contd from last race)

married. This excludes the son of a daughter, and such is the law generally received amongst the higher castes and sub-divisions of the great Sadra class almost everywhere, and amongst some of the higher castes by their customary low, the daughter's son is deemed fit for edontion, and even the most fit on account of the place he might formerly have taken as a son by appointment, as well as of the blood connection on which the system of appendment itself was founded "

"The passage of Vasishtha which directs that a man desiring to adopt shall make his selection from amongst near relatives, and for choice take the nearest. is so obscurely expressed as to admit of verseus interpretations. How the ingeneity of commoniators has been exercised poor it may be seen in Colobrooke's note to the Mit Chap I Sect 11 Fora 13 The Samskire-Kansthubha and the Nirnays-Sindha, constraing the direction most liberally, approve the adoption, failing a Segotra Supenda of a daughters or a sister's son. The bustrus, following the Vyavahara-Maynkha, ere almost uniformly opposed to this except in the case of Sudras They rely on the impossibility of a real poternal and film rolation between the Scietions father and a sea so born , and the decisions in Bombay must be considered perhaps to have confirmed the Sustrial view, but customers law seems in a measure at least to have been represented by the doctrine of the two works referred to. These were no doubt written under the influence of ideas which should the customery law, and they afford an example in their divergence from the more generally received authorities of variable crowths of doctrine springing from the same eriginal source, vet taking onite diffusent lines of development according to the medium in which they were placed. The real mearness of dangelter's son once propured real secent ance for the doctrine of appointment, and this in its turn had facilitated the admission of the daughter's son as at for adoption. The Sastra had however to be interpreted accordingly, and this interpretation setting ands the ordinary doctrine of a necessary difference in the families of both of the real mother and the adoptive father moved the way for the admission of the suster's son. In the South of India the Brahmmand law was for the most part apparently accepted only with this qualification, adapting it to previously existing customs as in the case of marriage between the children of a brother and a arster rejected by the structer law of the North, but allowed in the South, because it could not be provented 13

On this passage observe the learned judges of the Madras Righ Court "The divergence between the generally accepted authorities and actually existing outtons and the survival of the customs sanctioned by the earlier law appear to be accounted for in the above peasage on sound insteries principles, and the conclutupes therein arrived at to receive confirmation from what we find to be established by evidence in the case before us. " Voyalisada ve Appa 2 Mad, at p, 54

(15) The same (ceremonal) should be extended to the case of sons bought, self given, and made for painty of reasoning requires it (131).

(Could from last 1 ags)

*The following he the note of Mr Colebrooks referred to in the above

raesage — "Rachung idaile, in the Udiahatatwa, has quoted a passage from the Kalila Purana, which, with the text of Vasishtha, constitutes the ground work of the law of adoption as received by his followers. They construe the passage as an anqualified prohibition of the adoption of a youth or child whose are exceeds five rears and especially one whose institution is advanced beyond the coremony of tonsure. This is not admitted as a rigid maxim by writers in other schools of law, and the nuthenticity of the passage itself is contested by some, and marticularly by the author of Vymahara Vanalha, who observes truly that it is wanting in many copies of the Latifa Purcas Others following the text to be menuine, explain it in a someo more consenant to the general practice, which normits the adoption of a relation of not a stranger, more advanced both in ago and progress of initiation. The following version of the passage conforms with the interpretation of it given by Nanda Pandata in the Dattaka Hemanes given and the rest though sprong from the seed of another yet being only 'initiated (by the adopter) under his own family name, become sons (of the 'ader two parent') A son having been regularly initialed under the family name of his (natural) father, unto the coremony of tensure does not become the con of another man When indeed the ceremony of tensure and other rites of initiat-' ion are performed (by the adopter) under his own family name, then only can turns given mid the rest be considered as tone class they are berned slaves After their fifth year, O King sons are not to be adopted (But) having taken a 'boy five years old, the adopter should first perform the 'snarifice for male 18308' "

"The Putrashiner "scriffice for male issue," mentioned in the close of this paragrap is a ceremony performed according to the untractions contained in the following that of Left. "If we have a decrease of male should offer to five, 'parent of male off pump an edition of meeded runs constail appear optimized hearth, and to finder father of male subgrung a sendar obtains of tree received of male father of male subgrung a sendar obtains of tree received on element publisheds for grants ham propagy, India renders it old.

Accret among his relation'—How his Samularities in the expression
(16) Krîtah, the son bought, morevor, is one who tabhyam, by them, i.e. by both mother and father, or by either

Sons bought &c described

the mother or the father, vikrilah, was sold, and as before, excepting, an only son, or an eldest son, in a time of distress and belonging to the same class As

for the text of Manu: viz-"He is called a son bought, whom a man, " for the sike of having issue, purchases from his father and mother : " whether the child be equal or unequal to him"-it must be interpreted, as whether like or unlike in qualities, not in class; since the Author concludes' by saying " This law is propounded by me, in regard to sons 10 " equal by class". (17) Kririmah syat swayamkriah, the son made is one adopted by the man himself - The son made however, is the son adopted as a son himself by the man, who is desirous of male issue, and enticed by the show of money and land, and being an orphan without father and mother; for, it they be living he is subject to their control.3 (18) Dattâtmâ, the son self-given, is one who, being bereft of father and mother, or abandoned by them, presents himself, saying "Let me become thy son. " (19) Sahodhajah, the son received with the bride, 18 one who being in the womb, is accepted (in the course of the marriage) when a pregnant bride is accepted. He becomes the son of the 20 husband (131)

(20) Apaviddhah a son deserted, is one who having been deserted, playshigh by his father and mother, is taken (for adoption) grayate. He is the son of the taker.

In all cases he must be of the same class (as with the adoptive father)

(Corid from last mass)

Vaughtha (XV 7-8) remarks "If possible—he should take a heathusenni-krahtam s c — Kineman nearly related — e g a brother's son or the like, on " failure of such a one he should take addirabandhavam, one whose knusses are not "remote, a c whose means of livelihood as in a near place, whose father and "other relations are meat, and whose family and character are consequently "known" Mr Colchrocke also notices the other readings via those given in Kulpataru and Batuakara tez " Adure bandinyam asmenirehtamera"

² See further on H 193 (1) p 1057 1 37. 1. Ch IX 174 3 The consent of both is the only required. No caremonies, nor a document

is necessary Kamla Pracad vs Wurts, 13 Pat 550. 4 If a woman be married while pregnant, the child born of that pregnancy is a Sahodha ton, such a one is to be distingua had from a Kanwa (son of an unmarried damed) because he is not been of a densel. It is not clear how he can be distinguished from a Guddaya, unless a too technical construction is placed on the suffix to 'born', since after the marriage he is no longer one " recretly lorn . Whereas the chief element of a Gadhaja one the concentment of the individua

" funeral rites to me. "

Sûlapânî Vâlāsvalkva, Verse 128

"A son begotten by oneself upon a wife married according to "sacrament, one should know him to be the Aurona," under this text of Bandhisyana," one begotten by oneself upon a married wife of one's own costo is the Aurona son Equalty entitled for a share like the Aurona the Pathhighton. Manual Generoles a puthol claus: "One having on lesses, may make his doughter in this manner an appointed daughter via. "The shift which may be horn of her, shall be one sulfided to offer

On the wife of another who is without an issue, and who was appointed by the elders, and under the ceremony of Nayous such as the ameniment with classified haster &c., a son begotten by a sayingla or by one another with classified to the National Section 2. As son yellow it is the National Section 2. The National Section 2. The National Section 2.

"by one of a superior varya is the ksheiraya, the second" (128).

Yäjäsvalkya Verse 129

In 'the house', grie, of the husband, prachehhanna, 'one secretly', born, is the Gadham son, so declared by Manu and others.

20 Upon an unmarried doughter while residing at her father's bense, one secrally begitten by a man of the same zures is the Kinnia con, declared to be the sen of the mother's father. As to what has been stated by Manu' viz. "While in her father's bouse, the sam which a daughter "causes to be begetten in accost, that naw should know as the Kinnia sout."

"and belonging to the bushand (after marriage) as a son born of the "maiden", that has been declared by Visvarüpa to be applicable when there exist the survey and like other sons (129).

Yulianalkyu, Verse 130

Upon a widow who has had served latercourse, or one who had no regular intercourse, and who was married carein, a son born is the Pomorbious son, becomes the son of the propection. Bindingly says Kidydynan': "After shoundeding an impotent or a degraded husband, when a "second securis caultier behand, as no horn upon her is the Pannarbious on, is clearly of the same class as of the propection."

One whom the mother and the father given up and offer, such a one is

One when the mother and the father give up and offer, such a one is the Davidon. As says Mand: "That (bu) yound (by casto) whom his "mother or his father affectionately give with (a libation of) water, in "times of distres, such a son is the Datama son '(130).

1. Do S. H. H. 2.14.

:	3.	Ch av. 3
	5	Verse 600

^{2.} Ch ix 127, 4 Ch ix 172 6. Ch ix 173

śùlan3ni

Yálőavalkva Verse 121

One who is sold by the mother and the father and taken up as a son. such a one is a Krita son Vido the text, "such a one whether of a "different turna or a similar

Manu' describes the son self-made ' But when one makes another " as his son who is similar (in easte) and is acquainted with the right "and the wrong, and who is endowed with the qualities of a son, such a one

"should be known as a Kalama son" Manut describes the Dallrama son thus, ' He who is without his "parents, or one who has been abundoned without a (proper) reason,

"gives himself (to a man) is called the sou "self given" " Swavamdatta. Gurbhauman has been described by Manu? "Where a prognant "woman is taken in marriage, either (with her pregnancy) known or

"unknown (the child in) the womb belongs to the man who weds her. 15 " and he is called Schodla (131)

/(21) Having thus premised sons, chief and secondary, the Author explains the order of their succession to the heritage

Yaıñavalkva, Verse 132 (2) Among these, in the absence of the preceding, each next succeeding is 20 a piver of the funeral cake and the inheritor of a share

Blilakshara -(22) Of these aforementioned sons of twelve kinds. in the ab ence of the preceding, each next in Order of Buccession order, as enumerated must be considered to be

the pundadah, guer of the funeral cale, as performer of the S'raddha. and ansaharah, the inheritor of a share, i e successor to the effects (23) If there be un Aurasa* (legitimate) son and a Paulrikena (son by an appointed daughter) Manu propounds an exception to the seeming right of the Aurasa son to take the whole estate 'A daughter baying been appointed, if a son be afterwards born, the division 30

2 IX 177 2 IX 173 1 ix 169 The reading in the Malakjaara 18 Aurana pontrakaya somawiya a e when the legitimate on and the son of an appointed diaghter co exist. Mr. Colchrooka translates. If there be a legitimate son and an appointed daughter "-and adds in the foot-note o this passage is interpreted by Visnestiona & Balambhatra" But Balambhatta distinctly refers to the pas age as it occurs in the fext do (see p. 174 1 15) Auratapantrilega for mithah Sanawaye utyarthah " Wiala Visiwensara has (p o8 1 17) Aurosa-putrasya, p strataguicha andbhava atyarthah " Tes 5 Ch IX 134 p. 147, 1 8

"of the heritage must in that case be equal: since there is no right of

1034

15

"prinageniture for a woman." (2) So also, even in the case of others, a quarter share to inferior sons, even when the superior ones exist, has been ordained by Vasishlar." "When a son has been "adouted, it pertunates son be (afterwards) burn, the adopted is on

exist, has been ordained by Vasishibar. "When u son has been a "adopted, if a legitunate son be (afterwards) burn, the adopted son "shares a fourth part." (Here) the mention of 'the son adopted 'is indepting also of others such as the sun bought the son made, and the

indicative also of others such as the son bought, the son made, and the rest by reason of the context? (25) Accordingly Kaiyayana' soys:
"If an Acrass son be born, the other sons take a fourth part, in "provided they belong to the same tribe; but if they be of a different "class they are entitled to food and reinnest only." (25) "Those

"class, they are entitled to food and raiment only." (25) "Those "who belong to the same tribe" e.g the adopted and the Khhinga sons and the like, these when an Aurase son exists, store a fourth part. But those who belong to a different class e.g. "the damsel's son," the son secretly bon," "the son recerved with the bride," and

"the son of a re-married woman," these when an Amusa son exist, do not take a fourth part, but are entitled to food and raiment only. (27) Although there is a text of Vilphu us: "Exceptionable sons are,

1. This passage is obscure and is not in a line with the legical accuracy and purposity which we the amenable characteristics of Electric Typhinismer Taking that of Allman by itself it says that is femile in not establed to the rights of

principilitate Differablette (p. 174-1,17) explains it by suggesting that it is meant to need a portion which may be taken on the extensibilitation rule test the appointed daughter berself is a son (grifter grift fighteringing), while Uniform source refers to the test of Monte for showing that when an our set and a positivity compete, it may be arged that the outers cather double go to the Jarren, led it his been for the rule of Monte in IX. 134 The Subdack of § 68 I II-29 3 has altempted to explain the passage that all yit has text of Monte, the other lates of the professions share allowed to him in the text (of Monte in IX. 112 the subseque 181 if \$7) instant under the text

ally that text of Mone, the abbest does not put the preference flares allowed to him with the text of Mone Oh. N.I. He's as shown p. 187 II is 77 mixed, under that text the bit only entitled to an equal perform Ordering an Aurasa does no establish to the critic principe, that by the least of Mone.—The division must be open?—the 2 Marries does not take the outwelp and them the Posterlage When a latter. This cream to be the only way in which the two passages can be connected topolisher? Oh. XY 0 of replected course.—The three subjects does justify the high text of a fourth theory of replected course.—The three subjects does justify the high text produced of a fourth theory.

3 e বুলীকলোহিশার Tr "for they are equally adopted as some "Colsbrooks
profest this reading 4 Varse 857.

protest can reading a Vere 857.

5 This redding is followed in the Medemaparyata, and Viranticedays. But the Kelpateru, Ratajdava and other compulations read a "third part." Yide Timble Vihaus 0 108 13 Collings.

"a dumsel's child, a son of concealed origin, one received with a 'bride, and a son by a twice-married woman, these never share the 'funeral cake nor the inheritance', still it is inheaded to prohibit a fourth share when an Aurasa son exists. But if there he in legit mate son or other (preferable clausants), even the damsels child and others succeed to the whole of the paterial estate under the text. 'In the absence of the receding, each next succeeding & "

(28) Similarly, although there is a text of Mane! 'The 'Aurasa son alone is the (sole) here of his father's wealth, but, as a "matter of compassion he may give maintenance to the rest, 'the too must be considered as applicable to a case where the son adopted and the like are bostle to the states son and devoid of good qualities (29) Here is special rule regarding a Katherjas son has been propounded by the same 'Author'. 'Let the Aurasa soo, when dividing 'the paternal heritage, give a sixth part or a fifth, of the pater is "mony to the Kohetgus on" it is where there is bostlike yas well whose of the same and the paternal son as want of good qualities then a sixth part but to folly one of those defects exist, a fifth part, and thus the two cases should be determinated.

(30) Although Manus brying premised two sets of aix sons, has 20

declared the first rix to beliers and Ammera and the last six to be not herre but kinsmen us. "The Amassa on, as also the on hegotien on a "wife, the son adopted, and show a sommade, a son secretly horn and so a "son, cast off, are the six heirs, and tunsmen (159). The damed's son, the son received with the finds, the son bought as also the son "bogotien on a remurned women, the son self given, and the son "born of a Stard women are us not hears but knamen (160)," (31) that too must be expounded as signifying, that the first as, may take the heritage of their father's significant end emandates if there be no nearer herr, but not to the last six. (The he of) lunship (bleight actions) however, is alike in the case of both by reason of their band Similaranja however, is alike in the case of both by reason of their band Similaranja however, is alike in the case of both by reason of their band Similaranja however, is alike in the case of both by reason of they do did not be duty of offering bythous of writer and the like (32) It must be thus expounded, for the mention of a given son in the following

passage is intended for any adopted succedaneous son; "A son "green away must never claim the family and estate of his natural "father The funeral cake follows the family and the estate, and of 'hum who gives (away his son), the funeral offerings fail " (33) The right of inheriting their father's estate, however, is, without exception equal in the case of all in the absence of sons mentioned next above

each, in the order of precedence, as the text "Not brothers, nor "parents, but sons are hears to the estate of the fathers," purposely is intended as affirming the succession of all subsidiary sons other than the Aurasa son, the right of the Aurasa son having been already 10 propounded by the text 3 "The Aurasa son alone is the (sole) heir "of his father's wealth", and the word heir (digada) being wellknown as used to signify any successor other than a son as in (the expression) "The heirs also should be made to give &c" (34) The 15 variation which occurs in the institutes of Vasishibat and others

1 Manu Ch 1X 142 2 of Mann Ch IX 180 J of Mann Ch IX 163 4 See Subolhou (pp 60-51 Tr p 101 H 32 38, p 152 H 1-34) Reforming to this passage in the Sabodhim Mr Colebrooke adds the following note declaring the appointed daughter count to the legitimate con includes her under legitimate is oc (IX 165) and proceeds to define the remaining ten succedanceus sons (1X. 108-178) But Vanchiba states the appointed daughter as third in rank (XVII-106) which is a dragreement in the order of enumeration same must be understood of other institutes of law to. Fishus 15 2 37 Adresia (13-44 40) Decale he How then is the succession of the next in order on failure of the preceding reconcileble? The author proposes this difficulty with its solution. His notion of the mode of reconciling is this. Many declaring that the first set of six some by birth or adoption as competent to inherit from collateral kinsmen on failure of nearer hears but not so the second tot, afterwards proceeds to deliver incidentally definitions of those various sons. It appears therefore to be a loose enumeration and not one arranged with precision Accordingly Vanu in saying "Let the inferior in order take the heritage" does not hand this very order but intends one different in some respects, and the differ ence is relative to good and had qualities. The same most be used with the variations in other codes. Moreover what is undamed by 1 cymosoliga is considered with propriety For the true legitimate son and the son of an appointed daughter are both legitimate as ne and consequently equal. The son of the wife a son of hidden origin the son of an unmarried camel and a son by a twice-mirried woman, being produced from the seed of the adoptive father and from the soil appertanting to him have preference before the son given and the rest. The son received with a bride, produced from soil which the adoptive father accepts for his own is placed in the second set by the anthority of the text or because the mother did not apperium to the adoptive father at the time when the child was begotten The whole is therefore nnexceptionable

15

35

respecting some one in loth sets, must be understood as founded on the difference of having been or not been endowed with qualities, (35) But the assignment of the teath place to the sometian appointed daughter in Gustama's text, is relative to one differing in tribe. Therefore this is established, that in the absence of those (mentioned) in the preceding order, those following next in order will be entitled to the inheritance.

(36) As for the text². "If among (several) brothers spring "from one (father), one have a son, Mann has declared them all as "Ithiers of a male offspring on account of that son," that also is intended to forbid the adoption of others, if a brother's son can possibly be adopted, and not for esting up a claim as a son, as that would be inconsistent with the subsequent text (Yim II 135). "Their sons, the delicate and the Bankins dec."

Sülapüal Yäläavalkya, Verse 132

He becomes entitled to offer pents, trattles, and the like, and also is

entitled to take the wealth

When, however, the brother's son exists, the Kubraya and the like
others substitutes of a son are not ontitled, as says Manu' "If among 95

"brothers born of one father, one have a son, all those (others) through "that one, are (regarded) as having a son, so Monu has declared" Then also, in the absence of brother's sons, should be made the sons such as the Keelman and the others

the Kristing and the citiers

Of the co-vives of one bustand also who are without a son, the son 30 of a co wife is the son bimself, as says Mann! "Of all the wires of a "one, if one hyere one. Manu declares them all (to be) methers having "sons through that son" "Vives of one's e of one husband (132)

(37) The Author next adde a restrictive clause by way of cou-

clusion to what had been stated

Yûjîîvalkya, Verse 133 (1)
This law is propounded by me in regard to sons equal by class.

Mithisthara — (38) Only among sons equal by class, ayan vidhib, this law, v.e. (the one) expressed in the text. "in the absence of the

¹ Empt dc (p 90 1 14) have a reference to the sens and are adjectives qualifying that term 2 Oh XXVIII 31
3 Of Mans Oh, IX 182 4 IX 182 5 IX 183 See Vashin also XV 41

"precedurg, the next following in the order (of enumeration) &c."
and not among sons differing in class (32) Here, moreover, the
damsel's son, the secretly born son, the son received with a bride,
and a son by a twice-morried worken, are deemed of like class,
through their natural father, but not in their own characters, as it
has already been stated that they have not within the definition

of tribe and class (40) So also, since issue procreated in the direct order of the tribes, as the Machhanshia and the rest, are comprehended under legitum to issue, it must be understood, that, on to failure of these also, the right of inheritance devolves on the Ishelrague son (of the wife hand the rest

(41) But the son by a Sided wife, though legitimate, does not take the whole estate even on fadure of other issue. Thus Manu syst "But whother a man have sons or no sons (by wives of 15 other classes), no more than a tenth, part must be given to the "son of a Sided woman, according so in " (42) Whether he bave sons " e whether he have existing the male issue of a regenerate

sons " , e whether he have existing the mule issue of a regenerate tribe, or "have no sons ' : e have no passe of regenerate tribe, in either case, upon his demise, the son of the wife or other (and of son). 20 or any kinsman (sopenda) shall not give to the son of the Shiha more thin a tenth part of the father's estate (43) Thus it appears from this very text, that the son of a Kindinga or a Varya wife takes the whole of the property on fadure of issue by women of equal class

[Colebrooks Sect. XII] [Rights of a son by an unmarried female in the case of a

25 Súdra's estate.]

(1) The Author next delivers a special rule concerning the distribution of the estate of a S'udra

estate is to be divided under that text riz 4, 3, 2 & 1 (See Subodimi p 61 !!

22 25 Tr 153 H 01 35 & p 154 H 1 1)

I Ch IX 164 The online of the Bubblind reconciles this fast of Manuwith that of **xjnarallya (Tl 125 p 1023) above in which it has been intil down that the rea bern of a Saderi werson is entitled to one share, by noting that one share in that tent of **xjjnarallya is obviously one of the 10 share into which the

Yâjňavalkya, Verses 133 (2), 134

A son begotten by a Śūdra even though upon a $dd \notin$ (female slave) may take a share by choice [133 (2)]

But after the death of the father, the brothers should make him a half-sharer; if he have no brothers he may take the whole properly, unless there are soon of daughters (134)

1. Who is a Dân—The worsen entitled to be called a Dân or an avarabled dot: (a permanent eccenture) must be one with whom the composition is open and who inved as a member of the family. Then a Mulbrowellor presisting cample in called a dân though the west a permanent conceinee. Mr. Hander is Namelra Bileanny, 1 Lock. 184. Ecc etc Pryna Math Dar in Auto Chaulta Day, 48 Cut 443, (7 h.) Ba Nosphita is 2 Ba Naguda 42 Dam. Lik 400 mcf Bom. 401 ± 51 £ 1.53

It is not however, subject to the further condition that a marriage could have taken place between them Soundararajan vs Assachalon, 39 Mad 136 I erman eric at the relationship is sufficient form Resembara vs Prisonnal 48 Mad 805

A Brahmin pushress of a Sadre is not a Basi nor the ion a Dasiputra, he has un right to the property of the futher, Ramchaud a vs Hanamouk 60 Born 75

Not can a kept mistress whose husbond is alive be called an angage Annablat vs Chandisha 48 Bom 203

The question as to lin status and the share of a Din puter was referred to and discussed in the following crase. In almost all those cases the passages from Yeffinerally, and Yeffinerally and Yeffinerally and Yeffinerally and The following in a short assumery of the decision having a special reference to the part of the text.

In any rare of the tests, as allogitumate sen as looked upon as a member of a such a family, entitled to some, if not all the rights of a member as such. He is entitled to membersace in these cases where he does not become by any interesting or inheritance. In this respect Hand is well for the English have in so for a subsection of the members of the section of th

The illegitamete son of Aghairiye by a Sadra woman is not a Sadra, but of a higher caste called Ugra Frankasisa vs Radhamom 12 Mad 32

N B —An illegitamate daughter is not entitled to inherst the claim of the sons being based on texts which specially mention them, the draphters not being so mentioned Bhilay as Bails 32 Bm. 552-10 Boar L B 736. Bbit a mother can take as lear to ber illegitamate con Jeparmath Gir vs Shit

Bahedur Singh 57 All 8s at p 168 (a case of Gosans)

* PAGE 91.

Mulikharî.—(2) A son begotten by a S'ûden on a dûsî (female elave), obtains a shere kûmatah, by chore, re by the option, of the father. But, after (the deeth of) the father, if there be sons of a wedded wife, then these brothers should make that son of the dûsî (female 5 slave), a half-sharer, r. e. they should give hum a half from them

(Could from last page)

In Servents vs Mensus 2 All 134 the effspring of a kept woman or a continuous concubine was held to be on the same level as to inheritance as the uses of a difer (female slave) by a Sadra, and he was held capable of succeeding to the occupancy holding of his father as a "male lines! descendant" within the meaning of the Agra Tenancy Act, 1901 Rambals ve Jamma 30 All 508 In Josephra Bhungt vs Nettennand 11 Cel 713 and 18 Cel 151 (P C) 17 I A 128, both the High Court and the Privy Council recognised the right of an illegit: mate son as a conserver with his legitimate brother in the ancestral estate and he was held to be entitled to take by curvivorship even in the case of an Impartible netate. He does not, herever, acquire a rout interest in the property with his father in the ancestral family property. It is only after the father's clouth that his right commences, so that if the property is disposed of by the father daring his lifetime, he cannot clama a chare in it after his death. Pam Saran vs Telehand 28 Cal 195 Nor does the Blegatamate son become a co percener in the follest arguificance of the torm, and therefore he is not entitled to olum by survivorship against the collateral relations of the father Mutturams 7 Mad, 407 Parenths vs Theramalas 10 Mad 334

In a case of disputed enceresion among Südras to an Impartiable estate where the claumants were some by two waves of the same casts, but of different grades, the some by a wife through passer, but of a superior grade, was held to be entitled to preference. Remarkant we Sundaradiogueous 17 Mark 422

The Dissipator is entitled interesting among the regenerate classes. It does not cause when he comes of age. But he me not entitled to his marriage expenses.

Mostehand by Chandrades 26 Rom L. R. 488

1 And this is made clearer by Beleathlette (p. 182 il. 22.23). & Sulcalinas (p. 61 l. 25.). "From the entire extate, a half of what would be regarded as his chare to a one half of the amount allotted to a legitamete issue."

He is entitled to one half of the share to which he would have been entitled had be been legitimate. The widow by merriage and the role illegitimate con take equally Kanulman's V furnishable Summ Anicher 20 Bom. L. R. 517 \pm 50 I. A. 52 Sec. 18 B. L. R. 70 \pm 40 Ren. 369

Members of legitims to descent cannot claum benefits to a line of allogatimate descent. Makarayah of Kolkapar vs San Laram Ayyar 48 Mad. 1

own allotment However, should there be no sons of a wedded wise, the son of the d is (fermle stve) shall take the whole estate, provided there be no daughters of a wedded wrie, our thouse sons. But if there be such, the son of the d is wedded wrie, our thouse sons. But if there be such, the son of the d is d is a share only (3) From the mention of S is d is an integrate of the fact forms of the d is one begotten by a man of a regenerate three on a d is (female slave) does not obtain a share even by the father's choice nor even a half, much remote (is the chance of the schumage) the whole but, if he be double, he receives a hare muchannee [135-134]

Viramitredava

The Author states the characteristics of the twelve sons, by the verses beginning with 'Aurasu' etc

Yajñavalkya Verses 128-134

Dharmapain, 'a lawfully wedded wrice, i.e. of the same arms married according to (the dictates of) law, of test, born from 16 the husband is the America son Heror (the condition of) belonging to the stune tearns, by reason of its relating to the twee born is intended as spoken of on referring to the three averas such as 16b Fashmann and the rest. Otherwise according to Parijata sons of the Kehairnyd and "Variya wives married to a Dribinance and born of him may not be 20 "included mong the twelve Muslos dosons. By reason of its being procreated from the breast (arms) of the husband, he is (called) the America.

"He who is born of her, that son shall be my son, under this rule of a agreement stated by Yasishtha," a maden who has been marined 25 is called the Patrika, a son born of hor is the Patrikanata, thus his characteristic has been stated as derived from a parity of expression Such a one, moreover, is equal to an Aurata, is entitled to take the herr tage of the material granifather, and to offer evequal rules to him In this connection, Nami' states a special rule "To the mother first 30 "schoold the futrikatival offer a parda the second, however, to her "father, and the that to the father s father."

One born from one appeared m regard to the land of another, whether of the same goirs or of a different goirs, is the Keketraja, the son of the land Where, however, an arrangement has been made 35

as cg. "Whatever issue is begotten on this shall belong equally to both of us", there, he is the san both of the owner of the seed as well as of the soil. This is the meaning of the last verse. That has been stated by Manu" "Where by a special compact a field is made over to another "for (sowing) the seed, of that (i: c, the produce of the seed) the "participators in this world are considered to be both the owner of the "seed as well as of the soil. (128.).

Grée, 'tu the house', upon the wife by another prachehlanna,
'screetly', i.e. even without an uppositioned, as the result of a concept10 son from a secret (sexial) connection utpannal, a son (this)
'produced,' such a one, however, is declared to be Guthaja, 'one
secretly born in this connection Meant' (says) "One in whose
'house a son is born, and it is not known by whom he was begotten,
's with he is born'. Tabe, 'who', i.e. the wedded with

On a mardon i.e. on an unmarried daughter, the son born is the Katana, such a one is the son of the mother a father, vide Vighna i. "By him, the mother a father facomes 'the father of a son, he should "affer (to him) pends and take (his) property. If upon marriage to the husband be meliout an issue, creat of him such a one is (entitled to be) is not vide the totat of the Brahaspariana. "If from one who that been taken in marriage, it son was born (while) in the father's "house from one of his same oran, such a one is the Khimm son again "of hum to whom she is given (in merriage) (12)."

One who is married again is 'a remarried woman' Punarbhilis upon her whether deflowered is consummated, by the previous hisband, or undeflowered is, one occuminated, as not no sealed Panarbhina, the son of a re-married woman. Here Katyayana': "One bent from her is the Pumarbhina, and it is clear he is the son of the progenitor."

The father, or in his absence under his permission, the mother, the sen when he (or sho) may, out of infection, give with (the libstica of) water to another, such a one becomes the Dathat's "adopted" son of the acceptor. As says Mano" "The hertinge and the potent of the "genetive father the son given shall not have, the (right of offering)" "greds colours as the gotta and the (right of offering)" provides allows the gotta and the (right of offering).

"gives away, the executal rides recede. Scaddal," the executal rides "
"fives away, the functal and allied rides (130)

Tablydm, 'by the two', i. e. by the mother and the father, vikridal, 'sold', that son who was Krila, i.e. 'bought' becomes (the son) of the purchaser.

Kitimal, 'a son made', under a request mude by oneself, vor. 'be my son', an according to the son', and agreed to thus, viz. 'I am thy son', one accorded is a Kritima, i.e. 'a son made'. By the use of the word cha, 'and', is added that it was with the consent of the mother and the father'; since while they are living he is demonstrated by the consent of the mother and the father'; since while they are living he is demonstrated by the consent of the mother and the father'; since while they are

Even without a request, in the absence of the mother and the father, or one who has been abandoned, one who awayem, 'himself', offers '10 as a son, such a one is Swayamdatta, 'a son self-given', is the son of the acceptor,

While in the womb, by reason of the mother's marriage only, one who becomes the son of the parents (thereafter) matried, becomes the son of the husband and is called Sahadhaja, 'a son received with the bride.'
That has been stated by Manar's 'Where a pregnant woman is married, "either knowingly or unknowingly, to the lusband who weds (her) "belongs (the child in) the womb, and he is also called sahadha, 'the son "received with the bride' (131).

One, however, who by his parents almship 'has been abandoned', or owing to their inability to maintain him has been given up, and by another is taken up as a son, such a one (becomes) the son of the acceptor known as Abandaha, 'a deserted son', (132).

Eshám, 'of these', twelve sons, lpárapáradbláns, 'in the absence of the one preceding', parah parah, 'cach one next succeeding', becomes 25 pandadah amiaharakha, 't the giver of the (panda) funeral cake, and the inheritor of a share' also.

The word amin, 'sbare', in the case of a putrik and k-platrojo means the entire share, under the text of Inthitic and the Brahmapuraga, and also wide the text of Kaipyiana'. "When an aurents son is born, the 30 "(other) soins of the same name are notified to a third share; while "whose not of the same name are receive food and ramout".

Even in regard to other sons of the same varya, savarra, viz. the dataka, krirma, guldnikama and akandaha, the word ania has the sense of the entire share. "The carras son alone shall be the 35 "Gwper of the paternal estate; as for the rest, inorder to avoid harshness,

"one may give maintenance," this text of Manu!, it should be understood, has application where the Aurasa son is endowed with good qualities and the others are worthless [132 (2)].

Ayam sidnih, 'this law,' i. c. 'in the absence of the one proceding, 5 each one next succeeding 'etc. signification,' in regard to (sons) equal by caste, i. c. the sons of the (first) three transa, myouldnih 'his been propounded by me'. In regard to those not of the same tarna, even if there be a paintaipaira, the Pipterna son of the same tarna is ontitled to the inheritance, thus should be observed the intragement in regard. On the rule, in consonance with the text of Kätyäyena cited above [133 (1)].

Sudrena, by a Sudra' however, ddsyam, even though upon a dan', i.e. upon a sadra wemma not murned, the son patch, 'born', hdmatch, 'by chose', i.e. at the option of the father, may be made the partaker of a share sound to other some

Mrie piters, fatter the death of the father', when a partition; ande, binetarah, 'the bothers, i.e the sons by the murred write, tam, i.e. the son by the date, should make a partaker of a half sinner. The dass pittre, when there are no sons by a married wrife, and in the absence of the sons of the daughters of the father, i.e. of the daughters' sons, and by the afactions reasoning, in the absence of the daughters, acress, the entire property of the father, hard 'may take, 1/13/2(2), 1347

S ûlapânî

25 Vâjčávatkya, Verse 133

This law which has been propounded in regard to the Annua sons and others, should be understood as applicable to sons of the same caste, with the exception of the son bought?

Disgilm, upon a due, Sadrone saint, one begothen by a sudra, at the some dearm of the father becomes cutriled to a share like other sons (133)

Yajanvalkya, Verse 134

Make he plan' when the father, however is dead, the sons of the father by a welded wife, should make the son by a dist, the particles of a half share If sons of that description or if a daughter sonot that description do not exist, then be alone should take the entire patronny (134)

Milakshara :- (3) He who has no son, of any (sort) among the twelve descriptions above stated is applicab, one h time no male issue. Of such a man having no male progeny applicange,2 and swarystasya, of one who has depurted for heaven to who has departed for another world, 5 dhanabhak the hear or successor to the property, as that person, esham among such as have been here enumerated att, the wife and the rest, ultara ultarab who is next in order, purvasya abbave, on todure of the first mentioned respectively. Such is the connection (of words in this sentence.)

- (A) Ayam vidhih, this rule, about the taking of heritage or the order of succession must be understood sarvesha varnesha, as extending 10 to all tribes, whether the Murth n dia and others in the direct series of classes, or Sida and the rest in the inverse order, and as compre hending the several classes the Brilinguis and the rest
- (5) Among these first, the wife takes the estate 15 signifies a women espoused by the perfor-(4) The wife mance of the nuptual rates, conformably with the etymology of the term as implying a connexion with religious rites, moreover, the singular number has been used with a view to include
 - 1 . . in verses (128 132) above on page 10 15 above

The word som' puire is used here in an extended sense San Bublia Singh vo Lalia Singh 34 All 670 Sea Balambhatft p 1871 27 11:398 grand रीवप्रयोगनीरस्प्रपत्भगम् *"*

3 The verses 135 and 136 of I differently green above indicate the general rule of succession to the estate of one who leaves behind liam no make frame Parildushours first explains generally all the words in these verses. After that he tal es the case of each clament, and after examining other texts for and egainst the right of inheritance in each case deduces a conclusion which is to be accepted as his The division by Mr Colebrooke of this portion of the Milakehara into several sections has been from this point of view. Thus §§ 5-30 of sect I (pages 91 95) cover the discussion as to the right of a wife (ponts)

Sect II of the daughter & daughter's sun

111 The Parents

TV The Brothers

The Getrupa

VI The Bandhas

VII The Ulterior hours

ि : e us confained in Panens e rulo (ot 4 1 33) पत्नी वससेवेले ' a Tho substitute a replaces the family of $\sqrt{2}$ before the featurine affix ($g(\pi, \xi_2)$) when the word so formed indicates a wrife who takes part in the sacrifict of i ar husband? Thus it would appear from a strict interpretation of this rule that if a man has "the wealth of her husband, who is not unfaithful, and failing her,
"the daughter (inherits) if unmarried." And again: "Of a man (who
"has died) leaving no male issue, the wife born in an Arya" family,
"or erea" the daughters, lailing her the father, the mother, the
"brother" and bis sons are pronounced to be the heira". Also Brhaspair

"or even" the daughters, failing her the father, the mother, the "brother' and" his sons are pronounced to be the hiers". Also Bhaspair "Notwithstanding there be kinsmen, a father, a mother or a neterine "brother be present, the wife of a deceased man, who left no male "issue, shall take his share."

(7) Passages, adverse to these, likewise occur. Thus Narada has stated the succession of brothers, though a wife were living, and has directed a bare maintenance to the widows thus: "Amour brothers, if

"any one die without issae", or enter a religious" order, let the rest of the "brothers divide his property excepting the strillana (of his wife). They "should make provision for the maintenance of his wives till their death. In property exception of the bad of their lord. They may

I. Colebrooks translates, "provided she be chaste."

This also includes by implication the other condition that she should not be opposed to the husband (see Blismbhart) p. 189 1, 13,)

2. As opposed to one horn in a nea-Aryan family. Bilanchista (p. 180-15) also observes that "disth hi an Arya family" does not mean more highly his means thick in a good family when the mercines was in the Analouse form. It to be noticed that both V₁/Randouve and Rollemblatten abuilt by jumilication that wives from other castes were also suitful to be called Patair. Oakshooke translates seekages and house family."

 By the use of the word (Api) "even to daughter's sont are also included (Bilambhatti p 189)

(Bilambhatti p 189)

4. The word "brether" also includes the sisters (Bilambhatti p, 189,)

5. The addition of (Cha) 'and' brings in (their) daughters also.
(Bilambhatti).

Ch. XXV. 648

 These i. s. the passages cited above (Subodhini). Colchrooke tr. " adverse to the widow's claim."

Ch. XIII. 25-26.

9. i.s. without male uses. Bilambhatti.

10. The Sanakti word is presented i. e. become a Sasyan and thus enter the fourth and last of the orders wis served, united, aparts and using .

"however, cut it off in the case of those who behave otherwise." 'That the extate of a scales man goes to the father or the brother has also been shown by Mann's "Of him, who leaves no son, the father shall." take the inheritance, or the brothers": "Of a son, dying childles, "the mother shall hake the estate, and it the mother also be dead, the "father's mother shall take the beringag," by this text the has likewise shown the mother's right to succession, as well as the paternal grandmother's: thus Sakkha also: "The wealth of a rann who departs" for heaven, leaving no male issue, goes to his brother; falling thom,

* PAGE 92 "his parents may take it, or his eldest wife," has the lared the right of succession in order (of succession) of the brothers, the father, and also of the eldest wife. By Kityayana also (tan been said): "If a man die while separate, and there "no roun, the thof ather take his property, or the brother, the "mother, or the mother of the father successively."

(8) An adjustment of these and other contradictory texts has been drawn out by Dhâreswara thus: the texts' which lay down the rule that 'a wife shall take (the estate) have reference to the widow

 Mileyg. (Achehundynh): s should either not allow if the wives are unchaste or put a stop to the allowance after they brooms unchaste. This passage may be marked in connection with the maintenance of those widows who labsequently become unchaste.

2. This passage the occurs in the Dhyshblya XI 1 43, where the word Sir has been used in reference to "women who were actually exposed, but had not the mark of wrest," which Vigilizationers userstpointedly with reference to cases tuning the word patter. See Pressages of Physicsepses A Alia A 19 34, Gangua ve Chandalaghan Sir Boy and p 283, Lebahadi ve Canada 6 Donn 122; Sentralei ve Lurania 2 Born 612 89, Salvanussiya Pandya Chaka Tilberr ve Sivo Schermage Phila I I Med. 300

Ch IX. 185
 Ch IX. 217.
 Verse 928

6 This is in accordance with this general rule that when there occur passages which are of equal weigh but which are contradictory, the application must be adjusted, as otherwise the rule of option might follow. governfild g (*xxx) is note on frequent & (*xxx*) on the one of retreated & (*xxx*) on the one of the option
7 i.e. the texts cited above and those firsts following viz. Gautana, Manu. Vanishta. Narada & Yaifaraikya.

8 is of Veddia-Afanu, Behadusphua, Kaipegana and Behaspais cited above pp 1967, 1968.

of a separated brother, and that also provided she be solicitous of authority for raising up issue to ber husbandi.

Whence is it inferred, that a widow succeeds to the estate provided she seck permission for rusing up issue, but not if 5 she remained alone by herself? From the text (above cited) ws. "The father shall take the inheritance of him, who leaves " no son," and other suming passages For here a rale of adjustment and a reason for it must be stated but no other rule of adjustment or reason exists. And also on the authority of the text of Gautama ?

^{1.} Names a means making up an issue to the deceased husband by appointment, sec Yajŭ II 127 above and notes (p 1039 11 26 28)

This is the doubt raised by Dherelears the solution of which is given immediately by him Dharozvara's view is ' that a widow is entitled to inherit "her husband a property only when else obtains authority to have male assue raised "un to her husband by means of Nigoga (levirate) that if she obtains no such unthority, she cannot inherst but is morely cutalled to maintenance, and for this " Diffresiara rolics on the above quoted text of Naroda and also that of Yajūsvalkya (II 142) Vignamesvera combats this view and shows further on that Diamiears has missipplied these texts See observations of Chandaurrkar J 12 Ganou vi Chandrabhegaba: 22 Bom 275 at p 282 800

No swatanirâya 'and not if sho remain independent " Colebrooke translates " but not independently of this consideration " But the word Suntantia qualifies the widow (see Balambhatis & Sabodhim p 62 Il 1718) 4 s of Manu Ch. IX, 185

s e of Narada (XIII, p 25 26) & "from passages": e on account of the contradiction oppositing in these lexts 6 Colebrooke "Bought "

Ch XXVIII 21 22 Diffestrate does not properly quote the text of Goateme nor has he properly reterpreted it. The text properly reads thus "तिरतीनशिवाचा हिस्स मंत्ररेत् की बाज्यसम्ब (21). श्रीत वा न्यित (22) " There are two Sutrar combined here Sotra No 21 says 'Lot kinamen related by pinda &c. take the heritage, or the audew of one dying without range. The Suira (22) says Or she may seek to raise up issue to him " But what Dhares were door is that he steps after the classes of kiu-men are and begans with the widow' and joins that portion of this Suires to the next converting the whele into a conditional clause Psychological the interpreted Gentama's text properly further on (p 1075 Il 3-7) while he is refuting Defreicara's position

"Let relations connected by pnda", by family2 name or by "descent' from a common patriarch, or the wife, share the heri tage, or the widow of a childless man may seek (to raise up) "offspring (to him)" (9) The meaning of this (text) is this 'Persons connected by punda, by family name or by descent from a common naturarch, share the effects of one leaving no male issue, or his widow takes the estate provided she seek progeny' (10) Mann' also "He

- Colchrocke translates pands alked by the funeral oblition . This translation may be in accordance with the view prevailing in Bengal under the school of Jimuta Vahana But the Mitaliahara view of a Sanada is quite clearly & distinctly mentioned by Prinsactiones in the commentary on Yamvelleys I 52 beginning with man fire on neur made (Collections Mitakebera p 12 11 21 22 Tr n 148 Il 14 16 See the indigment of West J in Lattemblas vs 1fg iturarbas 2 Bom 388 at p 423 and the translation under the Mitakshera would be connect ed by particles of blood or under Dayabhaga "connected by innoval obligators " 2 Getra is the central family name of the original Reis
- 3 Pracares The most remote but nearer specifies They are the pairtarchs nearer in degree than the originator of the Gelre and on account of whom a cen nection is established between persons having the same Gotes. Each Gotes has at least two or three Practice and porsons bolonging to different Going mey not be regarded as absolutely anconnected of they have any of the Preserves in common among themselves e o of two mon bolonging respectively one to the Januarana (Sturnil) and the other to the Gotana (mag) the gotana are defierent and as such they are not connected But, the Prayment of Jamadagan ere Jamadagan Aurya and Vas shine & those of Golama are Golama Vasishine and Barbamatya , thus those two persons here one prayers originally Common : a although they are not connected by reference to the melyand or the ancester who eterted the particular family of each, still they are connected by reference to the Ribis next in descent They here been defined as 'गोजपान क्यानिवास्त्रियो मुनियम soo the commentary Dalambhatte on Yainevalkyn I 52-53 and Mitakshara thereon (Collections pp 177-190)

The Gotra indicates the Reh who starts the family, while the Rehir born in the same family later on and nester in degree but of less importance then the originator of the family are indicated by the Pra area-note the following remark of Medhattiks on Man : Ch III. 5 p 194 117-8 " ऋति तिमधिताको पहास्य कता । बटोबण्यत प्रमुता प्रवस इति। तत्तुवधीशस्तिरिद्यायतित्वध्यापैणेक वसकातवयः

Tr A Red such as Vass hithe and the lake as the maler or starter of the family Persons born from him and having the same Gara, such as his sons and grandions and most renowed on account of their possessing the highest qualification of austerities and learning are known as Proposes

4 Ch 1X, 146

"who takes care of his deceased brother's estate, and his widow, such a one after raising up a son for his brother, shall deliver that property "even to that (son)" By this text he indicates this that even when a brother who was separate in estate dies, his wife's claim to his estate is only through an issue, and not otherwise. So in the case of undivided property likewise (the same Author says): ' Should a "younger brother have begotten a son on the wife of the elder "brother, the division must then be made equally thus is the law 'settled' (11) Vasishthat also by the text "An appointment shall "not be made through covetonsness for the estate", forindding an 10 appointment to raise up issue to the husband if sought through covetousness for the estate, thereby intimates that the widow's claim to the estate is only through an appointment, and not otherwise (12) in the absence of an appointment, however, a widow is only entitled to a bare maintenance, side the text? of Narada "They 15 'should make provision for the muntenance of their wives till their "death (13) The same it is pretended," will be declared later on by the Lord of the Yogis 9 " And their childless wives, conducting "themselves aright, must be supported; but such as are unchaste should 'be expelled and so, indeed, those who are perverse (14) Moreover, since the wealth of a regenerate man is designed for religious uses, the

Cha (v) to found in the text of Mann, and it seems was also the reading in the copy adopted by Mr Colebrooke It is better reading than we (m)-ter? Aullulo in his gloss on this verse adds that this text has a reference to the estate of a reparated brother as the one in IX 120 obviously contemplates a joint estate (see also Subsidiam p 62 1 25 & Balambhatti p 190 1 31)

- s the son so begotten
- "Through '-Dwird (gm;) . c she has no independent right in herself
- 4 s e Manu Ch IX 120 (seu note shove)
- s of the objector says that even Manu has lard down the adjustment of the conflicting tests 6 Ch XVII 65
 - Ch XIII 26 (see above p 1068 H 11-14)
- Asla-This pasticle is used in many senses. Here it indicates disappro hatton

The same sense is maintained in the next quotation of an unnamed writer whom I syndaetrara refers to as Acades (an R) which the author of the Subodium

notes as 'an indication of disrespect (p 5. 1 29 also Balambhatti p 191 1 8) 9 s e Yamaralkya II 142

succession of women to such property is unfit, because they are not competant to the performance of religious rites accordingly it has been declared by some's author "werlth was produced for the sake of "solemn sourfice, and they, who are monometent for the celebration

solems scribe; and they, who are incompetent for the celebration

"of these (rites) are not entitled by any property?, but (may) receive

food and raiment. Wealth has been ordanied for sacrifices. There
fore it should be alloted to places concerned, with relunous duties

"and not to women, fools or the urelegouss".

(15) That is wrong, for anthority to raise up issue to the husband is neither specified in the text, 'The wife, the daughter de' nor is it suggested by the premises' Bendes, it may be here asked, is the suppointment to raise up issue a reson for the widow's success

zion to the property, or is the issue bonie by fier, the cause of her

1. Namo unknown. Bee cote above. Bilemblaste remarks that by the avo
of the agreesion Aradjo, divergect for the visiter is understal, and it should be
noted that therefore the name of the wister has noten matternet (or 101 1 10).

- 2 The word in the text to Relias which means heritage, or inhoritance
- 3 Here ends the summary of Diffrefrora's atcument

The argument of Differences in about is this (1) The wife's capoutly to the builded's electric depends on her deserts to begit same for himself me support of this he cites '15'm, IX. 180, 166 1.20, Gentana Ch. 28/25-27, Fandida 17-15, Národa 13-26, and (2) As the wealth of the two-lors is insteaded for the performance of toliquois rites, and as women assistant part of the teams, the devolution of wellth upon the wife is conditional as sloves.

4 Fyjldinfrom'r rothation of it commences from "Tiles is wrong." He does in a several ways, taking each text and explanate gis sensoling until he scrives at the conclusion at the end of his asymmetric. Of a near dying without since reported and unresulted his married wife, briding a continent life inher the whole state." (see Grather on page 1931 18-41)

For a load analysis of the whole position see Subclisis (63 11 9-18 Tr p 157 13 0-2.344. Indical for the succession of a wide to be a hadron 4 estats, is the approximent the canno or, the assets explored investor, as it is special reason, and again of the special reason as appearanced the range of the same or the same of care both of equal importance—these see the sam ways in which this question may be looked of the "or Tr P 157 I 3-0-34

See the observations of Mottaswamy Alyyar J in Mon vs Chancomed 8 Med at pages 118-127, and also Areshas vs Sam 9 Med at p 74 &c

- 6 : of Yajnavalkya If 135 (p 1065 above).
 - 6 . Nor it is portinent or relevant.

succession? Of these if the appointment alone be the reason, it would follow that, even without having borne a son, she has a right to the estae, and the right of the son (thus') born to the estate would not follow. On the other hand if the offspring alone be the cause (of her claim) then in that case as the son alone has a right to the estate, the law (of inheritance) should not be stated beginning "with the wife &c."

(16) As to what is said that women have a title to property, either through the husband, or through the son, and Another objection not otherwise, that also is wrong, for it is incon-

sistent with the following? and other similar texts. tiz "What was given before the nupital fire, what was presented in "the bridal procession, what was given in token of affection, what "was received by the woman from her brother, her mother, or her "father, are denominated the sixfold 'property of a woman'

- (17) Moreover, on fadure of sons of all descriptions, the law (or succession) has been stated commencing with "the wife, daughters &c" Now here, by affirming the right of a widow, who has been appointed to raise issue, the right of the Lehetraja son himself to succeed to the estate is virtually affirmed. But that had already been 20 declared and therefore the wife ought not to be mentioned in the law of succession to (the estate of) one who leaves no male issue
 - 1 Colobrooke translates " subsequently produced', but the expression in the lest is Utpannassa which would mean born " Having regard to the context there would be much difference in meaning in the two interpretations. As the assumption would concrete to the widow the right of succession on the ground of appointment, she must be one who has already taken a step in the matter of the appointment, and who would be suttempting the barth of the 19800 And her taking a step in the matter of begetting a son for her husband would be a condtion precedent for the exists to vest in her. See the answer in refutation by Vindanoswara es नियम्हाया धनसन्त्र्य प्रदेश &c
 - 2 Mann Ch IX, 194

10

10

and Annex

- In the original, the expression has been used as qualifying the widow a sort of a condition precedent to her succession appears to have been laid down. It means-" by declaring the right of succession of a widow on the ground of her appointment &c '
- The right of succession of sons of all sorts has been independently treated in a separate place and in that connection the right of succession of the Kiletraje son also has been examined (see p 16481 15 &c above) It would therefore be meanwatent to suppose that the anthor wanted to treat the right of that son again here (See Balambhatta 191-192 and Subedham 92)

(18) But, it is alleged, the right of the widow, who is authorized to raise up issue to her husband is deduced

The interpretation of Gantama's test examined and proved to be erroneous from the text of Gartama' "Of a childless man "let kinsmen, connected by pinda?, by family "name, or by descent from the same patriarch 5

"seek to raise up offspring (to him?" This too is erroneous, for it cannot be inferred as the meaning of this (text) that, 'if she seek to

"obtain offspring, (then alone) she may take the goods of a childless "man", but that "persons connected by ginds, by family mane, or by 10 descent from the same patrarch, shire the effects of one who leaves no 'issue, or ins whow takes the estate, and such whole may either seek to obtain progeny, or may reason chaste ""This is only an alternative course prevented for (being adopted or not by) her. In other words, an alternative caunot he converted into a conditional For the particle wh" or "denoting an alternative course, does not convey the sense of

yadi. 'if Moreover, at is lit, that a chieste wife alone should succeed
to the estate, and not one who has sought opposed
mont, as she has been consured by the law as well
as by (the opmion of) the people. The succession
of a chaste woman alone has been expressed in

the text "The widow of a childless man keeping unsulled her histands bed, and persevering in religious observances shall bereeft present his funeral oblation, and also them he entire there."

And an (authority to raise up issue by) appointment has been ondemned by Mans by the following "By regenerate men a widow most not be authorized

¹ Ch XXVIII 21 22 note above p 1665, see Jedanama'rs Julananaga Mudhar 31 Mad 160 at p 166 General va Tulanam 36 Bons 88-90 13 Ban L R at p 862 2 See note above on this at p 1071

³ gen-continent, leading a stratty baste his 4 , t wo moles of conduct are suggested to her. She may subser wake mile near by means of appointment or aboung remone classic (see Balankhatti p 1921-30 Subddhin p 64 H 6-7) Columnoke translates, "This is a introduct to hor to?"

⁵ of Vrddha-Manu see p 1069 li 4-7 above

"to (conceive by) any other (thus her husband); for they who "authorise her to (conceive by) another, violate the enternal law," and similar other texts.

- (18) As for the text of Vasishha': "An appointment shall not 5 "be made through covetousness for the estate". This also must be interpreted to mean that, "if the husband die unseparated from his "coparceners or re united with them, she has no right of succession to "the estate; and therefore an appointment to raise up issue must not "be resorted to for the sake of securing the succession to her offspring."

 10 (20) As for the text of Nirada'. "And they shall allow maintenance for "his women till the end of their lives," ence in the text? "The shares " of re-united brethren are considered to be exclusively theirs," the re-union of coparceners lad been premised, that too' must be understood as only suggesting a maintenance for the childless women of these!

 13 Nor is tautology to be objected to the text!: "The share or runited "brethren &co" on the ground that the previous passage" tig. "Among of the state of the children of the children of the text of the text of the state of the state of the state of the text of the state of the state of the children of the state of the
 - 1 Oh XVII 65 2 Oh XIII 26 3 of Mirada Oh XIII 24.
 - 4 se the text of Nimodaja Oh.XIII 26 6 s., of the reunited co-parents of all Nareda Ch. XIII 28 see note blow. The tree passages are not tentologous, because, while the first declares that the Striddane property of women should be exempted from partition, the second hays down a plenty separate whereby provintion for the mantesence of the women of such a reminder 18 made. There would therefore he no fault of tentology (see Halamilhatti p. 104. 10 and Shouldhair p. 49.11 2 and 18).
 - 7 Narada XIII 25. The full texts are as follows -
 - " सहरातो हु को कपालेकानेन स इच्चते 24 " ऋतुमामप्रता जेवा कप्रिकेशनकेन सु या । विवलेक्ट्र धर्म तस्य शेवास्त सीयन विता ॥ 25
 - "not use reflect elemn observed these that and address of the Tr. "That portion which belonged to re-valid consecuences is declared to be shadness thank?" "A
 - "If among several brothers one should die childless, or become a religious accete, the others shall divide his property, excepting the Striddana" (25)
 - "They shall make provision for his women till they die, in case they remain fauthful to the lock of their husband. Should the women not (remain charte) they must cut off that allowance" 25, { Sacred Books of the East Vol XXXIII Pages 126-163.
 - See Pannoppa vs Pappuragement 4 Mad at pp. 31 and 32, and Subramenya Pandya vs Swa Salvamenya Palla 17 Mad at pp. 326-327 where, referring to (Contd. on max food)

"brothers, if any one die without issue &c." (already) had a reference to re-united members. For, by this explanation of what had been said before, women's separate property is exempted from partition, and a mero maintenance for their' widows is at the same the ordinical, (21) As for the passage': "And the childless wives of these &c.", that will be sexplained (further on) as having a reference to the wife of an imposent man and such others.

(22) As for the argument, that the wealth of a regenerate man is designed for a sacrifice' and women not being competent to the performance of a sacrifice, their succession to the property is unfit; that 10 is wrong. For it every thing which is wealth be intended for sacrificial purposes, then chantable purposes, bornt offerings, and similar matters, must reman unscomplished. Or again, if it be alleged that since sverifice here signifies religious' duty in general, and

{ Contd from last page }

this and other passages the court deduces the right of survivorship by which under the Mikhkahari the surviving members of a joint family become entitled to an astale which would have become the absolute property of the deceased member, had a partition beam made during his life time.

It may be noted that the Dipublishe does not anterpret the term "normal" und in this presents a ratherizing is with between an entailly exposed, but not having the raths of a wife, see Dipublishe Oh. XI. seek 1 § 48 the 4 Mid. sty. 32 A. diuntation among wave by marriage, or gifth, dipublishe due with the month to have been referred to in Remarks we Senderalizyment IT Mid. 432 at p. 437 and Brandaness it Mid. Additionals 12 Mid.

1 : of the male mambers of the family 2 Yajawalkya II 142

3 Colebrooke translates "for religious uses", but the word in the original is (48) Yeyña, and in the discussion which immediately follows in the Mitakisharathe author has used this word in continuistinction from religious purposes generally

4 i.e., the word scentifics includes by implication all religious purposes in general, प्रशासन as their which implies something which has not been actually expressed, implication of something in addition, or any similar object where only one is mentioned "earling and the लेक्सफिल्यू रूप".

The three words (25, 57 and §7) Yeyks or Yeyk (marriers), Difes (dontion), and Horse (hand officing) are explained in Giolechies 9 64) ther— The redequathment of a thing in Newer of a darky is a Yeyks or conservation, the same object terminating with an offering in the few is a Acros. Blanchhatts and a further technical distination—when the offering is with the accompanional of equ; it is Yeyk, without it, it is a simple Horse And a Difes or Gunthool, or gif, is this by which the proprietary right is created in another by terminating can's own right of property in the timing transformat. charitable donations burnt offerings and the rest are acts of religious ditty, the applicableness of wealth to those uses is uncontradicted, thus then the other two objects of life 11. At the and Kôma which (also) are to be secured by wealth would remain unrecom

Adma which (also) are to be secured by wealth would remain unrecomby plashed, and in that case there would be an inconsistency in the
following passages of Yajāradkya, Gaslama and Mans ir, "fore should
"not neglect religious duty, wealth or pleasure (such as he can accom"plish) according to his capicaty," so "To the minost of his power,
"man should not let morning moon or evening be frintless in res
"men cleagous ment, wealth and pleasure" and also "There (i.e.
this organs" of perception) cannot effectually be so restrained by
"abstinuous" (23) Moreover if wealth be designed for confices (only)
the argument would be reversed, by which it is shown, that the

1. The four objects or purposes of a man's life (στητής Ponnihörthan in this mar(i) Dharma (tri) robiguous morth (2) Artilla (orq.)-attainment of richho or worldly property (3) Λόπο (στη) desire for commat enjoyment, and (4) Μελ-δα (δης) final amantication or deliverance of the seal from recutring britis

There are the fear objects which a man has to accompled, during his life in this world. And the objector says that it all the wealth were appropriated forwards. Dherma only, the other two is "drich and Kenn would remain uncomplished, and thus there would be a breach of the supreme dairy of altiuming all the four objects of the

2 X-parally a Acadehya - 116 p 100 above. The passage in the text of I for early a read-differently from the quotation here given by X-y i formare is operated by the formare is operated by the single or the properties of the single of the text of the properties of the single of the

3 Gautama Ch IX 40

4 Manu Ch II 96

Y. The a organs am enumerated in Versus 89, 90–92 of Ch. H. by Mon in In Versus 91 to a of those are dissented into two groups on 1. Organs of smoot (ξχί2 cm) 1, and organs of attach (ξχί2 cm) 1, and even 92 declars mind as the sky which are made in the sky with organ which by its quality belongs to both kinds ". The incoming it, that there organs counts to so efficiently restrained by a Course of abstinction at they mad they be a versu of instance propring them.

(Non use (AFFRT) See note above

careful preservation of gold under the text1: "Let gold be preserved " is intended not for religious ends but for human purposes (24) Moreover, if the word surnice import religious duty in general the succession of women to estates is most proper since they also are competent to the performance of auspicious3 and conservatory acts (25) As for the last text which declares the dependence of women "A woman does not deserve independence," let there he dependence, but where is the objection for the acceptance of property?

(26) How then are the texts such as "Wealth was produced for the sake of (the performance of) solemn The Answer secrefices and others to be intermeted? The

answer is that that text is to be interpreted to mean that wealth which was obtained for the (express) purpose of (performing) a sacrifice must be appropriated exclusively to that purpose even by sons and other successors, as it has been declared to be an offence even in the 15 case of sons and other successors, generally? in the texts. 'He, who having received articles for a sperifice, disposes not of them (for that purpose) shall become a kite or a crow '

This is from the 12th Topic (Adhidaren :) of the 4th Section (Pala) of the ord Chapter (Adadyaya) of Jameses. The passage in fall from the Vela is as follows - हुनगों हुन अलू का क्रम सबन हिरण्य मार्च । हुन्छ एक भवति । Tait Dr II is 4 6 This passage enjoins the careful i reservation of gold lest it love its brightness and be tarmised. The question raised on it is whether it a observation of the procept be essential to the effectly of sucrefies or serve only a human purpose and the result of the remoning is that the precept refers to the person and not to a sacrific Very ancheara says that this demonstrated conclusion or Solidania (firster) would be contradicted if it were assumed that gull is intended only for a sacrifee (see Subolhun pr 63 66 Tr ; 169-163 and Relandhath p 195 Anan larroma No 24 p 161) 2 See note above p 1977 a 4

³ grande ses note on p 1914 n 4 above.

^{4 275} o e is not fit to be independent. See Anthiba ve Jacker 1 Pom 121 at pp 123 and 124 as regards the capacity of a womant lod property and to enter rate a contract will out the husband a consenter rat feat on But II would is otherwis. If the property he summorable or be a property producing a periodical income Seo I hau vs I agha tot \$ 30 Bom 229 at pages 23 1 240

⁵ Scenlove p 1073 B 1-8

⁶ Le juin to e in charity o g be donation &c ner Befambhatti p 108 il 5.7 Schodheni p 90 il 6-8

^{1 .} without partienlarzeation (अपरिचेता) 8 Sec Vana Ch II 25

(27) Even as to what has been said by Kâtyâyana "Heirless pro-"perty goes to the king, deducting however a subsistence for the "fem des as well as for the funeral charges; but the goods belong-"ing to a venerable priest let him bestow on venerable priests," t. 6

5 heirless property or wealth which is without an heir to succeed goes to the king ' z. c. becomes the property of the sovereign deducting, however, a subsistence for the females as well as for the funeral charges': it means that after excluding or setting apart a sufficiency for the food and raiment of the women, and as much as 10 may be requisite for the funeral charges such as the funeral repasts

and other obseques in honour of the (deceased) owner, the residue goes to the kin. Such is the construction of the text. An exception is added ! " but the goods belonging to a 'venerable priest,' deduct-"ing, however, a subsistence for the females as well as the charges of "obscuries, Let him bestow on a venerable priest, " (28) But even this relates to women? kept in concubinage, for the term

employed is 'females' The text' of Narada likewise relates to women of the barem since the word used is women's "Excent" the "wealth of a Brahmana, but a king, who is attentive to the obliga-20 "tions of law" should allot a maintenance to the women of such a " nerson Thus has been declared the law of suberstance " (29) But since the term mains is here employed, the succession of a wedded

1 A Stolenge as a learned Bedhmana " One as a Bedhmana merely by birth. he is called a dange after the performance of rates he becomes a my ra by learning, and is designated a Sections when he has all the three!

" अपना जायती होय सरकोरिज उन्यने । विद्या यति विद्या विभि भोति जयते ॥!

It is such a one whose wealth has been ununimously declared to be unfit to be taken by the king n dy mie ams. Balambhatts reads as Śrośryczgopopódzyct s e " should devote at for the use

of " a Sreines Brahmans 2 MANGENTER See further on Yajo II 290 and the Mitakehard thereon

- 3 The word to Jeshi which is derived from my to serve?
 - 4 Ch. XIII 52
- 5 The word is Sire It is derived as topped unformed. The text of Narada refers to women reperally
- 6 The last portion of verse 54 is [In default of all, that (wealth) goes to the king " and V 50 begins with the exception to this last clause
 - 7 unread e satent on fellowing the dictates of Dharma
 - 8 Here : 4, 10 Yajā H 13a see pp 1065 1 9 aboya

wife, who is chaste is not inconsistent with those passages (30) Therefore it is established as the right interpretation (of this) passage), that when a man who was separated (from his co heirs) and not re united (with them) dies leaving no male issue, his wife takes the estate in the first metance. For partition has been discussed, and re union is to be subsequently considered

(31) It must be understood that the explanation proposed by Srikara and others restriction (the widow's succession) to a small portion of property is refuted by this? For even where there are

legitimate sons it is provided whether the partition he made in the owner's lifetime or after his decease, that the wife shall take a share equal to the sons "If' he "make the allotments equal, his wives must be made partakers 'of "equal portions" And again "Of heirs dividing after (the death of) " the father, let the mother also take an equal share" Such being the 15 case it is a mere error to say that the wife takes nothing but a subset ence from the wealth of her husband who died leaving no male usue 6

(32) But if it is argued that under the terms of the texts (above cited) "his wives must be made partitlers of equal An objection and portione" and "Let' the mother also take an 20

answer "equal shere," a woman takes only as much wealth

as is sufficient for her maintenance, that is wrong. For the words ansa share or portion, and sama 'equal', would thereby be deemed meaningless

(33) Or again it may be argued that if the wealth be great, she 25 takes precisely enough for her subsistence, but if small she takes a share equal to that of a son that too would be wrong, for variable-

I What the author means is this that the widow traceeds to all description of property, except these which form the subject of partition in verses 114-126 above and also those which form the subject of re-union in verses 138 & 139 Janda Koes vs Shoo Praca I Singh 17 Cal 23 at p 36

2 From Verse 114 to 127 above

3 . e by the argument which follows -See Balambhatti p 197, 1 15 and Bubodhins p 66 ll 25 etc

4 Yajn H. 115, p 007, H 24 16 5 Yilia II 123, p 1027, Jl. 11 12 6 For a laced explanation of this passage see Subodhim p 66 lines 25 to 32 and p 67, 11 1 3 and Balambhrts p 197

(34) Thus, in the instance of the Children isna sacrifices in the disquisition (of the Umania) on the passage

An objection Duayoh pranayante, where it is maintained by the opponent that the rules for the preparation of the sacrificial fire at the Some-Tuga extend to these sacrifices, and as a consequence of it the ununction "not to construct a Northern Altar (Utiara Vedi) 'at the Varyada a sacrifice nor at the S'unusurva sacrifice, must be "understood as a prohibition of such alter", but it is answered by an advocate of the right opinion that it is not a prohibition? of that

altar at the first and the last parts as suggested The miswer "by extending to these significes the rules for ' preparing the sacrificial fire at the Soma-yagu, but an exception to

It is a rule that "a single sentence once attered carries only one meaning सहसाति सन्द सहेदग्रथनगण्याके, and if the texts cited above were to be inter preted to have one meaning in case where the cetate is large, and quite mother meaning where the estate is small, that would be contrary to the universal rule of interpretation stated above to it would lead to the apages of See Subullini p 97 11 5-10 Balambhatta p 197 E 26-30)

Ver Narada Ch XIV 26 "And should provide for the maintenance of his women tail their death " (See p 236 1 21 above) मत्य नास नुनीर खीवाम जीवनश्याद

(Subodhini p 67 1 9 Balambhatti p 197 1 29)

the discussion

3 Châtur mânya is the name of the sacrifice performed every four months es at the beginning of Karnia, Falgima, and Aghadha. It consists of four parts in order esz (1) The Vasseadeva, (2) the Varuns-Praghatu, (3) the Ralamedha,

and (4) the Swainers 4 An Ander (mitty) is an extended application, or an application by

analogy See note 4 on page 1077 shore. The argument here is that the general practice presenting at the Some gage may, by analogy be applied here

5 . e , the Northern altar Mark the expression. It is the position of the follower or apologist of the right opinion, and not the right opinion shelf, which is given at the end of

7 Promishedha...a probabition, a cutting down, delimitation

'the express rule 'prepare an Ulturarech at this sacrifice'," bringing in the construction of the Ulturarech, it is neged in reply by the opponent, that (even then) variableness in the precept must follow, since the same precept thus authorizes the occasional construction of the altar, with reference to

a prohibition of it, at the first and last of the (four) periods of sacrifice, and commands a construction of it at the two middle periods independently of any other maxim. But it is finally shown as the

Final conclusion right doctrine, for the purpose of obviating the objection of 'variableness in the precept,' that the 10

prohibition of the northern alter at the first and last of the periods of sacrifice is a Nityanuvad and that regarding the injunction Duayot pranayanu as an Artharada, the injunction "prepare the Utara tots

1. s s without reference to any particular maxim, but quite by a reseming on general principles

2 Mark the word binget—apprehension. It is such meetics very critically conceived and ergressed which demonstrate the high position of Pythiastern Even the romes apprehension of a variableness in the precept is to be avoided, and for this, any other minor blemish may be accepted.

 করবার an explanatory repetition of, or reference to, what is already mentioned, a supplementary repetition as distinguished from an injunction or Public

safing is a declaratory assertion, whose purport is either praise or blams (unpreference steps) Arthorodos passeçes are of two kinds, being either complements of Vidin passeges, or complements of Nisheldia passeges. It is again of three kinds is. Connected, Annada and Distributionada

विरोधे तुम्बादः स्मादनुबादीऽक्रचारिते । सुनार्यमादस्बद्धानादर्धसद्धिया मन ॥

The there discovered is based on what is known as the "Dampoh pranayean" Maxim of the Mindmal. For a head capestion of the passage in this could not be the maximum of the bearing on the best of the Maximum and Dismundarity which, its small, eleborate the pennium in the Subschillen. The following, in short is the assumers you the discussion.

General remarks: The two scartines reduced by an data discussion are the form officer and the Collimentings retained has been replaced in note 5 on page 1982 above. The processing scartines are the Sorne, the Deriv-Personders and the Agrinton, these alexans are the Personders and the Agrinton, these alexans are the Personal Scartifices. The Collimentings is a "special scartine" and falls under the Thermalia. South ones as table 3 triving (errit) processing is Southern and a section of the Collimenting Southern and the Southern and S

Processores is the carrying of the fire from the Garkenpains alter to the Abaysanya

"at this sperifice" Commands the construction of the northern altar at the two middle periods only tiz the Varianapraghâsa and S'âlamedla.

(Could from last page)

Leadily, the construction of the 'Northern aliar' has been ordained in the Some Secretice only, and not in the Darie Paramase sucrifice

ma Socrefice only, and not in the Darie Fürnamäis secretice

These are some of the general rules of practice ordained at the secretices

Then there are the following texts of the Feds, in reference to the Châturrâsya sacrifice, viz ,

- (1) sun suffit Upo atra capanie, 'Tr' in this (s o the Châteamâsya sacrifice) the Northern alter is to be estable hed "
- (২) ন বিধাইর ক্রমেটিলুলুইয়াইর ল আনন্তারি— No. Variodese utiannechmupoktrents no Sundstrips 'Tr 'The Northorn Alber is not to be established atther in the Variendera, or in the Soudstrian portion.
- (1) जन या पती बहरून बहरूनमामा शास्त्रिकोती हुनी भागवन्ति-'गिनी वर्ष etau Yaynasya vat Varung Prophasah Salmodhasehti Dienioh, Propaynate''
- yai Varuna Proghâtah Sâlmedhascheti Dienyo'; Pranayanti " Tr ' Tho two legs () a mainstay) of the yayika are the Varuna

Prophin and the Silvandie, the two mad, have its kindled in them.

Taking there with and having regard to the general rules of extinice according to which the Protogness in the case of the Sirva shore has been had down in the Seat, while there is no provision for the case of the to asset of the Desira Perma dies, the objector Perma Prile any that the proceedure to be adopted, for a Printingness bould to that preservined for the Since measured has it is work and not the spect of on in the case of the extrainty.

Of the three bests quested above, No. 1 kays down that the 'Northern Allary' which is internally connected with the kinding, should be contracted in the Ohlderndop & Ajer. Thus I in the nature of a journal rule or 1 kb which has been sto men by the 2, according to what the 'Northern Allary' in not to Do, conserved out of the Ohlderndop and the Contract of the Ohlderndop and the Contract of the Ohlderndop and
No 3, has some presse for the 2nd and 3rd quitters via Trange Projects and Sakemedia, and then it says that the fire kinding should take place in the two (1'e these two). Shoully stated the position is this

(1) The Northern Altas should be established

(2) But not in the case of the first or the fourth quarters

(7) In the 2nd and 3rd quarters fire should be hindled.

There rules have been construed to proble the following plans result. The first has a general rule for a Stranger Fulls. The second is an exception to at and the third is a necessary deduction of theorem, but the conducted result of New (2) and (2). I order, the third is a tile nature of an inflated is alwaying the reason why this accord and the first are not sulp special to say except toom receptor dick inclining.

(35) Again as to the doctrine, that from the text of Manu's 'the "father shall take the inheritance or the brothers."

Another position based on certain texts

"Of him who leaves no male resue," as well as from that of Sankha "The wealth of a man, who "departs for heaven, leaving no male issue, goes

"to be brothers ; in their absence his parents shall take, or his eldest "wife". The rule is deduced that the wealth of a man leaving no mile save goes to his brothers; and from the text? "Let them provide for "the support of his women for his," it also becomes established that the wife obtains (as much) wealth (as is) sufficient for her maintenance. This better so it follows that he are he having no male issue.

(Contd from last page)

The above construction between the three texts and does not introduce any conflict such as would result in an epison

But the opposed a regard that "We exceeds that the first is a general rule or Valk, and the second is a special negative V-disk by way of an exception. But the exception is as regards the Northern Alter," and not as to the landling of fire which may be landlind under the general procedure lead down in the Northern Alter," and not as to the landling of fire which may be landlind under the general procedure lead down in the Northern Alter, and the Northern Alter, and the Market Northern and the Substitute of the Court of the Court of the Court of the Northern Alter of

In short, what they maintain as that all that No 2 hars down as that the Northern Albert should not be established in the case of the Seriodiser and the Sundaringa questers. This does not product the hindling of the first, which has been presented quite generally in No 5. Thus they say, here there is a virtual conflict between the text, and the filter is easy for the united optime (*risinga*) to previal, and taking advantage of this, they contend that the northern alterhoods be constructed in the Pairie fare and the Samérary quantum.

To the the Adddhintor of the upholicar of the curved opinion, ruly this method of argument arroters the famil of "unatablenes as the present the "this lawbamp", and cannot therefore be allowed. For, the opposents curvering No 1 as a "queenf rule of "fails" with No 2 as an exception. They construct a span or a "general me" you bely up to No 2 which they now construct as an absolute rule creating contradense. They get the fars by the fail was not allowed about present as an absolute rule creating contradense. They get the fars by the fail was well allowed about present and commit therefore to allowed.

As stated at the beginning of this note the resider will find a very local exposition of this in the short but clear statement in the Subadian pp 67-69. Tr pp 168-174

According to this maxim, it would be wrong to construe a rule in one way in one place, and in quite another way to another place

1 Ch IX 180 2 Of Narrde Ch XIII 26

the wife takes as much as is adequate for her subsistence, and the rest the brothers take, but (that) if the estate he barely enough for the support of the widow, or less thruthat then to provide (for the case of) a confact (which might arise) we whether the wife alone should take 5 or the brothers also, the text! "the wife, the daughters &c" has been propounded to demonstrate the potentialty of the (claim of the) first

Answer does not tolerate For he miterprets the text3 'Of

"hun who leaves no male resue the father shall take the unherstance, to "or his brothers" as laying down an option and not as laying down an option and not as laying down an order (of succession) but only as indicating the right (of persons) in the matter of subentiance, and that that text becomes applicable when the group of hears such as the write and the rest fail, and also that the text of Sankha relates to re united brothers 15 (35) Moreover, it does not appear either from this text or from the

and also that the text of Sankar relates to 7e billion broughts

(36) Moreover, it does not appear either from this text or from the
context that it is relative to an inconsiderable estate. If (the concluding portion of the text is.) on failure of the first among these
"the next in order is heir" be restricted to the case of a small
property, by reference to another passage in two instances (viz. of)

20 the widow and the daughters, but (be understood to) reliet to

the widow and the daughters,' but the understood to) relvet to wealth generally in the case of 'the father and the rest' the course quent defect of the variableness in the precept referred to above affects this interpretation. So this is' a mere trifle (37) As for the text of Harita. "He a woman become a widow in her youth, be all 29, "behaved," mattenance must in that case be given to her for the support' of life that too is intended for a den all of the right of .

1 Of lara II 130 see p 106s

^{2 1} s \usaarapacharya (Subodhius & Balambhatis)

³ Of Manu Ch IX 18.

⁴ On page 94 1 v for तास्यान्यामध्यायभनतियस्य प्रकार स्थापे विद्यायस

⁵ In They should provide for their maintenance. & hands Ch f

C This वस्ति विश्व does not appear in the translation by Mr Colebrooko

⁷ www.hawlote Col brooks translates Lead strong! Mr Mandlik translates as "M a woman becoming a widow in her youth come to be suspected a unchastity &c (p 70 M 9 10) Bajambhasjin ren lors it as greene! The die

widow suspected of incontinency, to take the whole estate; (moreover) from this very passage it is apparent thit a widow, not suspected of ms conduct has a right to take the whole property (38) And with this same view, it has been said by Sakhai. "Or his senior wife."

*Page 95 Being senior i e senior or supernor in qualities, and useuspected of mountainery, she takes the whole wealth; and like a mother, maintains any other (wife of her husband who is) lill conducted This all is unexcentionable

(39) Therefore it has been established that a wedded wife takes the whole estate of a man, who, being separated (from his co heirs) and 10 not subsequently re-united (with them) dies leaving no male issue.

(Contil from last page)

reference to a woman. This sense is also to be found in the following couplet from the lexicon Vites (शिक्ष) cited in the Remainant on Americae (III S 217) 'कर्फर, कुछ दुर हुगों सिने हो। असे कार्याक प्रकारक विश्ववेति ''

B is donred from the two words कर् (कुद्र हिंद बस्) and कहा (कहा तने) वह साले कहार 'I lle vide is aggressive as well as hard. The speadaten given above would thus be appropriate. Vijikactions i explication of this term is तहिए संकित्स "Guinceted of incontinency" and inst 'incontinent'. The supposed sup-

caused by her general behaviour and manners

In Sanderina vs Luerniërs 2 Bem at p. 606 Westropp C. J. while considering
the best, doubted wheeler that lexi applied to manatonance. See also Valu vs
Ganza T. Rom at p. 58.

I say: emport.—There is a special meaning in the expension eventure-exert (Adaptica) is derived from the world of to wested). Mark the expression which includes that the delivances is to be given to him to to much for the support of life with a view to its extension or prolongation had it is to be given till the life worst away. The meaning is Let her have a subsistence till the surfal labor water stay?

ਪਹਿੰਦੁਗ਼ curiched, ਕਰਮੰਤਿਕ unprovided These expressions must be construed in the sense of 'indigent', as opposed to 'possessed of means' arrespective of the sources of provision or non-provision. See Manuf & upon vi Andric K 47 All 403 following 23 Bern 222 and the cases in 2 All 561 and 4 All 243

2 '1 s from this it is clear that the rule that a clearte wife takes the whole inheritance is also spyroved of by this writer? Balambhatti p 200 1 21

See Vinayakras va Luzmiba 1 Bom H O Rep (O O J) 117 at p 122

! Colebrooke Sect II 7

Right of the Daughters and Daughter's Sons 1

(1) (On failure of her, the daughters (mherit)) The plural is used in "the daughters" to suggest the equal or The daughter unequal participation of daughters alike or dissimilar by class)(2) Thus Kalyayana avs "A wife takes the "estate of her husband se such a one as does not lead an incontinent " life, and in default of her the daughter, if she be unmarried then " And also Brhaspat? "The wife is pronounced (to be) the successor to "the wealth of her husband, and, in her default, the daughter As 10 "a son, so does the daughter of a man proceed from his several "hmbs How then should any other person take her father's wealth?"

(3) Here, however if there he a competition between a married and an unmarried daughter, the unmarried one takes the succession under the specific provisions of the text above cited, tie "In default of "her, the daughter (takes) if she be unmarried then " (4) Moreover, If the competition be between an enriched and unprovided daughter, the unprovided inherits, and on fulure of such, the enriched one succeeds) For the text of Gastama' vi 'A woman's stridhana goes to her 'daughters, unmarried or unprovided' is equally applicable in the 25 case of the father s estate (5) (Nor, must it be supposed that this relates to the appointed daughter for in treating of the male issue,

According to the Mitak-bars the right of a daughter is based on con superumsty and not religious ment as is the case under the Daya Bhiga under which no daughter could inheret maless she was capable of bearing male issue who would tien offer funeral oldstoons to the maternal grandfather, so tist widowed daugi ters having no male issue or daughters who have an incapacity for bringing say but daughters into the world are excluded under the Dan Bhaga (Bio Ch XI 2 1) Pramila Deer vs Chandra Sekhar 43 All 450 in this respect the Smrti Chandrika in giving the remon of this rule appears to adopt the same line of reasoning (See Ch XI seet H p 12) But the Madres High Court held this to be a merely moral precent and has followed the Bombay roling in Adayappe vs I adresed 4 Dom 104 at p 111 in Sammens Amend vs Muttemmed 3 Mad .205 at p 209 See also I community a lainneysper 31 Mad 100 at p 108, and also I mayobrat va I tembar I Ilon. H C Rep (O C J) at p 120

Drughter's daughter's sun is preferable to the easter's con Achmethan Pollor vs Arrangeth : "8 Mad 238

² Cb XX1 55-a0

³ Ch XXVIII 22

an appointed daughter and her son have been pronounced equal to an Aurasa son (by the text") " Equal to him is the putrika-sutah"

(6) By the import of the particle chu, "also", on failure of daughters the daughter's son succeeds to the estate. \ Thus

Vishnu2 says. "If a man leave neither son, nor The daughter's sons "son's son, nor issue, the daughter's sons shall

For, to regard to the (right to the) performance of "take his wealth "obscuries of ancestors, the daughter's sons are considered as son's "sons" Manu's likewise declares, "By that male child, whom a "daughter, whether not appointed or appointed, shall produce from

1 Yesh II, 128, see page 1045 l U

2 Referring to this parsegs, and to Dayn-Blaga Ch XI sec II pp. 18-20. the Madras High Court remarks in Korupin Nachir vs Sanfar Nara jana Chatte. 27 Mad 300 at p 311 --

a The difference in his (s e daughter's son's) position under the old law "and the present law is that under the former if he is the son of an appointed daughter (and only one such daughter can be appointed) he becomes by a fiction "of law the son or son's son of the motornal graddfather, and as such, a member of the grandfather's family, and is not a member of his own father's family" (see note 3 on n 1946 above) " Under the present law he is a member of his own father's family, but he is regarded as being also as good see a son's son to his maternal "grandfather", see also the observations of the Court in Jamigatram vs Bas Jamas. 2 Bom H C E (A C J) 11, at p 14 sqq as to whether n daughter's interest during the lifetime of a widow is a vested one or a merely contingent istate. See also Vulhelree vs Rame co 24 Bom 317 = 2 Bom L R at p 16 and Multiwoods ganatha Tetar va Persteum, 16 Mad II, at p 16 to 17 3 Oh IX 146

4 Here the claim of the daughter's son to the estate of the maternal grandfather has been demonstrated Balambhatta argues a claim for the daughter's daughter on the analogy between succession to the stridhous and inheritance of the naternal estate (See Balambhatta p 267 H 16-29 & p 223 I 26)

There is no support in Yajinavalkyo-Smrti for the right of the daughter's daughters as here coming immediately after the daughter's sons. Nor is there any direct authority in the Mitakshara But Balambhatta refers to the special text of Yannavalkyn (II 145) on the succession to Stridhama and to the text of Narada viz "a The daughters take the sender of the property of the mother," and maintains that on the same grounds the inclusion of the daughter along with the daughter's son in the particle che 'and', in the line of succession is proper "And," adds the author of Rajambhatta "this is proper also, as in the case of a succession to strickers, the order is daughter daughters son, grandson &c , so

(Contd. on next page)

[Colebrooke Sect 11]

Right of the Daughters and Daughter's Sons-1

(1) (On failure of her, the daughters (inherit).) The plural is used in "the daughters" to suggest the equal or The daughter unequal participation of daughters alike or dis-

similar by class.) (2) Thus! Kâtyâyana says: "A wife takes the "estate of her husband, is such a one as does not lead an incontinent, " life; and in default of her the daughter, if she he unmarried then." And also Brhaspati2. "The wife is pronounced (to be) the successor to "the wealth of her bushand; and, in her default, the daughter. As

1ñ "a son, so does the daughter of a man proceed from his several "limbs. How then should any other person take her father's wealth?" (3) Here, however if there he a competition between a married

and an unmarried daughter, the unmarried one takes the succession) 15 under the specific provisions of the text above cited, use: "In default of "ber, the daughter (takes) if she be unmarried then " (4) Moreover, (if the competition be between an enriched and unprovided daughter, the improvided inherits; and on failure of such, the enriched one succeeds.) For the text of Gautama' are. 'A woman's stridhana goes to her "daughters, unmarried or unprovided" is equally applicable in the 25 case of the father's estate (5)(Nor, must it be supposed that this relates to the appointed daughter for, in treating of the male issue,

According to the Mulikshavi, the right of a daughter is breed on consanguinity and not religious merit, as is the case under the Daya Bhaga, under which no daughter could inherit unless also was carable of bearing male issue, who would then offer funeral oblations to the maternal grandfather; so that widowed doughters having no male issue, or daughters who have no meanurity for bringing may but doughters into the world, are excluded under the Days-Blagd (See Ch. XL 2 1) Prarula Dereva Chandra Selhar 43 All 150 in this respect the Smrti Chandrik's in giving the reason of this rule appears to adopt the same line of reasoning (See Ch XI roct II p 12) But the Madras High Court held this to be a merely mural precess and has followed the Bombay rating in Adayapps vs Ludrama 4 Bom 104 at p 111, m Samman, Ammel vs Muttammal 3 Mad -265 at p 269 See also Vederand vs Veterangege 31 Mad. 100 at p 108, and also Pennyalear vs Jurinitas I Bom II O Rep (O O J) at p 125 Drughter's daughter's son is preferable to the siter's son Kalimuthan

Filles vs Ammomuthy 58 Mad. 238

² Ch XXV 55-50

³ Ch XXVIII 22

an appointed daughter and her son have been pronounced equal to an Aurasa son (by the text) "Equal to him is the putald-sutah"

(6) By the import of the particle cha, "also", on failure of daughters the daughter's son succeeds to the estate. Thus
The daughter is sons Vighnu' says "If a man leave neither son nor

"tale his wealth For, in regard to the (right to the) performance of cobseques of ancestors, the daughter's sons are connidered as son's "sons" Manu hieverse declares, "By that make child, whom a "daughter, whether not appointed or appointed, shall produce from

Yajn II, 128, see page 1015 1 9
 Referring to this passage and to Dêna-Bhâsa Ch XI see II pp. 18-20.

the Madra High Court romarks in Kernepa Nicher vs Sandar Narajana Chitti, 21 Mad 300 at p 311 —

"The diff, tenes in his (* a d'aughter's son a) portion ender the old he was the present law is that ender the forms if he is the son of 10 appeared d'aughter cau oil p'one such d'aughter can be appeared, he bownes by a fixien "of the wile sea or son's son of the material grandfather, and as such a member of the grandfather's family, and is not a sentier of him one father a family's as note 3 on p 1016 above). "Under the present law he is a member of his som father's family, whit he is regarded as being abo as good as not's son to his material "grandfather", see false the chievratesia of the Coart in demysteria with 18 material "grandfather", see false the chievratesia of the Coart in demysteria with 18 material during the histoine of a wildow as a visicl one or a morely contingent value. See also I taladow as Remeas 24 10 ms 11 = 2 from 1 R at p 16 and Materials and 11 at p 10 of 17.

3 Ch IX 130

4. Here the claim of the daughter's son to the estate of the insternal grand father has been demonstrated. Balanchitta argues a claim for the daughter's daughter on the analogy fetwers nuccessor to the strabases and inheritance of the paternal estate. (See Balanchittip 207 H. 16-29 & p. 223 ! 26).

There is no support in Yapiarnilym-Samet for the right of the despiter, daughter is a here coming immediately after the daughter's cost. For it there any direct anthenty in the Mitchelans Dat Balambhatjerviter to the special text of Yapiarnilya (II 145) on the secremon to Eirzhanian of the leat of Nariah vir. "The despiters take the resolute of the propriety of the mother," and maintain that on the series ground the malasim of the daughter along with the daughter is not in the particle Act, and I rate than of succession in 18 propriety. And," while the author of Balambatti thas as propriating, and in the case of a execution of malason the daughter is son, granded a.e., so

ā

"(a husband of) an equal class, the maternal grand-father becomes "the grandsire of a son's son; he shall offer the funeral cake and "take the estate."

[Calcbrooke Sect. 111]

[Right of Parents] (1) On failure of these (heirs) the two parents to the mother and the father are successors to the property.

(2) Althought the order, in which parents succeed to the estate, do

(Contd from last some)

" in the case of a succession to the father's estate, the son, grandson, his soc, " widow, daughter, daughter's son, daughter's daughter &c would be the order " inferable by analogy, having regard to (the deetrion of) propinguity Vishinesvare " also having referred to the toxt of Gautama (Ch.XXVIII : 22), viz "n woman's "stridians cost to her daughters whether married or unmarried" as being "aggally applicable in the case of the father's estate, this right of the daughter's "daughters to succeed as also approved by hou. Otherwise, the daughter's "daughters having been referred to first (a e an the succession to the mother's "property) and there being no reference to them, nor their inclusion here, it " would come to their entire exclusion as heirs at all " And so Balambhatta mountains that the depositer's daughter as also an herr immediately after the daughter's son And this is proper

West and Bulder in their Handa Law [third Edition p 130 (c)] have a note on this subject. It does not refer in this line of reasoning of Rahanhbutta, and I unconvincing as a ground for excluding daughter s daughter Forther on, at p 477, a procedent from These has been quoted, in which the answer simply states in general terms that the recend consine and the grand-daughter are not bears

The exclusion of this class of herrs vs. daughter's daughter from their proper place in the order of succession would thus appear to be not based on sound reasons Act II of 1929 has now definitely placed her in the line of heirs

Mark the following passage from the Subodhius which serves as a very good introduction to the persage in the Matakabara See Suladhlin p 70 ll 31-33 Indeed, on the absence of the daughter or the daughter's son, the parent's right of succession is laid down Hewever, as the term 'parents' Pitaren is in the Planesha uncresidual compound form a c retaining the one member of the compound and omitting others, the question would arise whether the parents take compointly or severally, and again whether the order of succession is optional or regulated and fixed

(Could on next page)

not clearly' appear, since a 'conjunctive compound' is declared to present the meaning of its several terms at once, and the claseded is regarded as an exception to that, still as the word 'mother' stands first

(Contd from last page)

To this Vijilinesvata gives an answer that of the two perents, mother and father, the mother has preferance Has reasons may be summitted thus —

- (1) In dessolving the compound word Petersu the word Wata comes first
- Liven when the claimin compound is not need, and the ordinary
 Distribution is used, as in Mainfredam, the word Main comes first
 The text of a green the name order, whether the express or the
 - implied seese is taken
- (4) In the case where a man has several wires and expiral sons by early stife, the propaganty between a particular row and his mother is greater than between him and the failer? See Balamtharty. Subclinia attempt an explication on the same lines as adopted by the Mrith-Monta.

This is not quite clear. Vijbanctura any this become the father is a greater permedity, exceeding both is required expensely, therefore the law greater prompally, exceeding both is required consuments. So ends further on Abeldian is Baldian, C. Both 511 at p. 541, the select propagatify above having the fath of Both of the propagatify above having the fath of Both of the Baldian in the fath of the selection of the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the selection of the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the selection of the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the Baldian in the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the Baldian in the Baldian is a selection of the Baldian in the Bald

NB Mollior does not saclade the step-mothes. Para Naul vs. Surgans 18 All at p. 224

The propagatty less referred to as different from the propagatty elembers in the Middishard See Mitheleas to I ames 21 Bom 317

1 . . from the text of Yappavalkya If 195-136 above p 1005

2. According to the rule of Figure (11 2 29) the Devioles compound is found when two or more words occur with the import of it compositive and vide little is not compound to red limit is not corp; combination of words passed logistic if the compositive partial leaf, and "that goes to make up the deviation compound. The compositive character to make the our running in the late to the the two poses to make the greatest form (agree). (2) Colliserations of it is now (army). (3) confirmation (agree) and (1) Conditions (except) and the only in the late two of the maning that the partie is for the rule up as deviate receptoral.

The "tention invitable is a recess of the downless or conjunction compound in tentil in tunns, the case traveller. It is a compound to will be one member is retained and all the others are consider, the case member will be invitable long expressive of all the a that are writted a first a granter content to the compound of first parameter within its enemgented of the variety of the compound of the parameter within its enemgented of the worst than

in the sentence⁴ in which the compound is resolvable, and also (since) in the case where the clasesha (compound) is not used, i e in the regular2 compound expression (matthputaran, 10 mother and father). the word mother is stated first, it follows from the order of the 5 terms and that of the sense which is thence deduced, and according to the series thus presented in answer to an money concerning the order of succession, that the mother takes the estate in the first instance, and, on fasture of her, the father (3) Besides, the father is a common narent to the other sons also, but the mother is not so, and since her 10 propagatty is consequently greatest it is just that she should take the estate in the first instance conformably to the text? "to him who "is the nearest among the sapundas, the inheritance shall belong". (4) Nor is the chim in virtue of propinguity restricted to samindas

(Could from last pract)

Mild the said the, only one word viz puter is relained and it is expressive of the other which is dropped will best, ore other instances. It may be noticed thus, that secording to strict grammatical interpretation any plural form is an instance of class the, e g and appropriate This chaiseha is optional and the regular form may be retained a guinstead of Pitarau (akasasha) it may be Maianiarna (dwandwa) See Panini I 2-70

1 Vigralia is the dissolution of the compound and n Harnin walks is the sentence in which the descolution of the compound is brought out. The compound expressions minrous as well as Maid paterns are both resolvable into the component elements in the same way . . Mate che pite che Mother as well as the father !

2 See Pammi II 2 84 This Sutra states the rule that a word form which has fewer vowels is placed first in a dwandwa compound and the Hartila on this enumerates several cases in which certain word forms are placed first e g names of seasons and stars countstang of equal syllables, a word consisting of light vowels a word expressive of the more honourable of the two (जागहितल) &c , are placed first and this last class is illustrated in the Mahabhashya of Potangali and in the Adiila Veth of Wamana by this very compound word viz Matagetarau

3 Of Manu Ch IX 187 See Babulat vs Nonlinial, 22 Cal 330 at p 346 Chennasami Pillar vs Kunyu Pillar, 35 Mad 152 at p 158, 100

Saganda See note (1) on p 1071 (Supra) Colebrooke translates Ennamen allied by funeral oblitions and Somewhorlaka as kindred connected by histians of water ¹¹ Sea Umand Bahadar va Udor Chand & Col. 119 at p. 125 intention to water (1 B) as regards the meaning of the terms superiod are pages 124 to 127 where the Court observes of p 127. These considerations leave no teem for doubt that the author of the Milatchara has used the word superiod here not in the sense of 'connection by funeral oblations' but of connection by funeral oblations but of connection by particles of one body as defined in the Achiralizate (Verse 52 &c) " See also Lakihmanammal vs Truverseyade 5 Mad 211 At hp 245 only but on the contrary, stappears from this very text, that the rule of propinquity alone is effected, since even in the case of the sandhondatas and other relations, a deant of mheritance ansess without any! exception (5) Therefore, since, of the two parents (the mother and the father), the mother alone is the nearest in propinquity, it is more proper that she should take the estate. But on failure of her, the father is successor to the property?

[Colebrooko Sect IV]

*Page 96, (Right of the brothers)

(1) On failure of the father, the brothers' shall share the estate.) 10
And likewise blanu' says "Of han who leaves no male issue, the father" shall make the inheritance on the brothers'

1 अरिश्चेत्र : e without any differentiation or distinction, quite generally

2 The following note by Mr. Colebrooks is amportant. The nonmentator Belambhatta is of opinion that the father should inborit first and then the mother, upon the analogy of more distant kindred where the paternal line has invarishly the preference before the Maternal kindred, and upon the authority of several express passages of law Norda Pandsta, author of the continentaries of the Mita kahara and on the instrintes of Viebna had before maintained the same openion. But the older commentator of the Mithishera Vinchera Bhatte has in this instance followed the text of his author in his own treatise couttled Medana-Parisala, and has supported Yajnavalkya's argument both there and in his commentary named Subodium Much directity of opinion does indeed provail on this question Seriors maintains that the father and mother inherit together and the great majority of writers of eminence (such as Aparas Ia, and Kamelalara and the authors of the Smrt. Chandrila, Mades Rains and Fystafara Mayukka &c.) give the father the preference before the mother Januaraham and Raghunardans have adopted this doctrine But Vacharputi Vitra on the contrary concurs with the Much thand in placing the mother before the father, being guided by an erroneous reaching of the text of Verben (Sect 1 & 6) as is remarked in the Parametrodaya The author of the latter work proposes to reconcale these contradictions by a personal distinction If the mother be undividually more venerable (See Paning II 2 35 p 1092 note 2 above) than the father the inherits, if she be less to, the lather takes the inheritance

5 Norden-Produkt and Bilinahletts sattenged the term broklet at mechanisms also Vick Friend 2 88 According to these, the institute received first, and in their default, the risters see Balandhettin 2008 II. 25 77 So. Vicepat vs. Leistandes 2 Dom. H. 03 (10 0 2) 3117 et p. 22 where the contrast as to the states "being unchold in the term "broklets" in referred to and this cased. See also Arben Rev vs. Bene Chemics 24, 31 29 et p. 30. Chemistic states of the states "being unchold as the term through 24, 31 29 et p. 30. Chemistic Lakis Stage, 35 All 568 March 24 All 270 According 24 Dom 503, and Dispuss vs. Wireles 30 Bon 504, and Dispuss vs. Wireles 30 Bon 509, and Dispuss vs. Wireles 30 Bon 500.

(2) As to what has been argued by Dhâreswara', that 'under the following text of Manu: "Of a son dving Dhâteswara's "childless, the mother shall take the estate; and, if

argument

1091

"the mother also be dead, the father's mother shall

"take the heritage", even while the father is living, if the mother be 'dead, the father's mother 2. 6. the paternal grand-mother, shall take the succession, and not the father: because wealth taken by the father may go even to sone dissimilar in class, but what is inherited 'by the paternal grand mother, goes' to such only as appertain to the same tribe; and that therefore the paternal grand mother takes the 10

estate.' (3) This even the Holy leacher' does not assent to: because the heritable right of sous even dissimilar in class has Not approved of

been expressly ordained by a passage above cited;5 by Visyarûpacharya "The sons of a Brillmann (in the several tribes) "have four shares, or three, or two, or one etc." 15

(4) As to the text of Manut: "The property of a Brahmann must "never be taken by the King; this is the settled rule", that intends the sovereign, and not a son? (: e of the late owner by a woman of the Kshatriya family)

1 Vaffanesvara here takes notice of an opinion propounded by certain writers which is contrary to what has been stated above, about the succession of parents Dhareswara is one of these, and the author sofules this opinion and reverts to the subject by a retrospect analogous to the rule known as "the lion's look" (figuration)

Oh IX. 217.

"Recease of the wealth he regarded as taken by the grand-mother, it toward become a maternal estate and would go to sons of the same tribe, while a "father's estate would devolve on all the sons " Subadhan & Balambhatts This 15 a clear index of the meaning and extent of Stridham according to Vantaneirara It will be seen, however, that this distinction has no longer any force under the case law, as the grandmother succeeding to a grandeon only takes a widow's 'estate', and on her death succession would be by reference to the last male holder. See Gandle Massalal vs Bas Jadab 2 Bom L B 574 at p 583 = 24 Bom 574 Man vs Changement 8 Med at p 119-126

4 2 c Viswarepšchärya, see Subodhim and Bilambhatti

5 . c Verse 125 at n 1033 6 Ch IX 189.

Here, the author intends to avoid a confusion which might arise on account of the term Kakatrays undicating generally the ruling class. The author explains that the text intends the problemation of an eschent to the sovereign, the ruling satherity—and does not prohibit a son of a Brahmana from a Kahatriya wate from taking

- (5) Among brothers also the utermet brothers take the inheritance in the first instance under the text? "To him, who is the nearest "sanuda, the inheritance shall belong", since the non-interine brothers are remote through the difference of the mothers
- (6) If there be no uterine brothers, those by different mothers inherit the estate
- (7) On failure of brothers also, their sons' share the heritage in the order of their respective fathers
- 1 Colsbrooks translates half blood and whole blood for from and fiter Cols brooks adds in bracket (or whole), the expression in the Milakshara is Sodara or persons (born) of the same uterus, and the question would be of imperiance in the case of those among whom re marriage of women is allowed by law. For in such a case, there might exist brothers who are 'oterine,' but not 'of the whole blood !
 - 2 of Mann Ch IX 187

See Subsammana va Sua Subramanaya 17 Mad et p 320 Vithelese va Ramesa B Bom L B 154-157-24 Born 317 and Bhaguan vs Warubas 10 Born, L B 389-32 Dom 300 where this passage has been referred to

- 3 According to the Vaudhba, the brother's son comes in after the brother He does not agree with the counton of the Mitak-hard as here expressed f see Mandhix p 80 11 29 35,), see Harules vs Rauchchoddes 5 Bom L R 516 But time rule does not go beyond brother's chaldren Chandela Bulsh vs Muna Luar 24 All 272 (P. C.) The stricter role of the Matakalana was applied in Kerrs vs halla Pratad 32 All 541 (T B) where an nucle of the half blood was given preference to the son of an uncle of the whole blood
- 4 This expression has received considerable judicial notice especially in Madras and Allahabad, the opinions expressed in the two courts differing from one another

According to the view expressed in Mindres, the expression this son' organ does not include 'grandson' See Surays vs Lalshmanarasamma 5 Mad 291 Chanasams Piller ve Lunga Piller 35 Med 152, and the view seems to be in agreement with Subodiana (see p 74 Il 23 26, see also Mr Mandhic's note p 360) A contrary opinion has been expressed in Allahabad see Aslan Rai ya Ramchawlra 24 All 126 Budha Singh vs Laltu Singh 34 All 663 at pp 667 and 675 See also Karhiba vs Mortehour 35 Bom 389=13 Bom I. R 5a2 In the pudgment of this case the word 'line' has been interpreted to extend to 6 degrees, and reference is made to Bhush Ram Singh vs Bhush Ugar Singh 14 M I A 373 at p 394 following what is known as the Harrington theory As to the theory thus propounded by Mr Harrington, see Mandlik pages 380 383, its practical working out is not free from difficulty, fore West and Buhler's Hendu Law 2rd Edition p 124 seq.) The remark

(Contd on next page)

- (8) In case of competition between brothers and brothers' sons, the biothers' sons have no title to the succession for the right of inheritance of brothers' sons is declared to be on failure of brothers.
- (9) When, however, a brother has died leaving no male issue, 5 and the estate has devolved on his brothers generally, if any one of (such) brothers die even (yet) before a distribution of their brother's estate takes place, his sons do, in that case acquire a title through their futher, and it is fit therefore, that a share should be allosted to them in their father's right, at a distribution of property between them, and the survivage brothers.

[Calebraoko Sec V]

[Succession of kindred of the same family name (agnates) termed Gotraps or Gentiles]

(1) If there be not even the brothers' sons the Gotrajas' take

m 3: Benn at p 392 extending the line to six degrees was not called for by the facts of the teas and a tenunds be said that the rid in Hembry has been satisfied. As an illustration of the consequences of this diction are Ah adacharys W Gesundesbrya 13 Bern L B 1000. All ones Whing J in Probaser's Kellengyri V H Bern 170 at 171 And that chains a distringed by reference to the paternal ancestor by distinction have do not be ground of blood hiving no place before it as curriculty in receiption. Ben 200 at 181 and 18

Sahm 32 All 541 (F R)

As between a nephew and a grand nephew no right of representation exists and the former excludes the latter Sher Singh vs Beader Sideh 50 All 904

1 See Des Purched vs Tholur Deal 1 All 100 at p 112 and Jacoda hoer vs Sheo Pe shad 17 Cal 33 at p 37

2 See the rule of Yas havalkya H 120 (2) on p 1017 11 23-24

3 Gottyper-Dax word is made up of the two parts Gates—gan, or family, and Ju-born Review one on we belonging to the family Colstrode, has termal block it as "gantiles, whole is 2 M. I. A at p. Jol is the botten modered as "Gotto distant paternal Kramene." It should be remembered that the word has a special technical minars, p. 4th lack lot and as r. p. ar 101 the ene on the sach kernes they are better moderated as their original form than by any equivalent of it is in the same to talk magazing.

The term Sojetr may also be remembered along with this term. Generally both signify the same thing but strictly and inscribt there would be a slight difference between the two. For, the first means and indicates those who are born in the

(Contd. on next page)

the estate. The Gotrajas are the paternal grand mother, the Sopondas' and the Saménadaias (2) Among these, the paternal grand mother takes the estate first. Because, (although) the paternal grand mother's succession immediately after the mother was seemingly suggested by the text 2 "And if the mother also he dead the father's mother shall risk the intrace?" no place, however, is found for her in the compact' series of hers from the father and others to the nephew, and the text. 'The father's mother shall take the hereinge' is intended simply to indicate her (general) competions for in

(Contri from last page)

(same) family while a sugatro is one belonging to the same family. And this difference becomes important in the case of the female Sepandes some of whom are of the same family : a f sacotra) though they may not be down in the same family, o g a mother a wife a daughter in law, while others are Saunday bern in the family (gotrais) though they may not continue to be of the same family (sagora) e g daughter, a suster See La lubhas vs Cosmbas 5 Bom 110 (p c) at pp 122 120 = 71 A 212 p 234 286 where the terms Samudas de are retained in their original form Getra is not exactly on a par with the Rossan Gene though the general principles underlying the two are the same. In the case of the terms Section and Getra is there literal meaning and technical significance should be particularly borne in mind Thus o g the father e mother who has been pointed out by Viendnehara as the first in the line of the Getrams is a cotram under the technical accontance of that term 1 e Segone but of its literal meaning (goten+10) one born in the family) be taken, she is not So per costro a slaught r or sister though by reason of their b rith are goingers (born in the family) number the literal signifi cance of that term still according to ste technical acceptance are not colvered because by tenson of their marriage they are not sagatras of the same family 1 Samudas and Samanedakas There two terms have been explained

further on in the test of Michelbaras geoling from Parim Nime (p. 101) ill-cits. The disquales referred to here are purious or appoints on special and the distribution of the disquales referred to here are purious or apports segments and not vay departed covered by the definition of the first mass grown by Nyunestran. So no not 2 to p. 1691 (supres) 2 Of Monn Ch. IX. 217 (see p. 1694 ill 4 & 5 supres).

3 Compact series of home is r. The expression on the Michelbara is referred to the distribution of
Complete street, and the experiment of a secretarial plan here first (can an aphalarty). This meaning is that—This mether takes as an hard model of the experision. Platons particles a mother partial and the experimentally after her comes the father by writes of the compound expression. Through the bestell under the father the whole 'extend plattice of hear coding with the 'Estima' comes in leaving no room for the grandonther to exter and then at a thirt has necessarily his to wait till a gay is left for her to enter. See Makes lee we Krishnelse o Born 59f at pp 625. 6.03 heritance. She takes immediately after his son' in the line2 of succession; and thus there is no contradiction.

1 Tatanta-s e the son of the brother See note 3 on p 1095

2 The line"—The original word is somfan—which literally means something which is going on continuously (sem + time). How for this time' extends his bord, is sliped of mind-decision, and creatly, this question has attracted considerable attention to Courts in Tudin, especially in Allehabed and Madras, and in one care this Doubry High Court appears to have expressed a view in line with Allahabed rive, the Court in that every extending the time's to seem degrees.

According to the Sukedhau the 'line' would appear not to extend beyond the 'statesta', the expression used by the Middlends, and Mr. Manthih. In an allaborate made has municipated this wors, whosh would appear to be the proper raws. Each note above on p 1005. See also the following cross. Robers Goward 1 Ben. 105. Yutholose vi. Romero 24 Ben. 317—2 Bens. L. R. 167. Endlangsh vi. Lalinanghau All 105 at typ. 067-078. Chamateans, Pillen vs. Kury v. Pilla. 25 Med. 102 at p 105 Austin vi. Merchinero 55 Ben. 350—13 Ben. L. R. 552. Inluthou vs. Cars. in 8 Ben. 131 Universays & Mal 205.

Sheiramappa vs Neclmendas 67 Bom. 277

Dece vs Ahushalya Dres 17 Lah 354 358

In the appellact decrease of Bulbonay as Latte Suph 4.1 A 2028 the Pury Commit have held that the word Febru as used large must be understood on a general sure, as an the case of the incent day were the control of the decreased, and that held little the proclase of a most lead presery were its so, of a grandonist In a later case Scotzenist vs. Naturays 85 Mad. 61 the Madras Ligh Court, capturing the state of the coloring the other parts of the coloring the other parts of the coloring the coloring as an invariant to three degrees only. In the second case of Japays we Moderals 22 Bear IR 700 (F 3) his same part cases softwarely for a desirance, but no dured what degree should be word "and, say the lateral to a colori heaving we what degree should the word "and, say the lateral to a called Heaving Purps Babble Scotze as East Februar Babble Scotze as The Scotze
Uther the topassion and arms.—in the line of a succession immediately after the total There are variations in the reading of the privage in the Mitakehars, these are noticed by Balamblatta (Sep 211 II 20-22)

The compact series of heirs ends with the brother's son and does not include brother's grandson. Therefore a daughter-in law has preference over the brother's grandson. Appenies. Malaniai 32 Bom. L. R. 709 (F. B.), 54 Bom. 504

Act H of 1929—According to this Act, the son's daughter, daughter's daughter, sider, and arter's sen, are declared as herrs immediately after the father's father and before the father's brother

The Luchinov High Court in interpreting this Act has held that the Mitkhark has been separeded by the Act, as regards subres both where they were regarded a heart hotter, as the where they were of sor regarded. Hangeon Dr. vs. Acidia 8 Luct. 510: It has been held that the Act supplied to estates of those who thick defers the Act cames mits force it this critate weekled in a female who was tive when the Act cames mits force it. 2 Lit. February 1999, and the openion of inhelizate by recommon opened effect that date, Sainment Scheimtella

- (3) In the absence of the paternal grand-mother, however, the supradus born in the same gabra (with the decresed), such as the paternal grand-father and others, inherent the estate; for suprivides of a different gabra are undeated by the term Bandhus (4) Among these, moreover, on fulture of the father's line?, the paternal grand mother, 5 the paternal grand those, the paternal grand them, the paternal uncless and their sons, are successively bers to the estate.
- 1 Sco note above and Lailubha va Casaha 5 Bom at p 192-7 I A 236 Suguma va Sudacha 25 Bom 110 at p 713 Umand Rabadar va Udichand 6 Call 119 (F B) 14 p 120 Relitaron va Ranchendar 24 All 128 at p 131 Soo observations in 13 M A I A at p 378
- 2 Obshrooke translates, "In the absence of the paternal grand mother, the repress, 'American' to run the mass family with the decrease?' and arguests connoted by funces lobstrons, amusly the paternal grand coulder & "Balambiarta musts that the grand father takes before the grand mother (Seep 21111 23 33). But the Marythin does not upport hum here as as the case of the parents Balambiarta's passening, however, is not counteding. "The commendator faces occasion be conserve on anterpretation which correst."
- ponds with that of the Minishan's another coro in the following section (8 0 § 3), and according to which the cognite kindred of the man jamestl, of his lather and of his matcher are the sense of his father a sister and so forth became it would follow, that the father's sister's son, and the rest would inherit, although the man's own extree and sister's sons were frame. Balandshitt, incover, respel this objection by the rement, that the sister and sister's sons have been already noticed as sent in accession to the brother and hyether's sons. Which is indeed Nonda Pandita's own doctrine.

"The adds, "after the hears above mentsomed the sikules or distant kiniman is entitled to the succession meaning to relation in the fifth or other remote degree."

"This whole order of succession, it may be observed, differs materially from

that while yet or succession, it may be oper-up, unore minerally from that which is tength in the text of the Multipliera On the other hand, the outloo of the V is amiredaya has exactly followed the Multipliera, and so has Kansidiana, and it is also confirmed by Müllen deharque in the V generalize Müllere, as well as by the Karti-chandrid

"That the nullear of the Fygenthira English contrads for a different sense of hers after the brother s son "Irt, the potential grand mosther, 2nd the satter, and the potential grand father and the brother of the half blood, at equally meaof kim, 4th the paternal great grand father, the paternal much, and the son of a brother of the half blood sharing tengther as in the same degree of thinty." He

(Contd on next page)

- (5) On fulure of the paternal grand father's line, the paternal great-grand mother, the paternal great grandfather, his sons and their sons (inherit) In this manner must be understood the succession of samudas' of the same goira, as far as the seventh (ascendant)
- (6) If there be none such, the succession devolves upon the 5 samilnodakas, and these must be understood to be seven (in degrees) havond the sagindas; or else, as far as the limits of knowledge as to buth and name extend. As says Brhan-Manu" "The relation of the "sammins ceases with the seventh person, and that of the sam inodakas "ceases (after extending) as fu as the fourteently (degree), or as 10 "some affirm, (it reaches) as far as the memory of birth and name "(extends); after that is indicated by (the term) gotra"

(Contd. from last page)

has not pursued the enumeration further, and the principle stated by hun-ernearness of him does not clearly indicate the rele of continuation of this serios-" Onlehrooko

Having regard to the importance of the point involved and having regard to the divergence of views expressed in India in anite recent time, the necessity of a definite decision on this point will seen arise, when the authorities referred to above wall be of use

- that of the deceased
 - To the same effect is Manu Ch V 60 It runs thus

सरिष्टना त प्रस्थे सतमे विनियतने । सपानीहरूमात्रस्तु जापनामारनेदने ॥

The Vyavahara-Mayelha refers to this passage, Tr 'The Samula relation ship ceases with the seventh person [in the line] and that of Samanolalas (s. e those connected by an oblation of water) ends when births and names are no longer knows " Mandhk p 82 Ji 10 13

Relying on this and other texts it has been held in Bar Declare vs American Jamustram 10 Bom 312 at p 379-380 that the word Samenalaka includes dos condants from a common ancestor more remotely related than the flucteenth degree from the proposities

See also Umaid Bahadar ve Udas Chand & Oal 119 (F B) Mars vs Chinnest mai 8 Mad at p 128 Ram Baren Lal vs Kamla Prasad 32 All 504 at pp 597-598 whore it was held that a Samanulata is a nearer heir than a bandite, and Bhush Ram Singh vs Bhagat Ugar Singh 13 M I A at p 380 halqauda vs Somappa 33 Bom 669 at p 683 Sen also Jadanath Kuar ve Bisheshar 50 I A 173 at po 189 199

* Page 97

Of the succession of cognete kindred or Bandhus 1

- (1) (On failure of the Gotrapas, the Bandhus succeed to the estate Bandhus moreover, are of three kinds, the Ātma-bandhus (or his on do bradhus), the Pitr-bandhus (or his father's bradhus), and the Matr-bandhus (or his mother's bandhus), as has been declared? (by the following text) "The sons of his own father's aster, the sons of
- 1 Georgies and Emaltin—See note above Noth and Seguinies, has the former and Sepandes of the stame points or Sepandes, while the latter are asymptotic of a different person of the following person of the second sections of the second section of the section
- N B In Bombay Widness of getraja sepsendes succeed after the males in each line There tike has expise and not her strayer Rollane as Vision 12 Roof 2 Bilambhatt assigns this text to Vision Safrings (see p 2141 28), but it is accepted to Roudingson in Vision 12 Roof 10 Roudingson in Vision 12 Roof 12 Robbiothesa India Source 1
- Braddus—The commerction of headen gives here as not channism in it only limitative of Gridwar Let v. The Broyal Generates I'M IA. 445, Maintees are Kradmain 5 Bom 697 sty 501 Letamounual v. Treasagnad 5 Mid 261 at p 255. A contrary raw was represend in Americana vs. Kenyamani 13 Mid 10 at p 13, but having sugart to the discusse of the Privy Concest in 23 Mid 3 and 18 Mid 10 at p 13, but suited above, the seasoning of the decreme in 13 Mid 10 would been not to happy low, erns to Medica, not does it "decrement the order of succession is between coveral lines within each of the same of Jeachins' per Jackius C J in Seyms vs. Salabuta 4 Bom L R 551 at 9.500—28 Bom 17.500.

In Gografiar vs. Game Stantar 54. All 698 (F. B.), however, the Allhabadi ligh Court has held otherwise. According to at the classes cannot be alread to 1974). In cort to succeed as Randha 11 must be proved that a mutuality of relationship as Bandhar was established (2015). Therefore a father stater's 1974 daughter's some said old not to be a bentiable Bandhar (21th 4). See also pages 701-719 and 719-735.

The sensor inclinenting of a Jossifiar course offer five degrees from the common

The sapenda relationship of a sounds comes of the first and degrees from the common ancestor. Ramchandra Martand Vankarva Vinayak 42 Cal. 384

The sen of a half easter of the father has preference over the sen of a mater of the mother, because the former confers greater spiritual banetit than the latter, Journal of Nath Roy vs Negardra 33 Bom L. B. 1411 (P. O.)

The step-son of the step mater of a male is not an heir Samuntha vs Angaismal 45 Mad 257

Father's brother's grandson succeeds before the brother's great-grandson Venkuterson Roo vs Adamaroyana 58 Mart 323

- " his own mother's sister, and the sons of his own maternal uncle,
- "should be considered as the Atma bandless (or his own bandhus) "The sons of his father's paternal annt, the sons of his father's
- "mother's sister, and the sons of his father's maternal uncle, should
- 5 "be known as his Petr bandhus (or his father's bandhus) The sons " of his mother's father's sister, the sons of his mother's mother's sister. " and the sons of his mother's maternal uncle should be known as his
 - " Mitte bandlus (or his mother's bandhus!) "

(2) Here also, by reason of near affinity, first the Aima bandhus 10 succeed to the estate, on future of them, the Pur bandhus, and on their failure the Miltr bandless (succeed) This must be understood to be the order (of succession here intended)

I Colebrooke Sect. VII 1

[20f the succession of strangers upon failure of the kindred]

- (11 On failure of the bandhus, the preceptors, and on failure of him, the pupil (succeeds) according to the text of Apastamba 1 "On 'failure of the sons the nearest sagunda (mherits), on failure of them, the preceptor, on failure of the preceptor, the pupil (shall take the wealth)" (2) It there be no pupil, the fellow-student is
 - 1 The three kinds of leadless mentioned here are not mentioned in America (see p 745 Anandastants No 45), see however Mayukha (Mandlik, Bk p 50 The Tr p 82 1 28 &c)
- 2 N B Now follow the principles of succession of strangers. It may be noted that this line cannot be reserted to unless and until all the sagetra and other relations are exhausted. See Lakshmanonmal vs. Thru songada 5 Mad 241 at p. See also Uned Bakedur vs Udes Chand 6 Cal 119 16 Bom
- 3 Acharya 10 the word in the text for the English word proceptor There are other terms indicative of the same such as Gurn Upadhya, at, Rivel &c , but there are minute distinctions in their import. See Yajnavalkya I 34 35. Tr pp 126 127 Manu Ch II 146 14s, where all these terms are explained and the importance of each is indicated. See also Amarakom II 7-(6-7)
 - 4 Dharmasutras II-6-14-(2-3)

the successor He, who received his investiture, lessons' (in the scriptures) with their meaning, and thus equired knowledge proceeding therefrom from the same preceding therefrom from the same preceding.

(3)(If there he no fellow student, some learned and venerable parest should take the property of a Badhanan according to the text 5 of Gautama') Strotrygs or venerable prests should share the wealth "of a Badhanana who leaves no male issue" (4) For want of such a successor, any Badhanana (may be the hear), As says Mana'. "But 'on faultre of all (the hears) Badhanans (shall) share the estate, such "(Badhanans) as are versed to the three Vedas, (and are) pure and 10 "self controlled, thus the law is not violeted"

(5) (Never shall a king take the wealth of a Brālmana, note the text of Mām 3. The property of a Brālmana shill inever be taken by a king, this is settled law. It has also been said by Nārah. If for the wealth of a Brālmana, on his demise, there be on here, it must be quyen to a Brālmana, (acting) otherwise, the king becomes "tanted with an."

(6)/The wealth of a Kaharaya and others, (however) in the absence of heirs down to the fellow student, the king may take, and not a Brillmana) As says Mana' "But, (the property) of other 20

The expectation in the first as Adhyayara—attady. The study of the Vedex
to the most important part of a Man a editention, and an Adhyayara necessarily
includes their study. In fact adhyayara means per carellater the shally of the

" closses on fulure of all (heirs), the Ling may take"

Vedas 2 Sectrops-a learned Brahmains and not a more Brahmans. Note the following ক্ৰমেনা নাহলী ক্ৰিল স্বভাইনিক কৰন 1 বিশ্বাসন্থা কৰিছিল জীবিকলৈদিবৈ চি য

The derivation of the word is explained by reference to two rules of Grammer by Panini 5-2-84 and 4-2-59 the result of which is that the term is applicable to such a Bushmana as has mastered the whole Vedes love

⁸ Ch XXVIII 39 4 Ch IX 188

⁴ CH LA 100

⁶ Otherwise— s of he takes it humself (wee Bellambhatte p 216 l 7)

Ob IX 189

Vîromitrodayo

Now, in the absence of the twelve sorts of sons, the Author discusses those who would talle the property

Yataavalkva, Verses 135-136

Applicant, Of one without a suc, a e without any of the incive sorts of sons, and without a grand-on or a great grandson. somy dassa. one departed for heaven a c who has died, of the wife and those others stated, in the absence of the one prior feach one next in order uttarollarch, becomes dhenabhit, fentitled to the property collin, 'rule, regarding the succession to the property of one dying 10 without issue is count in all classes , sama carneshu

There first the wife is entitled to the property, such a one, however, is to be understood to be one who less been consecrated by the samment of marriage, vide the rule! "the substitute 7 replaces the "final e of ca before the feminine affir t when the word so formed دا ' indicates 'a wife who takes a part in the sacrifiers of her husband .' Here hatvavana states a special rule "A wife takes the property of her "husband who is not unfaithful failing her, however, the durghter, "if she be unmarried then . It the wife, bowever, be unfaithful, Narada' states a rule "In the absence of the son, however, the daughter, 90 ' as she has been declared to be equal to a son ? "When the wife " does not exist the immerried dirighter of the same class as that of the " father, succeed to the property', vide the aforestated text of katyavana

Some say that this has a reference to the appointed daughter That is not proper, since even when the wife is living she is entitled to 20 the property, since under the text of Vasishthat wiz "The third is the " butried herself , has been regarded as a son. Also this text of Brhacoatil " From his several lumbs is produced, like the son, the " daughter of a man, when she berself is fiving how can any other take " the property? (56) Equal in caste (to her father) and married to a man 30 n of the same caste as her own, virtuous and devoted to service, whether " she be appointed or not appointed as a son, she has been considered " as entitled to take her father's property (57). Here, moreover, the marriedness is qualitative of the appointed only and not also of the not appointed even, vide the text of Katyayana, so hold the Southerners
As a matter of fact, however, the meaning of the word daughter here viz 'not impotent, not appointed, mentally regarded as a daughter is without including her among the sons in an equivocal manner

¹ Of Grammar, IV I 33 2 Ch XIII 50

³ Dr Jolly reads general record 4 Ch XVII 15 5 Ch XXV 56, 57

20

25

From the original text, "women are not entitled to an inheritance "is they are without vigour", and by the contraction and limitation of the text of Manue "Of a sonlessman the father shall take the property. "or the brothers even , this way would be in pursuance of the usage of the Marthelas

Pitarau, 'the parents', ie the mother and the father These by reason of the two texts, 142 "Wenk etc and also by reason of the order in the text of Katvavana, ws. "Of him (dying) without issue, the wife of a good "family, or the daughters also, in their absence, the father, the mother "and also the sons, have been declared (to be hears), although, (the property goes) to the father, and in his absence the mother takes the wealth, it is clear that this is so under the text of Vishau' viz "sonless man, the property goes to the wife, failing her to the daughter, "in her absence to the father, and in his absence to the mother

Thus therefore the statement of Matakshara* "I hat because in the "solution clause of the compound the word mother happens to be placed "first, and also because as compared with the father shedges not happen "to be the parent of a child born of another mother and thus having a "common propinquity is doubtful 3

Failing the mother, the utenne brothers, in their absence the brother's son, and in his absence the golraja sapindas, sabulyas, and the rest necording to the nearness of their relationship. In the absence of these the Bandhus technically described as "The sons of one a "father a sister, the sons of one a mother a sister, and the sons of one a "maternal uncle are to be known as 'one s own Bandhu s, Atmabandhus Failing these Sishyah 'the pupil, in his absence the Sabrahmachari 'co-student . 1 e one who received his initiation and the study of the pedas from the same preceptor.

Here, by the use of the word cha, 'also, is included the right of a step brother in the absence of the uterine brother, by the use of the word tuthd, 'smularly , in the absence of the Atmabandhus, those of the Parbandhus, and in their absence, of the Matrhandhus as technically defined, and in their absence also that of the Preceptor's right to succeed By reason of the nearness of relationship also under the text of Apastamba" In the phseuce of the preceptor the resident student is the statement in short Narada" "Even on failure of all the heirs, the Brahmanas shall share the estate, such as are versed in the 'three Vedas (and are) pure and self controlled, thus the law is not "violated The property of a Brahmana shall never be taken by a King, "this is the settled law , but of other classes on failure of all, the King "may take (135-136)

² Ch IX 185 3 Ch XVII 48 1 Tat S VI 5 8 5 Text p 95 1 18

⁴ Boo p 1092, 1 7 above 6 Dh S II 5 143

⁷ See Manu Ch IX 188, 189

S ûlanûnî

Now the Author mentions the distribution of the property of one

without a gan Yalfiavalkya, Versee 135-136

1106

Anatrausa, 'Of one without an issue,' dying, among 'the wife' and 5 the rest, in the absence of the one preceding, the one succeeding becomes [the hear] So Vishnu! "The wealth of one without a male "issue goes to his wife, in her absence to the daughter in her

"absence to the mother, in her absence to the father, in his absence "to the brother" and so on This moreover is applicable to those

10 who are cound So Brhaspatic "Although the Sakulyas, the father, "the mother, and the uterine brothers exist of one who has died without " Issue, the wife is entitled to take the estals " This right of the wife even when the brothere exist, has reference however, to the wife endowed

with eminent good qualities. And the quality concists in the (performance 15 of the) truddle, maintaining (unsulfied) the bed and such other rows So (eays) Vrddha Menu "The widow of a childless man, keeping mentilled her husbands bed, and persevering in religious observances.

" shall berself present his funeral oblation and obtain the entirety of his " estate " In this manuer also " Of one who has died without issue the 90 " property goes to the brothers, in their absence the perents shall take, or " the senior wife," this text of Paithinasi, has reference to a wife who is devoid of qualities and who is guilty of adultery. The text of Sankha

are "They should make provision for the maintenance of his wives till "the death, provided they preserve unsulfied the hed of their lord. They 25 " may, however, cut off in the case of those who behave otherwise, ' should

also be taken as applicable (in this manner also) to this subject "In the absence of the sons, however, the daughter as she to consily

"remarded as in the line the son, se well as the daughter, both are effective "in percetuating the lineage," this text of Narada' has a reference to the 30 Putnidouira So Brhaspatis 'Equal in caste (to her father) and married to " an equal, virtuous and submissive Whether (she was) appointed or " not appointed as a son, the daughter shall take the father's property "

5 Ch XXV 67

the Putrikaputra

Vijuanchara cites this as the text of Behadesphus 1 Ch XVII 4-8 aco p 1067, 11 8 11 (above) 2 Ch XXV 48 3 In the With later this text has been cited as of Narada see above p 1008,

^{11 9 15} and A deada XIII 26

⁴ See Narada XIII V 50 where the reading is तुन्दासतासकारणात् 1t should be noted however, that this text occurs in the Smrts of Narada in the chapter in which in an earlier stage (Verse 2) the order of hours given is, sons, mother, daughters in their shaceco, their sons Sulapara takes it as having a reference to

The meaning is, whether she was intended to be made an appointed doughter or not

"The parents and brothers likewise "—(In this) the right of the parents when the brothers with an argard to the property acquired by the fattler, grandfattler den. For what was acquired without determent to such amoustral estate, even when the parents exist, such property belongs to the brothers. As says David. "Thereafter, the property of a sonless "man, the uterme brothers shall divide, or even the daughters equal (in east), or it has believed, the father also "Among these ric the wife and the rest, in the absence of the one prior to the one next in order. Gone to haven vit dead (135-136.)

[Colebrooks Sect. Vill [

[On succession to the Property of a Hermit or an ascetio]

(1) It has been declared that sons and grandsons' also take the ddya, and that on failure of them, the widow and others (succeed)

This is an exceptional rule stated specially to be applicable in the case of hormits &c , see Gayray Pers ve Achaider Pars 16 All at p 195 Mayne on Hinda Law \$ 506 West and Buhler's Hindu Law p 532 In The Collector of Duceu vs Jagat Chander Consums 28 Cal 608, an application was made for letters of administration to the extete of a deceased disciple. It was opposed by the Collector of Pages on behalf of Government But the report does not give that grounds of the opposition, nor does at gare our details. The High Court (Maclean U J and Banerice J) confirmed the order greating the " Letters' as prayed for On this passage Mr J C Ghose observes at p 788 (2nd Ed) With all respect to the learned judges, it should be observed that according to limbu Law, the ordinary case of succession should apply to that strange sudividual the married secretic Indeed, eccording to encient law ascellars who have returned worldly ways, are slaves of the King and their property in etrictness belongs to him " (citing Norada) The report does not give good ground for these observations, nor, it is submitted, are the observations putifiable on the general enstemery law prevailing among the hermit sects such as the borrages govern de Hee also Changer vs Diean 20 All 109 at p 162

2 Mr. Ookbrooke solds after grantiones in bestelet, if or great grantiones of by adjung upon Millambitat whe regreates the nucleons of great grantiones of be storegist of the computation Class also. Upon this framidation it has been observed as \$7.5 c.1.2 M. J. A. Belaga E. Brooke via Bloga (Page Stept. - 17) and to not conceptuation of the words or great grandoms in the text of the Millshahat, shows that the translation of the superior sold to highest order junction of the inchange of the superior junction of the inchange of the configuration of the great grayfone, summer manufacts. here we the approved destroy of the late glass of the subject to Blownian of the great grayfone, women manufacts. here we the approved destroy of the late glass of the superior destroy

The Author now propounds an exception to both these .

Vâniavalkva, Verse 137

. / Of a hermit of an ascetic, and of a life long celibatist, the heirs to the properly are in their order, the preceptor, the virtuous' pupil the spiritual 5 brother and associate in holmess.

- (2) Mitakshara Wanaprasthasya of a hermit, yateh, of an ascetic, and brahmacharmascha and of a luctona celibratist, kramena, in their order, to in the inverse order, acharyah, the precentor, sachchimhyah a in tuons mand, dharmalihratrankatuthi cha und a spustual brother and associate in
- 10 holiness also are successors righthasya to the property, i.e. of the wealth (3) The Lafe long reliatest must be a professed or perpetual one. of a temporary student however, the mother and the other hears alone take And the preceptor is declared to be the heir to professed student as an exception (4) A virtuous pupil, however, 15 takes the property of a wate or ascetic Sachchlishyah, a cirtuous pupul
 - The condition of being virtuous is a general requisite for a pupil. The precenter and the smiritual brother become successors only if they are virtuous, and so the qualifying clause has been placed to the middle (see Subolding p 74) and so Vimanessam observes further on that even a precentor, if ill-conducted does not become entitled to a share
 - The terms 'hermat' and 'assesse ' are severally used for the two words " Vansprastha ' and 'Sannyasa' which indicate the distinct orders
 - See Balambhatta p 244
 - i For the full significance of this term approach its distinction from the other terms of smillar support see Yam I 31 and 35 and Manu Ch H 140-140 (was note 3 on p 1102 above)
 - s a Amadalala as do impreshed from the Upaka conn It is derived as जिल्ला समा नत्या न सदायनम विद्यारि (निहान्टक्)

A perpeptual religious student who continues with his spiritual preceptor even after the prescribed period, and rows life-long abstinence and charity See Yajnavalkya I 49 pp 140-141 abous in Part I

An I palser and -10 only a temporary student Ha is in a state of pupilogo for a limited time wishing to I iss on into the state of a householder (whatke)

6 is to the claim of inhuntance of the niether and other heirs (see Subodhini p 74 I 32 Bajambhajtl p 244 1 243

again, is one who is assidnous in recurring! Jessons in theology!, in retaining the same, and in practising its ordenenes. For, a person whose conduct is had is naworthy of the inheritance, were he even the preceptor or any like other. (5) A spiritual brother and associate in holiness takes the property of a visuprasth. kermit. Dharmshiráli, a spiritual brother, is one who is engaged! as a brotherly companional Entirthi, an associate in holiness, is one appertations to the same hermitage. One who is 'a spiritual brother' and also 'appertains to the same hermitage' is 'a spiritual brother' and also 'appertains to the same hermitage. One who is 'a spiritual brother' and also 'appertains to the same hermitage' is 'a spiritual brother' and saged am holiness'.

- (6) In the absence of these rize, the preceptor and the rest, an associate in holiness alone takes even though sons and other (natural) heirs exist.
- (7) Indeed by the text of Vasible' ms.: "But those who have maked into another order receive no share," there is not even the right of undertance of those 150 who have entered into another order; how can there be a purition of their property? Nor has a professor? student a right to his own acquired wealth for the acceptance of donatures and other means of acquisition are forbidden to him. And since Gastama' orders thus "A mendianat shall have no heard," there is no possibility of the soil. 20 acquired wealth in the case of a mendianat shall.

^{1.} Mr. Colebrooke translates "who as assedance in the study of theology &t." The cruptual specifies three dustants stages of the "study" are of (Saurans) laterange to the lectures or receiving the lessons, (2) distance—relearing them and (3) Todachibas utilities—practizing its ordinances infectionally.

² The original is average Adhystra as a that which addresses itself to the souls a Philosophy That portion of religious study which refers to the study of Philosophy

^{3 , ,} who has taken a vow or undertaking , and who has been succepted as a brother (see Subodhim p 75 l 0 Bilambhatti p 245 l 2)

^{4.} To the enquiry as to who should be the hear to the property of a Brahmachârs, Tait and Vânayoutha in the absence of the hears named above, the Author has mentioned the Elairth "an associate in holianes" (See Subodhin: p 95 1 7.)

⁵ Ch XVII 52

⁶ निहरू । o he cannot claim anything as his soft acquisition. The expression is the Mitchishard (p. 97-1-29) is ल्याजियनसस्य Suffried damatembandleh

⁷ Ch III 11

1110 Mitákshará Víra – A lezmit m nj lave jar porty Colebrooke h vin 8 5 Žájšatalkya Vere 17

(8) The answer is As for the Vanapravila, a herinit may have
The Answer

The Answer

"Vanapravila" may make a hoord of property, suffi-

"cient for 1 day, a month, six months or a year, and in the month of
"Asym he should abandon what has been collected," he certainly may
have monerty. The mult or, "ascetic", too, under

"PAGF 98 have property The gate or, 'ascene', too, under the text' "Or he should wear clothes to core his "privy parts, and he may also take the requisites for his austerities," "as also sauddle", may possess dothes books and other requisites 10 The professed student also can have clothes and other property for his bookly sustainace (3) And it was therefore proper to explain the distribution of such (property)

Viramitrodaya

After mentioning the successors to the property of a householder, 15 the Author mentions those of bermit etc

Yajaavatkya Verse 137

Under the text "one should meas wealth, and the woulth thus
'amassed, one should give over in the month of Avim, the property
which a tanafrasilia, 'hermit, possesses and under the text' "one may
20 'take the requisites for the yoga practises, as also sandals, the property
of a yati 'an ascette, and the property of a Brahmachari, 'a cellulate
student, such as dicthes and such other things for covering (the body)
the charers of that are respectively the deharys, 'preceptor, and the rest
te in the abspace of the prop, the new tone et in order.

25 Acturyo, 'the preceptor, sachelhishyal, 'a virtuous pupil, i c a pupil capable of listening attentively and assimilating the knowledge of the soil, chatchle, 'accounted to not wook has received his learning from the same preceptor, and the same also a brother in religious practises, as the preceptor who is equal to a father, was the same so (for both) (137)

Of Yajinavalkya Prayaschitadhyaya 47 (p. 196 ll. 15-16), allo Monti Ch. VI. 15

² of a Smrts—author not known

³ Logacombharabhadh—the different articles required for practicing logs to the works treating of the same (See Sahodhadip 76 1 G Dalsonbatti p 245 1 15)

Śŵlapani Yamayaikya Verse 137

Districted rit: a spiritual brother as also eksi ili: an associate in holiness — thus it is a karandi āru a compound Eksi rii an associate in holiness : e one who has a common preceptor. The rest is clear (187)

i Colchrooke Sec. IX 1

On the union of kmarnen after partition]

(1) The Anthor next propounds an exception to the inle that the wife and certain other heirs succeed to the estate of one who departs for heaven leaving no male issue.

Yajnavalkya, Verse 138 (1st 3rd and 4th quarters)

A re united however shall keep the share of his re united? (parcener) who is deceased, or shall deliver it to one born?

(2) Matakuhara —Effects which had been divided and which are again mixed together, we termed re united He to whom such 10 appertain is a similar in a similar in a similar person indifferently but only with a father, a brother or a paternal uncle as Brhapath' declares "He who being

fonce separated dwells again through affection with his father brother or piternal uncle is termed re united *(4) Tasya Samsrihinah 20 of such give a matter parcener the share or allotment must be given by

1 Next : a after laying down the special rule of succession in the case of herm is &c the Author proposeds mother rule which is also of a special nature and is thus an exception to the general course of succession given in Yajiavalkys. II 125 and 136 (ii 1005)

2 The construction letter is tarse and also involved. The first and the second quarters make in themselves independent clearers. But these again are neverally connected with the two verbs adags and apphase in the third quarter which again are alternatively connected with the two qualifying expressions purposed entrapy and the control of the control

The translation given here is as adopted by Colobrooks The Minkkihara takes the first quarter by itself and the 2nd 3rd and 4th quarters togother separately So that as put by Vijiannervara the translation would read thus (Verse 158 1st quarter). Of a re united however the re united

(2nd 3rd and 4th quarters)— Of a ster no brother however a aterine at all deliver as well as keep the stare (to) one who is born or (of) one who is

deceased

3. Renn on—Must le preved as a fact. Therefore according to the Mitakibara
Mittes to be used on it he these who were peatings to their fall partition and also
that only father involves and only considered in tenter. Form Nerron Chardleng vs.
Partners 73 Born 144 (P.O.) 14 Paina 288. Essentia Autorica vs. Jogender
And 33 Ctd 30 LT

4 Ch XXV 72 (Secred Books of the East Vol XXXIII p 381)

the surviving re united parcener, to a son subsequently born, in the case where the widow's pregnancy was unknown at the time of the distribution. On failure of male issue the re united parceners alone shall take the inheritance and not the widow or any other heir

(5) The Author states an exception to the rule that a re-united member shall keen the share of his re united parcener

Vâmavalkva, Verse 138 (2nd quarter)

But an uterme2 brother of his uterme brother

20

(6) Mitakshara -The expressions of a re united, a re united are 10 to be (taken as) understood here. Hence, the allotment of an utering remuted brother who is deceased, shall be unlivered by the surviving nterine re united brother to a son born of the re united On failure of him he shall retain it. This is the construction as before. Thus if there be uterine and non uterine brothers together, the uterine isunited brother alone will take the estate of a uterme re-united parcener and not the non uterme one even though reunite | Tl s thus is an exception to what has been said before

S Glapant Yajnavalkya Verse 138

The re united has been described by Brhaspati' He who laing

"(once) separated dwells again through affection with his father or brother or naternal uncle such a one is termed re united Saverelt of Of the re united such as the brother &c who is dead an eret! the re united such as the brother &c shall take the property Scalaras at he Of the utering brother however se (who was) re united todarch the interingbrother reunited alone shall take and not a step-brother If the re-united die after conception was produced then upon the birth of the child in the womb his property one should give to him alone also

1 The augular number is undecause of the whole class of remarted parceners

4 Uh XXV 72

Colebrooke adds in bracket (or whole) but the expression in the text is solorsh meaning he who was born from the same womb. The term interine may mean the same as whole in these cases where women marry only ence But it cannot be an universal equivalent as it is possible for a woman to have

several sone from different husbands and such sone although they would be pierine brothers or solerah will not be whole brothers A nterine brother though not re united succeeds to his brother who had sepa rated and had re united with the father Bad a vs Waihu a 6 Luck 450 (F B) 3 se born subsequently to the death of the considered the widows pregnancy not having been netweed then

This (rule as to the) right of the reunited is (applicable) in the absence of the son wife father and mother as says Brhaspati! If ony "one die or order the fourth order on any account he property will not lapse his rejuncted brother not being a step-brother shall take his proporty (13).

(7) Next in answer to an inquiry who shall take the succession when a re injuted parcener dues leaving no male issue and there exists a half brother reunited and an interne brother noir emitted the Author delivers a reason why both shall take and divide the estate

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 139

A half brother, being re united may take the successou but not a half brother not re united but one united (by floot though not by parrenary) may obtan the property though not re united and not (exclusively) the son of u different mother

Mitakthara (8)—Anyodaryah a non uterme brother, i e a brother liboto of a nyal wife being samerith a re united parcener, takes the centre, no appearance of the matter of the normal uterme brother does obtain the goods who as not re united. Thus by the tests of the additionative and the negative reasoning re union has been shown to be a reason for a built brother a soccession to the property

(9) The term 'not re united is connected also with what follows and hence even one who was not re outled may take the world of a (decessed) re united (lelative). Who is he? (so) the Arthor sive Samarshial one suite? is one united by the identity of the words in other words an iterme brother By this it has been declared, that relation by the identity of the words is the foundation of the (right of) succession of an uterme brother though more re-

^{1.} Ch XXV 4. 2. This text also admits of several constructions 3. In analogy of the rule in Wadhymans. Vogs 4 or the maxim of the central perel or Maddi As Vogs—the a crew booking two ways.

^{4.} Upon the passage the subset of the Subolima reservice in this manner at fair 1 recogn for 1 senter in the formst phill these aboves the property of 1 as on and a son though not a muthed the formst way the solution of 1 as on and a son though not a muthed subset mercure as some of the settle of the fair form as a custod perspect on an asymptot of this osciences in a relative from a set much perspect on an asymptot of this osciences in the strong terms the propagation at X 8. This behavioral strong that we should be the form at most of the strong terms of the forms of the forms of the strong terms of the forms of the

For Mayukha see text pp 67-67 & Tr pp 118-127 Gharpure's editions

united in coparcenary (10) The term "united ' likewise is connected with what follows, and there the term 'muted' signifies one who is 're united' (as a congreener)

Nanyamatrjah, not the son of a different mother, this expression must 5 be interpreted by supplying the affirmative particle et a, 'alone', understood te although re-united, one born of a different mother cannot exclusively take the wealth of his re-united purcener

(11) Thus, by the occurrence of the word am, 'though', in the expression 'though not re united (above p 1113 1 10), and by the denial implied in the restrictive affirmation era 'alone' understood in 10 the expression "one united (by blood, though not by parcenary), and "not the son of a different mother" (above p 1113 li 10 11), it is shown that the property should be divided and distributed to a whole brother not re united, and a half brother who is re united; , for the reasons of the right of succession of both subsist at the same time (and independently)

(12) This very thing is made clear by Manul who after premising partition among re united parceners "If brethren, once divided "and living again together as parceners make a second partition etc." "declares, 'should the eldest or youngest (of several brothers) be

deprived of his allotment at the distribution, or should any one of "them die, his share shall not lapse. But his uterine brothers, and "such brothers as were reunited, and also his uterine sisters shall

assemble together and divide his share equally "

(13) Thus among re united brothers, if the eldest, the youngest 25 or the unddlemost, -'at the distribution'-during * PAGE 99 the distribution- (for under the rule of grammar) the indeclinable termination in denotes any case a c at the time of making the distribution-be degraed a e forfest, his own shere, on

account of his entrance into unother order, or by the offence of 30 Brahmarde or by any other like cause, or if he be dead his share shall not lance, but shall be set apart, and not that the re united parceners shall take exclusively This is the meaning

1 Ch IX 210-212

² Cold rooks translates futurane brothers and sisters " The expression is externsh which may admit of sisters, but these have been again mentioned in the last clause

15

20

The Author states the appropriation of the share so set apart "
"his uterine brothers shall divide it &c." That share so set apart,"
his uterine brothers are those born of the same womb even though
not re united, "having assembled together"—i.e even though they
had gone to a different country, still returning thence and assembling
together, they should share it; "Equally "i.e not by any measure
of greater or less shares. Likewise those brothers who are non uterine
(but) re united, and also the uterine asters, all these should divide
(and take) equally. Having divided equally, they should take
This is the clear meaning.

Viramitrodava

It has been stated that 'of one who has departed to heaven without issue, the successors to the property are the wife, etc., the Author mentions an exception to it

Yūjānvaikņa Verses 138, 130

Samrahit, the re united, "Ho who being once separated, dwells "agun with his father, brother, or paternal unde, is termed re united this stated by Behaspatt", one who has property in re union and take the property of another member re united who has died without a mule seen, and not the wife also of the re united.

Idiasya, 'of one born, re one who was in the womb at the time of the death of the re united and who was born afterwards, to such a son of the re united, one should give the share re the property belonging to his father

Of one, however, with whom the uterme as well as the non uterme aborther have re united, the wealth of such a non, however, the interinger united brother alone will take and not the non-utering result of the product of the such as the property of one who has died without leaving a wife and daughter, and not, that when they are living, a non uterme brother Monestory, the meaning is that this particular rate is based on propanguity by relationship, even though it is not particularised by a state of resumen.

See above page 1114 1 22

Oh XXV 72
 বিষয়মম্পিয়ন

⁶²

By the expression abricha, even moreover, the Author adds the exception to the rule established before by the text of Vasishilia was "When, of an unserpreted brother a mierine brother exists, the wife "and the rest shall not take the property By the word tu, first used 5 the Author discriminates the right of the wife etc to take the property.

by the second use, the tight of the se united paternal uncle etc. to take the property, and by the third uso, the absence of the right of the non separated non uterine brother to succeed to the property. By the use of the several chas, 'ands, the Anthor adds the right of the re united even 10 if the wife etc be existing, and of the uterine re united brother alone although a non-utering to united brother be living, and although a reunited co parcener he living, the right to the property to be given to the

Śûlapâni

son harn after re union (138-139)

15 Yājānvalkya, verse 139

A non uterine re united (brother) shall not take the property of a non uterina (brother) , Asomatel type 'even though un reunited' a prerine brother alone

shall take, not however, a re-united step-brother Some expound the word 20 samerel ta 're united,' as 'united in the uterus, a a a uterino brother In the reading 'not a new uterane shall take the property' the mean

ing is that if he he a non uterine brother, he shall not take the property This is with the object of declaring the right of an un re united utering brother Therefore it is not open to the fault of tautology After re union, if a partition takes place, the share shall be equal-

25 as says Manu! "If brethren, once divided and living again together "as parceners, make a second position, there the distribution of shares "shall be equal . in such a case a deduction for the eldest doce not exist."

Brhe spetis etates a special rule ' If among reunited conscioners any one should acquire additional property through learning valour or 30 "other such means, to bim an additional share must be given, and the

"rest shall be squal sharors (139) hote bera ite difference at epinion between Ralapani and Poffie

refere according to whom a non reunited aterine and a re-united non-pterme brother take together. This he does by implying or after avanger (see] 1114 I ! shore) While bulgans does not imply my and takes the expressions excluding the non sterine brother entirely 2 Ch. XXV. 77 . · Ch IV, 210

l' Colebrook Sect X 1

Of exclusion from inheritance l

(1) What his been said respecting the succession of the son the widow and other herrs as well as the re-united parcener, the Author states an exception to that

An impoftant person, one degraded and his issue, one lame a mad man, an idiot a blind man and a person afflicted with an incurable disease, and (like) others, must be maintained excluding them from any share

- (2) Mitakshara -Klibah an impotent person' i e, one of the third gender Patitah, one degraded, te a Brilingide or a like other Tajjah, his tastie, i e one born' of an outcaste Panguh lane, i e one deprived of (the use of) his feet Unmattakah a mad man se one affected by any of the various sorts of insanity proceeding from air, bile, or phlegm from delirium or from planetary influences Jadah, an edioi se a person deprived of the internal's faculty, meaning one incapable of discrimi
 - 1 Adys Colebrooke translates es well as others (smularly dispushed) Whether naturally so or by castration Coloh coke citing Balam

bhatta Narada gives several varieties of impotency see Ch XIII 10-13 and Balam p 250 3 So a widow who became a mohammedan and re married was held to have

forfasted her interest in the husband's property Fitta Tayaramma vs Chalaland's Sunyya 41 Mad 1078 (F B) I e of one who has not performed the requisite penance or expision

(Balambhatti p 2:01 13)

It should be observed that each disability except ng that of being degraded is Each of the persons spe ified here is montioned as being personally successmentat to inherit except the desiraled in whose case alone it has been stated that has incompetency extends to his issue. ' See abservations at pages 282 282 ın Gengu vs Chandral hegabat 32 Bom 270 See al o Ram Sahye Bhakut vs Lalla Lalm Sahus S Cal 149 at p 152 and note

5 The distinction between a meriman sametta, and an idiot Juda is nearly the same as these words convey by their import in the English language An annutic or a madman is one whose intellect has become completely over powered by some external force or unfluence which has established absolute away over his faculties. Such a man has no interval of sanity at all, while a jude or an idiot is not a elately means but he is one who has become to dell in intellect, as not to be able to discriminate things which are advantageous to him from things which are of no advantage of all (See Balumbharta p 250 1 14)

Incanity need not be congenital Wathasams vs. Meanstonal 43 Mad 464

ñ

nating right from wrong Andhah, a bland man, it e destitute of the visual organ. Achkitsparogah? afflicted with an incurable disease, i. c. affected by an irremediable distemper such as marasmus and the like

(3) Under the expression "Like others' Adya, are comprehended one who has entered" into unother older, an enemy to his

1 The bindness referred to here means the bindness which is congenital.

See Balmchintin p. 20. 31 15-16 and the judgment of Westropp C. 31 in Messys.

Goldalas vs. Terrestich 1 Bom 177, where the opinions of Status recorded in

West and Balbark Hurbu Law on the subject was exemined, as also the original

in texts in the Multiplant and the Mujulika.

So doning must be congenited Sauterbays Gansin 51 Bom 50 and it must be shown to be incurable

And dumbness of congenital well exclude. Bharmappa vs Vijjanganda 46 Bom.

But see Ut Dilray Kusri vs Rileshwar Rom 13 Pat 712 congenital Handness does not exclude. The rule has become obsolete Sarayya vs Lubbaranu 43 Mad 4 2 Achilityorogo—chilatse as the treatment of a ilysease after determining

its cause &c
Liprocy which is not rongenital, does not debar the verting of the birth

right and therefore when a leget as the sole energing member of a yount family the property shall even in him ab clutchy, so that on his death, it will go to his hears and not as a revetor to the birms of the last competent bolder. Most Chand vs. Chaint Dat (1977) All 233 (P. D.) Improry, however to exclude must be of the sameon or whorous and not of the

ansethelic type — Aeroh Charam vs. Administ Nach 16 Cod. 104, 91 I. A. 177 at 191 17-195 and must be remadered to be accorable. Repursh on a Sublement 83 Mad. 250 act in "Publidays vs. Palida 53 Bec. 11. A 271 at was belief that non congenital ligrary does not discussed used to be a coparector, nor does he lote his right in the congenital representative properties.

"The expression in the signal is unity Altrambutages which literally means "more block antered the earther order." Altrambutages which literally means "more block and earther literally and "An Altrama is a single or perced in the life of a man, and three lares been lead for the life of a student, (2) (The life of the life of lif

4 free ray to the father—the "enumy to father" referred to here would appear to consuct both of an active communistion of an act of hostility, as well as in (Could on next pure)

father, a sinner in an' inferior degree, and a person deaf, dumb or wanting in an organ. Thus, says "Saightha" "They who have "entered into another order are debarred from shares" By Narda" also (has it been declared): "An enemy to his father, an outcaste, an "impotent person, and one who is a samer in an inferior degree take "no shares of the inheritance even though they be Auracas" (sons): "whence then (could) the Kutangas" Mani likewise ordains "Impotent

(Could from last page)

the amission of the necessary disters enjoined upon a son towards his father Assafaya in his commentary on this verse of Narals (XIII 21) observes दिला दी देवि स स्ट्रिक्टर | द्वेषक विनारे मासाहित्यार । कुने नदुर्देशेव उद्घार्ट्सनस्थापना ।

Tr "He who hates his father is 'an enemy to his father! Hatred or sumity consists in the desire to kill the father or to do similar nots, as also the absence of a doarse to offir oblishers of matter for to him after his death."

Dr Jolly observes on this passage as follows, (Sacred Books of the East Vol. XXXIII p. 194 N. 21.)

"The Commonators area's variance as to the process meaning of the term 'I must be found in father." This the Strumatorius declares it to denote one who forgets himself so far us to my. 'He is not my father." The Digital measurement any is means one who bosts his father. According the Digital measurement Residence in means one who obstead his father. I he committed that he calls are supported his father? I he or committed other lost the sets against him, and who fails to offer the customary fatheral oblitations to his father after his death." See Coldrocater Digital V 4. 220

1. Accordated hereoff Colchrocks transless that word as two different ways in § 3 var, as 's same r in an interior degree' and a s'addried to vice'. The second transless has been subjected to comment at Allahabot in Dri Singh vs Measurem 1 has 32 All 165-168 where the kentred pulger questioned the concretions of the resting, 24407 intell and inference was made to Mr. Of Ghoes a Binde Law p. 250 where other residings are given afterior would appear to be a better reading and has been given in the Naraka Sann cided by Dr Jolly

It should be noticed that the enumericator on Narola XIII 22 nations woulder and explains it as naturalities resented.

2 CL XVII 52 3 Ch XIII 21

Colstrocke Iranister—Aurusa as "Inglands" and K-ketrope—"as som of the wide by an appointed himman. "The two forms have been explained by \$14\tilde{\text{plane}} intervals while treating of arrestal kinds of tons (see p. 1015 & p.). From a consideration of the law as stated there it would be more consequent to use the original terms rather than their Poglick translation.

⁵ Ch IX 201

"persons and outcastes are excluded from a share, and so are persons "born blind and deaf, as well as mad men, idiots, the dumb, and those "who have lost an organ2"

(4) Nirindingth, 'those who have lost an organ'-any person, 5 from whom (the use of) an organ has disappeared on account of disease or other cause, is said to have lost that organ

(5) These persons—the impotent and the rest-are excluded from a share They do not participate in the heritage. They must be supported by an allowance of food and raiment only. And if they are not maintained, the penalty of degradation is mearred. For 10 Many save "But it is fit, that a wise man should give all of them 'food and raiment without sunt, to the best of his power for he, who "gives it not shall be deemed an ontcaste" 'Without stint' signifies for life !

(6) They are debarred of their shares only if their disqualification 15 arose before the division of the property, but not one who had already been separated (7) (And) if the defect be removed by medicaments or other means even though at a period subsequent to partition, the right of participation takes effect, by parity of reasoning with the rule (in the text) "When the sons have been separated, a son who is (after " wards) born of a woman equal in class, shares the distribution,"

The criginal is Animia 2007 ' without a share -this may mean a share on mirition or from substitution

2 Ali Su Arra baja—Colchrooks translates "those who have lost a sense (or a kimb) ,-see however, I ye andreard's explanation in the next paragraph

Ch IX 202 Balanthesta observes by reference to the texts of Decale and Baudhayana that although there writers except an outcoste and his offspring' from these entitled to maintenance still the exception upplies to those offences only which are mexpushe and not to those with reference to which expusion is possible See Lalandharp p 250 H 29 30 and p 201 H 10

4 to excepting those who were disqualified for natural defects. Bajamblatti p 251 I 2

The right of a member is only under suspense during the disability 5 Heo Jaimini VI 1 41 अवस्तिक नदण p 308 लेकिनीय प्राचन प्रशिक्तार

6 Yajo II 122 (1) Sec above p 1022 11 15 16

(6) In speaking of an outcrete and the rest, the massuhue gender is not here used! restrictively. And hence, it must be understood, that the wrife, the daughter, the mother, or any other (female), being daugualished for any of the defects which have been specified, is like wise excluded from narturation.

Vîramitrodaya

The Author mentions an exception at some places, to the right of the son, wife, and the re united to take property

Yaiffavalkya Verse 140

By the use of the weed atta, 'and so, is included one deaf from the time of birth. By the use of the word attys, 'and like others, are included the dumb, the eremical to the father and like others, vide the text of Manu! "Evoluded from a stace are the impotent and the "degraded, and so are persons born bland and deaf, as well as mad men, 'adout, the dumb, and these who have' lost an organ, and also vide the text of Narada' ws "An enemy of liss father, an out-caste, an 'impotent reson, and one who is a sancer in inferror decree, these

"ween though they be the Aurara (sons) do not get a share, whence "shall the K-detrajus!"

Tajuh, 'born of him, ie born of the degraded Panguh, 'lame, 20
ie deprived of the use of his feet Jodoh, 'uhot, ie having a weak intellectual percention (140)

Sûlanîni

Yaffiavalkya, Verse 140

Tayoh his issue'r e begutten by the one degraded, jadah an 25 'idict', a dullard one not enthusiastic in the perfor nance of a 'religious duty'. Andhe' blind is c congentially blind. From the text of Manu' vt. Born deafmutes also there is no room for a dispute

These is the impotent and the rest, should be maintained for their life lime with subsistence and covering (being offered to them). They are 30 not suitified to a share (140).

¹ standa denealists to has been used without any special significance.
That is to say the incapanty affectes to all persons althe without regard to sex

the TX 201 2 Namedowith 4 Oh XIII 21

b Mark the difference or the angle of vision of the Anthor of the Middiphard and Suleydas. For while the Middiphard takes a practical view of it as 'one who is inaspalle of discuminating right from wrong'. Supplys takes as the text of a write the wart of a desire for religious performsno.

Ś

(9) The exclusion from a share of the impotent and others' seeming to imply the exclusion of their sons also, the Author observes thus

Väinvalkva. Verse 141 (1)

The Aurasa and Kshetraja sons, however, of these, if free from defects are entitled to allotments.

(10) Mitakshara: - Etesham, of these, i.e. of the impotent and others; the Aurasa or Kshelraja sons, nirdoshah, if free from defects, i.e. which should but their participation, such as impotency and the like, hhaga-វិក barinah, are entitled to allotments, i.e. become rightful partakers of shares. (11) Of these, an impotent man is likely to have a Kshetraja son, the others may have the Aurasa sons even. The specific mention of the Aurasa and the Kshetraja sous is intended to exclude other kinds of sons.

* Pans 100.

pages 282-263 and notes

(12) The Author delivers a special rule concerning the daughters 13 of the impotent and other disqualified persons

Yaiñavalkya, Verse 141 (2).

The daughters likewise, of these, most be maintained until they are wedded to their husbands.

(13) Mitakıbara :- Eşbam, of these, i. e. of the impotent and others, 90

Sulab, the daughters, i. e. the female issue, until they are initiated by

1. i.e. the impotent and others commercial above in II. 140 at p. 1117 II. 7-0. 2. i.e. along with their disqualified parents. Bilambhatta adds (p. 251 l. 23) "A sutriba also gots a share as being equal to an surger", and she too has been included in the word Aurasa" see note further on Yaju, II, 142 and the observations of Chandavarkar J. in Gangu vs Chandrabhagabai 32 Bom. 275 at

3. Maintenance—includes residence, food and raiment. Charandas vs Nagulai 31 Rem. L. B. 1120.

4. with rat -Colebrooke translates; " Until they are provided with thusbands." The suffix any used with the root of means-"join to" or "consigned to" s.g. अधिसालानाः भस्पमातः 🛵 ...

A married daughter will not be entitled to claim maintenance efter her marriage She must seek her maintenance from her husband's family. See Bai Mangel vs Bei Rukhmini 23 Bom. 291 at p. 295

15

marriage, so long must they be supported. Under the suggestion of the word cha. "likewise," the expenses of their nuptrals must be also defraved

Śûlapâni

Välnavalkyn, Verse 144

Eskim, 'of these', as may be possible, Aurasah 'the Aurasa' and the Kshetroja sons, should be made the partakers of the shares of their inthers Their daughters also so long as they have not been given away in marriage, should be maintained (141)

(14) The Author adds a distinct rule respecting the wives of 10 disqualified persons

vâniavalkya, Verse 142

The souless' waves likewise of these, conducting themselves aright. must be supported, but such as are unchaste' should be expelled and so indeed those who are perverse

(15) Mitakehara - Esham, of there, re of the impotent and others, apulrah, the souless wives sadhuvillayah, conducting themselves gright,

1 The original expression is Sanslary siche strings : . should have the coremonies performed with reference to them. These ceremonies have been commercial by I defined live in Acatalhrays in verses 10-12 pp 34 41 above. and as regards women, he adds a special rale an 13 (2) thus -" These rifes (are performed selently for nomen, their marriage honorer, (is performed) with

Mantin texts " See also Mana II 26 65, & II 66-67 for the Sautlara for women . 2 Colebrooke Tr "childhes," but the expression in 1 api is ment Applea and not meren Anapated

Discussing this text, among others, Chandavatker J has held that "the widow of a disquelifed person is not ex loded from substitunce merels by her husband a dismulification, whether she claums as hour to a deceased person if rough her husband or othernies, if she is berself free from any of the defects which exclude from inheritance " Gungu vi Chandrablagaba 32 Bom at 276

3 Brother in law is bound to meintale a deceased brother a widow If father's self-se mired properly descends to him Ja Amire Samuel 4 In k 401 (F II)

4 If she lives in adultery and persists in it, a wife is not entitled to main lenauco Dele Saran Shulul va Deul ita 39 All 234

But where a wife is found to have lapsed, but to have changed her wars subsequently, she was given a starting allowance Satigaltime vs Autoricherer 20 Male 95

i e if they are correct in their conduct, hhattawyth must be supported, or muntained Wyabhethinoyah the unchests, however, inwaysah, should be expelled pratikulastabava cha, and so unlead those who are percent, i e they deserve to be expelled. But they must be supported, provided they be not uncheste. For, (it is) not that even a maintenance must not be riven salely on account of tercressenss.

Viramitredaya

The impotent and the rest being declared to be not entitled to a same, the incapacity of their sons also may follow, as also (might follow) to the non necessity for the maintenance of their daughter, wife etc. The Author refotes that

Yājāavaikya Verses 141, 142

Treham, Of those, ie of the impotent and the rest K-heirojah the 'Ksheiroja' sons, and also the Armas sons of the impotent and the rest, if they are free from the defect of impotency and the like, become outsided to a share, bhagaharmah

L'sh lut, 'Of thece, i e of the impotent and the rest, the K-helrophia, and of others than the impotent, the drughters as long as they have not been joined to their hisbhands, i e made over to a bridgeroom, 80 20 long should they be 'maintained very properly i e similarly like one a own daughters.

Esham, Of these, i.e. of the impotent and the rest, Yoshitascha, the waves also, who had been married by reason of the impotency not laving been determined, Sidhari Hayah, 'conducting themselves might, i.e. if well behaved, then blandarydh, 'slouid be maintained

Vyabhacharryah, the uncloste, as also those who are extremely frathala [reverse, mraspah, should be expelled, i.e. should be driven out of the home. By the first cha, "and, is added by inclusion that they should have the secondary formed for them, and by the 30 econd, those who indulge in disalang liquor, et. By the use of the word e.g. "also, the Author excludes the right of manticipance (4.4)—4.20.

é...

Vajuavaikya, Verse 142
Their conjess (wires) etc. The meaning is clear (142)

[Colebrooke Sec XI]

- [On the separate property of a woman]

 (I) 'After briefly pronounding the division of wealth left by the
- 1 From here begins the law regarding 'a woman's property" The author of the Mitakeliars does not somear to be melined to lay down any particular limit to the word stredhone. He has distinctly laid down further on that the term strickens is to be understood according to als literal meanure, and no technical significance has any scope. The introductory remarks are very nignificant. The author says that after having briefly described the days sen of wealth left by the perents, generally, and after having described the devolution of wealth of a male, the sage Yajnavalkya propounds the devolution of wealth obtained by a female Taking this as it stands and reading it in come tion with the special remarks of Vimanesvara about the meaning of the term stradbows at would appear that according to him, all property acquired by a woman was to be regarded as her stridiana, and this would appear to be in agreement with the general sense in which that term is understood in Western India or more particularly in the Bombay Presidency See observations of West J in Bhagashiba, vs Kanhajaran 11 Dom. 285 (F B) at p 299 also Bhaslar Trembal vs Mahadeo 0 Bom H C B (O O J) 1 at p 18 and the observations of Candy J in Goulds Moundal vs Ber Jadab 24 Born 192 at pp. 194-206 The application of the principle of Stars denne to quest one about the undhers has worled strange results. For whereas there may be good authority in Bengal and the all ed provinces for the proposit ion that 's woman is meanable of acquiring any property there is so ially good authoraty for the proposition that whatever a woman acquires as her of sale as 1, and at least in the Bombry Presidency not only that there would be nothing strange or new in such a proposition, but any other statement of the law would be received with surprise By a strange combination of circumstances however the destrine that a woman as a rule takes a limited estate as only durante amonte, has come to be engrafted upon the general law of this Presidency, and this on account of the merest ac ident that eases firel went in appeal to the Prevy Council either from Bengal or Madras, the appeals from Bombay being of a comparatively later date. The Board in England had before them questions wherein the mean ing and significance of parages from the Waterhand had to be discussed and the conclusions once drawn were engrafted upon decisions and cases in other parts of India without much regard to the accepted some of the people of that parts cular province It would thus appear that the principle of Shere decisis has no application in cases of this nature imasmuch as there have been no cases as such to give scope for the application of that do true. The most recent instances of the strange results of this general interpretation of the texts for all the Previnces will be found in the language of the judgment of Derr Hangel Frand Singh ve Maha let Prasa I Singh 31 All 234 (P.C.) and Sho Shoular Let vs Dere Sahat 25 All 468 30 I A and Shee Partet Bakalar Singh vs. Allahalad Bank 20 11 476-30 I A 209

lusband and wife (in the text!) "The sons should divide (equally "both the assets and the debts) of the parents . . &c," the distribution of a man's goods has been described at large. The Anthor, now intending to explain fully the distribution of a woman's property, begins by setting forth the nature of it.

Yajnavalkya Verse 143

What was given (to a woman) by the failure, the mother, the husband or a brother, or? was received by her at the nuphal fire, as' also that which was presented to her on her husband's marriage to another wie to er any other, is denominated (shudl and) a "woman's property.")

(2) Mitakihara — That which pitradityalibbraitidatan, use given by
the father, the mother, the historial as also by a brother, as also that which
was presented (to the bride) by the material uncles and the rest at
the time of the weeding before the nuptral fire, and shipredomkan,
that usual was presented to her on her historial's marriage to another upfa,
as a gratuity on account of supercession as will be subsequently
explained (in the text!) "let him give to a wife who has been superseled &6.", and as indicated by the word high, any other, also

1 Yajn H 117 p 1002 H 7-8

- 2 s e other then the grits received by her and otherwise described
- 3 The original is cha Colebrooke translates Or .

The adherdromies is that land of a means a property which is given to her a solice for the grant of a pursence by mother vide. Yaparalkyn has een marked the tructurbaness enter which a write could be a granted as the Adhardikyn's Verse 75 as 11 % (179a 194 196 shows) and as Verse 75 (p. 199) he lays down a penulty for the impression of an obtained with single gives her a third of the hashand shows fee for this Vijes II 146 and the Mitkshars thereo. See for \$8 & Solices p. 7 1.1 1 %—3.1

- 4 Yath II 148
- o Adja—Avy other. This is a very importent term in the Smitz librar are insimiled as the Smitz writers and commentators have freely made into of it for being on each proximant to the existing has as they bloopsh necessary. The world Adj. here as in Yap II 140 has been whiteed to introduce proximons their were not readed in the Yapundily Smitz. Another term of which vimilar use has been made as day and it is under cover of this term that the daughter on has been included by Vipineapus as an law; (see above page 1007).

property which she may have sequired by inheritance, 1 purchase, partition, seizure, or finding, are denominated by Manu and the rest stridbana "worngit's property"

(3) (The term Stridhana ('woman's property') conforms, in its import with its etymology, and is not technical: for, if' the literal sense is admissible, a technical recognition is improper. (4) As for the enumeration of six sorts of Stridhana by Mana' ur. "Whirt was given "before the nuptial fire, what was presented in the bridsh procession," with this sheen bestowed in token of affection' or erepect, and what has been received by her from her brother, her mother, or her father, "are denominated the six-fold property of a woman," that is intended, not as a restriction' of a greater number, but as a densit of a less."

[Could from last page]

The term Adje, which has sometimes been referred to as α the mysterious Adje, has recovered to much attention from the courts and so comparily, that is absoluted numbers yet for first our case. It is important, however, to note its destruction and arguithmost. Here determine and arguithmost. Here determine the yet of yet and yet α . When such on the Adversion gender is used to account of the produced first β . When such on the Adversion grades it is used to account of the produced the prod

first Other terms seed are of, figure and one (See Derivatives Aman III 1-80 and the Remarkent thereon). It such cates that the world to which it is sufficed as the first of a series counting of others. In we will such a most indicates cognets sizes proceeding from such a term of resulting sures.

- 1 Bo according to Vijinanessera wealth oldaned by a woman by inherit inner, &e, is her Stridden, and also that the term Striddens does not admit of any other menting than can be deduced of its etymological import (See Bilambhatu n 283 H 16-39)
 - Of with this, the following three maxims which bear the same import
 अन्यविशिक्तांत्रविद्यार स्थित स्थापिया ।
 - (2) अन्तर्यायस्थानारम्यस्थानारम्यस्थानारम्यस्य स्थापनारम्यस्य स्थापनारम्यस्य स्थापनारम्यस्य ।
 - (2) अञ्चलकारमानावारमामानवारम्यनावानावारमान्यस्थन कर्यस्य कर्यस्य कर्यस्य क्रिकाल्यस्याः
 (3) विद्यानिययोगियां स्थितिया त्रमान्यः

It is opposed to the dectrine of Jimata Vahana See note above

- 4 "This proving is read differently by Problem and by Jimste Vibnes (IV I 4) It is here translated conformably with Balankhatta univerpretation grounded on the subsequent text of Afrigines of forther only where two reasons of an afficientistic pit are stated one simple affection the other respect shown by an abstraction at the around refer *Collection*
- 5 Otherwice, even the six kinds enumerated by Mass would be continuited by the specific kinds enumerated by Yajiawalkya and Narads (See Bajambhatti page 2.3 Il 20-27)

(5) Definitions of adyadhyagai, 'presents given before the nuptal fire,' and the rest have been delivered by Kâtyāyana', "What is given to "a woman at the time of her matriage near the (nuptal) fire, is "celebrated by the wise as 'nomen's property', stridhunam, bestowed 5 "before the nuptral fire' Addivana".

"That, again, which a noman receives while she is being conducted "from her father's house" is instanced as the property of a woman under "the name of 'gift presented in the brided procession' Adhydrahamlum."

"Whatever has been given to her through affection by her 10 "mother in has or by her father in his," as also what is received by her that the time of saluting the feet of the elders is denominated "an "affectionate" present." Patalollon "

"That which is received by a matried woman, or by a maidea,
"in the house of her husband or of her father, from her brother or
to "from her parents" is termed Sanddysland" a kind gift."

1 Verses 895-897

2 M: Colirocolo adda a note. The R coliros and Chairman, read a from the permittal hold. The angund super son there as a farm of \$\tilde{\text{e}}\text{ray}\$ and it would make no difference whether it is fireyes or \$\tilde{\text{e}}\text{ray}\$ and it is easily the force the industries the abole of the fallow r. et the pure-tidal abole. I may be noted by the expressions at some in contraditationation with the disabols a bold " (eqty). The corresponding Marshi word age would make this quite clear, the date being this has been been extracted from the house of the Dath to the new loose by marriage?

Property bequested by the uniternal grand father is her enadicate strictions, which has can alterate without the consent of the hashand. Venterally is Het unindowed 34 Bon LB 1144 m57 Bon 55 Vethalariya Pillar vs Sillatlanemal 31 Med. 208

3 Mr. Coldbracks mentions a reading in the Spart, Clondrids—"If given to be the time of making on obcumes all her feet," while so well reading is available at their in the Smith Test of this Banket Chandrids, or this franchison by Mr. Kirionis amy (M. 2.) The Smith Chandrids, simply quotes the last of Katyyama alrees and allow are present a surprise equ. "The Spartness Instant Gamman)—"given at the time of the franktone of the feet. And the querger in generally made by the many merced bride going?" of the first time to the brainful a shade.

4 See note above The Varu Chandrids and the I transited as follow this reading. But the Rainthow Chandrans, and Firetx Chan be read denominated an a quinton through lovelines I denominated. Octobrooks

5 The Rengal reading 1.02 grains from her housand instead of any arming See Bilambhatti p 204 H 7.8 He notices the interpretation by the Fasterns' (gray) on this term and adds at the end that this is (in addition

to and) different from all the property referred to above 6 Verse 901

Sûlapânî

Yapiavalkya, Verse 143

Adhyagu:, 'at the fire, 's e near the fire at the time of the weeding, by the father and others, defeas, 'was given' Adhuskanian' 'as a selection'.

by the father and others, deltam, 'was given' Adhardamlam,' as a solsce for her agony,' te at the time of the second marriage, what was given to the former wife

By the use of the word what 'or any other,' after addingulantle, are involved in the direlemina and others, rude Kati Ayana' "that again "which a woman receives while the is (heing) conducted from her "father's house, is called addignations hand of strellmen" (143)

(6) Besides, (the Author says)

Yājnavalkya, Verse 144 (1).

That which has been 'given to her by her kindred', Haudhudattam as well as her 'tee or gratuity', S'ullam, or anything 'bestowed after marsiage' 15

Am dithenakam.

Mitakshara —Bandhohih, by her kindred, is a by the indirbandhus as well the intrbandhus of the damsel; yad dallam what has been given,

- 1 আহিব্যুক্ত s e the pun cassed to her en account of the bushand marrying another wafe. As for the gdiggs and the natural rights and invihituse of the wafe and the hashand in that connection see Arthofology Versex X 73, 74 and 76. Text pages 18-19, and tr pp, 184 200 above (Let I)
 - 2 Verse 894

3 "Her tandeted"—— her I nedeel through her pureus before marrange, and in indiced through her behands the merrange. These ——the pursuit and the instanced—care the mode through whom their hardred "sea to be determined." The kindred of a parson—whether made or formal—are those who are directly related to each person individually, or modestedy through whose who are directly related as the contemporary of the contemporary of the season of families of hombied or bookless have been contemped as the Contemporary of the cone is convenient deflower in the case of a familie, For. (1) after matrings, her hondow see those of the hasboad 12 the matrings her hondow see those of the hasboad 12 the matrings her hondow see those of the hasboad 12 the matrings her hondow see these of the hasboad 12 the matrings her hondow see these of the hasboad 12 the matrings her hondow see these of the hasboad 12 the matrings has an approved from 11 it only when the measuring is no an unapproved from the her handle of the contemporary of the season in the contemporary of the contemporary of the hasboad 12 the matrings has defined and those would be the children do not these relatent connected through her pressed.

(2) Before marriage, her kindred are either her father's kindred arber mobber s hendred which would again smean her father's kindred alten, unless her mobber's marriage was in an unapproved form. See during outer verse 145 and also the observations of Chandervatins J in Jumpher vs Jetha Appen 32 Dom. 400 at p. 413, Juliane vs Jamesenhard 35 Dom. 300

to by these Sulka; the granuity or jee, that after the receipt of which a girl is given in marriage Anvädheyakam, what is bestored after marriage, and, following, i.e. subsequently to the marriage, what has been ähibam, deposted, i.e. given.

(7) It has also been said by Katyāyana? "What is obtained by "a woman from the family of her husband at a time subsequent to "her marriage, is called an Anschhega or "gift subsequent", and so also "that which is similarly received from the family of her father." "is termed "a woman's property?" thus is this passage connected with

10 that which has gone before

Vicamiteodaya

Thus having in details stated (the law relating to) the property of

a male, the Author treats of strathman, 'the woman's property', with a waw to discuss the 'mother's wealth' stated before in the text': "O' 15" "the mother's (property), the daughters (shall take) the residue after "(the payment of) debts; in their default, the issue (succeed)."

Väjäänvälken, kersa att att (1)

By the father and others, given through affection; adhyagni, 'in the presence of the fire', i.e. near the fire, at the time of marriage, what was no obtained from even an outsider'. Adhinedamham, 'on the occasion of supersession, as may be hereafter stated in the text! 'To a superseded

wife one should give' etc.

By the bandhas, 'Aindred', such as the maternal uncle and others, datum, 'given, through affection; sulfam i.e. wealth settled by the 25 father and others included to be given to the binde and the bindegroom; 'Ain addienakam, 'give after marringe', such as stated by Kaivavane' sur-

¹ Bălambhatta (p. 254 ll 18-18) states that according to the interpretation of Kalpatoru, this refers to the property of a nater married under the Asiara formille also states the interpretations put by Kâtyāgana und Vyūra on the term cha

² Verse 899 3 Yam Verse

³ Yajn Verse II7 (3rd quarter) p 1004, N 21-22

^{4.} Yajn II 148

⁶ Verse 898

olebrooko II. n. 8. 9 7 Viramitro Mitākshaeā Šūta — Kmds of sirahana 1131 Cree 11(11)

"At a time subsequent to the marriage, what was obtained by a woman "from the family of her lushead, that is called Amediheya, as also what "was received from the father's family. All this kind of stricklams is declared as Sandeyslam. By the use of the word ddyn, for any other is included property transmitted upon the busbunds death. By the 5 word cha, 'alto', are included attickes put on by her such as clothes, ornaments etc. The word ca, 'anly, eveludes property which was of the husband of exclusive concerning 145.14 (1).

(8) 'A woman's property' has been thus described'. The Author next propounds the distribution of it

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 144 (2)

(If she pass away without issue, her kinsmen should take it.)

(9) Mitakshara — Tal, 14, 2 e the woman's property described before;

(9) Mikichará — Tal, i., e the woman's property describéd belore; aith's mit of the woman pass away, e die, prajic uchout usus; e with rocupy, in other words' leaving no daughter, nor daughter's daughter, nor daughter's son, nor sou, nor son's son, hadhaváh, tha kins non; i e the husband and the rest, as will be (presently) mentioned arappanyth, should tale ut.

Sülapâni

Yajaavalkya Verse 144

20

By the father's kinsmen and the mother's kinsmen given fullar has been described by Katsyanas. For the ferritore in the bouse, the "convergences the millch cattle, consamels and sevensis whatever is "obtained as the price of these, that has been declared to be known as fullar." Analaben, however, he has stated thus "After the marriage, 25 "however, while has been obtained by a woman from the family of her

^{1 :} i as above in verses Yajn II 143 and 144(1)

See Bat Ketarkat vs Hanney Morays 30 Bom. 431-443 (P. C.) Janglubn vs Jitha Appays 32 Bom. 409=10 Bom. L. R. 532. Bhumacharys. vs. Ramacharys. 33 Bom. 452-456

² There is a mistake in the printing of the text on page 101 Instead of बुद्धिन्दीहिन्दीक्षणीत &c read दृष्टिग्रीहिनीक्षित्वप्रचीत्वासित्व

³ Verse 899

⁴ मानुरुवात्, Fighilaskians reads अनुरुवात् Other readings are निवृद्धात् and स्वद्भारत् and the Survichender केंद्र reads 'बनु' विशे सम्बादा ग्रम्मापेन द्वे नम् भूप

"mother, that has been declared as Anvådheya as also that which was "obtained from the father's family "

This property as also the property of a woman married under the Asura and the like form, who has died without a child such property of her as was given by the Linsmen and others, her relations ; e the brothers shall take. As says Goutama' 'The sisters sulka goes to the "nterms brother after the mothers, some hold, even before ' After the mother' 1 e after her death. When the mother and the father, however, are living, then it goes to these only, vide Manu" 'That property of 10 'here as may have been given to her in marriages like the Asura and the

"rest, upon her death without progeny, such property is intended to be "for the mother and the father (144)

(10) The kinsmen have been declared generally to be competent to succeed to a woman's property The Author now distinguishes diff-15 erent claumants according to the diversity of the marriage ceremonies Yâmayalkya, Vorse 145

four forms such as the Brahma and the others, goes to her husband; if will go to her daughters if she leave progeny; and in other forms of 20 marriage, it goes to ber parents

The property of a childless woman, married according to any of the

(11) Mitakshara: - Aprajasah striyah, of a childless reoman as before stated, and who had obtained the status of a write by any of the four

- 1 Ch XXVIII 23-24
- 9 Ch IX 197
- 3 Beo Janglubas ve Jetha Appays 82 Bom at n 414

hood " Colchrooke translates-'who had become a wife'

- . . according to the first four forms which are considered as the approved forms' of marriage, vez the Brahma, Daves, Arsha, and Prajapatya See Metikehari farthur on
- 5 , e the last four forms called the unapproved forms er the Ames Gandharen Rakihasa und Parlacha
- C . . in Yaja II III : r without any of the five descendants mentioned
- above Bajambhatji p 2.0 7 Bidroffrere propingit (sture unum) Literally " who has obtained wife-

modes of marriage denominated Brâlena, Dawa, Āreba and Prâyzpatya, (md) who his dired, dhazam, the property, as before described devolves in the first place beharte, on her hastand. On failure of him, it devolves on the sapundas' nearest to him But Sephepin, in other forms, of marriage i. e. (those demonstrated), the Āsura, Gândlarara, Bull shaza and Pauskin, its i, it is e., the property of a childles woman plugāmi, goes to her parents. The words Mātā (mother) and Prāt (tather) when combined tarks up the compound guidrau(both parents). That which goes to these two is prāgām. Although the mother has

- 1 4 s the whole property (Balambhatta p 256 1 22)
- 2 Bharturcharati (भूगोवति) Lat "becomes the husband's (property)" Stridhane—succession where it was held that marriage in the hurae form was
- well recognised in a community in the seate that it was 'approved'. Do habond a hurs were had entitled by the Strategies Ander Reverse Strategies and the Strategies and and in this case the court held [p. 185] that where a particular form was not reported with disapprobation, the other side must prove that it was not of the opproved kind.

To the strickest property of a woman asso by adoption slong with another on wife, and a son born of another wife second equally as husband's Espandes Gangadan Bogla vs Harald 43 Cal. 244

The strickens of a reservated cirl coss squally to the son of her first has

and and by the second husband Bapus & Assistanth 36 Bom L R 140

Under the V. Maywith the non-technical stricthana goes to a son in pestry once to a son's son. But Rosson vs. Jogopanades 19 Born. E. 629-41 Born. 618. As between a legitimate one and on allogitumete designate born in protinction the son is entitled to succeed. Macroslats vs. Hormands. 38 Mad. 1144.

- S Colcicolar tumbles, "In gos to his secret kineman (Symetas) allud by formeral obstaces." This identition or description of a Symeta may be correct under the law in Breigh. The Malakhara understands that term quite differently (See Yapa I of Papa 189-15) and Lathibian w Mashwerin 2 Raman Shan I of Special Cales of the College of the Opposite cases in I Re 2018 at 19 425 See also 'Cales of I on Opposite cases in Li R. 885 See also case I on page 1071 shows.) The instabilists therefore of the torm special is not got so I to so the Malakhara is concerned and has bost extinued in several cases the most content within the Norme Pallaw of Sundayspectation 30 Hall 15 is all cases, cited there. As regards the succession of the humband's separate see Architects with Singent 20 Bost 332 8 Bom. 11.1 I Dan Anarchar Victorian Horson 10 at 10 (c) at 19 443. And generally also Anaphies we Held Appay 35 Bost 409 at 19 (c) 12 19 443. And generally also Anaphies we Held Appay 35 Bost 100 4 pt 24 12 (c) 2.10 at Informers in Namayon 56 Bost 200 4 pt 24 (f). 2.10 pt 24 12 (c) 2.10 at 19 at 19 at 19 24 12 (c) 2.10 at 19 - 4 ; . the remaining four called the unapproved,

already been undeated in the elliptical compound, still her proc (right of) succession has already been specifically mentioned before On failure of them the (right of) succession is that of their nearest relations by propinguity

(12) Indeed in all forms' of marriage, if the women be practice.

Course of inhers

Froperty, dubrican, sell on the daughters. Here
by the term 'daughters', 'daughter's daughters'

tace in the present of the more daughters' daughters' daughters' and the present of the mother's (property) the daughters (mill the) the readus &c." (13) Hence also, upon the daughters (mill the) the readus &c." (13) Hence also, upon the

death of a mother, the daughters first take the mother's property'
And there also, in the case of a competition between the married and
the immarried (life limitaries (lake) But on failure of these, the
limit married (lake) And there also, in the case of a competition between
such as its endowed's and those who are unendowed the immediated

- 1 Called the Elaische una readual Demdra In this compound only one word is retained and the ethers are dropped, the one word remaining having the capacity to express the others dropped. See note 3 on p 1001
 - 2 . r p 10011 3
- 3 Their, s of the percuis See note 3 above an page 11.0 For a faller discussion on the meaning of the proposes any see Tularam vs \arayon 35 Dom 339 (F B)
 - 4 Tatpratyan malam-Pratyanaman are those relations whose claim is based on projinguity Colebrooks translates their next of kin?
- 5 Surrelates—a sa regarda the right of encission of the intent, they perfectince in all cases interpretate of the particular form of marriage. But the area or pregard here seems let on a to and not the issue of a co-wife. The particular case of the necession of the designate of a covide of a superior lets would not otherwise have been specially minuted. See also Minuscharge vs. I calappeacharge 33 Rem. 462, where the he-head was allowed preference near a step-on Mipushbally to book servent recturing. See by 237.
 - f Urhyante-323 to e are extremely agented and not marely to be inferred
 - 7 Of hajdavalkya II 117 See p 1001 il 21-22
 - 8 Vetrahanaris e the Stridhona of the mother
 - O Protestitute—ar well established in the well provided for Comparative potenty is the only criterion in cases of compatition between the married dang there and it is not intended that an in part should be held going into all the

take (the succession first); and on failure of them, those who are endowed As says Gautama; "The Stridiuma property goes to tred'augulers unmarried; and (failing them) to the unendowed!" Here the use of the particle cla, and; makes it devolve on the endowed also,

"Unendowed" are such as are without issue or are destitute of wealth

Exception salta (14) But this (rule holds) with the exception of the Sulta. For the Sulta goes to the attenue brethren only, Vide the text of Gastama. The state's Sulta' belongs "to the attenue brothers, sfire (the death of) the mothers."

(Contd from last page)

minute details of necessive. See Rangle J. in Taines wil. Besses 28 Bem. 225.

"When, however, the difference in wealth is marked, the law repulses that the whole of the properly should go to the powers disaple?" Blog, and also over circle on pages 532 and 253 of the report. The Unsaloured are explained further on a bunn three whose are without times or ore destinated of wealth.

In Bayers ve Parties 36 Flom 7, R 118 it was held in the case of a male that a posthomens daughter was entitled to socceed

- 1 Cb XXVIII 22
- 2 The unmarried excludes the married Shee Gound vs Pata Adhia, 8 Litek 182*
- 3 Ch XXVIII 23, 24
- 4 Sulfa—Colescoke translate that is the few or gratiny? This is a coordinate with the explanation given in Legisters and quoted by Balankhetts on (p. 254-14), angulffragings upper very Tr. "The property of a select matried seconding to the Association and the common formings" is the bridge press To the difference of the finded as on Gaussian for the Balankhett notices from Obsedamius or descriptions of this kind of Strudbood, via these given by Kalyayana and Vyase — Leftherm of this kind.
 - ग्रहापरम् स्वाद्धानां द्वीह्याम्राणसम्बाधः । ग्रह्म श्रन्यः तुः महिनेचिच्छुरूक तस्परिकीर्तितव् ॥

Tr "Whatever is obtained as the equivalent of household utenuls, of leasts of burden, of mikh estile or originately declared (to be) halfs , and this has also been cited to the Vyavabara-Mayakha and Dayakhaga IV 3 19-20

Vigina defines it as হলগাঁ পাটুট হাল্ম গ্ৰামিটাৰিল and Lialumbhatta carptains it as ন্ৰুষ্টাৰ্থকে অত্যাধিক বাব নি হ'ল presents do given to induce but to go to be from a boure, and thus it is very much like the Adhyrindenide See Dayabhaga IV I o

5 This interpretation is according to that grown in Subudian (p. 77 i. 5). Balendhautt (p. 257 i. 22) and Kalpitarn explain it aimitarly, and so also Haradatts. The Dayabhaga, however, retemprets it differently. According to

(Contd. on next page)

1136	Mitâkcharâ—Their children Yafawali, a Veree 145
1	(15) On fulure of all the daughters, the daughters' daughters take under the text!: It will go to the daughters if "she leave progeny, &c"
	(16) If there be a multitude of these (and if they be) children of

5 different mothers, and unequal in number, shares should be allotted to them through their mothers, as directed by Gautama': "Or, according "to the mother," let the special share (be adjusted) in each class"

(17) If there be daughters as well as daughters' daughters simultraneously, a trifle only should be given to the Daughter &

daughters' daughters. As has been directed by 10 daughters Manu? "Such of the daughters of those (daughters) "as may exist, even to those, something should be given, as may be

"ht, from the property of the grand mother, on the score of affection " (18) On failure also of the daughters' daughters, the daughters' sons are entitled to the succession As says Narada' Daughter's sons

" (Let) daughters (divide) their mother's (wealth); (Contd from last page) the Dayabunga, first the uterme brothers &e -and then after them, and in their alsence, the mother and then the father &c take (see IV 27-29) Bulambhatts explains 'Mother' here, to be the woman herself a withe water herself (See

1 . Yajit II 115 (3rd quarter)

2 Samaeana (ungu)-is a collecting togother of persons with conflicting claims The principle of the rule enumerated here is the same as laid down in regard to the property of a mak, where several persons lay claim through their respective male ascendants as that laid down in Yajn II 120 (p 1017 ll 23-21) Ch XXVIII 15

to the share of a perticular claumant is to be determined by regard to

the number of her mother a sertire as well as that of her sisters, in other words per stories first and then per comis Here the word Sameraya does not predicate any clum on behalf of the

daughters' daughters. It only indicates their on existence along with the daughters Ch IX 193

Balambhatti n 707 1 23)

15

6 Something, Trees-halluka holds that the grand daughters should be un married Sarragha A arayens says, When the married daughtors are dead their daughters shall be presented at will by their maternal uncles with the shall which their mothers would have received as a token of respect" Pagharananda too thinks that 'on the score of affection" means 'at the pleasure (of the helps)' But Aondona

deduces from the same term the absolute processity of the gift Bubler. 9 Ch XIII 2

" or, on failure of daughters, their (male) issue." For, the pronoun tat, it_i^2 refers to the continuous term 'daughters'.

(19) If there be no daughters's sons, sons take (the property); for

Sons it can arready been decired? In their default, the right of daughters as well as of sous to the mother's effects "But, when

right of danguers as welf as of, sour to the mother's effects." But, when "the mother js dead, all the "letrine brothers shall equity livride the "mother's property, and also the uterine sistera." (20) The maternal estate, all the uterine brothers should divide equally, and also the uterine sisters should divide expally: each is the construction. And the meaning is not that "uterine brothers and sisters share togethers, for since the abridged? form of the conjunctive compound has not been employed, reciprocation's cannot be constructed to have been indicated; /

account of this note of the Mithkeher's is as follows —

"After the mother, her daughters take the stridhers, in the absence of
the daughters, from those among her issue, the daughter's daughter take first, then

the daughter's ran, &c

Jimita. Vilura seems to have understood the pronoun it as referring to

the word "mother". See Dipobliga IV 2-13

3 Colebrooke translates "If there be so grandsone in the female has"

4 Yajā II 117. (p 1030 1 20 above) See Bhamacharya ve Remacharya 33 Bora 452 at p 456.

5. Ch IX 192-The haipatara teads. Same putrân sahadarâh. (Sea Bilam bhatti p 250 l. 18.)

6 Sumbhlys—: e together at a time. The meaning is that the two sets of heirs viz, the uterine sesters and uterine brokhers, do not take together, but that each set takes separately.

7. See notes on p 1091, 1092 (supra) as regards the several meanings of the and the characteristics of an Etalegian compound

8. If respressions ware meets to be inducted in the text of Mean, the own Library beared would know because run the dual number to denote breaker and nature / Primers 3-c65, are the "childlive" or some generator, would have been employed in the plantal (Primers 3-c64). But the text is not so expressed, consequently respressions as not undessided. See Schooling p. 77. II 2-55, Billandstein.

^{1.} নৰ্ব্ — The Smeathmaintal interprets this as "the female isens" খানানি,
খনলেজাখনবৰ বুনি খ নুৰ্ব (See p 2861 2) Caichroole translates as "(male) issee",
%. See Dilambhafti f 233 The result as to the order of succession, on

the (companence) particle cha can even be constitued to have been used with reference to the person inching the partition. As in the example, "Let Devolute practice agreeafture, and Yajiadatta also." (21) "Equally "is specified to forbid the allotment of deductions 5 "Utering" is used (with a view) to evolude the non interme."

(22) The Stridhana of a childless woman belonging to an interior tribe, however, the daughter of a co wife of a superior tribe takes

Step-daughter through springing from a different mother

*Page 102

On failure of her, he issue (shall succeed). Vide Manu? "What"
"ever property of a woman may exist, such as had been in any
"manner given to her by her father, let the daughter of a Brilmani
"to write take, or let it beloog to her offspring" (23) The mention
of a Brilmani includes by implication (the daughter belonging to) any
superior class. Hence the daughter of a Kehatriy? cownfe takes the
15 goods of a childless Vassyl orwife

1 As has been laid down in the law regarding the property of a male * $\mathcal G$ See Yaju II 114 p 994 I 10 supra

2—3 Colabrooks translates—whole blood. The expression in the text 25 inders—blooging to the same sterier. The expression used by Vijnanessers in this same passings in Blumoders—which has been translated as non-iterus. Colabrooks translated as helf-blood which is not sufficient (the note p. 1008 supera.).

4 This is in accordance which those promisions under which intermarrisges among several serves sprear to have been in force fice I apaneally a Achardhys Achardhys Verses 57 (p. 168) 88 p. 236, 90 (p. 241) and Vyavahara Verse 12.5 p. 1033

6 This word is derived as follows used ψω offered an excell-Slow whose the thorison is equal to the same (as the other); a co wide The form is based at the rule of a query (Taham 17 1 35) "In forming the formance with the affix eye, the word off always taken the substitute of in the verification profit and take by the form, yet The Colleges (Taham 17 1 35).

6 Ch IX 188 "Her effiguing" or "12000 \(^1\) Collebrooks \() Applys (XXY-1) her refers to the diaghters alone Ros Saryini ariginat (Maiot the comments tors on Minn agree that this property does not go to the brothers of the wife concerned. It goes in the first pines to the dengitier of a Britishness wife, and in her absence to be officing it is ab Ennish offigure.

7 This inference is contested by Srikishna in his commentary on the Dâys-Bhâca of Jimhta-Vâhana Colebrooks

"and sons' sons"

(24) On failure of sons, grandsons mherit their paternal grandsons' sons mother's wealth For Guidana' says 'They, who
"share the inheritunce, must pay the debts' and
the grandsons are bound to discharge the debts of their paternal
grand-mether, for the text' expresses 'Debts must be pad by sons

(25) On failure of grandsons also the husband and other relatives
Husband and others—above mentioned are successors to the wealth

Vîramitredaya

Thus by discussing the strathman, has been discussed the mothers property Of a woman who hed no issue born, or of one all of whose sons and daughters are deed, there being an obsence of motherhood, which is the counterpart of a laring (child), who shall take the property? So the alther says

Yöläavalkya, Verses 144 (2) 145

Aprajeydm, (when) without issue, : e without a living daughter and son or any of them, althoydm, (when) sho posses anay', tal, that is, the stridhana, bindhardh, the Linsmon, : c, her relations, ardp numh shall set.

Here, meroover, the is the special rule in the case of a woman 20 may a few decorating to the (four forms) Brilman, Data Zirds and Prints party, the strainant of a children worming goes to the husband. In the case of the remaining four forms of marriage—by the use of the wordspin even when there is no marriage—ber property goes to the father. If, however, she be offered in marriage and is devoid of a son or a 20 daughter, then that property becomes of the daughter as in their own. In their absence, moreover, the daughter as on, mentioned before by the word usuae, becomes controlled to the property, as under the text of Manu

¹ Ob XII 40 Cf Yajo II 51 p 790 ante

² See Yajn II 50 p 7921 7 Separe The two texts run thus
(1) The sons and grandsone should may off the debts &c

in the control of the

³ tem Yajn II 144

the (conjunctive) particle cha can even be construed to have been used with reference to the person making the partition. As in the example, "Let Devadatta practise agriculture, and Yajuadatta also." (21) "Equally" is specified to forbid the allotment of deductions-"Uterine"2 is used (with a view) to exclude the non-uterine.

(22) The Stridhana of a childless woman belonging to an inferior tribe, however, the daughter of a co-wife of a superior tribe takes Step-laughter. though springing from a different mother.

... * PAGE 109.

On failure of her, her issue (shall succeed). Vide Mann.5: "What-10 "ever property of a woman may exist, such as had been in any manner given to her by her father, let the daughter of n Brahmani "co-wife take; or let it belong to her offspring." (23) The mention of a Brahman includes by implication (the daughter belonging to) any superior class. Hence the daughter of a Kshatriyar co-wife takes the 15 goods of a childless Vaisva co-wife.

1. As has been faid down in the law regarding the property of a male e.g. See Yajn, H. 114 p. 994 l. 10 supra.

2-3. Colebrooke translates-whole blood. The expression in the text is andera-belonging to the same accrus. The expression used by Vijifauesvars in this same passage is Bhinnolora—which has been translated as non-uteries. Colobrobka translates as half-blood which is not sufficient. (See note p. 1098 supra.).

4. This is in accordance which those provisions under which intermarriages among several corper appear to have been in force. See Yejinetellya Achiradhyaya Verses 57 (p. 168); 88 p. 236; 90 (p. 241) and Vyavahāra Verso 125 p. 1033.

 This word is derived as follows: समावः एकः पनियस्याः सा अवस्थी—Sho whose husband is equal i, s. the same (as the other) is a co-wife. The form is based on the rule किले सारत्यानेषु (Pinlini IV. 1, 25): "In forming the foundaine with the affix give, the word off always takes the substitute a in the words like good and the like e.g. fitzeli, questi &c. Colebrooke translates as 'a rival wife. ' . .

6. Ch. IX, 198. 'Her offspring' or 'issua' (Calebrooke). Apotys (1977) here refers to the daughters alone. See Surringare year. Most of the commentatots on Manu agree that the property does not go to the brothers of the wife concerned. It goes in the first place to the daughter of a Bruhmani wife, and in her absence to her offspring i. c. the female offspring.

7. This inference is contested by Brikrihna is his commentary on the Days. Dhaja of Jimbia-Valana. Colchrocke.

(24) On failure of sons, grandsons inherit their paternal grandmother's wealth. For Gautama: says: "They, who Sons' sons

"share the inheritance, must pay the debts:", and the grandsons are bound to discharge the debts of their paternal grand-mother; for the text' expresses: "Debts must be paid by sons " and sons' sons."

(25) On failure of grandsons also, the husband and other relatives Husband and others above mentioned are successors to the wealth.

Vicamitrodaya

Thus by discussing the stridhana, has been discussed the mother's property. Of a woman who had no issue born, or of one all of whose sons and daughters are dead, there being an absence of motherhood. which is the counterpart of a living (child), who shall take the proporty ? So the Author says :

Yêjñavalkya, Verses 144 (2), 145

Aprajdydm, (when) 'without issue', i. c. without a living daughter and son or any of them, attitiviam, (when) 'she passes away', tat, 'three i.c., the stridhana, bandhardh, 'the kinsmen', i.c., her relations, andhi muyuh 'shall get'.

Here, moreover, this is the special rule; in the case of a woman 20 married according to the (four forms) Brahma, Dana, Areka and Prilitpalsa, the stridhana of a childless woman, goes to the husband. In the case of the remaining four forms of marriages—by the use of the word ab. 'even', even when there is no marriage—her property goes to the father, If, however, she be offered in marriage and is devoid of a sun or a 25 daughter, then that property becomes of the daughters as their own, In their absence, moreover, the daughter's son, mentioned before by the word 'issue', becomes entitled to the property, as under the text of Mann

^{1.} Ch. XII, 40 Cf. Yajii, 11, 51 p. 795 unts.

^{2.} See Yaja, IL 50 p. 792 L 7 Supra. The two texts ren thus

⁽¹⁾ The cons and grandons el ould pay off the debts &c.

⁽²⁾ There who take the interitance, must pay the delts. From these an inference follows that the sens and grand-one take the inheritance. (See Suleskini p. 77 II, 50-31 and Balami' appi p 200 H. 14-15). For each an inference, lowever, one more premise is warting wer show who pay the debte. take the inheritance

^{3.} i.e. in Yani. It. 146. 65

Viramitrodaya, Süla Mitäksharā-Belrothal 1140

stated above even when the daughter is living some portion is indicated for the daughter s son.

Here in the case of the Brahma, Davas, Archa and Pravabatia forms of marriages the property "which a woman dying without issue

5 "leaves, that is intended for the husband only , by this text of Manu! it should be noted that in the case of a Gandharva marriage the share of the husband and the father is equal. (144, 145)

Sûlanânî

The right of the mother's aister and the rest to the property in the

Yajaavalkya, Verse 145 10 In the case of marriages like the Ardhma and the rest, the property of a childless woman, goes to the husband If, however, there be daughters born of her, than (it goes) to them In the case of the remaining L & the Asura and the other forms, it belongs to the mother and the father alone

absence of the hueband and the rest, has been stated by Behaspati "The mother's sister, the wife of the maternal uncle, the wife of the pater-"nal unole, father's sister, the mother in law, and the wife of an elder "brother-all these have been declared to be like mothers. When of these "en curcus son does not extet, or a daughter's son either, or their son nise, 20 "then the sister's son and the rest' shall take their property,"(145)

(26) On the occasion of treating of Siridhana, the Author aids something concerning a betrothed maiden

Yâmavalkya, Verse 146 (1)

He who after having given his daughter, takes her' (hack), shall be 25 punished and should also (be compelled to) make good the expenses

together with interest

176 at p 107 These do not necessarily take in the order of enumeration, The

2 Ch XXV 88-80

¹ Ob IX 196 ? These words include other relations of the hisband or father Bo. 33I . A

question of priority is determined by the rule of propinguity—per Chandersup J in Hancray vs Low Woghtlen 7 Bons I. R 622[031, 33 I A 176 High Court has roled that this text has no application to the right of succession to a manden's property Sundamm vs Pamanami 43 Mad 32, at p 30

^{4 :} a as having a reference to the subject under discussion &c

⁵ Cohlmode translates, " For detaining a damed after affiancing her be

dairs . Laving given or offered, and haran- a taking back 6 Dandyshas e is hable to a penalty, which may be in the form of a fine, in prisonment, or any other form of punishment Colebrooks translates ashould be fined."

- (27) Mitakshara —Kanyam datwa, a damsed verbally, having gizen, apaharan one who takes her (haid), shall be pumshed by the king, by regard to the amount of the property or the magnitude of the offence, and similar other circumstances. This, moreover, (is applicable) if there be no (sufficient) reason for the retrietion. But, if there be good cause, he shall not be punshed since retraction is authorized in such a case (by the text). "A damsel, although given, one may even take "book, if a better bridgenoom presents himself."
- (28) Whatever has been expended, on account of the espousal by the (intended) bridegroom for the gratification of his own or 10 the dansed's relations must be repaid in full, with interest, by the affinerer to the bridegroom
- (29) Should a drawel, anyhow! affianced, the before the completion of the (marriage) ceremony, what is to be done in that case? So the Author replies

Yajnavalkya, Verse 146 (2)

If she die (after troth plighted) the bridegroom should take back the grifs which he had presented, after deducting the expenses on both sides.

(30) Middehard —If a betrothed dunsel die, then, wintever had 20 other presents given by the bridegroom such as a ring and similar other presents, the bridegroom stall take beach parisodly-bharyayam, deducting the typeness on both sudes, the expenses of both s of lowest and of the person who offered the irride, parisodlym, after deducting, the taking "south of the the balance (thus removings) be may lake

What, however, was given to the damed by the maternal grandfather, or other relation, such as the head ornament and other gifts,

- 1 Yajfavalkya I 165 p 184
- 2 Upschärärtham (24911144): c by way of officing hospitality to
- 3 4 the parent or guardien of the bride
- 4 s r oiller by proper religious rites, or by taking by lands, or in any other manner (See Halambhajta p 270 1 16)
- 8 I spana, yo Balambi atta considers this as a had reading He prefers h'spanagyo'' removing or "discharging" (p 270 H 19-20)
 - 6 Such as the poternal uncle Balambhatta.

1ñ

O

as well as property inherited by her in her right of succession. (all that) her uterine brothers shall take. For Baudhayana' says : " The "wealth of a deceased damsel, let the uterine brethern themselves take. "On failure of them it shall belong to the mother; and in her absence, 5 'to the father."

Vîramitendaya

On the occasion of (treating of) the stridham, the Author mentions the disposal of property taken by the father and others for the marriage of a woman in the Asura form who was promised by word of mouth

Yalaavatkya, Verse 146

Kanydm, 'a malden', having by a word of mouth, dated, incomised to give', haran, 'one taking her back' i. c. does not give to him, such a one dandyah, 'should be punished' by the King, by regard to the amount (invested) and other circumstances. Vyayam, 'expenses', i.e., the amount of money spent by the bridegroom for the purpose of the marriage, 15 Sodayam, 'together with interest', dadyit 'should give.' 31

The person giving the premise by word in the case of the death of the maden under a mislortune, after having corrected for the expenses of both r. c., of oneself and of the bride's father and others, such a one i.e. the bridegroom may take back what was given by himself such as clothes, ornaments, gold ctc., not however interest. By mentioning correction, it has been pointed out that where there is an absence of an excess over what was spent by the bride's father, nothing should be taken. By the use of the word cha, 'also', is intended the simultaneity 25 of the expenses, gift, and the penalty. (146).

^{1.} Appendix B. 7 p 125 (Leipzig Edition 1884)

[&]quot;This is a special soldary text which has necessarily to be supplemented by other texts such as those quoted in Diyakrama (D K S II 1-2), or from the general law of Stridhams, and where this law also fails, from the still more general law of succession (Mathlyhara H 11-19-25) The special rules of Stridhana succession have thus to be expressly or amphedly supplemented from Paithinasi or Narada (Viramitrodaya 241)" per Jenkius C J in Gandhi Maganlat vs Bos Jadab 24 Bom 102 at pp 211-212 (F B.) See also the observations of Chandavarkar J. in Janglabas vs Jetha Appaji 32 Bam, 400 at pp. 411-412.

Sûtapânı

Yêjîfavnîkyn, Verse 146 He who by a word of mouth, Kanyam delta, after 'having given his

daughter, kuran 'takes her back,' without a cause, becomes dandyo' hable
to be pureshed'

Whataver and here given by the background cause of the callet a back.

Whatever had been given by the bridegroom—such as gold eta, that the father of the bride shall pay back with interest

When a maiden who by a ward of month has been promised to be given, whatever was respectfully given by way of customary presents to the relations of the father and the relations of the bridgeroom, after deducting both these whatever may remain as the residue of the Salka, all that, the bridgeroom may take (146)

(31) It has been declared, that the property of a woman dying without issue, goes to her hisband. The Author now shows that, in certain circumstances, a bushand is allowed to take his wife's goods in her lifetime, and even though she have issue.

Yûjñvalkya, Verse 147

A husband is not hable to make good the property of his wife (when it was) taken by him in a famine, or for (the performance of) a religious duty, or during allness, or while under restrains

(32) Maksharā — Durbbitche in a famne, for the maintenaire and preservation of the family Dharaskāry for a religious shifty, which must necessarily be performed, and also vrādinu dharas diness or sampratrodhake, trilië under restrant or confinement in person or under corporal penalties, being destricts of other funds, and therefore taking 25 his wife's property, hartā as [pinar] dämarhah die hubban li sund tallet or rotas. But il he sense in any other manner, he must pa)

(33) With the exception of the husband, the property of a woman must not be taken in her life time by any other kineman or heir since

¹ See Bajambhatti (p 276 1 29) There is no obligatory rule of the law that he must necessarily pay (back) Otherwise it would have been taid "be should not pay" अपन्येक निवे निको सामान्य । जारणा न वेकीयन महान्।

² grid II 148 Taking means taking ana using Therefor, when properly is not used, it should be returned. Anamalaer vs. They are made 50 Mad. 1911

punishment is denounced (against such conduct). "Their kinsmen,
"who take their goods in their hist-time," virtuous king should obstate
"by inflaining the punishment of their." Similarly, it is pronounced
an offence? "Such ornaments, as are worn by women during the
"life time of their bushand the heirs of the historial shall not, divide
among themselves, they who do so are desirabled from their tribe."

Viramitrodaya

The heir to the stridhomo of a deceased woman has been discussed before. The Author mentions who may take her property during 10 (her) life time

Vålñavalkya Verse 147

Sampratirodhake, 'while under restraint, such as when he is under imprisonment or the like. By the use of the word cha, 'and, is added the general qualitative condition we when the busband's property does not exist. The rest is easily nuclingible. (147)

Sálapáni

Yöiñaylakya, Verse 147

Samprairodhake "while under restraint," the meaning is subat was taken by one of a higher carea causing obstruction for meals etc. (147)

20 Page 103*

1144

(34) A present made on her husband's marriage to another wife has been mentioned as a kind of Stridhana. The Author describes such a present

Yajiavalkya, Verse 148

To a superseded wife, let him give an equal sum for the supersession;

(i e) to her to whom no (Stridhuma) separate property has been given, but
if any have been assigned, let him allot' a half

- I Balambhatfa esembes thus text to Narada but it is not found in the published edition of the virile by Dr 30 by The text is found however, in Minn at Ch VIII 29. See the observations of Mobilatifu on this verse. The Cheurodoxdo (4747) or "puntalment of theft" reforred to here has been given blet on by Manas it Ch VIII 39.
 - 2 Dosho-a deselletion, a transgression Manu Ch IX 200
- 3 species is a better reading, the reading upilities adopted in the print is not good Balamblasta characterises it as a bad reading (awage) and rightly That would necessarily require at to be in the member conder

(35) Miškylarā:—She over the marriago of whom (another) marriage is contracted is a superceded, adhivinal Sach a one who is a wife is a superceded, adhivinal Sach a one who is a wife is a superceded wife. To her adhivinality a to to a superceded wife, iddheredanikan, for the supercession, i e on account of the supercession. An amount saman, epuch, to what is expended on the second surviving; to such an extent, should be given; yaryai trifdhaman an dallam, to her (i.e.) to what no separate properly has been green, by her husband or by her father-in-law. But if dalle, any straddama had been assigned, ardham, half, the sum expended on the second marriage should be given. Here, however, the word "half" (ardha) 10 does not intend an' exist moiety. So much therefore should be paid as will make the wealth already conferred on her equal to the prescribed amount of compensation. Such is the meaning.

Viramitendaya

The Author expounds the Admedanha stridhans mentioned before
Yangayattya, Verse 148

Adhannad, 'supersedd,' i.e., a woman over whom aco' M's hab been brought in, to such a one Adhandankan, 'pertaining to supersession', i.e., on account of marriage with a co-wife, Ahanan, 'wealth, equal to the property given to the one who as being married, should be given to the (former) wife to whom strikinghan had not been given. If, hover, strikhana had been sheady given before, then half of the property given to the (new) write who is being taken marriage, has been declared to be given to the superseded wise. (14%).

^{1.} Addressed is derived from Address over, and result is interred (from telto merty) arrest The compound is formed after the role in Patient V 2-127 - with start. Money which was obtained on account of the Addressed or (Supersession), is the Addressed miles under the rule are springfur (China V. I 38)

² The word Arabe (half) is used here in the mascaline number and therefore not necessarily equivalent to first, at anyther a person in general. See Alment 1 a 10 Secoladary 78 Secoladary 18 Secol

10

Sûlapâŋl

Yaliavalkya, Verse 148

To a wife for whom a cowrife has been brought, on account of the superscession an equal amount should be given. The meaning is, that as much as is given as a respectful citt to the new wife after her marriage, so much (should be given). If Shidhams had been given, then a half should be given, (148)

[Colubracke Sec. XII,]

[On the evidence of a partition].

(1) Having thus explained the law of distribution of the heritage, the Author next propounds the evidence by which it may be proved in a case of doubt

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 149

When partition is dexied, the fact of the partition may be ascertained
by the evidence of kinsmen, relatives, and witnesses, and by written proof,
or by (proof of) reparate possession of bouses or fields.

(2) Milkhard.—If a partition be decied or disputed (then) withing both and, the fact of the partition, i.e. of the certainty of the partition may be obtained by the testimony of jihibbilh, kinsman, i.e. of the 20 Pickhandhu, Markundhus such as the maternal unde and the like; iskhibbilh, kinssessing possessing the qualifications (of witnessess) as mentioned before, or by the evidence of a writing, a g-n record of partition. It may also be assertained by yautakaib, separate, i.e. nartitioned bouses and fields

25 (3) The practice of agriculture or other business pursued apart from the rest, and the observance of the five² principal daily

> 1 Yaji II 68-69 р 846 мунт 2 тэнжилжийн на тэнжийн III 70, 71

अध्यापन महायदा पित्यहारह संगाम्। होनी देवी पित्रभीती सूबक्षी प्रतिश्वपानस

A multi-rati declaration or communication is enough. Graphic vs. Scientisti 13, 19m., 17, 1869. Then when a notice containing this intention was depositable in the 4th August, but it reached the addingue, on the 9th August, the declarative death on the 5th August distribution of affects were now. The 7th August, the declarative death on the 5th August distribution of affects were recommended by Modernschie Providence Roy (2018) Mad. p. 915, or Glorg a cutt. Editorium or Praisidation 30 Bon. J. R. 94.

performances and other religious duties performed separately from them, are pronounced by Narada' to be tokens of a partition? "Among unseparated brothers the performance of religious duties is 'angle When a partition has been mide indeed the (performance of) "religious duties also becomes separate for each one of them'

(4) Similarly, other signs of previous separation are specified by the same Author! "Brothers who are divided may perform the acts of gwing endence, of becoming a sarcty, bestowing and taking gifts, "but never those who are not separated."

Thus ends it a Chapter on the Distribution of Heritage

Here also ends the translation of the portion of the Mitakehara by Mr. Colebrooks

(Contd from last page)

Begaration among brothers raises no presemption of a separation into on of the brothers and his sons. Mt Meads Awar vs Uniters in Bakeh S. igh 3 Luck 270

An entry in the Record of rights showing the shares of each member is ovid ence of severance Assemble Asserts Darkin Past 40 Poin L. R. 758 (P. C.) Memo we fits one cannot record that means profits 38 Mad (50

....

1 Narada Ch XIII 37

2 Colebrooke reads one more verse from Narada viz No 36 Cl 13 : s the one immediately preceding No 37 It mentions additional facts proving portition. It reads that

निमातकमस्रदेहे दारावरणे विक्रिय । द्वान नमामे ज्योग वृष्णा स्यादिनात् ॥ ३६ ॥

Tr ' If a quest on arrive (among co hears) in regard to the fact of a point on on it must be executanced by the relations of mission by it is record of the distribution or by repersted intensections of differ. Missionly, extrary in a document terv lending partition. That is one of the kinds of written evidence ref tred to in All Mission Sylving in Xey 11 II by above.

Balambi atts gives another reading vir univers Rhoyaldhigens a by occupancy and by writing or by a writing extlemeling junication?

2 Namls Ch XIII 39

Vîramitrodaya

Thus having discussed distribution, the Author mentions the determining factors when there is a doubt about it

\a]favalkya, Verse 149

20

5 "A partition has not taken place between us, when in this way a partition is denied, the determination of the separation i.e. prior partition should be secretained i.e. determined by the Chief Judge or the htdp. by the evidence of Lussien, born in the father's family, of eignate hindred i.e. relations often than these, by the evidence of witnesses.

10 of the qualifications stated before, and by other evidence as would be helpful in establishing the desired point, such as documents of partition of houses, lands, partition is which had become the evaluative property like the youngh?

By the use of the word cho, and, are meltided the signs of a partition stitled by Nêrada, iz: "Those whose income and expenditure of "wealth is separite, and who mutually lend at interest to each other, "those siso who exchange merchandise, these are separated, and no "doubt', sud also of the Ordeal (149).

Thus ends the Chapter on Dayavibhaga in the commentary on Yaifavaikva

Śûlapâni

Válňavalkya Verse 140

When a partition is denied, the partition should be established by the kindred by the (proof of) separated bouses and lands. So Narada "Those whose receipts and expenditure are separate, and who mutually

"lend at interest to cash other, and who carry on business transactions, separately, these are certainly separated, there can be no doubt" (149)

Here ends the Chapter on Partition

In reference to a boundary as described above, six varieties of But fold dispute. The bullet of arise as says Kultyspan. 'incress or differency as to a part, existence, or 'absolute non existence (of the right itself), possession without previous.

5 "possession by any other, and a foundity, are the ric causes (that lead)
"to a dispute regarding land" For even ple, in a claim by some one
to the effect. "Us land here is more than five invart times", an
answer to the effect, "It is only five invartance unit nothing more"
it would be a dispute regarding excess. Where in an assertion by a
party that his land was five invartance, the answer is, "it is not only the
"indeed less than that", it would be a dispute 'regarding a deficiency."
In an assertion by a marty that his share in the land measured five

In an assertion by a party thick his share in the land measured five invariants, a reply that he had no share at all, would constitute a dispute fregarding the evatence or absolute non extensor. Where 15 in a sut, the plantiff asserts "This is my land and is in my possession.

without any one bring in prior occupation", and the defendant denies it, and asset that his possession was indeed long and continuous, this would give rise to a dispute where 'possession without previous possession' is the point to be determined. When there is a dispute whether this is 50 the boundary limit or that, it is a dispute 'regarding boundary'. In this way a dispute of this kind is hely to be of 'n', varieties Although the sixfold dispute be regarding land, still as the boundary also is determined.

mmed either under an express or implied text (the consideration of)
that has been incorporated in the chapter on 'Boundary disputes'

Those who belong to the neighbourhood are neighbours, Samanlah,

have who even in the engineering of the houndary, samaning, and these, belonging to different configuous vallages on the four sides, are residents on each (point) of the boundaries. For a text of Kälyäyana declares that "A town is the neighbourse La town, a field is said to be the neighbourfor of a field, a house has been indicated to be of the neighbourford."

By the words a town &c, are indicated persons residing therein e g in the expression the town was routed. The use of 'neighbours' is also indicative by implication, of those contiguous to them. Kätyäyana also has said. "Those who are contegious to thee, and those who are

"contiguous to the contiguous resembling a lotus in their formation."

1 Vore 13. 2 A measure of haid = 20 rod;

Sthavnish, the chiera, i.e. old men. By using the word 'others' Adaqua's, are included the Mankas and Uddhirkas. The definition of 'old men and others has been given by the Same Author thus. "Those "who have witnessed anything being brought about, are considered as old men being endowed with the qualities of them, quite apart "whether they are actually accept or no

"Those who lived before as neighbours and afterwards migrated "to another region, are cilled by the sages the, "natives" or Maidas of the place, as they had their (domicale of) output in that place

"Those, moreover, who, since they bring out a fact of old, as the good of the tradition, by any act of peace-tile possession and by special episodes relating to it are known as the *Uddhrias* or the "antiquarias".

Gosh, herdsmen, i.e. those who tend the kine. Simskeshänih, culturer on the boundary, i.e. tillers of the fields lying contigeous to the boundary. Serve cha vasacokarsh, and all persons moving in the forests, i.e. the foresters such as huntsmen &c. These, moreover, have been meetitoned by Manu' ur. "Hunters, fowlers, berdsmen fishers" men, nord diregers, sankevathers, glesseers and other foresters."

Shalam, a mount, a raised portion of ground angireh, char coal, the relase of the, intake, charg, the coating of count, a domain, invest, the (Indian), lay'i tree, settly a rater-endominate, of a dam to a flow of water, chartys, a hope of stone, s a un embandment of stone or any other material. By the use of the word Adya, 'any others', are included bamboos and de-

These, moreover, are of two classes according as they are visible, or not visible. Find Mind. "Let him mark the boun lares (by) trees such as the Nyagrodhas', Asvatthis, Linnsules, cotton trees. Salas, "Palmyra palms and trees with milky pates (246). By clustering "strends and hamboos' of different Linds, Sama, excepters and raised.

f Bilan blatta notices also another reading re. hupyrla-guina Nanda

pandita reads Lulyaka

T Ch VIII 260

Frene Led on

B 1 s the boundaries 4 Ch VIII 246-248

⁵ Nyagrodha Ficus Indea Asmitha—Ficus Religiosa Kimsuka— Butea Frendosa Esis Sholes Robusta "Trees with multy piles", Arka— Calatropic Gigantea, Udambara Dass Glomerata & Émin—Acacia Suma

"mounds, reeds and thekets of the knbukat so that the boundary ("morth) will not vanish (247) Thuis, drinking reservors, wells, "and fountains should be built on boundary junctions, as also temples, ("248)" These are wishle (marks)

5 "Hyung' seen that through men's gnorance of the boundaries "trespasses constantly occur in the world, let him (; e the king) cause "to be made other marks of boundaries which remon hidden (249)" "Stones, bones, cow's barn, chaff, sales, potherds, dry cowdung, "bricks, unders pebbles, and sand (250) And whatever other things to "of a similar kind the earli does not corrode (even) after a long "time these le should cause to be hirred as invanish sings where "boundaries join (251) By these signs the king shall ascertain the "boundaries you'th their marks hidden (boundaries by with their marks hidden (boundaries) with their marks hidden.

Page 10s*

By means of these visible and invisible marks pointed out by the neighbours and others, the king should determine the boundary of the two disputing historias.

Vîramitrodaya

Now the Author states the method of the delimitation of the 20 boundary

Yājnavatkya, Verses 150, 151

In a dispute regarding the boundary between two villages, the neighbours and those others stated before marked 1 c identified by means of mounds, char-cooks & cshandd determine to fix the boundary.

25. Kâyâyans states the characteristics of neighbours thus "A town is the "neighbour of a town, a field has been decired to be that of a field, a "house has been pouted out to be that of a house on account of its being saturated in the neighbour hood. That village which by its enuciding it c, surrounding, is stanted on the four quarters is the neighbour of 30 that village. The meaning is that in this mounter size is of the land, house, for (to be taken). By the word grains, "town, are spoken of the people residing there, sthearth, "cliders, i.e., old men By the word dat, "and others, are middled the meaning, addirates and others.

1 Mann Ch. VIII. 249-252

The characteristics of orddhas &c have been mentioned by Katvavana thus . "Those by whom was seen the transaction, men possessing these "qualifications, whether they are old or not old are declared as arddhas. 'Those, who were formerly residents at the place, and afterwards had "gone to another region, these as they originally belonged to that place. "are called maulas by the sages Those, who, marked with the charac-"teristics of knowing (the boundary) from bearsay, possession, transaction. "tradition, t help to) bring out the decision, are therefore known as "uddkridh "

Gobdh. 'cowherds', those who tend the Line Simd-Kryhandh, 'cultivators on the boundary's e cultivators of the field on the boundary, sarve vanacharine, 'all persons moving in the forest, a c, the hunters, fowlers, the forest guards and the like By the use of bie word cha, 'also . twice are included fishermen, gleaners and the like, as also root-diggers, snake-catchers and the rest So also Manu! "Hunters, fowlers, herds-"men, fishermen, root-diggers, snake-catchers, gleaners and other "foresters"

Sibblingaro, the hard charcoal te, the remnant of the wood from the fire Sthalangara is the tending in some places. In that thee it should be explained as sthatam, a mound meaning a raised portion of the ground Tushah, 'chails, se, the coating of corn, dramah, 'trees,' such as the Plaksha or the like trees, setuh, 'embankment, salmikah, 'the ant bill, an elevated place well known in the Central Provinces, as Died ublindu fin tife, nimnam, slopes , such as pits, etc., arthi, bones, are well known, chartrah, a monumental village tree word ddyg, are included pebbles, etc. That has been stated by Manu! Stones, bonce, cow's hur, chaff, ashes, potsherds, dry cowdung, bricks, "conders, pebbles and sand (250) And whatever other things of a "similar kind the earth does not correde (leven) after a long time these he should cause to be burned as invisible signs where boundaries join 30 251 (150-151) Sútanánt

Yniñavalkya Verses 150 tst In a dispute about the boundary of a field the Steam is the local

inhabitants, reidhih the old By the use of the word a is 'and like others'. the hunters old, are meant By visible signs such as Immovables etc hilden marks, such as charcoal etc. the marked boundary they should determine (150 151)

² Ch 1 Ht 230-232 1 Ch 1 HI 260

When, however, the marks do not exist, or being in existence are ambiguous by reason of the non-determination of any mark thereof, the Author lays down, a rule for deciding the dispute Yaiñavalkva, Verse 152

Men of the neighbouring villages, or of the same village, being in number 5 either four, eight, or even len, having put on garlands of red flowers, and red dresses, and taking some of the earth, shall determine the boundary.

Mitakashara - Samantah, men of the neighbouring villages, i e. as has been described before. Samagrama sehatwaroshtan dasani wa. or of the same village being in number form, englit, or even ten, thus being of an even number and helonging to the neighbouring villages; putting on chaplets of red flowers, and clad in red garments, and with immps of earth piaced on their foreheads, shall determine-ie, point out-the boundary.

The alternative suggested in the expression Samanta wa "men of

"the neighbouring villages or ", has a reference to the witnesses ment-

ioned in other Smrtis. For Mann' has said: "The settlement of a " dispute regarding boundaries shall depend on witnesses." Here therefore the decision by (means of) the witnesses is the chief course. Failing that, by the Samantas. So it has been laid down by Manut: "In "the absence of witnesses, men from the four neighbouring villages, 20 "who are pure, shall make a dension concerning the boundary, in the "presence of the king". Failing these, the neighbours of these shall decide, as says Kâtyâyana: "When the men from the neighbouring "villages are (suspected to be) corrupt on account of personal interests, "undoubtedly the decision must be obtained from men of the other

"neighbouring villages, regard being had to the importance of the "interests at stake. When even such neighbours of the neighbours are "found to be faulty, it has been laid down that the neighbours of these "should be selected, but the king, knowing well the law, should never

"engage men who are vitiated." 30

1 s on page 1149

2 Samagraman Both Mandht and Borrodulo translate Samagraman as to the village in which the disputed land is saturate. The supression simply "of the village in smen the exputes some and the capression simply means "of the same village", and may be interpreted as has been done by the two similarity within a subjective of the same village." and may be taken as adjectived and qualifying the Somentar, as has been down by Balambhatte (see p 275 1 18) Aparaika notes a different reading altogether are "sparset that may be a Page 108*

the witnesses, the neighbours &c. thus . "When a piece of ground has "been carried off by a stream and thus the boundary marks have been "uprocted or destroyed, (they shall fix the boundary) according to the 'inference to be diawn from (an inspection of) the spot, and according 5 "to the measurements, and according to the traces of possession" 1 e they should determine (the boundary), by noting in those boundary regions where a down flowing river has carried off or washed away the marks and which have thus either been uprooted from their places, or been destroyed (altogether) There, (the expression) 'according to the inference to be drawn from the spot means according to the 10 inference as to the old spot, the marks whereof have either been up rooted or annihilated 'According to measurements,' eg 'The field lies on the west of this village measuring 1000 rods commencing from the village', and the like, or according to the evidence of long and immemo 15 rial possession within the knowledge of and adversely to the opponent-

A special rule has moreover, been hud down by Brhaspati in this connection. "Those persons will be proper witnesses in disputes of "this nature who know the origin of the full: the evidence ragarding "it, the duration of possession, the name and also the christier of the 20 "(particular) piece of ground."

These witnesses Samant's and others, moreover, being sworn according to the caths of each, should be questioned by the lang in the presence of Kulas' & C. As asys Mast. "The vitnesses (gring evidence) "regarding a boundary, shall be examined concerning the land marks in the presence of the Kulas of the village, and also of the two "hitgorits" The witnesses &c thus examined should give a unnumous decision of all together regarding the boundary.

The boundary thus determined by these, together with all the marks pointed out by them, with the names of witnesses &c specified, should be noted on a document that it may not be formatten. It has

¹ Dr delly interprets vage, mg and aveg as separate results following from
the actions of separate agents and installates thus "or observated by the owner)

or the boundary marks have been destroyed & 2 Ch XIX 14
3 See Yajnavalitya II 30 & the Matakahara thereon pp 746 747&c, above

⁴ Cb VIII 254

also been said by Mano? "As they, being examined, declare the marks "of the boundaries (to be) even so the king shall justify cause them all "to be fixed between each village 2"

If within an interval of these fortingfits from the day of the making of (a decision regarding) the boundary, no claimity either from the lang or 60d fallon these, a the winterses, Stannias and others, then a proof of that would establish the decision as to the boundary. This interval about the estainity from God or lang his been laid down by Kitykyans. "In decisions regarding a boundary, in the case of the "ordeals by Kora, and of the bounding of the (boly) feet, the interval "for (the visitation of) a calamity from God or long is respectively "three fortunebus a fortuneth, and seven days."

Vîramitrodaya

When, however, the aforestated marks do not exist, or are ambiguous by reason of their being or not being (regarded as) marks, then the Author states the means for a decision

Yajñavalkya, Verae 152

Samantah, 'men of the neighbouring villages, as have been des cribed before, samagramah, men of the same village', i e, of the immediately adjoining villages, chaiwaroshtau dasa za, four, cight, or ten', who themselves are sure of the boundary, with red flowers and clothes on, and with clode of earth placed on their heads, smam naveysh, should determine the boundary, t e, should definitely fix The adverbs api, ma, falso, for, are indicative of option. In the expression for the simantas, the word for intends witnesses, as says 25 Manu' "In the determination of a boundary, the testimony of witnesses (may be availed of) Similarly "In the absence of witnesses, four men from the four neighbouring villages, shall make a decision "concerning a boundary in the presence of the King Katvavana also (same as p 1154 tl 23-30 above) Similarly, in regard to witnesses, the same author says " In the absence of the samantus, the maulas, " uildhrias and like others Manus " Placing earth on their heads:

² Ch VIII 261

³ Ch VIII 314 4 Ch VIII 200

⁵ Ch, VIII 257

"wearing chappels of flowers, and putting on red dresses, being each "sworn by his menterious deeds, let them settle (the boundary) in

" accordance with the truth

After the determination in this manner, if any calamity owing to a to the King or of God does not occur to the simunitary and the rest, then the boundary determined by them should be (finally) fixed by the King, whether the Catyayana "In the matter of the settlement of "a boundary, in the orderly by the Josa, as also by the touching of the "feet, (the occurrence of) relating from the King or God within three lifeth, the regarded 1152).

Sütapünl

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 152

If there be doubt as to the masks themselves then the inhabitants of the neighbouring villages, four, eight, or ten even in number with red 15 flowers on hoods and clod no red robes, additiond in the caths shade before, placing clods of earth on their head, should determine the boundary (152)

When, however, of those who gave evidence as witnesses, any disease &c is noticed within the interral of three fortinghts, or when 20 their evidence conflicts with the testimony of witnesses other than those cited by the defendant, and who are entitled to more weight by their quilifications and number. then those (former) deserve to be numited for their faire evidence. The Author states the rule as to that

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 153 (1)

25 In case of a falsebond, they should severally be pumshed by the king under the middling americament

Milkshara — Ante, in case of a falsehood r e for telling a lie which
was the burs of the decision, all the Samantas shall each be pointshed
madhjamaahasen, it is the middling americant, e with a fine of five
bundred and twenty four pairs. That this penal provision refers to

1 There are three grades of bahasas, सूत्रम सम्बद्ध and उत्तम, see Narada

2 A will a coin either of copper or of gold. The ordinary Pens is equal in value to 80 contress a about o or 4 pms.

the Sanvatats is inferable from the fact that a separate provision of punishment has been made in other Smṛtis for the witnesses, Maulas &c. For says Banel: "If they determine (the boundary) in the manner stated, they are guiltless (being) veracious witnesses; but if they "determine it unjustly, they shall be compelled to pay a fine of two "hundred (paras)", Nārada" also having jaid down the middling ameroment for the Sanvatata in: "Should, however, the neighbours speak "falsely when called upon to decide a question of this sort, they shall all

"hundred (paras)". Nirada' also having laid down the middling ameroment for the Schmatas in: "Should, however, the neighbours speak "falsely when called upon to decide a question of this sort, they shall all "be punished severally by the king, each having to pay the fine of the middling ameroment," has prescribed a punishment of the first degree for the neighbours of these: "Should the rest of those engaged in a "dispute regarding land tell a lie, these are (considered as) sinners, "and shall be punished with a fine of the first ameroment." The same punishment has also been baid down for the Maulas, Elders &c: "The Maulas, the Elders and the rest shall also each be severally nonlisted with a nearly: the shall have to ray the fine of the first

Adi, and the rest, are included, the cowherds, bird-catchers, hunters, and other inhabitants of the forest. Although, by reason of their being engaged in a sinful avocation, the use of the bird-catchers do: is 20 and conly in pointing out the marks, and not in the school determination of the boundary itself, still the imposition of a penalty is proper, as it is likely that a false statement may be made even in the pointing out of the mark or eight.

"degree, if they make false statements". By the use of the expression

The ruleas to prinishment laid down in: "In the case of a falsehood, 29 "they abould be severally punished," has a reference to (statements made through) ignorance, since Kätylyasa has haid down a separate putchanent for those who do so by design thus: "II, of those many "assembled together, all do not declare a decision either through fear "or out of avarion, they shall be punished with the highest amorees amen." Simularly, the same punishment has been prescribed by the Same Author in one where three is a discrepancy among the witnesses: "If there be a discrepancy among these examined, they shall be "unnished with the highest amorement."

¹ Ch VIII 257

^{3.} By Nårada Oh XI. 8.

^{2.} Ch XI 7.

10

15

Having thus punished the nitnesses &c. for speaking falsehood through ignorance or other cause, a fresh proceeding should be commenced for determining the boundary : For the same Sage having observed: " After having punished in the case of a statement with-"out knowledge, the (question as to the) boundary should again be in-"vestigated", has laid down the procedure for determining the boundary thus : "Having excluded such of the neighbours as are (found to be) " faulty, he should select others, and joining them together with the " Maulas &c., the king should have the boundary determined; this is " (the rule) known to those who are conversant with law."

Vicamitrodaya

When, however, within the interval of three fortnights, a disease etc. is seen, then a punishment should be inflicted on them, so says the Author

Yalaavatkva, Verse 154 (1)

Aprile. In the case of a falsehood' z. e., if they (are found to have) told a lie, for that reason, to, 'these', e.e., the samantas and the rest should each be punished with twenty-five banas, the penalty for the ' : middling amercement.

PAGE 107*

When, however, the Samanas and others conversant (with 20 the locality) or the marks do not exist, how should a decision be made? So the Author says

Yajnavalkya, Verses 153 (2)

In the absence of persons knowing, or of marks, the king should 25 determine the boundary

Mitakshara: In the absence of persons knowing, such as the Sâmantas and others, and also of marks, such as trees &c, raja simnab pravartită, the king himself shall determine the boundary, i. e. shall cause it to be determined. The causal is here understood, The land, the subject-matter of dispute, lying between two villages, should be divided equally, and should be assigned to the two litigants, after specifying thus : "this is the land of this man", "this land is to go to

Śūlapūni

Yäifiavaikva, Verse 153

If a false declaration be made, they should be punished by the king, each separately, with the middling amercement. In the absence of marks 5 for identification, the king should himself make the boundary. (153)

With a view to demonstrate that this text is founded on instice, even though there is no room for supposing that it is not so, the Author mentions an extension of the rule (stated in the last two verses)

Vâiña.valkva. Verse 154

10 The same rule should be understood (to apply) in (the case of) a garden, a ware-house, a village, a watering-place, a pleasure-garden, a house, a rain watercourse and the like.

Mitaksbara :- Aramah, a garden, a piece of ground intended for growing and gathering flowers and fruits. Ayalanam, a wave-house. i, s. a house, or a plot of ground set apart for storing husk or straw. 13 Gramah, a village, is well-known. The use of the word village, moreover, is indicative of a town, by implication. Nipanam, a watering place, i.e. a drinking place, such as a well, a pond and the like. Udyanam a pleasure oarden, i.e. a pleasure bower. Veime, a house, i.e. a dwelling place. In (the case of) these i.e. the garden &c., this very vidbib. 90 rule, i. e. as characterised by the (rule about) samantas, witnesses &c., should be known (to apply) Similarly (should be the rule) in the case of water courses arising from excessive rain-fall i. e. in disputes of a kind where it is alleged that "the course of water flows by the middle (line) of these two houses or those two". By the use of the 25 term Adi, and the like, the same old rule should be known to apply in the case of mansions also. For Katyayana also says: "And also in "the case of a field, a well, a tank, and even a meadow, or a garden: "a house, a mansion, a resting place, the abode of a king and the "temple of god." 30

An affigst is an extension or an extended application of a rule to things not directly covered by the rule strolf. For an explanation of this passage see Balambhatta, pp. 277 and 278, and sote 5 on p 982,

Vîramitrodaya

With an eye to brevity in the treatise, the Author extends the aforestated law to another subject

Yajinvalkya Verse 154 Aramah, 'a garden', 16 a pleasure garden, dyatanam, 'a warehouse . a mese of land set appet as for stocking hush, stran, etc. gramah, 'a village, is also indicative of a town by implication, in binam, 'a watermy place, such as a well, a reservoir, etc , udydnom, a pleasure bower , : c. a prece of land set apart for sport, tesma, 'a house . te a dwelling place. tershumbubravaha, 'a rain water-course', te a channel of water produced by the monsoon showers for these, esha era, 'this same, ie as stated in regard to the boundary, is midhih, 'rulu , i e the method of determination By the word ad, 'and others, are included a field, door, etc

Behaspatt! "From since the time of its foundation, a house, a pool, on shop of the like, whatever has been occupied by a man in whichever 15 "manner and upto whatever period, that must not be disturbed from "bim' (24) " Windows, water courses, as also a projecting balcony, a "channel for the outward flow of water from a guadrangle, constructed Phefore, must not be removed (25) Prandle, 'a water course, nurvicha tedika, 'a projecting balcony , a balcony made of elephant tasks, choinsidiam, 'a quadrangle, a house with four doors, syandamad channel for water, I e a portion of the floor, known as osan shad waters want "One should not let rain-waters drop, nor construct a "gutter in another's house" Behaseall', "A privy, a fire place, a pit, a "receptacle for leavings of food and other (rubbish) must never be made "very close to the house of another person Similarly" "A passage "through which men and animals go to and fro unprevented is called "somearand, and must never be obstructed by any one Katyayana" "One should construct a ring" for discharging ordere, usine and filthy "water, a fire place and a pit after leaving a space of a couple of cubits from the wall of another Chakram, ring , for oil etc Stmilarly "Of trees soringing up in the undst of the boundaries of two fields, the fruits and the flowers produced therefrom should be made over to the "owners of the fields is to the owners of the two fields. Of the trees "which have apring up in one man's field where the branches become 35 "embedded in another's field, that one should be regarded as the owner "of these in whose field they have become embedded, "these I e the branches (154)

¹ Oh XIX 24 25

² Adjettu De Jolly translates * a peg projecting from a wall (and to

³ चतुः कोते स्थ किया प्राइतिका म बाउनेत-Dr Jolly Tr 'क शुक्रक of four buildings and a channel for the exit of water 'must not be blocked' 4 Verso 753

⁵ geent-enother reading is circui-a window accommuniting a view 7 Brhauen Ch XIX 27

Verse 754 9 mg-another reading or ques mound 10 Verse 760-271

Sûtapânî Yalîsayatkya, Verse 154

As to these 1 e the gardens etc this same rule 1 o as characterised by the Sumarkos witnesses etc should be understood. By the word ad are 5 included fields etc (154)

Having stated (the rule regarding) the decision of a boundary, the Author states, in that connection, the pumishment for destroying the boundary marks and the like (acts)

Yamavalkya, Verse 155

10 For breaking up the boundary, for encroaching heyond the boundary, likewise, and for usurping the lands the punishments are the lowest, the highest, and the middlemost (respectively)

Maikhará — The common (plot of) land separating many fields is a maryádá, boundary, for brevling that up with force, smaibleanaise, for the common of the boundary, is for secrocating legoud the boundary, is for escrocating beyond the boundary and ploughing and also for usurpung a land by a show of fear &c, the punishments respectively, of the lowest, the laghest and the middlemost Shhasis should be understood. The use of the word 'land' (!.hikar)

20 here is intended to include by implication, a house, a gaiden &c

When, however, he usurps land &c under aumstaken notion of (the
same) being his, then a fine of two hundred (parsa) should be understood

As says Manu? "He who by intendation usurps a house, a tank, a
"garden or a field shall be fined five hundred (panse) (the does so)

"through imponence, (the fine shall be) two hundred (panse) "Havings"

regard to the magnitude of the land or the property usurped, even the highest ansercement may cometunes be prescribed. And it is for this that it has been sail. 'Cor poral poundment, confiscation of the entire.' 'property banciument from the town, and branding, as well as ampu 30. "tation of that him (with which the crime was committed) is declared "to be the pumpiment for a Silvaso of the the highest degree"."

¹ See Narada Cl XIV for a detailed description of Salasar or offences 2 Oh VIII 261

³ By Narada XIV 8 The edition of Narada by Dr Jolly reads one more line before the two quoted by Vi paneserms. If runs thus 3-3-3 mean sec. Means seed.

Tr For siders of the linguest degree a fine of not less than a thousand (panel) is ordained

15

Viramitrodava

On the occasion (of discussing) the boundaries, while mentioning the cenalty for a transgression thereof, the Author states the same penalty in another connection also with a view to brevity of the treatise

Yalüzvalkya Verse 155

The common plot of land disconnecting the fields, etc is maryadd, 'the boundary' For a forcible breaking of that and for transgressing the boundary and beyond that by tilling etc usurping the land of another by a show of fear, etc, the punishments of the lowest, highest, and the middlemost amercements should respectively be administered, By the use of the word tatha, thewise, immediately connected with the word fand are included the houses, gurdens, etc. By the word tu 'however , is excluded the breaking up of a visible mark (155)

Sülação

Yālifavalkva Verse ist

For the breaking up of a boundary ; e for transgressing a boundary. for taking away land, respectively the pontshments are the middling and the highest amercements (155)

Moreover, when after obtaining the permission of the owner of the field either by request or by payment of money a man wishes to erect a dam for water, or sink a well and if the owner of the field obstructs him the owner himself is punishable. So the Author says Yânîavalkya Verse 156

An embankment, however producing benefit should not be prohibited. where the mury is slight, (as also) a well which occupies but little space, on but has abundance of water, which deprives another of his land

PAGE 108*

Mitakshara -Setuh, an embuniment, se the construction of a dam to a waterflow should not be stopped by the owner of the field, even though it depraces, a e destroys another's land, provided that it causes little mury and is productive of much benefit (to many' A well, more over as it occurres a small portion of land causes little injury, and is beneficial on account of the abundance of water (m at), (so at) should never be stopped The use of the word well, moreover, is indicative by implication of a small well, a water pond and the like others From this it necessarily follows that when, however, it occupies the whole field and thus causes much injury, or being in a field in the vicinity of a

river or similar other water place is productive of very little benefit, it may be stopped. The two fold character of an embankment has been mentioned by Narada1 There are two sorts of dykes (or water courses), one called Theyah (ze one which may be dug into the ground), and " another called bandhyah (; c one which may be built up) It is called a lheya' when it lets (out) water to flow, and it is called

' bandl ya when it stops water from flowing " When, however any one wishes to repair a dyke prepared by another and destroyed by delandation or any like cause, then he

should do so only after asking the permission of the former owner or his descendant, or of the king As says Naradas. If a man were to put in repair a dyke erected long ago but decayed, without asking the permis son of the owner, he shall not have the (use and) profits of it (20). "When, however the owner is dead, and also all his human progeny 15 he may ask the (permission of the) ling and may set the dyke in order (21)

Viramitrodaya

The Author mentions an exception to the fine stated for usurping a field

Yaluavatkya Verse 156

30

ı

20 If to the owner of a field the injury be slight if such an embank 1 ment to dam is productive of great benefit to others then the con structor of the embankment should not be prohibited to stopped, by the 20

owner of the field The clause which deprives another of his land is connected to both. In a small field a well with abundant water should not be prohibited By the use of the word tu 'however, is excluded an embankment which would cause injury to many on account of its injuring the whole field (156)

Sulapani Yamavalkya Verse 156

If in another a field an embankment which is being constructed by another he useful for many and cause slight injury to the owner of the field then such a one should not be prohibited similarly a well 1 01 XI 18

2 See Narada Ch XI 19 Where the necess ty of hoth these kinds in

explained viz too much water destroys a crop and therefore it is to be let out (theya), so also too little causes the crop to wather and so also water has to be stored (bandhya) See Balambhatta p 278 3 Ch XI 20 21

1166 Mitû , Vira, & Sûla,—Dykes, kheya an l Bandhija

river or similar other water-place, is productive of very little benefit, it may be stopped. The two-fold character of an embankment has been

mentioned by Narada1 "There ore two sorts of dykes (or water courses), "one called theyah (1 e. one which may be dug into the ground), and " another called landhuah (: e. one which may be built up). It is "called a Theya" when it lets (out) water to flow; and it is called

" bandhya when it stops water from flowing". When, however, any one wishes to repair a dyke prepared by another and destroyed by delapidation or any like cause, then be another and destroyed by desaptement of any line charge, 10 chould do so only after asking the permission of the former owner or his descendent, or of the king As says Narada, "If a man were to put in

"repair a dyke erected long ago but decayed, without asking the permis 'sion of the owner, he shall not have the (use and) profits of it (20) When, however the owner is dead, and also all his human progeny, he may ask the (permission of the) king and may set the dyke in

Vîramitrodaya

The Author mentions an exception to the fine stated for usurping

a field Yâjîtavatkya, Verse 156

20 If to the owner of a field the injury be slight, if such an embankment ie, dam is productive of great beoefit to others, then the con structor of the embankment should not be prohibited ic. stopped, by the owner of the field The clause "which deprives another of his land" is connected to both. In a small field a well with abundant water

should not be prohibited By the use of the word In, 'however', 15 excluded an embankment which would cause injury to many on account of its injuring the whole field (156)

Sûtapânî Yajnavalkya Verse 156

30

If in another a field, an embankment which is being constructed by another be useful for many, and cause slight injury to the owner of the field then such a one should not be prohibited, similarly a well 1 Ch XI 18

See Narada Ch XI 19 Where the necessity of both these kinds is

explained vir too much water desirnys a crop and therefore it is to be let out (theya), so also too little causes the crop to wather and so also water has to be stored (bandhya) See Balambhatta p 378 8 Cb XI 20-21

The rule as to the owner of the soil has been laid down (in the last verse). Now the Anthor mentions a rule regarding one who constructs a dvke

Yâiñavalkya. Verse 157

If a man construct a dyke in a field without even informing the owner (of the field), the right to the produce is that of the owner, and in his absence, that of the king.

Mitakshara: - Without asking the permission of the owner of the field, or in his absence, of the king, be who paraktheire selum pravariavali. constructs a dule in another's field, (that man') will not be entitled to enjoy the produce. But in the produce reared therein, the right of TΩ enjoyment is that of the owner of the field, or in his absence, that of the king. Therefore the purport is, that the permission of the owner must be obtained either by request or by payment of consideration, and in his absence, of the king, and then a dyke should be set up. 15

Viramitrodava

One desiring to construct an embankment or a well in another's land should obtain the permission of the owner of the field by a request or by money-payment, or when that is not possible, should obtain the permission of the king, and then should be construct it. Intending this, the Author proceeds

Yajaayalkya, Verse 157

As regards the crop produced from it, when there is abundance of harvest, the (right of) enjoyment will be of the owner of the land, and not of the one who erects; when, however, the owner does not exist, 25 then the (right of) enjoyment of the produce goes to the king. The word eva 'even.' goes with the word 'owner,' thereby the constructor of the embankment is excluded (157).

Śūtapâni

Vâlûsvalkya, Verse 157

Without informing the owner of the field, if any one constructs an embankment, (then) after the embankment is completed, the owner of the field has the right to the enjoyment of the embankment. In his absence (the right is) of the king (157)

1168 Milâksharâ, Vîra, & Śūla.—Gne u lio docs not cultivale Verse 105

It has been said that the owner of a field should not obstruct the construction of a dyle Now, the Author mentions another rule which has a close bearing in the same context

Yamavalkya, Verse 158

He who does not cultivate himself, or through another, a field, even when it was broken by the ploughshare, should be made to pay the produce obtainable from the land and the field should be got cultivated by another.

Mitaksbara: --He, however, who having undertaken in the presence of the owner of the field "I shall cultivate this field", afterwards gives 10 it up, nor gets it cultivated by another, then, even when that field phalabatam, was broken by the ploughshare, to was dug up a little by the plough, and therefore was not properly prepared for the production of a good crop, still of the land so dug up, the produce : e. such as was likely to be produced from it as determined by the neighbours do-15 should be made to be pud by that cultivator, and the field also should be taken away from the former cultivator and should be got cultivated by another.

Here ends the chapter on Boundary Disputes.

Vîrmîtrodaya

20 On the occasion of the (discussion about) the field, the Author says

Yûldavalkya, Verse 158

25

30

'I will till this land thus having undertaken with the owner of the land, one who afterwards does not cultivate himself, nor cause it to be cultivated by another when it was furrowed with the plough, such a one should be compelled to pay as much as may be determined by the Samantas or others as the likely yield of the land. And the land also should be taken away from him and should be got cultivated by

Thus ends in the Commentary called Viramitrodaya on the Yajaavalkya Smrfi on the Chapter Boundary Disputes

Sûlapâni

Yājnavalkya, Verse 158

In some places the reading is haluhalam, broken by the plough A field even though broken by the ploughshare, after accepting it, if one does not perform the sowing operation per does be either cause it 35 (to be performed) such a one should be made to pay, even as from the uncultivated field the quantity as may have been produced if cultivated, and it should be got cultivated by another (158)

Thus ends the Chapter on Boundary Disputes,

30

CHAPTER X

Of disputes between the Owners of cattle

The several titles of Vyanahāra are not related to each other as cause, or the thing containing the cause, and therefore, no particular arder of enumeration was intended in the text, Of these the first is "payment and recovery of debta &c" Therefore by inverting the order of enumeration) the Author now states the rules regarding disputes between the owners of cattle and there hardmen

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 159

A female bulfalo doing damage to the crop shall be lined eight mathas, a cow, half of that, and a good or a sheep, half of that
PAGE 109*

Mitakshara—A female builalo causing daimage to a stranger's crop shall so fined eight midstas, a cow, half of that, is four mistors Goats, all so fined might midstas, a cow, latt of that, is four mistors Goats, as less sheep shall be fined from midstar. Since the finale buffalo and the rest are manpable of owning wealth, the person who owns these is intended. A midsta, moreover, is here intended to signify the twentieth part of a copper grain. For, Nizada' has stied. "A midsta 'is considered as the twentieth part of a pan to

This rule, moreover, applies where the trespass has been without the knowledge (of the owner). When, however, the trespass is by design, the rule laid down in smeller Senti should be observed or? "Two quarters of a point for a cow, and double that for a female funding inmidely, for a good, a sheep und calives, a quarter has been "laid down as the fine". Winst, however, has been laid down by Nisrada. "Tor (a trespass by) a cow, be should unflied aftine for one "mid-has for (a mischief by) a female builded, two mi-blass, and in the "case of a goot, a sheep and calives, the fine shall smount to half a "mid-has" has a reference to copy which had repend into sprouts, and which has been eaten up leaving only the roots.

¹ See Digest Book III Ch IV pp 87-10: 2 Manu Ch VIII 47 referred to above see p 816 ll 10-20, no also

Yafi I 369-377 and commentation 3 App 58

Ch XI 31

20

30

Vicamiltodaya

Válňavalkya Verse 150

The she buffalo should be fined eight indehas. A cow destroying the crops should be fined half of that ie four mathas The post and the sheep when the crops are damaged should be fined two mashas, thus the connection of words is in the inverse order. Here, as the shebuildle etc. are devoid of money, the fine is impossible (to be recovered), and therefore their owners should be (held limble) Maska, moreover, here is the silver one, stated before and equal to two silver coins, should be taken, vide the text of the Shashvakars. " In matters of fine 10 "the calculation of the amount is to be made by golden maskas, in case "of trespass by the beasts on crops, however, by other, i e the silver "maskas Pana means the kurshabana, a quarter of that is the fourth part. According to Rainakara "In the cise of goats etc. the quarter " should be the penalty when the crops are gaten at night 15 " cow, a mdsha should be ordered as the fine to be paid, so, for a she-" huffalo two marks, and for the goats, sheep and calves, the fine shall " he half a maska this text of Narada" is applicable when the residue of the roots fit to be developed into sprouts had been eaten (159)

Śûlavêat

Yalnovolkyo, Verse 150

Masha here is the twentieth part of a page. By the words she-huffalo ete are expressed their Leepers. For the destruction of crops on account of the she buffalos the keeper shall be fined cight Mashar on account of a cow, four on account of a goat or a ram, two each (159) 25

The Author mentions a double fine in certain circumstances having regard to the magintade of the injury

Yamavalkya, Verse 160 (1)

For cattle cating and lying in the field the fine is double of that mentioned (above)

Mitâksharâ -If the cattle after eating the crop in another's field (are allowed) also (to) sleep there unwarded, then rathoktat dwiguno

dando, a fine double that mentioned above should be understood. Of earlie esting and lying with their calves, moreover, a fine four times that mentioned above should be understood, tade the texts "Of those "resting (there) a double fine has been land down, and of those "accommand by their calves, a four fold (fine)"

Viramitrodaya Vaiñavatkva Verse Ión (1)

When after esting the crop in another's land, and boing fully statuted, (are allowed to) rest down there wishout being warried off, then the sheshifale and the like should be fined with double the amount of 16 that stated before. For those with their cultres and resting down, the fine should be imposed four times of that stated before, whe the text 'Of those recting (there, h. a double fine has been had down, and of "those accommand by their callers, a four-fold first.) [10] [11]

The Author mentions an extended application of this rule 15 in regard to other fields and cattle

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 160 (2)

The fine for these is equal as in the case of lands where grass or fuel is stored, and the fine for an ass or a camel is the same as for a female buffafo.

Mthishara — Viviah i e the portion of land where grass and fuel are stored in shundame, and which is enclosed and guarded. In the case of a trespess upon that also, samen dandam, a fine equal, in that in the case of other fields should be understood in the case of these, subam, if of the female buffalo and the lake

The asses and the same! (pound together male the compound everyone), an are or a cand, blureshram, that should be regarded as the same as for a lemsh buffalo. Wherever and by whichever penalty a female buffalo is pumphed, in those places and by a smalar penalty shall the ras and the came! be seek severally painted. In the matter of colorienting the (growth of the) drop the ass and the came! are seek equal to a female buffalo, and the fine sho has been prescribed according to the extent of the mary. and thus in the compound expression Kharvahtam the conjunct compound as not intended.

¹ of Katyayana-Balambhatta

1172 Vira Sülapöni Mitaksharü—In the case of stores Yajinvalky: Ch X Verses 160 161

Vîramitrodaya

Yajñavalkya, Verse 160 (2)

Visitam, land with profuse quantity of griss intended as a pasture ground for the cows and other cittle and guarded by another. There, for the six huffiles and other griss eventug beasts, on these a fine samon, 'equal,' i.e. equal to the land, to as much as was consumed of the crop, should be laid. An ass and 'curel make up the compound expression' ass and cuined. Moreotherm, that makely samon, 'equal to a she buffale,' i.e. as much fine is laid for a she to buffale for the destruction of a particular quantity of crop, so much fine shall be for the ass and the camel also. The is the meaning [160 (2)]

Sûlapôni

Yājñavalkya, Verse 160

After consuming and being seated at their pleasure, the penalty to be the influede should be double of that stated above. Of these 1 a of the she buffales and others in regard to the pasture fauld the punishment shall be the same. By the word nink, pasture ground, is meant the portion of land preserved for grass etc. For the destruction of the crops etc by asses and gamels, the punishment should be the same as far a sile buffalo. (180)

26 For destroying the crop of another, a fine has been land down for the owner of vocw (&c). Now the Author stytes the rule according to which he should also be made to pay (the value of) the crop to the owner of the field.

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 161

As much crop as may be destroyed so much grain shall be paid to the owner of the held, the herdram shall be chastised but like owner of the cattle incurs the fine already mentioned (before)

Mitskhaft — Sasya crop, is need to denote generally the produce of fields Yaval, as mach, strue, grain or the like, as is destroyed by cows or other cuttle in a particular field ta'al kabetraphalan so much produce of the field i, shall swams, the oneset of these be compelled to pay to the owner of the field i e according to the valuation determined by the Samantas in this form "From such land, the produce would "be so much"

Gopasts, but the herdaman, shall only be heaten, he shall not be compelled to pay for the produce. The classising of the herdaman, accomprised by the pecuniary fine above mentioned, must be under stood to apply in the case of an injury to the crop by the fault of the keaper, to be the text. "If a cow straying through the fault of the "keaper, to de time the crops, no penulty is in that case exacted "hom the owners (of the cattle), the herdaman (alone) is points ble "(for the damage dosp)"

Again, the owner of the cattle mours only the fine already mentioned, and not a conport purshment, if the crop is damaged on account of his own full. But in every case the produce must be made good by the owner of the cattle alone, masmich as he participates in the produce of the field by means of the milk obtained from female buffales and the like, fed and fattened on the produce of the field

Page 110*

The produce, such as straw &c., remaining after the quantity 15 consumed by the owns and the like, should be taken by the owner of the cittle alone. Since he has perchased it as it were, by paring the price adjudged by the arbitrators. And so Maraba? (observes) "When a man claims buck lise Crop consumed by cettle, that quantity "of gram should be given to him (by the owner of the cattle) while 90 "would have been produced? from the field in the estimation of the "Stream'ts. The chaff shall be paid to the owner of the cattle, and "the carn to the cultivator."

Viramitrodava

On a destruction of crops not only is he to be punished, but he must be compelled to render the produce to the owner of the field, so says the Author

Yaniavalkya Verse 161

Yard saryam, 'as much crop, as may be destroyed on account of being eaten by the she buffeld and the like, the value of so much produce 30

¹ Of Narada Ch XI 3a 2 Oh XI 38-39

³ व्यक्तिम् from वर्ष 'to sow,' as also 'to reap ' The latter meaning better suits if context and therefore has been selected - See Balambhatti p 280

⁴ Balambhattands ", s the owner of the field is not to get anything."

Digest Vol II p 100

as may be reasonable should the owner of the field be getting from the owner of the she buildle etc. This is the meaning. By the use of the word fur, 'however', the Author descriminates the produce of the crop of that portion of the field which has been left out of the damaged part.

3. The cowherd, however, is to be christised only, and should not pay the produce of the field, for the reason, it appears, that the owner cutys the result of the consumption of the crop in the form of mills etc. Chastisement, moreover, is an extention of the aforest ited penalty vide the text! "If a cow straying through the fault of the keeper, do 10 "damage to the crop, no penalty in such a case should be imposed upon "the owner, the keeper deserves the pursehancit. Gomi, 'the owner of the cow. This is only an indication. The owner of she buildless cleaks one out the penalty as stated before. By the word tu, 'however', the Author descriminted schustement (161).

Sûlapaşı

Yājāavalkya, Verse 161

As much of the crop as it destroyed on account of the treapast by the she buffalo etc. so much only as may be determined by the sementation of the cultivator obtain from the combent. When that is not possible to the combent observed about the characteristic while the owner of the cows incurs the penalty as stated before. Usenah mentions an effect for examining the grain cate by the cow. If a man demands back the corn eatin by the cows has ancestors will not at that food nor will the heavenly "derinates convene at (16.1).

The Author mentions an exception in the case of particular fields

Yâjnavalkya, Verse 162

There would be no Itespass at without any intention (on the part of the owner) the cattle stray by a road, or ma field in the neighbourhood of the village store. But in the case of a wilful frespass be deserves punishment like 300 a third.

Milakshari — Paths by a reach, a adjoining the ultinge. In a field attacted to the neighbourhood of the village store if the crop is consumed by the cattle without any design on the part of the owner there would be no fault crither of the herdsmann or of the owner. The statement is to the absence of any quite, is made with a year to understate here were as to the absence of any quite, is made with a year to understate here were

15

25

La

90

21

of any punishment as well as to prohibit the payment of the value of the crop

Kâmachâre in the case of a sulful trespass, i e if the cattle are designedly made to stray, charaval, ble a thef, i e that punishment which is incled out for a thick, such a punishment arbat, he descries

This rule inorcover is with reference to an open field. Since absence of punishment has been estated by Mann't in reference to an unescalosed field. Where (the field containing) a crop is unenclosed, and if cattle destroy it the lang shall not in such a case inflict a "punishment upon the leopers of cattle." If however, it is enclosed, then a tresposs does occur even in (reference to) a field on the reading to. The same Sage live had down the preparing of a bedge thus "He(re the owner of the field) shall make there a hedge over "which a camel cannot peep and stop every gap through which a 'dog or a bear can thrust his head."

S ûlapanî

Yajñavalkya Verse 162

Path: in the way *c in the vicinity of the village posture grounds

Kabbry in the field *c without (any) intention (on the part) of the keeper
eto when the corp is consumed by the she buffalos eto there is no fault
of the keeper eto

For purposely (causing) the eating however the penalty would be as aforestated (162)

The Author states another rule where there would be no penalty even in the case of particular beasts

Yâjnavalkya, Verse 163

A big bull beasts let loose beasts recently delivered straggling beasts and like other beasts over whom there is no keeper, and beasts distressed by (the acts of) God or the king should be set free

- 1 syage t & Unenclosed 2 Ch VIII 238
 - 3 Manu Ch VIII 238
- 4 Colabrooke interprets squareffer as the cause of the disturbance by the brasis and translates. For they are impelled by God and the king

Mitakshara :- A bull and (one which is) a big one is a 'big ladl', mahoksha, 2 e, sprinkler of seed Utsrshtapasavah beasts let loose, 1 e let loose in honour of a derty according to the rates for consecrating bulls or the like Sutiks, a least recently delivered a e within ten days of their cilling Agantakah, shandana beats, i.e. wandering may from its own herd, and coming from another (part of the) country These should be mochyah or tree, should not be fined even when another's crop is consumed. Also those over whom there is no keeper. even these daivarajaparıplutah, lenng distressed by (the acts of) God and the King, a e being overpowered by God and the King, shall not be numshed by the owner of the field wherein the crop has been

damaged By the use of the term dd, 'and like others', are comprehended also the elements, horses, and the like These, moreover, we mentioned by Ulagas? "The (owners of the) elephants and horses shall 1.5 "not be fined, for they are looked upon as the protectors" of (king's) "subjects . so (also) are not punishable beasts with one eye, or hump 'had ed bensts, as also those which have been once branded. So is "mnounshable a stray con, or a cow which has (recently) calved or " which is numanageable So also are the cows exempt from number 20

' ment in times of festivity, or on an anniversary day,' Here, in the case of heasts which are let loose and so are with out any owner, there is no possibility of a punishment, and the c have been referred to as illustrative, a consecrated beasts cannot be punished, so a lag ball and the like (should be left napunished)

Viramiltrodaya

The Author states the penalty etc in special cases of fields, and animals

Inffinantikyn, berges 162, 162

On the way, in the fields adjoining the village pasture grounds when crops are esten 1; a cow etc. the fault is of the onner of the con. even if it was without the wish of the cowherd. The fault of the cowherd

- A bull specially seared for amy regration
- 2 Clain Narada Ch NI 32

30

Ipredele adda une mult une genra unu unus gen gre t

95

moreover, does not come in for a penalty. When, however, the cows ctc are deliberately made to graze, the attendant at the grazing deserves numshment like a thief This absence of a fault, moreover, is to be observed where the field is uncovered and the enting thereof has been for a short period, tide the text of Manu! "Where the crop is upenclosed, and "if the cattle destroy it, the King shall not, in such a case, inflict a punish-"ment upon the Leepers of cattle and also rule the text of victoria". "On the road at the end of the village pasture ground, there is no fault. "except during the harvest time

Mahoksho, 'a big bull, i.e. a sprinkling bull, utsrshta pasavo, 'abandened animals. Such as at the exemual rite of dedication of a buil and the like, dedicated animals such as, a bull, a better and the like, suited recently delivered, one who has not come out of the tenth day after delivers, agantukah 'strugglers , such as detached from their own herds and coming from another region only. By the word add, and others, are. 1A included "unpunishable are the elephants and the horses, for they are 'declared as protectors of the subjects stated in this text of Another Smell Mochials, should be set free are should not be numshed. Such of the cows etc. whose heepers are immersed in calamities due to the act of King or God, these should be let off as helpless. In the case of those that are dedicated, no punishment is possible as there is no owner, and it should be understood that their mention is only by way of giving an illustration. By the word in bowever, are excluded from being released all others than those mentioned (162-163)

Sulacial

Yájňavalkya, Verse 163

The great bull such as sutended for the God a bull dedicated after being branded and marked with a disc. A recently delivered cow is one which has not completed ten days after delivery and stray ones also-By the word dds 'another like are to be taken those mentioned in the 30 toxi3 Umpunishable are the elephants and houses for they have been declared as the protectors of the subjects so also are not punishable beasts with one eye or humpbacked beasts as also those bulls which have been branded with a disemark Of these these for whom there is no watch man should be let off The herdsman should be puntshed, this is the meaning Similarly those destroyed by the fate or by theft (163)

¹ Ch VIII 238

Ch V 146

³ Of Usanas & Narada see p 1176 note 2

The rules as to the owner of a cow have been stated, now the Author prescribes (a 10%) for the herdsman

Yajiiavalkya, Verse 164

Let the herdaman restore the cattle every evening in the same condition in which they were estimated (to him). For such as have perished in vanished through (hii) fault, he should be compelled to pay if he had stipulated for his wares.

Page 111*

Mitakshari —As the cattle were made over in the morning $l\eta$ counting by the owner of them, in the same manner should the herdsman, in the evening time, restore the beasts 10 A rale recurious the to the owner after counting (thereof) For herdeman stated cettle neriching or vanishing pramadena through fault, s e through his fault, a heidsmin who kifavetanah has standated for his mages to whose wiges have been fixed should be made to compensate the owner The (rule for the) determination of 15 wages has been stated by Narada "The annual wages of a herds "man for a hundred head of cattle shall be a heafer for two hundred ' a cow, and the milk (of the whole herd) every eighth day" The loss by negligence has moreover been clearly explained by Manu! For a heast which has been lost, or destroyed by worms, or killed 90 by dogs or (has died) by falling into a pit, and if no proper efforts "are made the herdsman alone shall be made to pay He shall not he made to pay for those which have been forcibly taken away by robbers As says Manu? 'But for an animal forcibly taken away "by tobbers the herdsman shall not be made to pay provided he 25 " informed the owner at a proper time and place Of those, moreover which have perished by (the acts of) God or the King the ear &c should be exhibited side the text of Manus "If cattle the let him carry to his master then care aim tale, bladders, tendens and the vellow concrete bile and let him point their particular marks' 30

Sulappoi Yalnavalkya Verse 164

In the condition in which in the morning the cattle may have been made over by the owner to the herdeman as without any scar or any other fault, in the same condition in the evening must the cowherd deliver them back. For such as may have died or been lost through (has) mistake, the cowherd should be made to Day, provided wages had been stipulated for him (164)

Yamavalkva, Verse 165

On the loss of a beast by the fault of the herdsman the fine ordained for him is thirteen' minutes and a half, and he shall pay the money to the owner

Mitakshara - Moreover, when, on account of the full of the keeper, an animal is killed, the keeper should be ordered to pay a fine of thirteen manas and a half, and to the owner an amount equal to the value of the beast, as determined by arbitrators. This yerse laving down the measure of the fine has not been mentioned by any one before

Viramitrodaya

On what occasion, for the trespass by annuals is the owner punishuble, and on what occasion moreover is the Leeper? To such an industry intending an answer, that for an offence during the night time, the 20 owner, as d for that during the day time, the watchman I etc is respon sible) the Autnor states in regard to the watchman

Yamayalkya Verses 164-165

Yatha, in the condition , without any sours etc., and identically of the particular number, the cattle as by the owner many have been made over in the morning to the watchman, those the cowherd should return over in the exemps. During the day time, for those which may have died owing to his mistake or may have vanished owing to (their) being tiken away, he should be made to pay by regard to the price, if wages hid been stipulated to fixed for him Even for those taken away by robbers, etc. when their restoration has been found to be impossible, their price in the amount of money also has been laid down it c. has been declared to be given,

30

Mr Mandisk reads twelve enstead of thurteen Municipal This expression has been interpreted as 121 me note 1 on p 1160 tallo v

Mr. Mondlik translates thus and he should restore the thing [: : the animal) to the owner ' Apparently if a word gar in the text of Yapparently, has been rendered as the things of the animal ' This however, would not said the context as the rule laid down on this verse as applicable when the beast is lost

If the cattle die or are taken un to by robbers through his fiult, for the watchman of the beasts, the fine is thatteen banast less by a half us twelve panes and a half more, has been ordained to be taken by the King himself. By the use of the first cha, are included those which bave fallen, others than does. By the use of the word tu, however, the Author eveludes the punishment for the heidsmin who reports a forcible taking away by robbers, tiger, and the like That his been declared by Manu and Narada "But for an animal forcibly taken "away by robbers, the herdsman shall not be mide to piy, provided be 10 "informed the owner at a proper time and pince Behaspati ilso "When he does not restore nor complain and report to the owner, "the berdsman is responsible for the full, and is also liable for a fine "(to be paid) to the King By the second use of this, the Author

removes the doubt about any rule of option owing to a simultaneity of Sûlapânî Yamavalkya Verse 165

When the baset is lost through the fault of the keeper, the fine is half by thuteen romes ordeined for the keener. To the owner, however, w 20 money payment, and when the payment of money referred to in the toxt " if the beasts have died or been jost through mistake etc., is impossible this text is for the payment of the price (185)

While speaking of the cattle (generally), the Author speaks 25 ibout a pasture-ground for cattle

Yâmavalkya, Versa 166

By the choice of the village, or by the authority of the king, a portion of land for the pasture ground for cattle (should be kept) The twice-30 born may always take from any place grass, fuel, and flowers like his own

Mitakshara -Gramechchhaya, by the choice of the cillage, a e by the choice of the inhabitan's of the village regard being had to the large or small extent of the land, or by the King's will, a cattle pastme

2 . Manu Ch VIII 233

15 punishments (164-165)

¹ The Vermetrodays interprets अपन्याद्रभाषा १३ अध्यक्तिसभादरमण अवाधिकताद्रभण १० साध्यसकारण taking it as an उत्तरपद्दाली क्रमधारण selying upon the Varhia vir वासनीवरावतः तमानावित्रस्वत समस्य त उत्तरक्षणकाशः The anther of the Metalehara takes at as sometawite seed. In regard to this the editor of the Chowkhami's reries says in Note 4 on p 643-तत् स महाभागात् क्या-भागात्वा सहासारवास्त्र-वृत्रवातावास्त्रम् ।

ground should be made, a c some good portion of the uncultivated land should be appropriated for the pasturage of kine and the like

A twice born min in the absence of grass, fuel de may, for the use of the con, the (exemical) fire, and the Desty, take from anywhere, the grass, wood and flowers (respectively) without opposition, as if (they were) his own But fruits, he should take from an unenclosed snot only, ande the text of Gautama? For the cow and the sacrifical "fire, he may take as his own grass, and feel, as well as the flowers of

*creeners and trees, and fruit also, if they be unenclosed ? This moreover, supposes an absence of pre occupancy, for, should a thing be occupied, property also vests by occupancy in others also besides twice born men, as has been declared by the same Author 'A man

becomes owner by inheritance purchase partition, sergure or finding " As for what is a fun said in the text " He indeed who seizes "grass, or nood or flowers or fruits without asking (permission of the "owner) deserves (the punishment of) the amoutation of his hand,' it applies to persons other than the twice born of where there is no dis tress, or unplies a purpose other than that of (feeding) the cows &c.

Sülanâni

Yahavafkya Yerse 166 20 At the option of the village is of the villagers a pasture ground for the cows and the like may be made or by the wish of the king land. The twice-born may take grass water etc from all places when there is no open forest he may take like his own from places appropriated by others (166) 25

Page 112* Here is another rule being propounded to provide for the convenience of cows and other cattle for standing, sitting &c

Yamayalkva, Verse 167

A space of one hundred Bhanus m extent should be left between a village and the fields, of two bundred, for a small town, and of four 20 hundred, for a city

1 Balambhatta notices a diff rent rendin, were re s for the protoc tion of cattle 2 Ch XII 2 - in Anandasrama he 28 Vot II Part I fisted books of 3 Ch X 31 the Fast p 241

6 Of Narada-Balumbhatta Colchrocke assigns at to Contone See p 90 5 A Blunus pole of four cubrts Dig II

Mitakshara :- The space to be left between a village and its fields should be one hundred Dhanus in extent on all sides, exempt from Millage Kharvalasya, round a small foren, with abundant thorny bushes growing in continuity mound it, the extent of the space should be Nagarasya, round a city, with the concourse of an 5 two hundred immense population, the intervening space should be, by measurement, more than four hundred Dhames

Thus ends the chanter on Diannes between the Owners & Keepers of cattle.

Vîramitrodaya

Indeed, when the (whole) region is absorbed by the negociltized fields. how can there be a provision for the cows to feed or to roam about, in all cases there being a likelihood of punishment? So the Author says

Yâjñavalkva Verses 166, 167

15

Gobrachdro, 'the pasture ground for cows . e. e an uncultivated portion of land intended for the cows to room about, should be deter mined upon by the residents of the village or by the king at their option by regard to the vistness or smallness of the land. The twice born, moreover, man take grass, wood, and flowers from all quarters, even 20 from the portions of lands accepted as donations, second, 'like one s own . : c like from his own field

Having this treated of the feeding of the cows, the Author provides for their roaming about viz Dhanns salautt-'a hundred dhanus etc. A measure of four hands is a dhanus, a hundred of that in extent a e 25 expanse, on all the four quarters, land to be left out in the ground intersening between the village and the fields Aharrato, a place better than a village, but inferior to a town For that, the intervening space should be two hundred dhanus, and for a town, four hundred dhanus smace should be left between the fields. Moreover, that portion of the ground is utilised for the cattle to roam about and rest, therefore there 30 is no nenalty This is the substance (166-167).

Sülanâni Yaliavalkya, Verse 167

Your hands make a dismuse a hundred of it make a possion The inter vening space between a village and the fields should be left on all sides 35 for the cattle to room | For a village of the type of kharvata, where there are many artisans and ogriculturists twice the above. For a town, four times (167)

10

CHAPTER XI

Of Sale without Ownership

Now the Author introduces the cleapter from Vyawahira culled "When Author Onnership The chrusteristics of the same have been monitoned by Narada" "When a timing kept as a deposit or "the moperty of a strunger lost (by him) and found (by another man), or atohen articles are sold bland his brid, it should be "consilered as a safe (effected) by another than the (rightfully owner."

In such a case what should be done? So the Author says

Yajñavalkya, Verse 168

The owner can recover his own (property) sold by a stranger, the blame would be of the buyer if he buy5not publicly if he purchases from a very low man in secret at a very low price, and at an unusual time, he is (considered) a thirf

Middhara —Swam ha one te property belonging to himself, assertit then the controller, the best tit then the controller, tableta, te he should take it. Since the element of ownership is absent in the sale by one who was not the true owner. The expression things sold has been used to indicate by implication of things given of deposted ! For these transactions are also similar (in nature) to a sale by one not the owner. And hence has it been said "A sale, a gift, or a pledge made without "ownership should be rescanded."

of The purchaser moreover becomes blaume or the begspraking at the purchase more than the purchase in secret. So if from a very to man, hant, i.e. front one who cumot secount for the acquestion of the thing by him rakes, in secret, i.e. in a lonely place not ordinarily resorted to, himmliften, at a range loop grost, i.e. at a price lower than the original (price) of the thing itself and relability, at an issuand time i.e. at a time which is other than the proper size of the purchase, i.e. of y at might or sein other time then in such a case, the purchases i of y at might or sein other time then in such a case, the purchases is a final secretary of the such as the second in the second purchased it is a third. As has been said "When a time which "had been sold by another than the owner, has been recovered by

¹ Ch VII 1 2 Dy Katyayens versec 12 Balambhatta 3 By Narada-Oh VII 2

20

"the owner, he may keep it One purchasing openly is blameless;" but a clandestine purchase is equivalent to a theft by the purchaser."

Sulapâni Yâlñavatkyn, Verse 168

5 If one's own property be sold by another without his consent the former owner should get it back the blane would be of the purchaser, if the porchase be made not in the open So also, hind: 'from a very low man, such as a clamidia or the like, who is not likely to have the amount and even from one who is likely to have the (required) amount of proper for a purchased, one may got back the sold commodity from the hands of the nurchaser said the vurchaser sale his recorded as a their fields.

What should be done by the purchaser when charged by the owner? So the Author says

Yajnavalkya, Verse 169

Having found goods lost or stolen he should cause the taker to be apprehended. If time and place prevent (at), he shall himself upprehend and hand him over

Mitakebara —A thing lost or stolen, belonging to another hiving got by sale or otherwise (the purchaser) should cause the roller to be apprehended, bartaram maram

pretation critical the restorer to the apprehended, hartaram maram graduayet, by persons expert in detecting thing es, for his own exhoneration as well as for the enforcement of the king's

penalty II, however he less gone to an unknown region or is dead in course of time and it is not possible to get at the original thing, then even without producing the seller, he should himself much over that thing to the owner who had lost it. And he is divebarged from all lability by doing so much. Thus has (this text) been explained by the renerable Srikara.

But it is improper. For, bying regard to the text 'When the "seller is pointed out there is exhoneration' there would be the fault of repetition. So this text is being explained otherwise.

The portion of the text "(having found) goods sold, stolen &c"
is a course prescribed for the owner of the lost thing (Thus) Having found
1 Of Yah II 170 p 118-1 21

٠0

asadya, 1 e recompred a thing while the same 15 in the hands of the purchaser, which belonged to lumself, and which was either lost or stolen, he should cause the taler, hardram z e the seller to be appre

hended by the police or others. It time and place Page 213* present desakalatmatian a e if there is (dantier of)

waste of time, i a if there is danger of his running away even before the time a complaint is made to the police on account of their not being near, he shall himself apprehend, swavamera grhdwa, and hand over, samarpayet to them

Sûle nanî

Yalfavalkya, Verse 160

The owner also having obtained nashtupuhrlam "the property which was lost or taken away, should cause the person taking it, to be arrested he the hands of the officers appointed for recovering stolen properly When the officers for recovering stolen property are not available at the place, and it is impossible to protect the property until the time of their being brought over, then he should himself take it and make over! (169)

What should be done after the robber is apprehended ? So the Author save

Vâiñavalkva, Verse 170

When the seller is pointed out there is exhoneration. The owner receives his thing, the king, the fine, and the buyer his price back from him who sold it

Mitakshara - If such a buyer, when apprehended says I have not stolen it, but I have purchased it from another', then of him is of the buyer, vikreturdarsanamatrena suddhih there is exhineration mirely by norman tout the seller And then he will not be charged (as a party defendant), but the suit will proceed between the seller pointed out by him ard the owner who had lost the thing As says Brhaspair' 'When the or and taker is produced, the bayer should in no case he such But 30 'a suit is ordained between the original taker and the owner who had ' lost the thing" In that suit if it is found that a sale was made by one who was not the owner then the man who wid, vikrela.

[।] सम्पन्त् is obviously not a good reading

² See Rajamabhtii p 284 ll 9 11

t.e the seller, of a, tays, t.e of the thing lost or stolen, such as a cow &c,—from lum the owner t.e the one who had lost the thing will get back his thing, drawyam, mpascha, and the lang also, a fine proportionate to the (degree of) oftence, and krafa cha, the buyer also, will 5 obtain milyam, the pure, (paid by him).

1186

If, however, he happens to have gone to another (part of the) country, then time should be allowed for his production, reguld being bad to the number of Youngs! (lying between) sude the text? "Tather the purchase should be made in open (market), or the "on anal seller should be made over. In such a case, time should be "given to him (; & the bayer) for the production of the original "seller according to (the distance of) the road " When, however, he is not able to produce the original seller on account of his not knowing the place (where he has gone), then he becomes exhonerated only mon justifying the purchase, vide the text3 "If the seller "cannot be produced, the buyer should be made to justify the "purchase " When, again, he does not justify the purchase either by (the testimony of) witnesses or by an orderd, nor does he point out the original seller, then he himself becomes liable to punishment, side the text of Manu': 'The defendant not pointing out the original 90 " seller, nor justifying the parchase, should be ordered to pay the "amount to the claimant as claimed by lum, and also to pay a fine."

Sûlapanî

Yajnavalkya, Verse 170

25 Of the purchaser, the exhoneration becomes established at the appearance of the seller. This is in regard to aut no pen purchase. As early firsh-spart. 'Ether the purchase should be made in open, or the "original should be made over. Time also should be given for the produc "thought originally record to the extent of the distance.' Original, to the seller, the original owner, should take the property. In the case of a purchase not in the open the flag shall, recover a possity from the purchase. This purchaser should recover the purchase the seller.

1 A Yopana is 1 kote = 8 miles

Katrayana, versa 619

- . Of hatvagana ver et 1) Balamillatta
- 3 Of hattarans verse (18 Bilambhatta 4 Not Issal in Manu, The Author of Mayokha and others assign it to

If a purchase be made in the presence of an assemblage of tradesmen. it need not be paid over to the owner either. So says Marichi "What "was purchased in the presence of an assemblage of tradesmen or was known to the king a officers, what was purchased from one whose where "abouts are not known or where the saller is dead in all these cases the owner should pay half the price and get back his own property. In such a case under the las, half of both is taken away. For a purchase from "one who is not known there is a fault, as also to keeping it" (170)

It has been said that "The owner can recover his own (when) "sold by a stranger " What should be done by one who wishes to recover it? So the Author says

Yâmayalkya Verse 171

Proof of a thing lost must be made by the evidence of the source of the acquisition, or of possession, otherwise, on failure of proof by him he should he made to pay the king a fine equal to the full part

Mitakshara - Agamena, by (the proof of) the source of acquavition, eq by inheritance, purchase or the like or also upablogena, by no ession. : e by proof thereof, e q "This was my property and the same was lost and recovered &c' must be made his yam as established by the owner of it Anyatha etherwise, a e if the owner do not make out (lns case), panehabandho a fifth part, t e a fifth portion of the lost thing, shoul lbe paid by the owner of the last thing as fine to the king Here moreover, the order (of proof) should be as follows The

original owner should prove the thing (claimed to be) lost as being his Then the purchaser, in order to obverte the charge of theft, as also that he may get (back) the price (naid by him) should produce the seller If. however, he is unable to produce him then for exhouerating lamself from any charge he should justify the purchase, and make over the thing (which was) lost to the owner

Vicamtredaya

Now begins the portion of Vycmahara known as Sale without 30 Ownership

Yuliusvalkya Verses 168 160 170 171

His own property sold by unother who was not the owner, the owner gets back from the purchaser, as a sale by one not the owner

¹ To the same effect is Brhaspata see Ch XIII 7-9 2 Soo Katyayana verses 613, 614 Apararka p 777

cannot invest the purchaser with the right of ownership. The purchaser, moreover, when the purchase has not been made openly, would be guilty of a fault which will bring on punishment. For an open purchase, however, there would be no pennly, ride the text of Manut. "If the original cannot be produced, the purchase being open, with hings; a somitated and should not be punished, and be let of

open purchase, however, there would be no penalty, side the text of 5 Manui. "If the original comnot be produced, the purchase being open, "the buyer is exculpated and should not be punished, and be let off "by the King, but the (original) owner who lost the property shall "get it back."

Similarly, hindly, 'from a very low person, as to whom there could be a consciputated the night of grapacity not the greate before, and the state of the property of the greate the purp. In the country of the greate the purp.

Similarly, anale, those a very low person, as to whom there could be no possibility of the night of ownership of the article being in him. Also rabah, 'an secret, in a lonely place, and himanuly: 'at a very low price, for the pri ment of a comparatively small price, for such a purchase the purchaser should be punished as a third. By the use of the word character included rules by slaves and the like. This has been stated by 18 Narada! 'One purchasing from a dependent not nutherised by the owner, and "improper time, incurs habitity for the same offence. (168)

Of the owner of the lost property, when the article which was taken.

away was found by the owner, the purchasor who had got it by parchaso,
go when charged by the owner, should cause the person who had laken
it away, to be arrested by the officers of the state or the like So says
harads "The purchaser should not concert the source from which
"the obtained it, he becomes e chromated by formating out) the source

"of it In case of prevancation, he becomes equally guilty, and mours
25 "the entire penalty for it (the offence") "In case of prevancation
1 e for cancelling the origin (of his acquisition) If time and the
place do not permit and in the absence of the state officers or the like,
when charged by the owner, the purchaser may himself hand over the
seller to the owner (169).

30 Here the reason sitretrdarsandt by pointing out the seller, i.e. by pointing (han) out to the owner, there is between them, suddhill, of the purchaser, i.e. absence of the guilt inducing a penult. The word cha, and j. has the sense of the, however

Thus taken I the seller , i.e. of that article—since tasmit, from him, i.e. from the one pointed only the purchiser, sadmino the owner, drayon, the article is that which was the subject matter of the purchise, her?, the purchiser also, its price, and the King also obtains the penalty in accordance with the (magnitude of the) grail.

¹ Ch VIII 203 ° Ch VII 7 3 Ch VII 4 for a wing the print reads a gra-witch is correct and less adopted in the translation

It has been stated (above) that he obtains There, if the right of owner, then at would be so the Author sins, Adamount, by the source etc. The lost property, should be est bhished to be of his ownership by (the proof of) the source of his counterform on the same of the source of his counterform on the same of the source of his counterform on the same of the source of the sourc

Ton, 'b) him, I c by the ewner of the lost property, if there the right of waterthip is not established, fankhabandah, 'n fifth part, I c I penully equal to a fifth portion should be leviced by the king. In some books the reading is fankhandantah. When the reading is 'to the king. 10 in the ditter ense-endine, the supplement is 'should be given.

Here, this is the result. An article sold by one not the owner, should be established by the owner to be of his ownership at that time, By the purchaser also, an open purchase should be established for immunity from the punishment by the king, and to the owner also must be pointed out by him the seller. I rom him he should recover back his own purchase money, and should make over the article under purchase to the owner and case a peculty to be paid to he king. In the owner of immigration, the owner of the article to the country of the owner and cannot be considered in the owner. That has been stated by Katyayana' "Should the "owner of the lost article catables in an him only by the owner of the owner."

"Animamen and by proxing that it was neither given, abandoned, or sold "the owner of the sith so who had."

Brhaspati² "When a purchase was made before an assembly of freechants, and was known to the king sofficers, but was purchased from a person of unknown be bitation, or where the seller is dead, the "downer, upon paying half the pree may recover his own property

It may be said that it has been stated before "If the original "cannot be produced", and the subsequent text is " when the seller is in a foreign country", but thus there is no contradaction (168-171)

Ś@lapani

Yajaavalkya Verse 171

Agamesa by the source of sequention such as a gift or other means of sequenting wealth the owner of the lost property should establish (has thite to) the lost property Alcognish Otherwasa's a of the owner of the lost property does not prove, a fifth portion should be taken by the king as namity (171)

1 भागा उच्चा V smart a lays admits other means. Both Vitarups and Aparatia, however construction, and मानावार प्रमाणिक प्रश्ने etc.—and all it out any other means of proof see Aparatia p. 776

_ Verse 614 3 Ch XIII 7-8

The Author mentions a rule regarding one who shields a robber Yamayalkya, Verse 172

He who receives from the hand of another, a thing stolen or lost without informing the king, shall be fined muchy are property

Mulakshara - Ilriam pranashtam, a flung stolen or lost, and lying in the possession of the thief &c, he who takes it back forcibly or by similar means saying 'this men has stolen my thing', without m forming the king, such a one shall he fined six and minety paras, as he becomes guilty by shielding the thief Page 114*

Viramitrodaya

10 For one who not being the owner and sells, for a purchaser from him, and for the owner who shields these, the Author states a penalty

Vâlčavalkva Verse 172

Should be purashed By the use of the word to, however, when 15 information is given, the Author cuts off the penalty (172)

Sûlanîne

Yâjāavalkya, Verse 172

Helam pranushulam na, 'A thing which was either stolen or was lost ' if one takes it from the hand of the thief etc saving this is mine, without 20 informing the king such a one should be punished, as he becomes guilty of shielding the thief (172)

The Author mentions a rule regarding a thing recovered by the king's officers

Yajnavalkya, Verse 173

A thing which was lost or stolen, and which had been recovered by the customs officers or by the local watchmen the owner may take away within poe year, (and) after it the kmr Mitakshara:-When however, a thing lost or stolen, has been pro

duced before the king by the officers of customs, or by the watchmen of the place, then, if the owner of the lost thing appear samual 30 saradarvak uculan the period of one year, he shall get the thing (back) After the lapse of one year, however, the king shall take it The king also should cause a proclamation to be made among the

people, about the thing brought by his officers, and preserve the 35 same for one year As says Gastama! Those who find lost (pro "perty) the owner of which is not known shall announce at to the king. The king shall cause it to be proclaimed, and hold it in his custody for a year As for the other rule stated by Manu! Property the owner of which has disappeared the kin_ shall ' cause to be preserved as a deposit for three years within the period of three years the owner may claim it after that the king may take it, it refers to the property of a Brilimana who is more over well versed in the Veda and is of good conduct. The deduction of a sixth part has been stated by the same Author! Now the king remembering the duty of rood men may take one-sixth of monerty lost and afterwards found or a tenth or at least a twelfth sixth and other parts should be understood to be recovered (accord in, as the thing is claimed) during the third second or the first veur respectively3 This moreover has been explained before

Sufacini Yoinavalkya Verse 173

Proporty which was lost boing taken away by thiswes etc. and was recovered by the customs officers etc that the owner may take within one year Afterwards however the king. As to what had been stated by Manui and others viz Property the owner of which has disappeared the king should cause to be preserved as a deposit for three years that has reference to gold and other durable property (173)

15

95

The Author mentions an exception to the rule stated by Manu te arding the retaining of a sixth or other part in the case of parti edar chattels

Yâmavalkya Verse 174

The owner of a beast having sungle hoofs should pay four pare five for men and two each for a buffalo camel or a cow, and a lourth each lor a goat or a sheep Mitakshara -Ekasaphe an annumal hazing a single hoof e g a

horse &c if lost and found again its owner should pay the king as a fee for its protection four panus Manushe for mees e if the thin, be a human being five panas Apavike for a goat a sheep however one-fourth (of a pana) for each For a buffalo a camel or a row as

Mann Ch VIII 33 1 Ct VIII 30

³ Bal abbatta expl ms that the order here referred to as inversely to the orig asl enumeration so that for the first year 11th part 2nd year with and for the third year , th part may be taken

charges for protection, he should pay two putes for each he id. Thus is the rule to be construed in reference to all. Although the goat and the sheep are mentioned to gether in a conjunct compound againsam, still by the force of the repetition in the expression 'one-fourth one fourth

a each the meaning deducable is that it refers to each individually.
Here ends the chapter on "Sale by One Not the Owner".

Viramitredaya

If the lost article is not brought back by the owner, but on the other hand by the king's officers, in such a case the Author states the 10 adjustment

Yajnavatkya, Verses 173 171

By the customs officers, or by the local witchmen, division, recovered, properly which was lost and recovered, that should be preserved by the king for one year, within that period if the owner who had lost it establishes it as his, he shall fill a c | e get it. Practy.

"Identify it a filter a year, the article for which the right of ownership of (im) other has not been established, the lump my that, (173)

The Author mentions the charges for the preservation of lost

property.

1192

29 Ebasaphe, 'far anuma's with one bood, such as herees and the like, on the property being lost, four bosas, to the lung, shall the owner of the lost thing pry. When, increover, the lost attacle is a limman being, five boss and lost a supervision in connection with the bufficles and coas, two for each, and for goad and sheep r · lor a he got and a ram, one

20 should pay a quarter of pana for each

'fho lost property which was recovered, the king shill (keep as n)
'deposit for three years Before (the spring of) three years, the owner
'may take, afterwards the king may take. This text of Manut, however has a reference to the (property of a) Br thannan learned in the
overlass and of illustrous character, the present text is in regord to cases
other thus that, and thus there is no contradaction (173-174)

Thus ends the chapter on Sale without Ownership

Sülanani

Yânjavalkya Verse 174

35 Ekatapic 'in regard to one hoofed animals such as the horse etc, when lost and recoverd by the king one should pay four pages (to the king) for its preservation (174)

Thus ends the Chapter on Sale without Ownership

15

90

30

CHAPTER XII Of the Resumption of Gifts

Now is being expounded the Chapter of Law called Gifts which has obtained a twofold designation in the Resumption of a gift or Non resumption of a gift according as the prince oncerned resert to ways which are proper or improper. Its chameteristics have been stated by Nāradā. "When a man wishes to take hack a thing which he has not "properly bestowed a trialled a resumption of gifts, a the of har." That title of lan wherein having bestowed a chattel not properly, asamyak, is by means not had down as proper, a man wishes to recover to below, that thing by scaled Resumption of Gifts is that innext ion of gift where there is resumption of that which had been given And by having resort to legally prescribed methods its converse in the same that at law coules to be known as Non resumption of gifts. That title at law called the law of gifts in which there is non relamption or non retaking of which the being given, is called the Non resumption of gifts. That title is The more covered in the order of the Non resumption of gifts. That title is The more covered is fourfold, having

regard to its division into what may be given end
that may be given. As says braids. What may not be given as says braids. What is may be given, and what not rading tits andmested
"gits, thus the law of gifts is declared (to be) fourfold in judicial
"stitus." There, by 'What may be given, dogma is expressed to be
that which can be a fit subject matter for an unforbidden transvence
of gift. By 'What may not be given, designa is endicated that which
is unfit to be given either on second of its being not ones own
property or its being prohibited (is a thing to be given.) That on
the other hand which be no given by one in full possession of his
fresulties and which cumot be revoked is called a validgit.' Datam
And'an invalid gift. Adultums is described to be that which may be
taken lack. What a view to describe this in the first Author says.

Yajñavalkya, Verse 175

One s property may be given, without detriment to the family Milaksbara —Swam one s property is a bits own knowsbarodhena withing detriment to the family is a without impediment to the family is so much only should be given as may remain after (providing for). So the maintenance of the family Since its menutorance is a necessity.

2 Ch. IV 2

1 Ch IV 1

For says Manut ' Aged parents, a chaste wife, and an infant "son, should be manutained even by doing a handrel misdeeds," so said Manu 'Without detriment to the family"-by this the Anthor points ont one class of things which may not be given 5 'One's property one may give -by this also, the unendowable clynacter of the five kinds of property er , the Anvihita2 and Yuchitaka deposits as well as a pledge, a joint moperty, and a Nikshepa denosit has been indicated by the method of negative? reasoning. As to the eightfold character of things not hable to be given which has been mentioned by Narada' 'An Anviluta deposit a Yichitaka, a 10 pledge a joint property, a deposit, a son, a wife the entire property of one who has offspring (1) These have been declared by the revered sages as mahemable even in times of externe distress, as ' also that which had been promised to another (5) ' This text only intends things which are makenable, and not as indicating an absonce 15 of the right of ownership as the right of ownership exists over a son a rife, the entire property and that which had been promised. The nature of Any thits and the rest has been already explained before?

Śûlapanl

Yainavalkya Verse 175

When there is no wife or son one may give what is his without determent to (the interests of) the family. When however sons eleexist the entirety should not be given. What has been primited to one should not be given to another

In a period of adversity sons etc may be given so save katyâyana t 20 Fither in a sale or in a guit must not be given when unwilling

times of adversity however a grift or even a sule may be Unwilling to the some and the rest Even there an only son must not be given vide the text of Vasistha - Never however should one

give an only son (175)

20

30

1 Not found in the printed edition of Minim
9 See pages 840 841 above for an explanation of these terms as given by Vintanesvara himself

Visioners are annea.

3 The write method of reasoning a c by an opposite way. See note 3 page 707 above for a foller explanation of this term and also of the corresponding term are w. The meaning is that by eaging that one also ill give what is he of the property a necessary implication is raised against the gift of things which are not held in the night of full ownership s c over which the donor has only a partial right 4 Ch IV 45 5 10 on page 101 text and p 810 ir above
6 Verses 638 529 7 Ch XI 3

By' reason of the text "One's property he may give", an occasion might arise for the going away of a wife and a son and the like. With a view to obviate this, the Anthor says

Yajnavalkya, Verse 176

Except a wife and a son Nor, when there is progeny his entire 5 property, as also what had been promised to another

Ditakshari — Dirasuladite except a sufe and a son, i e excluding the write and the son, he should give his own property; and not a write or a son. This is the meaning Samdarly, when the son grandson and similar areaya, progenty, exist, the entire property he 10 should not give, sude the text! "Having begotten son, he should "duly ministe them, and provide for the maintenance of these." So also, gold &c. apraisas pratiritation, when promised (to be given) to another, thould not be given to any other

Having thus mentioned property which may be given, with the exception of a wife and a son, the Author mentions in that context, the rule that property which is not alreaded should be accepted by the dones in multic.

Yânîayalkya, Verse 176 (1)

Let the acceptance be public, especially of immovable property

Mifikshara—Taking over is prabgrahal, acceptance. That should be made prakisals in public with a view to obviate any dispute Analysis and althograms of the acceptance should be made only in public. As it is not possible to prove its possession (by the donce) as can be done in the case of gold and other provides.

¹ The right of ownership being undefined

² See Anigarda vs Someples 33 Bom 669 at pp 574 687 where Chandavarkar J has discussed thus persago and its bearing upon the relative rights and positions of a son and a father in a Mitakahara family

³ Of Mann Balambhatta

⁴ See Harpsen ve An was 4 Born H O R A O J 31 at p 34 and also Kaldas vs Kal

Having thus referred to a subject which only arose incidentally, the Author proceeds further with the subject under discussion, and says

Yainavalkya, Verse 176 (2)

'Whatever is promised (to be given) shall be given: Having once 5 given (it), let him not resume (it).

Mitakshara:-Deyam pratifrutam chaiva, whatever has been promised to any one as a religious charity, must necessarily be given to him, it the other does not swerve from (the path of) religion. If, however, he swerve, then it should not be given. As Gautama's has stated : "Even if he may have promised, he should not give it to one who .10 " has irreligious associations ".

From this it follows that whatever has been completely given according to law, should not be resumed in (the case of) all the seven classes (of valid gifts). But should be confirmed 15 in that condition; but also that what bad been

Datwe napaharet punah, rehat had been given, let him not resume it-

Page 116* given in an illegal manner must be resumed in (the case of) all the sixteen kinds of invalid gifts-

Naradat also having premised that "Valid gifts are of seven kinds,

"and invalid gifts of sixteen kinds" has dealt with the characteristics of valid and invalid gifts thus: "The price paid for merchandise, "wages, (a present offered) for an amusement, (a gift made) from "affection, or from gratitude, or as a woman's Sulka, and a respectful " gift, are the seven kinds of valid gifts" (8).

invalid gifts are the following (sixteen): "What has been given 25 "" by one under the influence of fear, anger, sorrow, sudden excite-"ment, or pain; or as a bribe, or in jest, or fraudulently, under false

¹ See Ghelabhar vs. Uderam, 36 Bom. 29 at p 35, where Chandavarkar J. has translated this passage

^{2.} This translation differs from that given by Chandavarkar J. in 36 Bom at p 35. The translation given there is obvicesly not in conformity with the text of the Mitakshara, which makes at a condition precedent for the completion of the gift, that the dones should not swerve from religion." Oh. IV. 23.

⁴ Ch IV. 8-11 See Heryman vs Navan, 4 Bom H O R. A.O J at p. 33.

^{5.} See p. 1135 note 4

"pretences (9); Or by a child, or by a fool, or by a person not his
"own master, or by one distressed, or by one intoxicated, or by one
insene; or in consideration of a reward thinking "This man will
"do me some service (10); and also that which was given to an
"unworthy person thought to be worthy, or for an unlawful purpose;
"the beautiful has been made through ignorance (of real facts) is
"considered as an invalid eith."

The meaning is this: What has been paid as "the price for "merchandise" i.e. a thing bought. "Wages" i.e. the salary paid to one who had done work. "For amusement" i.e. given to the hards, 10 singers and the like. "From affection" i.e. to one who has conferred any chilgation; given by way of repaying the obligation. "A woman's "Stuka" i.e that which was given to the relations of a damsel for (bringing about the) marriage. And "a respectful gift." i.e. what 15 was given and the result of which is not observed (in this world). Those seven kinds of gifts are valid gifts, and must not be resonned.

"Through fear", what was given to the keepers' of prisoners &c.
"Under the influence of anger" i.e. what was given to another (as
uninducement) for removing his emainty towards sons and the like 60
What was given in pangs of grief caused by the separation of a ron
or a similar cause. "By way of a bribe" i.e. given to persons in

^{1.} i. s. not having tangable results in this world.

^{2.} For a incid explanation of the quotation from Narada see Asahaya'a commentary of Narada on this passage and translated by Dr. Jolly at pp. 129-130 (notes) of Vol. XXXIII of the Sarrad Books of the East.

⁽¹⁰⁰³⁾ plu vii Anna de Control de

Otherwise then shalf dis."

Bâlambhaṣṭā suggests as an example, 'gifts' promised or given to the jarl

^{4.} See Note Situene Demit w 88th Horister Fueda 53 Bon. 160 at p. 100 at

25

authority for the removal of obstruction to (one's) business-"In jest" , c what has been, as a fun, given Liven (when) one man gives his own property to mother and that other also gives his to him This is "a fraudulent gift ' "Under a false pretence " a c. 5 (where) intending to give one hundred, he stipulates for a thousand,

and gives "By a child" i e by one who has not attained the age of sixteen "By a fool"; e by one who is ignorant of the popular usage "By one who is not his own master" e i hy a son, a slave, or the like "By one distressed" e g by one diseased &c. "By one "intoxicated" i e by one intoxicated by some intoxicant "By one "insane " ; e, by one who is overpowered by an insanity such as that brought on by air &c "Given in expectation of a return" e a (where a

gift is made in the expectation that) 'he will bring about the accomplish "ment of my object' Given to a man who is not versed in (all) the foor 15 Vedas on his representing that he was versed in the four Vedas Given to one, who having obtained a guit on his representing that he would

perform a sacrifice, but who appropriates it in gambling and similar other acts Gifts of these sixteen kinds as enumerated above are invalid even if they were (completely) made, since these can be 20 resumed

The invalidity of a gift made by 'one distressed', attaches to such as are those other than relating to religious purposes. Since Katvavana has observed 1 "If a gift be promised by a person whether ' in health or in distress, for a religious purpose, and be die without "making it, his son should be compelled to make it good, of this " there is no doubt "

Moreover, here is another text which is expressed in a concise form, but which is common to all (kinds of) disputes Says Mann's ' A fraudulent pledge or sale, a fraudulent grit, acceptance, and any

¹ See Ghelabar vs Uderam 30 Bom 29 at p 35 Where Chandavarker J observes. The word son as here merely altestrative and stands for any one who inherits or takes the prumssor a property These are monitory, not mandatory texts, but the principle underlying them is that where a Hindu who has directed a trust of his property for a religious purpose dies before giving effect to it, Hindu Law authorises his hour to take steps for carrying out his directions after recovering the property from a treammer 2 Ch VIII 165

"transaction where he detects fraud, he (2 & the pudge) shall angul "the whole of such (transaction)" Yoga means fraud The meaning is, that by every kind of fraud intended to be practised (in future), (if) the transaction of pledge, sale, gift or acceptance &c were brought about upon the discovery of that fraud, these transactions 5 of sale &c should be declared null and void For him, moreover, who accepts any of the axteen invalid cufts and also for him who bestows any of the seven kinds which ought not to be given a penalty has been declared by Narada' "He who accepts an invalid crit "through avarice, as also he who bestows one which ought not to "be given the donor of the unendowable thing deserves punishment. "as also the acceptor of the invald wift"

Here ends the chapter on the Resumption of Gifts

Viramitrodaya

Now, the chapter of Vyawahira known as non-delivery of gifts. 15 "Now, what may be given, and what not, valid going, and also invalid "gifts, thus the law of gifts is declared to be fourfold in judicial matters", the Author expounds this text of Naradat

Yainavalkaya, Verses 175 176

Swam one s , t c, of one s own property, excepting the wife and an the son, without detriment to the family, t e the family which must necessarily be maintained, deyam 'may be given The meaning is that what may remain after (providing for) the maintenance of the femily may be given

Having, thus stated what may be given, the Author states what 25 may not be given When there is progen; i. c when the son, grandson and other descendants exist, sarvasicam, the entire property , should not be given Anyasman yaipraturudam, 'what has been promised to another ,: e, what has been agreed to be given, that should not be given to one in excess of that By the use of the word cha, and also , are included other things not to be given stated by other Rehis So also Brinspati 3 "Common property, sons, wife, a pledge, "the entire property, a deposit, things borrowed, and similarly what has been promised to another, thus the property which may not be given

² Oh IV 1 1 Ch IV 12

³ Ch XV 2

"a reward, or to an unworthy man mistaken for a worthy person, "or for an immoral purpose, the owner may resume the sift."

On the occasion of (a discussion as to) what may be given, the Author states the necessity of openness in the acceptance of a gift-prailgrafa 'an acceptance 'etc. With a view to obviate a dispute, and open acceptance of a gift should be made. Especially of an immovable, the acceptance must be made in the open only, as it is not possible to prove its possession by ousself as (can be done) in the case of gold and other morables.

Now the Author proceeds with the matter under consideration: It what been promised as a religious charity must necessarily he given, provided the done does not swerve from (the path of) religion, as Gautama' has stated: "Even if he may have promised, he should "not give it to one who has irreligious association". What was given properly as of the aforestated seven kinds, having once given, one 10 should not take back. By the use of the word cha, "also', it is indicated in the committee sense of the text, that of the sixteen kinds of invalid wifts one may take had (172-1746).

Thus in the commentary on Vajnavalkya ends the chapter on Nondelivery of sitts

Šûlspâņi Yûlñavalkva, Verse 176

An acceptance of a gift should be known to many. Proteindom:
which has been promised, i. e. promised by word of mouth. Even there, as regards immovable in which many kinsons are intersted, should be go known to the kinsons and others. What has been promised by a word of mouth must certainly be given. One should not take back, what was once given. As any Hafries: "By not giving what was promised, as "also by cancelling a gift, one goes to various hells, also becomes born a "loss of processing the state of the processing the

Brhaspati's states what may not be given: "What has been given "by one angry, or resenting an injury, or through leadverance, or by one "distressed, one infutuated, or extremely old or terrified, overpowered by "grief, and what is given in a soft mood, these are declared as invalid "ciffs. What is given through desire for a reward, or to an unworthy "man mistaken for a worthy person, or for an immural purpose, the owner

"may resume the gift" (176).

Thus ends the Chapter on Resumption of Gifts

CHAPTER XIII

Rescission of Purchase.

Now is being described the Rescission of Purchase' Its nature

has been described by Narada': "Where a pur "chaser, after having purchased (an article) for a

5 Page 117* " price, does not approve of it, that is termed

"Resession of Purchase's title of law." There also the same Author' has stated that on the day on which the purchase was made, on that same day should the thing be delivered back without any change: "When a "purchaser, after having purchased an article for a price, considers 10 "that he has made a bad bargain, he must, return it to the vendor "on that same day in an undamaged condition" In the case of a return on the second or any subsequent day, a special rule has been mentioned by the same Sages "When the purchaser returns it "on the second day, he shall lose a thirtieth (part) from the price; "twice as much (if it be returned) on the third day; after that time, "it is absolutely the purchaser's" The meaning is, that thereafter a rescussion should not be made This (rule) moreover, has a reference to things perishable by use, other than seed and like other things

In the case of the purchase of seed &c, an entirely different rule 90 prevails as to rescission. So the Author save

Yamavalkya, Verse 177

The time (allowed) for the trial and examination of seed, metal beasts of burden jewels, females, milch-cattle, and of males is respectively, fen days, 25 one day, live days, seven days, noe month three days, and half a month

Mitakshara - Bıjam seed, s e the seed of paddy and other grain ayah, metal, such as iron de, wahyah, a beast of burden, a e a bullock and the like, rainam, sevel, se pearls, cornis de ; stree, a female, se a dast, dohyam, milch cattle, e g. a she buffalo &c , puman, a male, i e. a male slave Of these, se of the seed and the rest, the period for trial and examination should be understood to be ten days &c respectively in the order of (their) enumeration. And when, while under trial and examination, there occurs a repentance on account of the badness of the thing

¹ Ch IX 1 2 Ch IX 2

ń

(purchased), then the sale can only be rescanded within ten days, and not later (than that period) And this is the reason of this rule

As for the text of Mann': "If anybody in this world after buy "ing or selling anything, repent of his bargain, he may return or "take back that chattel within ten days", that refers to things not 5 hable2 to destruction by use, such as a house, a field, a vechicle, a bed, a seat and the like, excepting from and the other things with regard to which the rule has been stated (as above)

Moreover, all this has a reference to what was bought without an examination What therefore had been examined and then 10 nurchased after an agreement, that is not to be returned it should not be returned to the seller. That rule has been laid down thus 'The (intending) purchaser shall first examine an article, a e (before "purchasing it) having regard to its faults and excellences, that

"which has been approved by the purchaser after a close examination 13 " cannot afterwards be returned to the vendor "

Vicemitredays

Now the Author expounds the chapter of Law called the Rescission of purchase which has been characterised by Narada thus "Where a purchaser, after having purchased (an article) for a 20

" price, does not approve of it, that is termed a 'Resussion of Purchase " a title of law

Välfiavalkva, Verse 177

Up to ten cays and the like is the himit for the examination of seed etc. and therefore if within that interval there is a revulsion about the bought article, then it should be returned, and not after that This is the meaning Ten days, eleven days, five days, seven days, a month three days, half a month, is (the limit) respectively for seed, metal, beasts of burden, such as horses etc., jewels, females, such as the dits etc.

milch-cattle, such as the cow etc , and of males such as servints etc. 30

¹ Ch VIII 222

^{2.} There is a mistake in the text on p 117 1 16 for winftaut read winfifaut 3 The reading quality un is better than offer given in the text at 1 10 and

in translating the text, the fermer reading is adopted and not wife. Balambharts reads similarly, and notices offer as a V L but apparently does not profer it 6 Ch 1X 1 By Narada Ch 1X 4

1204 Vîramtirofaya-

"If anybody in this world after buying or selling a thing, repent
"of his bargain, he may return or take back that chatted within teu days
"After ten duys, however, one cannot take back er compel it to be
"taken back, one taking or returning other should be punished six
"hundred by the king. This text of Manu" has application to seeds
only. Vide this text of Kātyāyana. "Whatever article which when
"purchased was not known to be faulty, but was afterwards decovered
to be so, that article of merchindise so purchased should be given
"(back) to the owner in time, otherwise however, not "In time 1.6."
"(back) to the owner in time, otherwise however, not after that All
this, moreover, is to be observed in the case of oil that was purchased
without an examination we since Kātyāyana his observed 'not known,
and also the text of Orbaspatt'. "One should inspect a merchandise"
"himself, and also show it carefully to others, after that ying accepted
"himself, and also show it carefully to others, after having accepted

15 "after an examination and approval by many, one should not give it up

Even before the stated period also, Nareach states a special rule in regard to the return of a purchased article "When one, after "having purchased an article for a price, considers that he has made a 90 "undamaged condition If he teturns it on the second doy, he shall less "at huttiell part from the price, twice as much if it be returned on 'the third day After that it becomes absolutely the purchased shall be a state of the third day. After that it becomes absolutely the purchased strugg in the market such as a mide-hautile or the like, if "out of repentance a man return it unblamshed within time, he shall "bear a tenth part of the price, this text of Kätyöyana has reference to a priod subsequent to the interval (allowed) for examination. Or both of these are applicable when the purchased article has been made

over to the purchaser Otherwise, however, "After having purchied, "if a purchaser repent of a thing which has come into his hands, a size man in such a case should give up a sixtle part, and give up the bought "atticle. The adjustment is to be as under this text. Under the text of Manu size "Not the one having a blemish, nor that which was defective, "not one at a distance, nor that which was concealed," an article, the

¹ Ch VIII 222-223

³ Ch XVIII 3

³ quant Brhaspati defines a voy thus (XVIII 2) Two serts of property are distinguished, summovable and increable when a purchase is concluded the term yendible property (1 192 qua) is applied to it "

4 Ch IX 2-5

defect of which was known at the time of the purchase, should not be returned even during the interval for inspection, since Narada' has observed; "A second-hard garment which was in a nagged condition and was solled with dust, even if (it be) with blemishes, such an article when "once purchased, cannot thereafter be returned to the seller." (177).

Sûlpâni

Yâiñavaikva, Verse 177

Of the seven things such as the seed etc. for days and so on respectively is the period for test and determination of merits or demortle. If the fault is known before that, the commodity may be given back to the seller; so says Brhaspati: "Before this, if a defect results in the "article, then it should be returned to the seller, and the purchaser shall "get back the price" (1771.

While treating of the (time for) inspection of milch cattle &c.
the Author states the rule regarding the examination of gold and the
15
like also

Yājñavalkya, Verse 178

Gold is not reduced in fire; in (the case of) silver it is reduced by two palas in a bundred; in the by eight, (so) also in lead; in copper, fire, in iron, ten.

Mitikshafa:—While being beated in fire, gold is not reduced. Therefore, as much may have been delivered into the hands of gold-minits for preparing a bracelet &c., so much by weight must be returned by these. Otherwise, they should be compelled to make good the loss and be punished too. In the case of silver, however, when 26 (a quantity weighing) a hundred pales is being heated, two pales are lost; ashbat, eight, in the case of tim, trapeni, and also lead, the chapter of the lost of the case of tim, trapeni, and also lead, the chapter of the leads of the silver however the selection of the silver however, when 26 copper, five as necessarily follows. In the case of tim, we also of lead, while a hundred pales are being heated, eight pales lose weight. There paleds disyasi, in copper five; tin tron ten, i.e. in a hundred pales are lost. Here also 'a hundred' is indeed to be understood. As repards while-copper, also 'a hundred' is indeed to be understood. As repards while-copper, as it is made out of tim and copper, a (case of) I relation is to be determined in accordance with (the rules for) these. Artisans, canning a reflection further than this, should be panished.

^{1.} Ch TX. 7.

Šūlapāņi Yālāsvaikya, Verse 178

Gold, while being heated in the fire for being manufactured (into a ratiole) is undistributed. Of silver, when a hundred are being heated a ratiole plus are reduced. Of the and lead, for a hundred plus, eight polar.

Oupper, for a hundred polar, five Thus in the cese of iron, ten are reduced. In case of larger reduction, the goldsmith and the others should be compelled to pay, and should be purshed also (178)

The Author mentions an increase in some cases, such as in the

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 179

In the case of woolen and cotton yarns, the increase is ten palas in a bundred; in (doth of) middling quality, thre; and in fine quality, three palas. Paus 113* Mitikshari:—In the case of woolen yarn of rough quality, from which blankets and similar things are prepared, in those cases, an in-

crease of ten golds in a hundred points should be unlerstood. A similar rule should be understood in the case of cloth &c., prepared from cotton yarn maddys, in (the case of cloth of) middling quality, is. in the case of cloth &c., prepared from yarn which is not very fine, the 20 increase is five paids (in a hundred). In cloth prepared from fine san; the increase should be understood to be three solds in a hundred.

This (rule), moreover, applies in the case of cloth, which is not washed,

Yafnavalkya, Verse 170

In the case of a blank-tor other cloth manufactured from the ram's hair, as also of cloth worse from aution year, for a hundred poins given for weaving, an increase of ten pulsa takes place owing to an addition of pasts to the fabric. In regard to the same, when it is not too fire, not too much, the increase is five pades. For one woven out of fine yearn, three pains (179)

The Author mentions a special rule in regard to other articles
Yâjña.valk.va. Verse 180

In the case of embroidered cloth, as also in cloth made of hair; a

reduction of a thirtieth part is allowed There is no decrease, nor an increase in the case of silken cloth or those made of barks

10

Mitāksharā — Kārmikam, embroulered cloth: 1 o cloth prepared with pictures thereon. That cloth where a wheel or a Sireatha or a like design is woven into by yarn fibres, is known as embroulered cloth, karmika. That cloth, in which hair are woven into c g an upper garment, is a comabadhāh cloth made of hair. In these cases khāyah a reduction, by a thirtieth part should be understood. In the case of salien cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye, 1 c made of sili, and of those made of lart's valladieshig cloth kauteye and the like for being woven into, excelly so much should be taken back

Sûtapânl

Yaljinvalkya Verse 180

Where on a woven cloth or the like, a weatske or a similar figure is or embroiders with yarm and needle, that is (called) Kürmele or embroidered cloth Where in a cloth or the like hair ne worn in that is cloth made of hair, 'nomedendsha' (e) the Napele blenket There a hitristh part is the reduction. In cloth produced from silk or banks of trees, such as the fine silk cloth there is nother increase nor decrease (189)

The commodities being manumerable it is impossible to consider the rule of reduction or increase in the case of each article. So the 2st Author mentions in general a rule for determining a decrease or an increase.

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 181

When a thing has deteriorated, whatever the experts in those utilities may declare after taking into consideration the place the time, the use, and 25 the strength or weakness, must certainly be caused to be paid

Makshara—In the case of a hemp or a salk cloth where the expert in the sale terrorated sade to the sundergour a conduction whatever the expert in those articles, drawylanin knowlab to those who are versed in rules of increase or decrease in the case of those stricles, after having examined into the (circumstances of) decars place killan time, upalsogam see, and also the halablam strengther unothers, it durability or non-durability of the article which has determined may determine the same strengther that carried the utravers must be much to pay

Thus ends the Chapter on Rescussion of Purchase

Viramitredaya

On the occasion of the (rules regarding the) examination of seeds ctc., the Author states the rules for the inspection of gold and like other articles

Yainavalkya, Verses 178, 170, 180, 181

5 Starnam, 'gold' i. e. of the best quality, is not reduced in fire. Rajate, ' in the case of silver,' sate, 'for a hundred,' te, for a measure of hundred, two balas become reduced in fire. In the case of tin, zinc and also lead, for a hundred palas eight palas, for a hundred of the cop-per palas, five palas; for a hundred palas of the iron, ten palas become 10 reduced in fire. By the use of the word cha, is added, the loss in the case of white-copper, produced from lead and copper in proportion to

the parts of these (178)

In the case of blankets and cloths prepared from the rough yarn of wood or cotton, for a manufacture of a hundred varue, the increase 15 is ten balas. For one of a middling quality, a. e not too fine, for a manufacture of a hundred palas, the increase is by five palas. In case of very fine manufactures, however, of these, for a hundred palar, the increase of three palas is accepted, t e, regarded as proper by the experts as it is besmeated with gruel etc. (179) 20

The cloth on which, after its manufacture, a swastika, wheel, or the like is embroidered with the needle, is called Kurmita the embroidered cloth, , where in the case of an upper garment or the lake, hair are woren, that is romabaddha, 'cloth made of hair!' in the case of these, o thirtieth part is regarded as a proper reduction Kanieye, 'in 25 the case of silken cloth, ' i, c, cioth made of yarn produced from cocoon of the (silk) worm, as also in regard to cloth etc. made of barks of trees. there is no increase or decrease.

By the use of the word cha, 'and , is included absence of an increase or decrease in the case of the pounding of wheat and many other things 30 not mentioned (180)

In the case of those not particularly mentioned such as hemp, or hnen, and other cloth, what men with special knowledge about the increase or decrease of things may declare after taking into consideration, the place, the time, the use and the strength or weakeness of the 35 lost article, that must undoubtedly be paid By the use of the word cha, and is added that in places where a decrease is proper, a decrease may be declared (181) [178-181].

Śūtapāni Yajnavalkya, Verse 181

When there is a doubt as to the quantity depreciated, whatever is 40 declared by the experts after taking into account the place, the time, the strength and the weakness that certainly should be caused to be paid (181) Thus ends the Chapter on Rescission of Purchase

CHAPTER XIV.

Breach of Contract of Service

The Author now sets out discussing another title of law known as the Breach of Contract of Service. Its nature has been described by Narada! thus -"If a man has promised to render service and does not "render it, it is termed a Breach of Contract of Service, a title of law" Service is the performance of an order He who undertakes it and after wards does not do it, that title of law is known as the Breach of Contract of Service An attendant, moreover, is of five kinds A. pupil, an apprentice, a hired servant, a man appointed to (perform) trask, and a slave Of these, the first four are known as servants or labourers These, moreover, do pure work Slaves moreover such as one born in the (master's) house and the like are of fifteen sorts, and perform impure service such as sweeping the house, the door, the impure places, the street, the dust-bins &c. This has been made clear by Narada2. " The sages have described in the S'astra five sorts of "sttendants Among these are four sorts of labourers, and slaves (of "the fifth category) are of fifteen kinds (2) A student, an apprentice, "a hired servant, and the fourth -- a person specially appointed (to "do a thing), these are to be regarded as labourers Slaves are those "born in the house' and the rest (3) The sages have declared that "the state of dependence is common to all these, but that their " respective position and income depends on their

PAGE 119* "particular easts and occupation (4). Also there "are two sorts of occupations, pure" work and "impure work I Impure work is that done by slaves Pure work

is that done by labourers (5) Sweeping the house and the "gateway, the places where impurities are deposited, the street the dust bins, shampooing the secret parts of the body, gathering

and putting away the leavings of food, orders, and crise (6) And lastly, rabbing the master's limbs when desired, this should be re "garded as impure work. All other work besides this is pure (7)"

¹ Oh V 1

² Ch V 2-7

³ As opposed to impure which is described further on in the lines following

There, by 'a student' is meant one who is desirous of studying the Vedas. 'An apprentice' is one who wishes to study the mechanical arts. He who does a work by wages is 'a hirred servant'. One supervising the (work of) leboness is on officer 'specially appointed to a test'. 'Place of impurity' me us a place where the leavings of the meals are thrown, such as a pit &c. 'A dust but 'is a place where the sweepings from the house, such as dust &c. are stored. 'Putting ware' means throwing off

'A hued servant' (as referred to) here is of three sorts so it
lisa been had down' "Here, the highest class is that of a soldier the
"agriculturate is the middle class, and the porters are the lowest class
"These are that three classes of hurd servants"

Slaves again are2 'One born at his (master's) house, one pur-

chased, one received (as a gift), one obtained by inheritance, one maintained during famine, similarly one who was pledged by his 15 "muster (26), One released from a beavy debt, one acquired (as a captive) in war, one won through a wager, one who has offered 'himself saying 'I am thine', an apostate from asceticism, one en slaved for a supulated period (27), One who has become a slave 'in order to get a muntepance, one led in by a female slave, and 26 one self sold. Thus mell fifteen kings of slaves are declared in 'S'dara (28)' Born in the (house of the master) of a female slave is one born at his (master's) house ' Purchased'; & by (the payment of) a price ' Hecewed'; e by a gift &c 'Obtained by inheritance' s e one who was a slave of the father or other ancestor tamed during famine' t e one, who during famine was saved from death in consideration of his becoming a slave 'Pledged by his master : e who was made a pledge upon the acceptance of money (by the master One reduced to slavery by being freed from his 30 debts is a slave released from debt. Acquired in war' a cone

conquered and captured in a battle 'Won through a wager' t e

¹ By Narada Ch V 23

² bro Narada Ch V 26-28 It would be interesting to compare the provisions had down here with similar provisions in Roman Law and the learned reader is referred to the same. The rules regarding the commitmation of shares a possibir resemblance of these found in Roman Law.

one won after a stipulation 'In case I am defeated in this dispute, I shall become your skeet.' 'One who has offered himself saying 'I am '"thine,'" is one voluntarily offering himself as a slave asying 'I am thy slave.' 'An apostate from asceticism' is a one who has swerved from the vow of ascetisism. 'Stipulated' i. a one made a slave with the stipulation 'he shall be your slave for such a time.' 'A slave of maintenance' i.a. one who has entered into a perpetual state of slavery in lieu of maintenance. 'Led by a female slave' "—a fermale 'slave' (undand) i. a one born in the house; led by her i. a, ont of a fancy for her, one who has married ber and entered into slavery. He who 10 sells himself is a 'self-sold lave.' Thus there are the fifteen sorts.

As for the seven-fold classification stated by Mans' in: "One made "coptive under a standard, a slave for maintenance, (one born in the "bouse, one bornght, and one who is given, one inherited from an "cestors, and a slave of penishment, are seven kinds of slaves", that is intended to point out that these persons are (regarded and treated as) slaves, and not with a view to limit the numbers

Of the attendants (meutioned before) vic. a pupil, an appronition, a hird servant, an appointed labourer, the course of conduct for a pupil has already been stated before? ver. "Being invited (by the grapestor) indeed be ought to study, and whatever is acquired by "him he should offer to him i.e. the precoptor." The rule for the specially appointed workman and the bired servant will be mentioused in the chapter on "Payment of Wages" in the text "As much work a man performs, so much will be his wages" 60.

With a view to state a Special Rule regarding a slave and an apprentice, the Author says

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 182

One enslaved by force, and also one sold by robbers, are released (from slavery); one who saves the life of his master (is released), as also (are they solve released) by paying the expenses of maintenance or by paying off the debt.

^{1.} Ch VIII 415.

² See Yajñavalkya Âchârâdbyiya Verse 27 p. 167.

^{3.} See Verse 196 further on page 1234.

Mıtâksharâ -Balât, by force, i e by a forcible obstruction, one dankriah, who has been made a state He who being overpowered and taken away by robbers vikritah, was seld I rom the use of the word 'also', ap, are included one 'pledged' as also one 'given' Such a one muchyate, is released If the owner do not release, then he should be caused to be released by the king Narada' also has observed "Those " who are sold after having been captured by robbers, and those who " are enslayed by forcible means, must be emancipated by the king-"Slavery in their case is unt allowed" He who saves the life of his master, when he was confronted by robbers, tigers &c .- such a one 10 also should be caused to be manumitted. This last is a reason for freedom from slavery common to all (kinds of) slaves since Narada? has observed "Should (however) any one out of them save his master's life when his life is in peril, he shall PAGE 120* " be released from slavery, and shall also take a 15

'son's share?'

The cause for the munumsson of 'a hred servant' and others respectively has been mentioned. Persons who have become slaves on account of being maintuned during famine, as also claves of main go tenance are released by paying off the amount of maintenance; a by offering as much money to the moster as may have been consumed from the date of the sinstvenient. While a pledged slave and a slave of indebtechess (are released) by the redemption of these. He is released by repayment of the amount, together with interest after recurring which he was pledged by the master, or also that after paying off which he was emancipated by the master from the hability of the creditor.

A special rule also has been stated by Nêrads' "One maintained.

"during famine is released from bondage if he gives a pair of oxen
"Whith has been consonised during famine, cannot be repud (in valley)
by labour (31) A slave of maintenance is released immediately on his
giving up the subsistence (36) Even a pledged slave (is released)

1 Ob V 38 This is a general rule applicable for my kind of three. See

¹ Ch V 38 This is a general rule applicable for any kind of slave See further on
2 Ch V 30 3 s c a share equal to that of a son (Balambhatia)

⁴ Ch V 31 36 (1) and 32 and 33

Sûlapâni Yûlûayalkya, Verse 182

One who has been emissived by force, or said by robbers, should be released from bondage, one who saves his master's life, when his life is in 5 danger, the slave for maintenance, by paying up the expenses for maintenance and also by giving maintenance in times of famine One maintenance in derives times also pays in each, or a pair of cowe (182)

There is, however, no eminorpation of an apostate from asceticism; so the Author says

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 183 (1)

10

90

95

An apostate from ascelusses shall become the king's slave till death.

Mitikohara—Pravajyā accetavos, menus a complete recunnation (of all worldly objects)—Samigusa: One who is an availah, apostate, tneeterom : « who has swerved from t (Such a one) if he has not lau undergone expution, indeed becomes a slave of the king. Death alone shall terminate this (kind of) servitude. In no other time can there be emancipation.

The Author mentions a rule regarding slaves baying regard to (the several) orders, Varias 1

Yajnavalkya, Verse 183 (2)

Slavery is in the descending order of the Varnas, and not in the ascending order.

Makkharā —Of the Varner such as the Bruhmarr and the rest a state of slavery slate least Sudomprea, us the descending order. That of a Bruhman, a Kelastrija and the rest may become a shave, of a Kesatrija, the Vassya and the Sudara, and of a Vassya, a Sodra, thus the state of slavery shall merete us the descending code. (The

Richartyry, the Vassys and the Súdra, and of a Vassys, a Súdra, thus the state of slavery shall operate in the descending order. Of an asotte, however, who remounces his own duties slavery is indeed ordaned even in an inverse order. As any Sirada's "Slavery is not address the duties reculted to the (four) Varias, except where "a man violates the duties peculias to his class. Slavery is considered."

"ed as analogous to the condition of a wife"

¹ s e the clares See Yayuaralkya Âthara Chapter IV On the dust unction based on Classes (Varna) and Costes (Var) see verses 90-96 pages 241-267.
2 Ob V 39

Viramitrodaya

Now begins the Chapter known as Breach of Contract of Service. Its nature has been described by Narada! "If one after inving under-taken to reader service, does not render it, it is called a 'Breach of "Contract of Service, a tall of law. Manu, moreour, has not treated this separately as a title of two, as he has included its treatment in the title called 'Non payment of wages, intending it for some foreon to be so stated

An attendant, moreover, is of five kinds. A pupil, an apprentice a three deservant, one appointed to (perform) a task, and a slave. There pure work is for four, and impure work is for the slave, one the text of Narada? "Impure work is for the slaves, a pure "work is for Indourcers Sweeping the house and the garkewy, the places "where impurities are deposited, the street, the disthins shampoing "the secret parts of the body, gathering and putting away the leavings of food, ordure and urine. And lastly rubbing the master's limbs when 'desired, this should be regarded as impure work. All other work 'besides this is pure. There, a pupil is one in need of the study of the Vecks, and apprintice is one decreased of leaving some art, a brief servant, is one performing work for wages, one appointed to do a work a sieve also, has been studed by Narada' (same as slove) 1210 in 113-21.

Among these, the course of conduct for a Pupil has already been stated before? In: "Being invited (by the preceptor) indeed, he ought to study, and whether is acquired by tun, he should bestow on him Of the lined servant and of the one appointed, will be stated in the Chapter on Payment of Wages About the sives, and the apprentice, however, the Author states here.

Yājāsvalkys Verses 182, 183

One forebly mude a slave, one sold by robbers and thus made a slave, and by the use of the words cha, and, and caps, even, one given by him, as also one Lept as a pleade, as released from slavery the moreover, who swres the life of the master when attacked by robbers, tige, cte such a one is maximutated. By the use of the word caps, even and caps and caps and caps are the maximus of a save Narada's states these goods of the maximus on of a save Narada's states these

Ch V 5-7 - Ch V 26-28

³ See Acharadhyaya 27 p 107 above

1216 Viramitrodaya-Other reasons for Manumission.

10

20

"One maintained during famine time, is released from bondage if he " gives a pair of oxen. What has been consumed during famine, cannot "be repaid (in value) by labour (31). A slave of maintenance is released "immediately on his giving up the subsistence (30). Even a pledged " slave (is released) if his master redeems him by discharging the debt (32). "It is, however, by paying the debt with interest that a debtor is "released (33). One who has come forward and offered himself declar-"ing I am thine', one made a prisoner in war, and one won through a "wager, these are released on giving each a substitute whose capacity "for work is equal to theirs (34). Also one enslaved for a stipulated

" period becomes emancipated on the expiration of the period (35). One "custaved on account of his being connected with a female slave is " released upon the female slave being kept in check (36)". "By work "equal to theirs" i.c. by performing work which is proper for him, "Upon the female slave being kept in check, i.e. by giving up intercourse-Katvavana says : " When one has intercourse with his own slave, and "she gives birth (to a child); then at the sight of the issue she should be " made a non-slave; as she is with a progeny." This, however, is only when the master is without a son etc. according to Prakasa, Partiala,

By favour also one may be released from hondage, Naradal states the procedure on such an occasion ;-"He who, being pleased in mind, "wishes to emancipate his own slave, shall take from his shoulders a jur "filled with water and smash it (42). Ho shall sprinkle his head with water " containing uncrushed grain and flowers and having declared him a free "man three times, he shall dismiss him with his face turned towards the "East. From that time enwards be should be called one protected "by the master's favour,' food may be caten with him, and a gift may "be conferred on him; thus he becomes approved of the good," Katyayana: "The master is declared to be the owner of the

30 " property which was of the slave. But to what was obtained by fayour "or by sale of that property the master is not entitled. One not a slave, "if she be married by a slave, she also gets into the status of a slave : " because her bushand is her lord; and her lord is under the bondage "of the master." 'By favour &c.'-the meaning is that by one's own 35 favour, or by the sale of oneself whatever money may have been

1. Ob. V. 42-43. 1.

obtained, that the master cannot claim.

Rataskaea and others.

15

20

30

By a slave etc.—Here if the word non slave is used in partthesis to the word slave referred to in regard to the marriage, any other not owned by any one, or not having a master at all, when she has been married, she becomes of the ownership of the master of the husband Necestardy, therefore, it follows that marriage with a since has the result of release from the bondage of the former owner And it is for this that this text occurs in a chanter on it. The cause of ownership, moreover, is the marriage by a slave with the consent of the former master. In the case of an opposition, however, there would be no obliteration of the ownership of the former master. just as in the case of a marriage with a servant girl According to the moderners, however, if she is married by a slave without the consent of the former master, then a white course alone should be given to the former master by the new master, and not that the status of slavery itself is not induced. They say that there exists the status of slavery in regard to the former master as an inducing cause for the taking of a white course As for the declaration of Hanschandra in the Markana deva Ductes "Even if released by the master a Sudra is never manu-'mitted from slavery that is born with him, who will wipe it away from him? , that is intended as deprecatory of a slave, otherwise, it should be remembered, that his own manualismon from slavery would not take place (182),

Prawrania etc 'ascetteism etc After entering into the ascette order, one who swerves off from it, sa range dasa amaranantikah, 'he is the Kung a slave till death ac until the time of his death his status of slavery continues. Of him, there would be no release as a slave This is the meaning

Varnanam etc. 'Of the varnas etc., slavery can occur in the descending order, and not in the inverse order. As for example a Kahatriya can be a slave of a Brahmana, and not of a Vasya etc This, moreover, has application to others than those who have been angestates from asceticism Since Naradal has stated " In the "inverse order of the various slavery is not ordained, excepting in the "case of those who violate their own peculiar duties Slavers has been regarded as analogous to the condition of a wife Both these clauses 95 vix beginning with varnanam and prawrayyanantah have application to others than a Brahmana Fore Where (the members of) the three

1218 Vîra., Sûla.& Mitâkşharâ-Apostales fromascelici un

Quarnas of the twice-born class become apostates from asceticism, the king "should cause the Brillmana to be banished, and reduce to slavery the "Kehatriya and the Vaisvas," The expression Kshatravit, the Kshatriya "and the Vaisya" is a collective dual compound (182-183).

Śūlanâni Yalaavalkva, Verse 182

Those who become apostates, s e. have fallen off from the vow of

15

naceticism, &c., and being of the Kehatram, Vausna or Sildra varnas become the slaves of the Kahatriya King This status of slavery is, moreover, in the descending order, and not in the asconding order, A 16 Brahmana can never be the slave of a Kshatriya As says Kalvayana : "Among the three Varies, there can never be a slavery for a Vipra; even "though of the same survey, a miner should never be made a slave" (183)

The Author mentions the duties of an apprentice Yaiñavalkya, Verse 184

Though he have acquired his art, the apprentice must remain in his master's house during the period stipulated, receiving his subsistence from the teacher, and giving to him the proceeds thereof.

Mitâksharâ:- Anlevâsî, an apprentice, vaset, must remain, gworgrhe, in the house of the master, ketakalam, during the significated period, i. c. 90 for as long a period as may have been fixed under an agreement, e.g. thus, "I shall reside in your house for a period of four years for "learning medicine or any other art de," and even when he has acquired the desired lore, even before the (expiration of) four years.

How should be remain? Gurupraptabhojanah, receiving his subsist-25 ence from the teacher, he who has obtained his food from his teachera person of such a description. Tatphalapradah, giving to him the proceeds thereof, he who offers to the teacher the proceeds of it, i.e., of the art- thus he should reside.

50 Even a special rule has been indicated here by Nârada': " If one "wishes to be initiated into the art of his own "craft, with the sauction of his relations, he may PAGE 121* "go and live with a teacher, after having fixed the

"duration (of his apprenticeship) (16). The master shall teach L. Ch V. 15, 20.

"him, feeding him at his own house. He must not employ him in "work of a different description, and must treat him his a son (17). If "one forsaless master, who instructs him and whose character is une exceptionable, he shall be compelled by force to remain (at his "master's house), such a one makes himself hable for a corporal "punishment and imprisonment (18). Even though his course of instruction be completed, an apprentice must continue to reside at
"the house of his master, until (the expiration of) the fived period;
whatever work be may do, while there, the profits thereof stull belong
to the master (19). After he has acquired his art, at (the end'of) I
"the stipulated period, the apprentice shall reward! his master plenti"fully, and return house, after baying obtained lewer from hun (199)".

The word Vadha, 'Corporal punishment', here is used in the sense of 'beating', having regard to the trifling nature of the fault

Thus ends the Chapter on Breach of Contaact of Service.

Vîramıtredaya

After having stated the rules relating to the slaves, the Author states the rules relating to appropries

Yajaavalkyn, Verso 184

In your house, I 'tail stay for such a period,' thus having made 20 i.e. fixed a period, at the residence of the teacher, Krisulpop;

Magra—who has not been found fault with, as having been connected in any moral sin or legal crime

² , ϵ when the time fixed for his approxisceship ends. Asablys and Bilembhatta

³ Dr Jolly translates in this manner. According to the unterpretation of Bilambiatics, regions would only mean a special part of worship as, found round the object of worship with folded hand. For he subseque supers as referred and with that wow the literal meaning of regions may not have any force. This results will note that Bilambiatt's interpretation in the one which will stake were you because in sum the seems occlusively conveyed by a quipe;

'though he have acquired his art,' i. c. even when he has secured the mit, still, the arter details, 'appronises', one who has received subsistence i. c. meals from the teacher, such a one should stry in this place paying back the first of that art as his fee. Any duty in excess of the sit, however, the apprentice should not be made to perform. So Nareda' says: "He miss not put him to any other work; and "should treat him as a son "So also". "If one forsakes a master who in the mission of the best master to the mission of the compelled by force to remain (at his missier's place), such a one makes to "immedia menable for a corporal punishment and imprisonment "Similarly': "After he has acquired his art, at (the end of) the "stipulated period, the apprentice shall reward his missier plantifully.

Thus ends in the Commentary on Vājāavolkya the chapter called the Breach of Centract of Service

Sûlapânt

"and return home, after having obtained leave from lum "

Yâjîiavalkya, Verse 184

Anferds, "an apprentice", a pupil of a particular kind, Knatsipah,
"who has acquired his at" is "who has meetered the art of gold manufac20 tare & each a one Knahhidam, "for the stipulated period", having
obtained his meals from the teacher must reside in the house of the teacher
alone. The records of the art such as the kinds of pawellery &c he
should offer to the preceptor (164)

Here ands the Chapter on Breach of Contract of Service

¹ Ch V 17 2 Ch V 18

³ Ch V 20

CHAPTER XV

Transgression of a Compact.

Now is being de cribed (the law regarding) the "Transgression" of a Compact. Its characteristics have been indicated by Warda' through (a discussion of his contrary: "The (general) rules settled among i"Palkanda", Nagamas" and like others is crilled a Compact (Samaya). "Non-Transgression" of scale a compact this gives rise to a Title of "Law known is Transgression of a Compact Settlement of rules in accordance with the speard' provision of I will be a compact; the non-transgression of the wine a monther the observance. When this is being transgression, it gives rise to a Title of Law. This is the mention

The Author states a rule by way of an introduction to the same Yajiiavalkya, Verse 185

A king baving erected a building in the town, and having therein lodged Brâhmanas, versed in the three Vedas provided with livelihood, 15 should say to them 'Protect your Dharma'.'

CF Z 1

2 Pikhadnis—s e Herotics—Kshapanakas s e the Buddhist or Jain mendicants, and others who do not accept the eathersty of the Vedas See Mithlabara further on Yayo II 102 p 1228

3 Nangamas—treders and others Those who regard the Veda as natherity but only as the word of an Affac g the P2 supatas and others. See Matak charlou II 192

- 4 This is why Vipinesture characteries this deficition of Nirada et one one in a negative manner deficients in the transpression of a compact (Smarya). Is stort transpression is a performance, as desprisement and a kingter of have which dark with the non performance or transpression is a chapter obtained. Transpression of a Compact, "effective when freely translated here, only of Compact," their had definition give what is a Compact, "and it is maintained. That the subject of the "transpression of a compact," is illustrated by a testiment of its opposite
- 5 minimization to the parisonless provisions of the Dharma Sistra. The Dharma or rule, as propounded in the Dharma-Sistra bearing on special contracts of agreements.

6 : s the RL, Yours and Sams These are the three Vedus indicated by the expression Transit is

The after having properly sudowed the substitution with funds for the maintenance of persons acting there are for their payment do. The meaning is that he should energy land, meney, or the like for their majorenance, so that they may be form their chiese without any inferreption

8 Suadharma—1 c the duties innding upon Self (Sisa) The word sea (solf) applies to all, and undestes the law, in general, holding among the people. The author declares here what should be done by the Brahmana: thus enjoyed. 1222 Mitâksharû, Vîra & Sûla.—The Varnas & Afranas Vajansaliya Ch. XV

Mulakharā —The lung, in his town r e. in the fort or other place, having erected sthāma a bublung. r.e. a winte bonse, and luta brābmanān nyaya, therein having ledged Brālimanas, r e. having appointed them there, and having provided that assemblage of Brālimanas versed in the three Vedas, with his hood r e bring endowed them with land, gold &c should say to these Brālimanas "May your own "Dharma be observed by you" ne. the rules regarding the Varnas' and Assams as alectated in the Yedas, and Shurtis

Vîramitredaya

10 Now the Author begins the treatment of the Chapter of Law called the "Transgression of a Compact"

Yājāsvalkya, Verse 185

Réjá, 'the lung', in his own town, having created silvanem, 'a place' such as a white house, taira Bráhmania nyany, 'und having therein lodged Bráhmania', transdyam, 'those well versed in the three lores', 'tritimat,' 'provided with livelihood' 1.c. having mude them rich and affluent in gold ete "May the duties of the Varnas and "Asramas be looked after by yon' thus should he say to them, i. c. appoint them to the tasi. By the use of the word tin, 'however,' is excluded the insuguration without provision for maintenance (185)

Sûlapûnî

Yajaavulkya, Verso 195

The king having coased a building to be executed in his town, and having thereal oldged Briddmans removated for their learning and baredity, should make provision for manuferance proper for a married man, for one who is accomplished in the study of the three lores such as the RE and the set, should say to them than: "You should protect your "Dharma" As says Britanpati". The king should watch Fignes who are "Vedic sciolass Instruct during, and have maintained the accred fire, and "when the three critisms and special rices, as they performances for a pairr "chinar objective, so also the expansiony and the anspicious ones, and declars a decision in doubtful cases" (185)

1 The few principal Verses are the Redmanse Atherrya, Value and

And event principal between 1000 Internation Accounty, I cause and
Sadra (See Najaranthy, Adaptidays) Assert (a, the Ot IV) The Assumers to
the paths of life are four to. The Branches or the life of a cellular studen
see Naja Astan 22-50 Graduation or the life of a cellular studen
see Naja Astan 22-50 Graduation or the life of a located life, after marriage,
the Hamptonia file of a beaunt, and the Sanayster or the life of an ascettee
2 th NNII 24

The Author mentions the duties to be performed by these when so appointed

Yâjñavalkva, Verse 186

Without detriment to one's own Dharma, whatever customary law there may be, should also be carefully observed as also the duties imposed by the king.

Mitikshara:—Duties arising under any custom' such as the preservation of the pasture for cow, and of water, and the management of temples and the life, should also be carefully observed without (however) infringing the duties prescribed by the S'ruti and the Smitis Similarly, the king, should also enforce, nijudharmidwradhenaira yah sharajiko dharman, a wakeutomary rutes only a our not inconsistent with one's own dharman, a.g., 'meals should be provided for all travellers,' or the rule that "the horses and the like shall not be carried to the "dominions of the enemy."

Viramitredaya

Thus having been appointed, what should be their duty? So the Author says

Válňavatkva, Verse 186

Yah admaytha, 'whatever customary', i.e. in the form of the pasturing of cows, the pretervation of temples etc. and by reason of the agreement the duties resulting from the King's ordinance sourced by the cowbards etc. such also as,' the horses and the like should not be taken over into 'tie comory's circle's, and such as, 'funy kingdom, it should be somranged 'that guests should not be without having a weal,' and the like commandments issued by the king by his own desate, that too should be preserved in, looked after, by the Exclamance who have been appointed with the direction 'many you preserve our own laws'. By the use of the word ha, 'however,' the Author evoluties such customary rule as is opposed to one's laws.

By the use of the word app, 'also', is included obligatory compacts stated in this text of Brhaspati²: "A compact formed among villagors,

Sometime may either mean a compact, agreement, or a custom or usage, which is nothing but a course of conduct followed by a facit agreement.

^{2.} Ch. XVII. 2.

"companies (of artirans), and associations (which) is (called) an agree-"ment—such (an agreement) must be observed both in times of "distress and foracts of piety also," and an addition to the one established by the King or his officers. By the use of the word cha, as also, the 4 Author includes what is authorised by the King (186)

Sülanâni

Yäfiiavalkva Verse 186

The rule which has been fixed by a compact which is not in conflict with one's own Diamen, as also that made by the king, that also must be 10 observed So also Behsspath "Without definent to established rules "whatever royal command has been accord, that show must be followed "under the king's orders" (168)

Having thus stated that a 'Customary rule,' 'should be observed, the Ather mentions a penalty for its infrangement

Yajnavalkya Verse 187

He who embertles the property of a quant, as also he who violates their usage, the king should deprive such a one of all his effects and banish him from his realm.

9.40.21.92*

Matiksharā — Yah, he, moreover, who misappropriates the property
of a ginna i e property pertaining to any guild or
ing a carbinary
Railo
the king He who laghayat, tradicts, i e., transgresses these, of such a
man, the whole property should be taken away, and the king, upravasayet, should bound i e expel him, svarashitāt, from his readin. This
penalty, moreover, should be administered in cases of aggravated
offeres or the like

In the case of petty offences, however, any of the four penelities ers banshment, or a fine of four sunarias, six with as, or a hindred silver coins, may be fixed by regard to the caste and the capacity (of the offender) as propounded by Manie 'II a man belonging to a composition of the offender of t

¹ Ch VIII 219--- *0

Yatjavalkya Ch. XV Verse-187-188 [1] Witâksharâ, Vira & Sûla — Punislament for breach. 1225

"to a compret, bresk it through avarioe, (the king) shall banish him "from his realm (219) And laving impressned such a breaker of a "compact, he shall compel him to pry, four surtumns, six neshkas, and "one handred silver (coins) (220)!

Vitamiltadaya

The Author states the penalty for a transgression of such a compact

5

30

Yajnavalkya, Verse 187

The meaning is that in the case of an infringement, the King should centre him of the entirety of his property and expel bim. Here, in the interest of brevity of the composition should be understood as the statement of the penalty for one taking away the property of the gama (association).

This penalty, moreover, is to be observed in the case of an inggravated form of offence. In the case of a petty offence, however, any one of the penultus mentioned by Manur may be fixed by regard to 15 the caste, capenty and the like viz. "If a man belonging to a compention inhabiting a village of a distinct, after colonily swearing to a com-"pact, break it through arance, the king shall benish him from his red in," (212) And having impresented such a breaker of a compact, he shall "compel him to pay, four smornas, six mishkas, and one hundred silver "come (220)" (187)

Sûlapânl

Yájňavníkya Verse 187

He who embessles the common property of an association as also one who transgresses the terms of a compact such a one should be deprived of this entire property, and the King should expel him from the kingdom (187)

Tims they should act, the Author says

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 188 (1)

The directions given by the advisers of the association should be observed by all

Mstakshara —Among the members those who are competent to advise as to the interests of the Associations, the directions given by these should be followed by others who are memorporated as members

¹ Ch VIII 219-920

20

Otherwise there is penalty; so the Author says

Yainavalkya, Verse 188 (2)

There, he who acts contrary, shall be compelled to pay the first

amercement.

Mitakshara:-He, however, from among the members, who acts obstructively to the directions of one who advises as to the interests of the Association-such a man-must indeed be punished by the king with the first amercement.

Viramitredaya

A rule established by a village association or the like must not be 10 transgressed, as is the case with a rule fixed by the king; so the Author says

Yaiñavalkya, Verse 188

Those who declare something for the benefit of the nublic such as 15 eg. the construction of a bridge etc. must be obeyed by all in regard to the advise of these declared in this manner. He. moreover, who in such a case acts contrary i. c. is opposed, shall be compelled to pay the penalty for the first amercement (188),

Śûtanâni

Yálnavalkya, Verse 188

The members of an association, such as the village guilds, &c. should follow the directions of the advisers of the association, he they two or three. He, moreover, who acts contrary to it ahould be compelled to pay the first amercement (188)

How should the king in this manner behave towards the members 25 of an Association? So the Author sava

Yaniavalkya, Verse 189

Those assembled for the affairs of the Association, let the king dismiss, when their business is finished, after bonouring them with guts, honour, and expressions of civility. 88

Mitakshara :- Such members of the Association as may have approached the king for a purpose of the association, the king should dismiss after pleasing them by means of gifts, honour, and expressions of civilities, after they have finished their business.

Vîramitrodaya

In regard to those who execute the beheats of these, the Author states the duty of the king

Yafnayalkya, Verse (89

Those who have come to him in connection with a business in the interest of an association, after the completion of their business, the king should favour all of them with gifts, cordul reception and honours, and then dismiss (159).

Sülapâni

Yajnavaikya Verse 189

These who have arrived in connection with the business of an Association the king should become with presents, honours and cordular acception [183]

The Author mentions a rule for one who appropriates what is given to the Association

Yajnvalkya, Verse 190

Whatever a man who is sent for the business of an Association receives, let him deliver it file should be compelled to pay eleven fold it be does not himself deliver.

15

25

30

30

Makkhart —He who, when deputed to wart upon the lang on a given set of the Association whatever he receives, such as gold, dress &c., all that he must offer unsaked to the Commuttee of the Association. Otherwise, he shall be made to pay a fine equal to eleven times the property obtained by him.

Vîramitrodaya

When all do not go near the Krog for the business of the association, but only one deputed by these vil, then how can there be the honourus of all? So the Author says

Yajaavalkya, Verse 100

When any one is deputed for a bissness in the interests of the assocation, whatever he obtains from the king, that he should distribute among all. When he does not so make every then he should be compelled by the king to pay elevenfold of what was given. For the idea is that the honour done to the one was itself the honour for all (19b)

Śülapâni

YSiñavalkya Verse 190

One who has been deputed for the business of the association ahould bring and tender whetever money he receives If he does not give, he should be compelled to pay the same cleven times (190) The members of the advising body should be of a particular description. So the Author says

Yâjňavalkya, Verse 191

Men knowing Dharma, pure, an avaricious, should be commissioned to consider the business. The directions of these,—the advisers of the general body—must be executed.

Mitikharā:—Dharmajīš, men knowing the Dharma, as laid down in the Sruti and the Smitis, pare internally and externally, unwavarious for any pecuniary gain, should be commissioned as members of the hiberative assembly. Their directions must be executed by others. This rule is ogain remeated as an indication of (special) regard (therefor.).

Viramitrodays

Vāļšavaikyb, Verse 101
Those well-versed in the rules of the Śraula and Śmária perform-

65.7

16

20

13 onces, possesting internal and external purity, devold of avaricousness, thoughful of the interests of the association in their transactions, such should be invested by the king. The meaning is that the non-observance of the advise of these benefactors of the association increasantly leading to punishment, their words should be followed by all (191).

Śùlpâni

Yaliinvulkya, Verse 191

Venned in the import of the Vedas, pure in monetary affairs, not avariedous, should be the advisers of the association. The capacit to the transactions. The opinion of these who give sound advise should be "followed The repetition again of this text is with the object of mentioning special acquictions such as the knowledge of the vedas, set of the vedas.

Now, with a view to extend the rules laid down for the Scholars in three Vedas, to S'renis etc., the Author says

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 192

This is the rule for the associations of Seenie, Naugamas, Pakhandis, and Ganas. The king should preserve their pecultarities, and conserve their rules of old.

Page 133 *

Page 123.*
Makkshara:—Sremis or bodies of artisans are those who subsists by the manufacture of the same commodity. Naugamas, such as the

¹ These are the same as referred to in Verse 188 above

25

35

Pasanatas and others, are those who recept the authority of the Vedus (simply) as composed by an Apri The Pathandais, such is Napina; Sangatas, et an ethose who do not even adout the authority of the Yelas A Gana is a body of men through by the same profession such is the soldiers, and the blac Eshâm per Blaze from varieties of men this is the rule : a sail adown its; "Without" detrament to one's own "Tharma etc." Maneover, let the long preserve their peculiar rules of conduct, and also conserve their hereditary writt.

Here ends the Chapter on the Transgression of a Compact.

Varamitrodava

The Author extends the law laid down for the Transidyas, to guilds etc.

Yajñavalkya Verse 192

The combination of people maintaining themselves by (the sale of) one kind of merchands are alled the Sreat, Nagamah, the citizens, Pahkandinoh net accepting the Vedis as subtortaine, Ganob the guild of persons pursuing one profession such as the mainteturer of arms etc. 1.e. the rule stated (in verse 185) above at "without defined to "one a one of themselves" as the verse of the word opt, talso , are included the large officers.

In connection with the subject, the Author states the duty of the king in regard to these. He should preserve their rules as established of eld. By the first the, 'and, are noticed the Brithmans spacken of before By the second cha is added that he should fix rules for those for whom no rules evins (192).

Thus in the commentary of Yajavatkya ends the Chapter

on the Transpression of a Compact

....

Spatpant

Siepoguh, such as the peture makers, ampas de tradeamen and various chair citizens. Pistapoich is apostate from ascetligum Gopel such as an association of Endomance Of these also bibs very same is a stated above is the rule. The king should preserve their peculiarities and conserve the rules as setablished not of 6d (192).

Thus ends the Chapter on the Transgression of a Compact

A According to the tradition. He Vedes are the dreet revelations of the Drum Word unds to the cert who may be transmitted what the tens. There are second of thinkers, who is not accept the origin of the Vedes let resistant that they are the compositions of revered eages. They accept it and drive of the Vedes, not as a Drum Word revealed all transmitted though the every, bold imply at a work of high authority cattled to represe and weight, so larger the composed by most of vestal ranges and accompleshment.

2 Varieties of Entition with Three do not a cept the authority of the Vedas at all 3 + r Vaph 11 186 4 See Ver e 30 above pp 746-747

5

CHAPTER XVI

On the Non-payment of Wages

Now begins the chapter called the non-payment of Wages, a title of Im Its characteristics have been stated by Narada1: "A series of "rules (will be) stated (next) for the payment and non payment of " names of labourers It is termed 'Non proment of Wages' a title "of law." The meaning of this (is this): The chapter of law wherein the rules of payment and non payment of wages of the labourers have been stated (112) in the stamms following, is known as the chanter on 10 the 'Non payment of Wages.'

There the Author mentions (a rule as to) a decision Yâiñavalkya, Verse 193

One who having received his wages, ahandons the work, must nay twice. If none is received, he shall be made to pay an equal amount. The 15 implements shall be preserved by the servants

Mitakshara -One, by whom the wages had been received, if he abandon ; e do not perform the work which he had undertaken, should pay twice the amount of wages to the owner. When, however, he abandons a task which he had agreed to do, when he had not received any no wages, then he should be made to pay an equal amount of as much as was fixed as wages, and not a double.

Or, (the passage may be interpreted thus) - he shall be forcibly compelled to perform his work, after payment to him of the wages promised; as directed by the following text of Narada, "One, who " does not perform a work after having agreed to do it, should be 25 " forcibly compelled to do it, after paying him his wages."

The rule as to wages also, hus been stated by the same Saget " Let " the master, for whom work is performed, pay wages to the servants "hared (by him) according to their agreement, at the beginning, at the " middle, or at the cod, as may have been settled between them "

30

¹ Ch VI 1

² Vijinnesvara proposes this interpretation of the text, as an alternative course suggested by the text of Narada

⁵ Oh VI 5 4 Ch Vi 2

10

The servants also must present e to the best of them about

The servants also must preserve to the best of their ability implements of husbandry, such as the hide, and the rope of the plough, and like others otherwise there would not be my ploughing etc

Viramitrodaya

"A series of rules are stated in connection with the prysiment and non-payment of vages of labourers. That is termed "Non-payment of vages —a title of law, thus strete in the text of Narada 'the Author treats of the title of law known as 'the Non-pay-"ment of wages.

Yâjñavalkya Verse 193

Grintanelanali, 'One by whom wages had been received, such a lubourcr having undertaken, karina fajam, as also a contractor, if the abridge in work, samen, 'an equal mount, i' e qual to the amount of a ages settled, such amount he 'should be compelled to pix delayal, by the king And under compalison he should be made to 15 perform the work after paying han the eages, as stated by Nareda's 'One who does not perform the work after having agreed to do it, 'should be forably compelled to perform after prying han his wages. This for him is be after having commenced, does not (continue to) perform

Where there is no commencement, however, the rule is stated by 90 Manut. "A labourer, who without being ill, out of arragance does must perform the work as agreed to, shall be fined eight Krehnales, and "no wages shall be paid to be

By the word 'abandons', the pennity has been stated, where the chandonment of the work is of his own making otherwise, however, 25 "file abandons on account of a duit of the owner, he should get for as "much as he has performed, the rule thus stated by Nārada should be observed.

The labourer also should preserve the amplements of the owner such as, the whap, the plough etc. The meaning is that if it is not properly allowed after and preserved the lost attrice should be restored to the owner. On whatever occasion may particular wage has been agreed upon between the owner and the labourer that Lind of wages on that occasion should be paid. This is clear and therefore, may be over-looked (193) 35.5

Sûlanûnî

Yáthavalkya, Versa 103

One who has accepted wages for the performance of a work, if I abandons that work shall pay double the wages. If the wages have n bean received he may be made to pay equal Bhrtyah, by the servant te Bhrighesh t (servants on wages The implements such as the ploug

bridle etc should be preserved (193)

20

The Author mentions a rule as to one who causes a work to performed without determining the wages

Yaniavalkva, Verse 194 10

He, however, should be made to pay by the rule of the land a ten part of the (proceeds of) trade, cattle, or crop, who without settling the wag

causes work to be done. Mitakibara .- That muster, however, 10, a grocer, un owner

15 cows, or an agriculturist, who even without clearly settling the wage causes work to be done by a lared servant, whatever (profits) may obtained from that work, see from the trade, cattle, or agricultur the tenth portion of that should be caused to be paid to the him servant, Mahikshita, by the roler of the the land, 1, e by the knixt.

Vîramitrodaya

When the labourer is working without any wages being determine what should be paid to the labourer? So the Anthor eave

Yajaavalkya, Verse 194 That master, however, who causes work to be performed such tride and the like without the wages being determined, such a of 25 should be made by the king to pay to the labourer a tenth part of the

profit of trade, cattle, or agriculture This, moreover, is with referen to a cultivator of the soil, vide the text of Brhaspati' viz "A third or "fifth shall a cultivator of the soil take as his share. One who is give 30 "food and clothing shall take a fifth of the plough. A third share the absence of food or clothing. (194)

S ûlapânî

Yajnavalkya, Verse 194 He tuorcover, who causes work to be done even without determini 35 the wages such a one should be made to pay by the king a tenth part the income from trade cattle, and harvest (194)

īñ

20

The Author mentions a rule for one who does something with out an order

Yûjnavalkya Verse 195

When one disregards the place and the time as also where one other used to the matter (shall prevail), but more shall be paid if more be made

Page 1946

Malakshara —A hured servant, however who does not sell a commodity at the proper time! or in a proper place and thus disregards these through insolence or the lake or one who at the same time or place brings in less profit than what would have been reaped by an extensive sale (of the merchandse) in the case of such a servant, the will of the master shall prevail in the matter of payment of wages is a simuch may be wish so much should be pay and not the entry wages

When, however more profits are made by reason of a special knowledge as to time and place then an amount exceeding that fixed before, should be paid by the master to the labourer

Vicamitrodaya

When the owner suffers a loss in the profit on account of the fault of the labourer the Author mentions the diminution in the wages

Yajnavalkya Verse 193

First labourer, however who transgresses the time and the place of the properties of time or cultivation and does not make the sale cold view of the property claim for such it can regard to the master a property claim for such it in regard to such a labourer andmand, of the matter in regard to the parament of wages chlounded will a coption. The measure is an author as the matter wishes, so much should be pay, and not the entitlety of the wages.

¹ The meaning is this though he see a proper time and place for the seamodity if the factor through mashence or the like one of an out-sell it or it he scrept hes profit the time facts that the time and place would cave him much trouble 11 til o master piv him what vages he pleases not the full I see Gole brooks Digest.

20

When, moreover, by tenson of his special knowledge of the place and the season the labourer makes a greater profit, then more wages shall be paid in addition to the tenth mentioned in the rule. By the first us of the world rha is included the special, particular etc. about the puchaser, and by the second use of the world rha the loss in the origin capital (1954).

Sülapáni

Yajnavatkya, Verse 193

He, however, who carries the lead, ele, beyond the limit of the region of their than the one which has been fixed, or does extra work beyond it fixed time, or performs more work than the indure of that fixed, in such cases it is at the option of the owner. In the case of more, however, not much necessarily be paid. For less, less and hence also the option [185].

The Anthor mentions a rule as to the payment of wages for 15 task accomplished by several workmen

Yûjînavalkya, Vorso 196 As much work a man does, so much will be bis wages; if it cannot be

accomplished by both, the wages should be paid for the work done according to the agreement.

Mitakshara:—When, moreover, even a single task for which the

wages have been fixed, (and the performance of) which was (under taken and) commenced, but which, on account of illness or any oth impediment was found to be impossible to be accomplished even by both—by the use of the word "even" (is implied) "even by many" if it is included in even by many of it. If it could be finished, then yo yaval karma karoli, as much acrib latourer does, think, as such it is proportionately to the work done be him, as determined upon by an arbitrator, should be paid to him the vatasam, trages, and not an equal amount. It should not be supposed that no payment may be made, on the ground that there was a generated for payment of wages for the several parts of the work.

If, however, the work be accomplished by both; i. e. be finished then as much as was agreed upon i.e. stipulated for, so much shoul be paid to both; and not the entire amount of mages to each, in should the payment be according to the work after determining it. Yandavalkya Ch. XVI. Vîr., Sûl & Mitâksharê - Work done by many men. 1235 Verses 188-187

Viramitredava

The Author states a rule in regard to work to be performed by several labourers

Yalijavalkya, Verse 106

When one (piece of) work is completed by being performed by 5 to or more contributing more or less labour, then by regard to the less or more labour of each the wages should be distributed among them, when it cannot be accomplished by two or more. The meaning of the word ahr is that when it is not accomplished on account of the fault of the second labourer. If the work is accomplished the 10 contract should be completed as agreed upon

The wages agreed upon to be paid for a particular performance are to be paid only on the completion of the work and the wages are not to be paid for only a part performed. The meaning of the substance is that the wages are not to be paid of stributively to each unividual labourer, but are to be paid collectively in a single lot to all together or by dividing it among them (198).

Sûlanâni

Yaldavatkya, Verse 106

He, who performs as much work his wages should be paid by regard to thok work, if that work cannot be accomplished by both If, however, it can be accomplished and has been finished wages should be paid as agreed upon (198)

The Author mentions a rule regarding a Soldier and a Chivier

25

Yâmavalkya, Verse 197

A Carrier shall be compelled to make good a vessel which has perished except it be by (the act of) God or the kang One who creates an obstruction at the time of starting shall be made to pay twice the (amount of) wages.

Mitakshara—A vessel regarding which no calamity has arisen owing to (the act of) God or the long, it such a vessel he destroyed by a currier through his folly then he should be made to pay for such a vessel according to the low (caused). Wards says' the same "If a vessel be duringed on account of the fault of the Carrier, he 'shell be compelled to make good wintover loss may have been caus

"ed, excepting such as may be due to an Act of God or the king"

1 Ch VI 9

He, moreover, who having before undertaken the service of carrying one who intended to start on an auspinous day for a marriage or other similar purpose, creates an unpediment at the time of starting by saying at that time that he would not do the work then he 5 shall be fined double the amount of the wages fixed since an obstruction was mised to a highly auspiacous undertaking

Šūlapžol Vaišavalkva Verse 197

With the crospilon of anything due to the (act of) king or God, if 10 through the fault of the carrier any goods or property of the trader such as saffice act persh that the carrier attract with its transport should be made to pay. One moreover, who creates an impediment at the time of starting out (on a journey) shall be made to pay twice the amount of the waters (197)

Yajnavalkya, Verse 198

(If he declines) after seiting out, he shall be fined a seventh part, a fourth
if (he desert) on the way, he shall forfest the entire amount of wages if (be
declines) in the midway so also one who causes a work to be abandoned

Milksbar2 — Moreover, prakraete, after setting out, s.e. after a go start had (ouce) been made, he who gives up a task undertaken by hmiself;—such a ouc—should be compelled to pay a seventh part of the wases acreed upon

It may be said indeed in this very connection by the text^{1 tr}one who "excites an obstruction at the time of starting &c."

an objection

the payment of double the amount of wages has

just before been stated, and now, a seventh part, thus there are con

tradiction. The suswer to this is, that for a man

The answer who ahandons a work at a time when another

servant may be procured, the seventh part (is the fine), while for one

30 who gives up at the auspiacous moment of starting itself, a fine of
double the amount of wages (is pre-cribed), thus there is no con
tradiction. He, moreover, who abandons palet on the stay, is a fitter of
start was made and the pointing had once hem commenced,—such a
one should be fined a fourth part of the wages. He moreover, who
35 deserts in the mulway shall be fined the entire amount of wages

1 Yain II 197 (above)

15

25

25

He however, tyapilah, who causes the nort to be elemidoned, is when the master compels a servent to stop work, when the latter was not groung it up such a one also (ie the master) shall be compelled to pay to the servent the seventh of other portions respectively regard being but to the place (where compulsion was caused) as stated before Pages 12.9.

This, rule moreover, regards one who has not had any disease or a like dismity, to the text of Mont "A bird servant who not being "ill, does no perform through insolence the work as agreed upon the little distribution of half be fined eight hard rules" and no wages shall be used to him

When moreover after the disappearance of the disease or the 10 lives cause he mikes good his underteking taking into calculation the lapsed days then indeed he jets his wages rade the text of Mane? If I owever one who was ill after recovery performs his work according to the original agreement he shall maked receive his wages even after (the lapse of) a very long time.

When however one fiter he is carred of the disease being perfectly at ease through idleness or like other cause does not do the work commenced even to a small extent one gets it finished by another to such a one now wages shall be pool. As says. Mand' But if he whether sick or well does not (perform or) cause to be performed (by others) his work according to his agreement, the Wages for that work shall not be given to him even if (it be only) slightly "mommbate."

Here ends the Chapter on the Non payment of Wages.

Viramitrodays

It has been stated above that 'the implements shall be preserved by the servants. There for not preserving or for a prejudicial performance the Author mentions the penalty.

Yafifavalkya Verses 197 108

Without the act of king or God where a vessel has penished owing to the ignorance of the carrier then the carrier must be compelled to pay

¹ Ch VIII 215

³ The Guuja berry s e of gold salver or copper according as the case may be (Methat tha) of gold (Kulluka)

³ Ch VIII. 216 4 Ch VIII 217

By the use of the word, "tu , the Author excludes what has perished by the act of king or God.

On day fixed for starting for a marriage or a like purpose the labourer who masses obstructions and when another Iabourer is not available, 5 shall be made to pry double the amount of wages by compulsion ee by beating and any other mode crusing criterine pun. By the use of the word "era are evaluated the performance of work at any other time. Then by the use of the word "that are included those who cause considerable delay in half the wiy. (197)

When a work has been commenced and another labourer is available one leaving the work should be made to pay a seventh part, on the way: a in midway in one 5 own country, one shandoning (shall pay) a fourth part, and one abandoning at half the journey, the whole of the wages should be made to pay. Ho also who by mischievous count is self-causes a workman to give up work such a one also should be compelled to pay double the amount of wages.

The words \$\(e_p \): and \$\(e_h \): a are for excluding any option. In the matter of a cumulative pennshment Manu! thus "If th, when he reco' 'vers, be should perform as ongunily agreed upon such a one will 20 "extrainly receive his wages even after a vers long time. The menning is that the days of interruption should be counted and the work should be completed within these days. If, however, when he is in perfect health, but does not perform work through idineass or the like, then he does not get the wages, side the text of Manus? "But then he does not get the wages, side the text of Manus? "But then he does not get the wages, side the text of Manus? "But then he does not get the wages for this work shall not be given to him although it is short." By a small portion. This is the substances.

Here ends the Chapter on New payment of Wages

Sulapâni

Yâjuavalkya Verse 198

At the time of commencing a neuropy he who eyen at the (starting) place gives up work the person abandoning shall be compelled to pay a seventh part of the wages. The rest is clear (198)

30

¹ Ch VIII 217

Ę

CHAPTER XVII

On Gambling and Betting on Animals

Now commences the Chapter of law called "Gambling and Bett ing on Animals" Its characteristics have been mentioned by Narada1 "Dishonest gumbling with dice, small shoes of leather, little stayes "of wors, or like others and also betting on birds form a title of 'law called Gunbling and Betting on Animals " 41 shall means dice Bradhous is a small piece of leather, S'aluka-small staves of avory Ac made long and squared By the use of the term Adyr, ' Or like other, are included other instruments of enjoyment such as the play having the four components of an army division, including the elephant, the horse, the chartot and the like Gambhag se play ing by means of these manimate things is preceded by a bet Simil arly that play by means of birds such as a cock a pigeou and the like-and by the use of the word 'and', cha, wrestlers, rams, buffalos eta -- which is imitiated by a bet, both of these give rise to a title of law called 'Gambling and Betting on Animals' That has been stated by Manu3 ' That which is arranged by (the use of) manimate "things is called among men Gambling (Dyfla), when however "the play is enjoyed by means of animate beings it should be known ' as Betting (Sam thi ayah)

The Author mentions the (scale of) remuneration of a keeper of the Gambling Hall

Vânîavalkya, Verse 199

In a bet, when the wager is a hundred (fold) the keeper of a gamble on ing house shall take five per cent from a gamester', and ten per cent from the others

Mitakshara --- The stake determined by mutual agreement of the gamblers is called a bet , glabah In such a bet one who has (stipulat ed to have) a hundred in reference to it a e an increase which is

¹ Cb XVI 1

² An army is generally described as having four parts are the desphant, the horse the charlot and the foot Chairmanga is a kind of chess in which these four parts are represented

^{4 .} a the Keeper of a gambling house 3 Ch VIII 223

1240 Mitakshara & Sulapant—Kerper of the house Frajsavalkya Ch. XVI

of a higher proportion than a hundred fold is an increase by hundred fold. From such a genester, Sabhikah, the keeper of the hall, may take five per cent for his own maintenance. A hundred in which five purious is the increase is a "five per cent", pañehakan salam. The affix of Kan (in Editakan) is used under the rule! "These affixes (men "timed in Punin V I upto rule i?") have also the sense of "an "interest, or rent, or a profit, or a tax, or a bribe given thereby or in "that." The meaning is that he should take a twentieth part (of the gains) of the winner of the bet

He to whom belongs the bone for the residence of gamesters, is a Sabhitah kepter of the gambing house. One who makes provision for all the instruments of gembing such as dive set; and munitaris times! with the amount received the efrom is called a Sabhāpati. From any other, moreover, i e from a gamester who has not laid a wager upto a hundred (fold), dasakan salam, in per cast, be should

take a tenth part of the amount won This is the import

Sülapant

Yajñavalkya Verse 199

At the time of the gambling ste, where a small successent is recovered from the gambler from that per hundred from the elever gambler the officer of the gambling bouse should take five per cent. Where it has not been taken then ton per cent from the profit (19)

What should be done by a keeper who has thus been provided for ? So the Author says

Yajñavalkya Verse 200

25

He being well protected, shall pay a portion to the king as fixed. He shall recover the amount of the wager, and pay the winner, and being ever patient shall give a true decision.

Mitakharā — The Officer of the gumbing house, for whom provide man has thus been made sah, he, being motested from rogues and gamesters by the king—abothl pay to the king a portion vs sugreed upon Similally he should recover the amount of the wages, rethould recover it from the lossing party, by accepting a pledge or by

1 From Y & G. Griffrensway

arrest etc And Inving recovered that amount, he the keeper should pay it to the winner : e the successful party. Also, being (ever)

pay it to the winner a e the successful party. Also, being (ever) patent, he should always declare a true decision to the gamblers fruct has be in stated b, Narada'. "The master of the gaming "house shall arrange the game, and pay the stakes won therein."

Sutapâni

Yajiiavalkya, Verse 200

The keeper of the house when properly protected by the king shall pay to the king a portion as arranged being always patient he shall take from the gambler the amount with And to the winner also he should it may be about the concentration of the control of the training of the truth. Because it states a special rule 'One defeated in a search game, or sprontife (if he rules) or (defeeded) by 'the sus of) false dues or decent though acquainted with the game shall be be compelled to gave the whole of # (200)

When, however, the keeper is not able to make (the party) pay, the king should cause it to be paid. So the Author says

Yaıffavalkya, Verse 201

That which has been won publicly to an assembly of gamesters to a gaming house having a keeper where the (fixed) position has been received by the king be shall compel the amount to be pard, but not otherwise

Matkshar? — Pravidite, publicly, and not in secret, in an assembly of the officer of graining absorption and in the presence of the officer of graining appointed by the king, and when the large portion had been paid by the keepes, the large shall compal a dishonest go grainester to pay the amount of the bet wan regarding whole the sis no difference of opinion. Anythis advances, a between macret, without a keepe and where the larges portion has not been puid, he shall not cause to be paid to winners.

Sulaponi

Yajnavafkya Verse 201

Where the king has received his own portion he shall compal the smount to be paid which has been won publicly in the house of gam sters in the presence of the game keeper and not otherwise [201]

1 Ch XVI 2 2 Ch XXVI 7

The Author mentions the means for a decision when there is a dispute as to success or defeat

Yaıñayalkya, Verse 202 (1)

The Judges of the disputes (about gambling) as also the witnesses shall be (the gamesters) themselves

Mińkshara — Drashirah, the judges, of gambling should be ta era themselves, i.e. the granesters, i.e. should be appointed by the long. There the rules that 'they should be a cocomplished by learning and study' &c does not hold. Saksharascha, the utilitieses also, in. a bet 10 should be selected from the gambless themselves. The probinitive rule' given in "A women, a minor, an old man, a rogne &c." does not apply here.

The Author mentions a penalty by may of probibiting gambling in certain cases

15 Yâjüavalkya, Verses 202 (2)

Persons gambling with false dice or other instruments shall be branded and banished by the king.

Mitakshara —Those who play with false dice or other instruments

similarly prepared, for causing a deception e.g. by charmed powels, 20 charms or medicinal preparations and the like, these the lange shall brand with a dog's or other mort, and banels from the langedom. A special rule has been mentioned by Narada' as to bamelment. 'The lang shall "banch from the 'langdom wicked men who play with false dice, "after a wreath of dice has been hung round their meds, for, that is 25" the punishment ordained for them. 'Moreover, those texts of 'Mana' which prolinting publishing, e.g. "A those works published in "self or bets or causes it (by others) all those the lang shall pumph "corporally, as also those Si daw who issuane the distinctive marks of the twice-born" and others even these should be understood to apply to gambling with false dice, as also to gambling where there is no detene nor the gambling offerer of the State.

¹ See Yajn II 2p 3 above 2 te m Yajn II 70 p 851 above 3 Ch XVI 6

⁴ Dr Jolly's Edition gives a different rending of fractionerist ishall drive out of the gaming bosss " 5 Ch IX 294

30

Sûlapîni Yâlijayalkya Verse 202

The rudges of disputes regarding gambling as also the witnesses shall be (the gamesters) themselves. Persons gambling with false dies or frunddient gamblers should be branded by the king and banished. As says Nêrada! 'The king shall banish from the kingdom wricked men "who play with false dies, after a weath of diese hung round their necks." For that is the pumblement ordansed for them (202).

Yaniavalkva, Verse 203 (1)

Gambling should be ordained under the supervision of a single officer, 10 with a view to (vie it as a means of) the detection of thieres

Makkhari — Vorcover, the gambling as discussed above should be contained under a single supervision: e our where the monthingues or the chart is one. The meaning is the trie should be organised by the king under the supervision of an officer of the State. Taskarapina kharaki trah a tree to the detection of theses. Unving kept in view the object of detecting theree, as guidbers generally, kind from those who amass wealth by their, and so it should be placed under the supervision of one (officer) with a view to the detection of theree

PAGE 127*

The Author mentions a rule with a view to extend the laws of gambling to betting on animals

Yamavalkya, Verse 203 (2)

This very law should be understood to apply in the case of belling by means of animate beings

Mikkharā —The I'w as to gymbhing as has been laid down hove! In het when the wager as humberd (fold) &c' that very law should be understood prandyste in the case of betting anth animate beings, i.e. that which is trade by means of wrestlers rame, belfalos and the like and which is called a Samathagoth

Here ends the Chapter called Gambing and Betting on Animals

Viramitrodaya

Now the Chapter of the law called 'Gambling and Betting on "Animals,' and as stated in the text of Manu'viz "That which is 'arranged by (the use of) innumate things to called in this world 'gambling, dyata, that, however, which is arranged by means of animate 'beings should be known as betting on animals, semidiangual, and divided "into two parts, the author begus

1 Oh XVI 6 2 Ysjn H 190 2 Ch VIII 223

Yalnavalkya, Verses 100-203

The stake determined by the mutual agreement of the camblers is called a "bet , clobch, in such a bet, in a hundred i e by the measure of a hundred and mereased, the keeper of the hall, Subhikah, 5 ; e the officer presiding the gambling may take five per hundred i. c. for a hundred of gold etc five of gold etc, from the clever and successful gambler who mal es the bet. From any other, when the profit is less than a hundred, he should take ten per cent, that is the meaning By the use of the word to is excluded the recovery of five per cent from one who is defeated

10 The keeper of the gambling house who has been protected by the king by the arrangement for his subsistence and by the warding off of attacks from others should pay to the king as fixed i. e. in the order as fixed, a portion from the amount of his acquisitions (199)

He should give the won bets to the winner Afterwards at the 15 place of the defeat he should hamself recover a c take, after recovering it Prothtelling and patience, one who has these two. The meaning is (that) he should give a correct decision (200) If, however, the keeper of the house is not able to recover, then it should be compelled by the king to be paid so the Author says 'profite

etc. 'recovered etc where it lins been obtained by the king publicly i e not covertly in an assembly of the gumblers i e in the company of the betters. In a place with r keeper attached to the house the king should compel it to be paid to the keeper Or it should be construed thus sthane" at the place i e at the gambling place, the king 25 should compel the keeper of the house to be paid Otherwise, i e where the king has not received a share, or in a sceret assembly of the gamblers, or at a place not presided over by a keeper of the house, what has been won, the Ling need not compel to be paid (201)

In transactions relating to gambling, the judges i c the persons 30 entitled to give decisions, as well as the witnesses shall be [the gamesters) By the use of the word eve are excluded the absence of a fault indicated in the text " women, mmors, aged persons, gamblers Those who gamble with false dice etc i e involving deceit or with fraudulent motives a e with an intention to deceive, as also by 35 means of gems, charms, or medicaments, such persons should be brand-ed by the king and exhibed So says Nârada* 'The king shall banish from the kingdom wicked men who play with false dice, after a wreath "of dice has been hung around their necks, for, that is the punishment "ordained for them Thus in the text of Manu? "Whoever himself àt) engages or causes others to engage, in gambing or betting on animals,

15

30

40

"assume the distinctive marks of the twoc-born, and of others are to be regarded as having a reference to those gamblers only who use false due (202).

Where there is only one officer of the king viz the keeper of the (gambling) house as the mouth piece i.e. the principal officer, such gambling should be arranged with the object of finding out the thieves

The law as to sambling stated in the 'ext' '' in a bet when the ''mager is of hundred etc, the Author extends to betting on animals—
Echa etc, 'this same etc' (B) means of) living beings such as wrestlers, rims etc, in a gambling which is to be criticed on, known as 1 the samikayah i. e. betting on animals, the very law as his been stated above, is to be understood. This is the meaning the same stated above, is to be understood. This is the meaning the same stated above, is to be understood.

Says Brhaspatt 'When birds, rams, deer or other (animals) "are employed to fight against one another, after a wager has been "Ind, it is called betting on animals."

"When any one is defeated in a prize fight between two animals, "the wager which has been into there, shall be paid by the owner (of "the defeated animal). A wager shall be made in public, false gamblers "shall be punised." Nired at "if it man arranges a gambling which "has not been surfaced by the king such a one shall not get the stake, 20 "and moreover shall incur a parently. That which has been joinnely "made or which has not been reported to the king there also be shall "hot get mis stake, and he will also merir a penalty."

Vishna* "Those who use false dice in gaming shall have their "hands lopped off These who resort to fraudolent practices in gaming 25 "(chall loss) the fore finger and the thumb (199-203) Thus in the commentary on Yajiawaikya ends

the Chapter on Garubling and Betiling on Animals

Sülapäni Yajüayalkya Verse 203

This gambling should be caused to be presided over by a principal officer with a view to get information about thiere. This same procedure should be understood to be in the case of betting with arimals called Sandhaegab. When gambling is carried on by means of animals such as the ram, the cock et with a stake on that is known as gambling with 3 animals. Although gambling has been forbidden by Manu'i in the text Whosever ether gambles hunself or their or causes, if toy others), call those

the king shall punish corporally as also those Stdras who assume the distinctive marks of the twice born, still if a beginning is made anyhow this rule of the law has been stated

Thus ends the Chapter on Gambling and Betting on Animals.

¹ Yam II 199 2 Oh XXVI 3-5 3 Ch XVII 7 4 Oh V 154-35, 5 Ch 1X 224

CHAPTER XVIII

Now the Author introduces the law of Abuse Its definition has been stated by Narada1 . Almsive language, conched in offcusive and " violent terms regarding the native country, caste, family, and so "forth (of a man), are termed 'Abuse' ' Offensive and violent lang nace about a (man's) country &c. violent talk is an 1/10-7, Nuanga is a disrespectful talk. Language which savours of both these, and which is also abusive and thus causes dismay, is called ' Muse' 10 There, c o 'the patryes of Gonda are fond of quarelling', is (an instance of) an abase regarding a country, 'The Vigras are an extremely covetous people', is (an instance of) an abuse reparding a cists, 'the members of the Visidanira family are cruel in their acts' is (an instance of) an abuse recording a family. By the use of the term 15 Adi, 'and so forth', is also included violent language regarding learned men or mon versed in crafts and the like used by means of abusing learning craft &c.

Of that, a three fold division has been laid down by Narada in Nighthura &c with a view to (be of help in the) discrimination as to 20 the punishments. The characteristics of those divisions also have been stated by the same Author? 'Tirst, again, is divided into three spenies "according as it is No hithura (cruel), Adda (indecent), or 7 ara (sharp) "The numshment for each mereases in severity respectively accord " mg to the nature of the insult offered (2) Abuse combined with repreaches should be regarded as Nielihua (cruel), abuse conched 25 "in insulting language is Adda (indecent), the learned call an ' abuse Fara (sharp) by which a man is charged with an offence causing expulsion from caste (3) "Is upon you fool you are "a rascal', is (an instance of) an abuse combined with reprovches 30 Here insulting means ungentlemently language, consurable—e q (imputing) intercourse with a sister etc -language savouring of such words is called an indecent abuse (Asidam) And violent language imputing an offence of a hemons nature e g " Thou art a drunkard', is an instance of an abuse called There (sharp)

PAGE 128*

The Author states the penalty for an Ailla (indecent) abuse

Vájija velkva. Verse 205

The king shall compel a man to pay a line of Twenty-live panas who abuses another saving "I shall have intercourse with your mother or sister."

Milakshara:-One abusing thus: "I shall bave intercourse with your "sister or mother", the king shall compel to pay a fine of twenty-five panas i. e. which consists of a twenty plus five panas.

Sülanânl

Yalaavatkva, Verse 205

Panelmendalikam, 'Twenty-live, s. c. which consists, of twenty-five comes. The rest is plate. In some places the reading is 'or similarly a mother' (205).

Having thus stated the rules for men of equal merits and Varna, the Anthor proceeds with a view to declare the law regarding men of varying merits 15

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 206 (1)

(For the abase of a man) of an inferior (status) (the fine is) half: and for (the abuse) of others' wives or superiors, (the line is) two folds.

Mitakshara:-Adbameshu, (In the case of an abuse) of men of inferior (status), i.e. relatively to the person abusing by regard to the 20 inferiority in (the mode of) living and such other qualities, the fine is half. In the present context, the full fine being twenty five panas as stated in the previous clause, a fine equal to the half of that ic. twelve and a half should be understood. As for an abuse regarding 'others' wives,' a uniform fine of double i. e. twice twenty-five i e. fifty panas 25 should be understood. Similarly, for an abuse of 'Superiors' i.e. of those who are relatively superior, in learning and conduct, to the person (sbusing), the fine shall also be fifty panes.

Sûlapâni

Yajñavaikya, Verse 200

250

٩n

25

Of equal norms by regard to the person indulging in the abuse, and among these of low qualities then the accused, helf of twenty five should be taken as the fine. In regard to an abuse of others' wives and of the precepture or the like, double of that i.e. fifty in quantity

Variah, 'classes', such as the Brähmanas and the like Jans, 'castes,' such as the Marahahlughaha etc. In regard to these also for an abuse by the higher of an interior or by the lower of the superior, the administration

of punishment should be made. The rest is clear (206)

Having thus stated the penaltics in the case of all the Variats the Author mentions a panelty for an abuse among the Variats again but by reference to the Pratilemal and Analonia order

Yajnavalkya Verse 207

In the case of the abuse of one of a supernor class, the fine is two-fold and three told (respectively), and of one of a lower class, it is to be reduced in the ratio of a half (respectively)

Mithkhar's—Apawédih, obuse, se valification. Abuses by persons belonging to an inferior class are priddomyspawédih alusses of our of a superior class, teshu, in these cases, e g for a Kehariya or a Vasiga abusing a Bidhaman, the finess should be understood respectively to be double of that mentioned in the foregoing text laying down a double is of fifty pains, so that double is a landered, and a treble

: e. a hundred and infty panas (shall be understood)

In the care of an abuse of a Brålmana by a S'ådra, there is ether corporal panishment or cutting off of the tongue, as says Mam? A Ksåntrya having definied a Brahanna shall be fined one bundred "(Panas) A Var ya, one bundred and fifty or two bondred, while a

"(Panas), a Var va, one hundred and litty or two hundred, whn
"S'udra shall make himself amenable for corporal punishment"

Page 129*

In the cases also of a Varya and a Súdra who are lower down a Achatriya by one or more than one class (respectively), by a parity of reasoning, the penalty for abusing a Kahatriya should be understood to be a hundred and a hundred and hity (panas) For a Sudra abusing a langua, a handred (panas, shall be the fine)

^{&#}x27;in descending and attending order ' Eco Yajn Achara Ch IV

In the cases of abuse of the lower classes s.g. in an abuse of a Kāhatriya, a Vaišya or a S'adra by a Brākmana, of that, tasnāt, i. e. of the penalty for a Kāhatriya abusing a Brākmana eist of a hundred (pagas), a reduction of a balf abould be made in the case of each class respectively, and the remainder i.e. fifty, twentyfive, and twelve and a balf (pagas), should be the fine, respectively, for a Brākmana. That has been stated by Basel "A Brākmana shall be "lined fifty (pagas) for defaming a Kihatriya; in (the case of the "abuse of) o Vaišya, the fine aball be twenty-five (pagas); in (the "case of) a S'adra twelve." In the case of an abuse by a Kihatriya of a Vaišya or a S'adra twelve." In the case of an abuse by a Kihatriya of a Vaišya or a S'adra the fines respectively shall be fitty, and twenty-five (pagas). Thus the law should be understood, vide the text.

Sülapâni

Yūjiāvalkye, Verse 2007
The meaning is that for an abuse of the Brahmana by a Ksbutrlya, a hundred, and by a Valsya of a hundred tagether with a half. Obviously by making it fourfold two hundred is that for a 55dra.

Mean's states a sensity for an abuse of an fairefror order i'A Brahmana

"similar as in the case of a Brithmann and a Kybairiya (respectively)," and also under the text of Mann: "A Vallyn and a Sudra must be punished "exactly in the same manner according to their respective mates."

shall be fined fifty pages for defaming a Kshatriya, and a half of fifty for (defaming) a Vaisya, and for (defaming) a Sadra twelve is the penalty, (207).

The Author mentions again a rule regarding a Nishthura abuse Yajinavalkya, Verse 203

For an above threatening injury to the arm, neck, eye, or thigh, the line shall be a hundred; and a half of it in { the case of } the foot, nose, ear, the hand, or the like.

Milkshra:—For an injury to the arm so, each by a threatening abuse i.e. for threatening by words e.g. in the form "I shall lop off "you arm soc." Straph, a knadzel, i. e. a fine limited by a hundred shall be understood. In the case of a verbal threat of an injury to the foot, nose, ear, hand, or the like,—by the use of the word Add to the hire, are also included by the hips and the like—had ablight had jo that, i. e. half of that i. e. a fifty pages, should be inderstood.

Sülapâni

Yajnavaikya, Verse 208

After a threat "I shall lop off your arm," followed by an lujury, the penalty is of the extent of one hundred. In regard to the foot etc ; 5 its half .. e fifty (208).

Yainavalkya, Verse 209

When, however, a feeble person speaks like that, he shall be fined len panas, similarly, one able should be asked to furnish security for the safety of him

Mitakshara: -- Moreover, when he who is reduced in strength on 10 account of fever or a like cause, threatens another with the words "I shall lop off your arms and other limbs", or the like, he shall be fined ten panas.

When, bowever, one has capacity and threatens, as before, another person who has been reduced in strength, he should be compelled to furnish security for the safety of the weak person for the period after the (infliction of the) fine of a hundred &c, mentioned before

15

25

Śûtapânt Vålnavelkva, Verse 200

One, however, who is unable to cause the injury, and speaks in this 90 manner, shall be fined ten panas. One, however, who is capable and speaks in this way, after being punished with the aforestated one hundred, shall be made to give security for the protection of the person threatened (209)

> The Author mentions a penalty in the case of a Tirra abuse Yajnavalkya, Verse 210

In the case of an abuse involving degradation from caste the fine is that for a middle Sahasa. For (an abuse) imputing a secondary sin, however, he shall be compelled to pay the fine for the lowest Salinga. Mitakshara -In the case of men belonging to the Varnas, an abuse

of them involving their degradation, eg. the offence of Brahmicide &c. 30 the fine shall be as that for a middle Sahasa. For an abuse, however, imputing the commission of a secondary sin, e.g 'Thou art a cow killer' or the like, he shall be fined as for the lowest Sahasa

Śūlaciat

Yajaavalkya, Verse 210

35 l'or an accusetion of a Brahmana in the form "you are a Brahmicide" and the like by a Kahatriya, the punishment to be administered is the middle smercement. And for an accusation for killing a cow etc. he should be made to pay the first amercement

90

30

Yajnavalkya, Verse 211

For an abuse of a Brohmann learned in the three Vedas, the king, or the gods, the fine shall be (as for) the highest Sāhara; the middling, (for an abuse) of the castes or the Physics; and the lowest (for an abuse) of the village or the country.

Mitäksharā:—Moreover, Brāhmanas learned in the three Vedas i.e. accomplished by learning the Vedas. For an abuse of these, of the kings, and of the gods, the fine shall be (as for)

PAGE 180: the highest Sabasa. Moreover, for an abuse of

the Prigas i.e. associations of the castra such as the Prilipmana, the Mirdhilvasikia and others, the fine shall be (as for) a middling Shasa. In the case of an abuse of a village or the country.

the fine shall be understood to be (as for) the lowest Sahasa each.

Here ends the Title of law called 'Abuse.'

Vîramltredaya

Now the chapter of law called "Abuse" defined by Narada':
"Abusive language, caused in offensive and violent terms, regarding
"the native country, caste, family etc., (of a person) is termed Abuse."
The Author discusses that
Välinavalkya, Verses 204-214.

There, however, an abuse is of three soits. So says Drhaspath:

"There, bowever, an abuse is of three soits. So says Drhaspath:
"family or the like which is not a fact that, is known as the abuse of the
"first degree. Referring (in terms of contempt) to a man's sister or
"mother, or charging with a minor sin, is termedabuse of amolding sort
"by those learned in the law. Charging a man with taking furbilden food
"or drinks, or taxing inm with a becinous suns termedabuse of the lugbest
"deterne, as also malifectually exposing his weaked points."

Drayam 'lact' '.c. the thing without it, in chort false; thus a false assertion as to a sid or a defect in a limb or interiority of family, an assertion of this nature is lowest; in false assertion about an inferior sin is a middling abuse, and a false assertion as to a homous sin is the highest kind of abuse. This is the meaning.

There, in regard to the first, the putshment is stated. A true assertion e.g., 'you are blind;' an untrue assertion of a similar character in the case of one act blind; 'ronical statement i.e. au sparent praise resulting in a consulte, as for example, in the case of a

bilind person etc. the statement, 'you are lotus-eyed', or the like. Ifby these statements one reviles another in an ironical manner in regard
to a defect in a limb or an organ, or being affected by a disease or the
like, then he shall be punished with thirteen and a half i. a a half and
twelve 'banas. This moreover is (a rule) in regard to those of the
same caste. That has been declared by Brhaspatt': "When two
"persons abuse each other, their punishment if they are equal in caste
"and merits has been approved in the Sastra to be thirteen and half
"panas; in the case of equals the penalty is equal; of one who is
10 "inferior, the same is double, and for a superior, half has been ordained
"for a mutual abuse."

As regards abuse concerning a mother etc. Manu' states a special rule: "He who defames the mother, the father, the wife, the bother, "the son or the teacher, and also he who does not give way to the 15 "preceptor shall be compelled to pay one-bundred payas." This accarding to Mitikshara' is applicable in the case of a mother etc. when they are guilty, and in the case of a wife when she is innocent (2014).

11 shall have sexual intercourse with your sister or mother and have been having the enjoyment, one abusing thus and assusing plan to one equal in easte, the king shall compel to pay the penalty of twenty-five panas. The use of the word of, 'or', is made indifferently; thereby 11 shall have intercourse with your daughter or wife even' end the like (form of abuse) also is included. The word ha is used simply for filling up the line (205).

The penalty of half by thirteen panas or twenty-five panas which is mentioned above in the case of equals shall be half in the case of inferiors when abused; in the case of others' wives or superiors, it shall be double of that stated for counts.

The Author mentions regarding mutual abuse in the case of persons who are relatively higher and inferior in caste, merit, and class also. Danie 'punishment' etc, wareh, 'classes', such as the Brahmana etc; fáits, 'castes', such as the 'Mérdhàwankia and others, utlardahardh, 'superior and inferior', i. e. higher and lewer. In the case of mutual abuse between these the determination of the punishment should be specially made; as for example for a Brahmana for

reading is bruning similar etc.

^{1.} See note above. 2. Oh. XX. 5. 3. Ch. VIII. 276.
4. The print of the Viramiteodaya is thue ' आवशिनियसाधने अवसारा सामसावने त्रादिन वितासता : apparently a alip as-is confirmed by the Militakshara where the

abusing a Murdhavasikta who is inferior to a Brahmana and is superior to a Kshalriya shall pay half as much more the penalty as is laid down for the abuse of a Kshairiva, and less by a quarter of the penalty for the abuse of a Brahmana (206).

"Half in the case of inferiors" as less been stated above: as if mentioning this as an illustration, the Author mentions a special rule in the case of persons still lower and much higher Ardidomyet etc. In the case of an abuse of one of a superior class by one of those who are lower than the lowest, the nunishments are respectively four-fold etc. In the case of an abuse of an inferior class by one of the highest class respectively of the lower ones, the punishments shall respectively be half, half of the half, and in the descending order. Thus such punishment as is prescribed in the case of an abuse of a Brihmana by a Brihmana. quadruple of that shall be for a Sindra, triple of it for a Variva, and double of it for a Kahatriya shall be the punishment for the abuse of 15 the Brahmana. The punishment which is prescribed for the shuse of a Brehmana by a Brihmana, the half of that shall be for the abuse of a Kehatriya, quarter of it for the abuse of a Varing, and the eight part for abusing a Sadra shall be the punishment for a Brahmana. Thus should be understood elsewhere (207). 20

For an abusive threat 'I shall cut off your arm', or of a like nature, and threatening the destruction of an eye etc., the penalty shall be hundred panas, and for an abusive threat of injury to the foot etc., half of a hundred shall be the punishment in the case of persons equal in caste. By the use of the word ddr, 'et cetera', is included bips and 25 other minor organs (208).

This, moreover, to cut off the arms etc., is in regard to one who is able; one, however, who is weak and indulges in such abuse shall be punished ten panas. In the case of one who is very strong and indulges in the threat of an injury should be compelled to give 30 security for the protection of the whole and for the avoidence of the arm-cutting etc. By the use of the word tu is excluded one who is mightier still. By the use of the word lathd, t. c., 'also', is included the statement that in the absence of a security he should be kent under restraint by the king. Here also the more or less is to be determined by regard to the distinction of the best and the lowest (209).

The Author new mentions with regard to abusive threats of the first, middlemost and the lowest class. For an accusation involving

25

degradation such the offence of Brahmicide or the like, for such a charge the penalty is as for the middle sahasa. For an abuse, however, imputing the commission of a secondary sin, the penalty as for the lowest sahasa should be imposed. That for the middle sahasa has been noted before. This, moreover, is with reference to persons of the same quality and the like. By regard to the distinction of the higher or lower class, more or less should be inferred (210).

For an abuse of the Brahmanas learned in the three Vedas i. c. accomplished scholars in the three Vedas, as also for the defamation of the 10 king and of the gods also. Thus, by the use of the word dat, etc. '. "s included the cutting of the trees etc. For an abusive language against a Brillmong! that for the highest afhasa. For an abase of the casto, the physis etc. i.e. the associations of the Mardhavaschta and the like, as that for the middle sahasa, and for an abuse of the village or the country, 15 the penalty for the first sahasa shall be imposed. For an abuse of Kehatriyas and the like, a double etc. should be understood. In regard to an abuse involving degradation Manus says: " For a mutual abuse, "however, by a Brahmana or a Kshatriya the punishment should be "administered as ordained. On the Brithmana the lowest, and on the "Kahatriva the middlemost amercement."

In connection with the Sudras Brhaspatis says; "For reviling " the vipras he is liable to have his tongue cut off."

So also "For one pronouncing their names and castes with " malicious intent, a red-hot iron spike of ten fingers in length should "be ordered in his mouth." This, however, appears to be in regard to excessive abuse actuated by habitual malice.

Here ends the Chapter called Abuse

Sütazünt

Yalnavalkya, Verse 211

a. an For those who indulge in an abuse of the learned in the three Vedas and the like, the highest amercement is the penalty; of the jatis such as the Murdbabbishikta &c., of the association of tradesmen and the like, the middle emercement, and of the village or the country, the first amercement, shall be the punishment. 35

Thus ends the Chapter on verbal Abuse.

^{2 11 1.2} Ch. VIII. 277. 2. 'Not found in the published extracts of Brhaspath,'

٠ , , ,

7.1

CHAPTER XIX.

Assanit

Now begins a cimpler called Assault. Its characteristics have been stated by Nárada': "Injuring the limbs of another with a band, "foot, weapon or otherwise, or defining bim with ashes or the like, is 'termed Assault'. That injury is a striking and cassing pain to another's limbs' a. (also) to movable and immovable property, by means of the hand, foot, weapon or otherwise—by the use of the word 'Ad i. a. (also) by means of stones &c.—; similarly that defiltement by means of stones—by the use of the word 'Ad' for the like', by means of dust, mud, fooce also—which causes mental 'pain do account of the (wile) touch; both these are known as 'Assault.'

That by means which an injury is caused is a danda, i. e. the hody; a parushya i. e. inimical hebaviour by means of it towards movable property or the like, is called Danda-parushya (Assault).

Having, moreover, stated its threefold division, distinguished by the raising of the hand &o.n. second threefold division again has been stated by 'the same Safe by regard to the threefold acts regarding articles of small, middleg, or superior value: "There are, three "species of that also as it may be either small, middling, or extreme, "according as it consists in the raising (of a band or weapon) or in "an unexpected attack or in striking a wound (5.) Stenling articles "of small, middling, or superior value, is called the three Sthaman, "there, the thorny weeds should be extirpated." 'An unexpected ettack' to striking on who has not the least warning (about it) Colly three Sthamas, a three kinds of Sthamas. . e. during deeds of Assaults.

Moreover, when abuse or assant has once commenced, wheever of the two contending parties, forbears, for such a one, not only that there is no punishment, but he is indeed to be respected. Similarly' 30 for one who first began the quarrel, there shall be a higher punishment, also when after the quarrel has commenced, he who follows up his atteck is liable to be punished.

And when it is not possible to discriminate between the guilt of the two, the fine shall be equal. Moreover, in the case of an insult offered to the Aryas by the S'uapachas and the like, the Good' people them selves have authority to levy a fine; if they are unable, the king 5 should indeed chastise them, and not (merely) receive a fine. Thus,

the five rules of procedure have been mentioned by the same Sage ! "For both kinds of these, a five-fold rule of procedure has been , Flaid down: When, after an altercation has once commenced, and " both have been excited to a high pitch, he who forbears is respected; "and he who pushes on (the quarrel) deserves punishment. He who 10 "is the first to offer an insult is decidedly a criminal; he who returns "the insult is likewise culnable; but for him who began the quarrel, "the punishment shall be heavier (9). When both parties are im-"plicated equally, he of the two shall receive punishment who follows "up his attack, whether he was (originally) the aggressor or the "defendant (10). When two parties have been guilty of insult, " and both have commenced to quarrel at the same time, they shall "suffer the same punishment, in case that no difference (in their res' " pective culpability) becomes apparent (8), If a S'reantika, Shandha, "a Chandala, cripple, one who maintains by killing (beings), an 20 "elephant driver, a Vrdtya, a slave, transgress their limit and offer " an insult to the teacher, preceptor, or the king, they shall instantly "be punished corporally (11 and 12). Any one of the better class "whom these persons offer an insult, may himself administer the "nunishment. The king has nothing to do with their punishment "(13). For these people are the refuse of human society, and their "property (likewise) savours of impurity. Therefore the king shall "inflict corporal punishment upon them, and he must not (merely) " punish them with a fine (14)"

1. The good men themselves shall punish them. But if they are unable, then the king shall chaetise them and not merely remit with a fine. Asahana.

^{2.} Ch. XV. 7-14.

^{3.} One deprived of the caste for the non-performance of the coremony of initiation. These are again admitted into the caste by the performance of a rile known as the Vratua-stoma. It is also the descriptive name used in reference to the caste which originated from a Sugra father and a Kithatrina mother.

^{4.} Dr. Jolly reads thus: पुरासकारिकेश च (11) मर्गदानिकने &c. (12) "or one who treats a Guru or spiritual tracher with diaregard" "should offend a superior &c" (12)

Ydynavalkyo Ch XIX Mitâksharâ & Vîta.-Mode of determining the guilty 1259 Vere 21°

PAGE 131 *

Preunsing the necessity of establishing an assault of the character thus' described the Author mentions the means of dealing out punish ment when there is a doubt about it

Yanavalkya, Verse 212

In the case of an assault to which there were no witnesses the point at issue should be determined by means of marks, by probabilities, by popular report and the like, lest the mark should be counterfeited.

Mitakhata — When, any one complains to the king thus, "I was "beaten by such a one in secret," then chahath, by means of mark, is a signs indicating colour &c., on the body, yakiyâ, by probabilities, by regred by the tection of cause and effect, seamers, popular report, is a from the tilk among the people— by the use of the word ola, 'and' the like,' by an order! also—the determination should be mule bearing in mind the possibility of a counterfeit mark.

Viramitrodaya

15

Now the Author begus the chapter on Assault, its characteristics have been mentioned by Nārada! "Injuring the limbs of another "with a hand, foot, weepon or otherwise or defiling him with ashes or "the like is termed assault. By the use of the word dd, and the like, is included the cutting of the trees etc."

There first, on a doubt arising whether one has committed the assault or not, the Author mentions the means of determining the same Vallagraft vs. Verse 212

For an attack for which there are no witnesses t. e. (one) made in secret, and when the assult was made with the feet and weapons, and a demait is set up, such a dispite should be deaded i. e. determined, by means of marks such as sears etc. on the body, by probabilities taking into consideration the metive etc., by knowledge t. by popular report by the use of the word cha, and, by orders! Know etc. i.e., by others than by counterfeit marks. By the use of the word in, 'however', a the Author excludes the imposition of punishment etc. for more verbal accusation, such as 'I was beaten by ham' (212)

¹ There is a mistake in the pract of the text at p 13111 for 27 years &c read 27 Miles to the 2 Ch XV, 4

Sûlapâşî Yâlñayatkya, Verse 212

1260

Having assaulted another at a place devoid of witnesses and emasting one's body with blood, when one assards "I have been beaten by him,' there, for fact of counterfelt marks the decision is to be given by regard to unadulterated marks and also by the evidence of truthful people. Epinapseti's takes the characteristics of an assault: "dirry by meason" of a staff, e stone, oclub oraches, mud or duel, or attacking with wespons, "it strends essault" (212)

10 Having thus determined the particular means, the Author mentions a special punishment

Yajnavalkya, Verses 213 and 214

For throwing sites, mud, or dust the punishment recorded is ten panas; and dooble that amount for attacking with an impurity, a heel, 15 a mittle (213)

This if (the offence be committed) against one of an equal class. But (it would be) double (it the offence be committed) against another's wives, or against persons of bayer orders; if, against persons of lower classes, a half of the fine; there shall be no line for an offence committed 20 through industration, drunkeoness, or the like (214).

Mikksharis:—He who throws a shees, much or dust at another

chould be compelled to pay a fine of ten panas. By impurity is also included tears, philegra, malls, hair, ear-wax, rheam of the eyes, and leavings at the meals. Parhub, hert, the hind part of a foot.

58 Nighthydiam, a spitch, i. e. the water thrown out of the mouth. For an assault with these, a fine twice of that i. e. the fine mentioned before via. (of) ten i. e. twenty panas, must be understood. Again, a special rate has been stated by Kubyana in cases of Assaults with freess de. "It is advaned to be four-fold, where the sexualt's remained in the lower extremities; six-fold it thrown upon the middle extre-"mity of the body i but eight fold if upon the bead." By the use of the term Ada, or the Rise, are included fire, semen, blood, the marrow of the bones and Resh &c. (218.)

35 The fine thus mentioned before should be understood in the case of persons of the same tribe. In the case of assaults on the

^{1.} Oh. XXI, 1.

wives of others, it applies generally without differentiation. Also, (in the case of assults) against persons of a higher order i. e. higher in learning or conduct as compared with (the actor) bimself, a fine must be understood which would be double in amount of that mentioned before i. e. of ten pages and also of twenty pages. In the case of persons of a lower class i. e. inferior in learning &c. as compared with himself, a fine bull in amount of that mentioned before i. e. five pages, or ten pages must be understood.

Mobah, infatuation, means mental abernation. Madah, drundenmess is the perfectled condition (which is) produces the pth drinking of intoxicating liquor. By the use of the word A du the possession by a spirit &c. (also are included.) When under the influence of these an assault is committed, no pensity shall be imposed even when the ashes etc. (actually) touch the hody (214).

Vîramitrodaya

Now after having thus determined (the fact of) the assault as the next step, the Author states the penalties according to circumstances up to the end of the chapter

Yajaavaikya, Verses 213-14

For a bodily assault upon another such as by sakes, mud or dust, as the penalty for the assaulant shall be ten panas. For an attack with an impurity such as tears etc., or with a heel r. or the hund part of the leg, or with a spittle r. c. the excreta of the mouth, the penalty is double of ten panas (21)

The penalty in this manner should be observed in the case of the genuls in all the sarrate. For an attack on others' waves or upon members of the inject warrat, in regard to an offence of the character, the punishment shall be double of that stated for the equals. In regard to a smaller offence towards members of fower warrats, but the penalty of that stated for the couls should be maposed. Mobile, 'inflationation', at a special production of the penalty of the stated for the couls should be maposed. However, 'inflationation', but a special production of the word day, 'or the like,' is mediated arrangee. By these series, it assault is made with ablest etc., there is no purusiment. By the use of the word day, 'and', is midicated the solution of trobe and quadruple penaltsmeats in the case of higher and higher degrees. It is shall be four-fold when the state is a committed by means of urine, wound, or fish or the like; is stood, if on the middle part of the body; but eight fold, if upon the brid, The quadruple etc. is to be understood as of ten phasiz (213-14).

Sülapâņl

Yalfavalkya, Verse 213-14

When the ashes etc. touch another's body the penalty is ten panas-For a touch of the impurities also the same doubled (213).

Yataavalkya, Verse 214

Similar is the pennity as characterised alove in the case of persons of the same caste; in the case of others wives or of persons of superior caste it is two-fold. For those of the lower caste, half. When it is done through mistake etc. (there is) no penniument [214].

The Author mentions a penalty in cases of assault by inferior persons (against superiors)

Yajnavalkya, Verse 215

The limb of one who is himself not a Brahmana, which causes injury to a Pipra, must be cut off. When it is raised, the lowest americament, (is laid down), and half of it in (the case of) a touch.

Mikkehark:—The limb of one scho is (himself) not a Brillmann, abrilmannya, i.e. of a Kishariya or the like, viv. the hand, the foot eto which causes injury to the Brillmann, that should be out off. Of a Sudra causing injury to a Kishariya or a Vasiya even, the punishmout man of a lower class does injury to one of a bigher class; even that limb of "his should be cut off;" this is the command of Mann! From the rule as to the cutting off of the limb of a Sudra for an offence against any one of the twice-born tribes, by a parity of reasoning, the same pennishment would accuse to a Vasiya causing any injury to a Kishariya.

Udging, when mited, i.e. when a steapon etc, is raised with a view, to strike, the punishment for the first amercement must be understood. Of a S'idia, however, even for a raising of the band etc., the punishment indeed, is the cutting off of the hand etc., wife the text of Mana's "For raising a hand or a stick, he deserves the punishment "d lawing his hand ent off."

Page 132*

15

Spariane, for tetekining, a weapon etc for raising it, however, hadardhikah, half of it, i. c. half the punishment for the lowest Sahasa, must be understood. In cases of assaults by means of ashes etc. by a

I Ch. VIII 297.

Kehatriya and a Vasiya, the punishment should be determined by regard to the rule stated in the text!: "In cases of the abuse of one of a superior class, the fine is two-fold, and three-fold." Even, there, a S'adra shall, indeed, have his hand cut off, side the text of Mann!: "If out of arregames he spits (on a superior), the king shall "cause both his lips to be cut off, if he urines (on him.), the penis; "if he breaks wind (against him.), the same,"

Sûlapânl

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 215

The hand etc of a non-Brahmana causing injury to a Brahmana I should be cut; for an attempt made, however, the first amarcament; but for touching a weapon, as an attempt, half (215).

Having thus stated the punishment for an offence in the case of persons of lower classes, the Author proceeds again in regard to a person of the same caste

Yājna valkya, Verse 216

For holding up a hand or a foot, the punishment shall be ten and twenty paras (respectively). For threatening each other with a weapon, the punishment shall be the middle americanent for all.

Māšksbarž:—For raising a hand or a foot with the object of 20 striking, the fine shall be understood respectively to be ten panas or twenty panas. For raising a weapon with the object of striking each other, in the case of checkness, the middle amerogement shall be the fine.

Viramitrodaya Yâiñayaikya, Verses 215-16

The limb of a Kehatriya such as band, foot etc. which causes injusy to a Bediamona by beating etc. chould be cut off. By the use of the word cha, and, all the Varyas and the Sintan causing injury to Kehatriya; and the limb of a Sidna, causing injusy to a Vasiya see included. Kena kena, by whom? Vide this text of Manu!; With a Wehaterer limb or man of a lower class does injury to one of a higher "class, even that limb of his should be cut off," this is the command of Manu. By the reading of the word ta, "however, is excluded the cutting off of the limb of a Bishmana.

^{1.} Yaji 207 p 1250 stovo. 2 Ch. VIII. 282. 3. Ch. VIII 280.

Uagirgs, 'when raised', i. a when uplified for the purpose of an attack, in the case of a weapon etc. the penalty is as for the first sahasa. For a mere touch of the weapon, however, by onesed for the purpose of raising it, the penalty is half of that for the first sahasa. The first sahasa, moreover, is for one other than a Sada. In connection with a Sada Manu'l having observed: "for raising a hand or a stick, "be deserves the punishment of having his hand eut off."

In the case of members of all the vargas, for the offence of raising the hand mutually against each other, the punishment is ten fagas. For an attack with the foot the punishment is assessed at twenty fonas, and for raising a weapon, the punishment is of the middle sidnasa. By the first use of the word fn, 'however', is excluded the falling of the weapon, and by the second use of the word fn, is excluded the penalty stated in the case of uncentual casts of \$25-16\$.

Śūlapâni

Yājāavalkya, Verse 216

For an attempt for an attack with the hands or the feet, the punishments respectively are for and twenty parms; for an attempt with a weapon, the middling amerement (216).

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 217

For pulling out the foot, the hair, the cloibes ar the hand (af another), ten panas; for causing pain by violently pulling a man caused to be field in his cloibes and trampling him under the foot, a hundred (nanae) is the fine.

Milicharis:—Moreover, he who catches hold of the foot, the hair,
the clothes, or the hand, or any of these, and pulls them out, ulumchhait,
i. a voileanly plucks them, such a one shall be fined ten payas. Cause
ing pain, pulling, tying in a cloth and trampling under foot (all
joined uggetter, make up the compound expression) 'Canning pain,
joined uggetter, make up the compound expression of Canning pain,
you may shall be track a kowadard payas. "The purport is this: 'He who
after tying in a cloth, and violently pulling, tramples another under
foot, such a ram should be compelled to pay a hundred payar."

Sülapâņi

35 For pulling up the log-etc, the punishment shall be ten pages. Injury by pulling and tying with a cich these together make the conjunct compound, can who the round by the upper cichl; and after tightening pulls up with the foot, for link the penalty shall be one bunded gonza (217).

15

20

Yûjnavalkya, Verse 218

The man causing pain without shedding blood by means of a stick or the like shall be lined thirty-two panas; double (that) at the appearance of blood

Mitakshara: -- Moreover, be, however, who strikes mildly with a piece of wood or mad so that no blood is shed, such a one shall be fined thirty-two panas. When, however, on account of hard beating, blood is shed, then he shall be fined twice thirty two i. c. sixty-four panas. A special rule, moreover, has been pointed out by Manu' in the case of the breaking open of the skin, flesh &c. "He who breaks the "skin shall be fined a hundred, as also one who draws out blood. He "who breaks the flesh, six nixhkas, while he who breaks a bone shall be "banished."

fûlaoûal

Väinavaikva, Verse 218 For severely attacking with a wood the againment shall be thirty. two paper if blood does not appear. For its appearance, however, the penalty is double (213).

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 219

For breaking a hand, a foot, or a tooth, and for cutting an ear or nose, the fine shall be the middle (amercement); similarly for laying open a soreand also for beating almost to death.

Milakshara:--Moreover, for breaking a band, a foot, or a tooth, in each case; as also for cutting an ear or the nose, (in each case); for laying open a healed-up sore; and for beating in such a manner that the man becomes almost dead, the middle amercement shall be underetood. Here the similarity of acts should be ascertained by regard to the result of the act.

Sülapâni

Yajaavalkyu, Verse 219

For breaking the hand, preceing the nose, opening up a healed scar, or for striking one who is almost dead, the penalty is the middle amerecment (219).

Yajiiavalkya, Verse 220

For a restraint in respect of motion, eating, or speech; for an injury to the eye and the like; for a fracture of the neck, the arm, or the thigh, the 35 middle amercement.

Mitakihara:-Moreover, for restraining motion, eating, or speech, for an injury to the eye-and by the use of the term Adi, to the

I Ch VIII, 284

tongue also -; kandkard means the neck; an arm is wellknown. salthi means the thigh; for fracturing each of these, the fine shall be, the middle amercement.

Page 133*.

5

10

90

Śŵlapâni

Yâlūavalkya, Verse 220

For obstructing the movements, etc. piercing the eyes etc or for breaking the neck and the like, the punishment shall be the middle supercament (220).

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 221 (1)

When several assault a single person, the fine for them shall be double of that already mentioned.

Mitakshara: -- Moreover, when however, several (people) combining together break the limb of one (man), or do any other similar act, then whatever fine has been laid down for the particular offence, a fine double in amount of that must be understood in each case res-15 nectively. On account of the extreme aggravation of these offences, even in the cases of offences committed against persons of inferior classes, or of higher classes respectively, the reduction or increase is to be determined by reference to this very law of punishment in the or order mentioned in regard to the offence of abuse and the offences enumerated thereafter, and laid down in regard to persons of the same class, vide the text : " Whatever punishment has been men-"tioned for the offence of abuse (and the like) committed against " nersons of superior or inferior classes respectively, the same penalty "shall respectively be inflicted by the King in the offences of assault '(and the like) also"

Vicamitrodaya

(For an assault) on members of the same caste the Author states

Yŝjñavalkya, Verses 217-21 (1)

For pulling out i. c. pulling with a jork the feet etc. of others the penalty is ten banas. For causing pain t. e. for causing injury by pressing one's foot against one who has been tightly tied by a cloth and pulled, the penalty is a hundred of the panas (217).

¹ Author not known. Balamblatta assigns it to Manu. But it is not found in the available editions of Manu.

35

When a man assaults another with a wood etc so that blood is not seen, he should be condemned to pay thirty two panas, for the appearance of the blood, however, he should be made to pay double in the case of breaking open of the sku etc should state a special rule. "He who breaks a skin shall be fixed a hundred as also one "who draws out blood. He who breaks the flesh six mahkas, while "blanshed skull he be who breaks the hone. (218)

For a wound of the three organs such as the hand and the rest each, for cutting of the nose, for opening up a healed sear, and for beating in such a minuter that he would be as if dead the penalty is the middle shhaze. By the use of the word haltd, "a milarly, is melinded the cutting of the finger (219).

Chestets, motion etc., for causing a restraint in respect of motions, aim or speech or any of these for piercing the tongue etc as also for an injury to the nock, the arm or the thegit for an injury to any of these, the punishment is the middle assorcement. By the use of the word har, and is included the heat and the file (220)

Where many attack one, there the penalty which has been stated for one as an assailant, double of that is the penalty for the other. Thus is the meaning. Here the higher or lower punishment or the distinct. So on of higher or lower is not to be observed [221 (1)]

Yâmayalkya, Verse 221 (2)

That which had been taken away during the scuille shall be restored and a fine double (in value) of that

Midalchará — During the continuance of the scuffle whatever has been taken away by a party should be given back by him. Also a fine double the amount (in velue) of the thing taken away must be paid as penalty for the deprivation

Virguitrodava

Marcover

Valentalkyw Verse 221 (2)
During the continuance of a fight what has been taken away by
one as belonging to another should be returned by Iam to the other,
and on that account a penalty of double the value of that as for taking
it away should be taken by the long [221 (2)].

¹ Oh VIII 285

Sûlapînî

Yalfavalkya, Verse 221

For many combining together and besting one, the punishment shall be double of these stated. And in the sculls whatever has been taken 5 sway from any one that shall be given to him, and double of that should be given to the king as a penalty (231)

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 222

He who causes buddly injury, shall pay the expenses incidental thereto, and shall also pay the fine mentioned in regard to the particular assault

10 Mithchara — Morcover, he who causes injury (to another) by bestung, shill pry such expenses as may be incured for dressing and curing the wound, and for mediane and special dust therefor. The fine to be paid in the fine imposed for the particular Lind of assault in which the wound was caused, and not merely the amount of the extension incidental to the wound.

Vîramlirodaya Yâjčavalkya, Verse 222

He, however, who causes a wound etc by beating shall be compelled to pay the amount of expenses incurred for the complete 20 recovery of the one on whom a wound has been caused. The meaning is he should be compelled to pay as much money as would be required for procuring medicine etc for him. In places where a wound etc has been caused, not only the payment for it, but also a penalty should be caused to be paid by him as has been prescribed generally for the 25 particular quarrel. The use of the word cha "and", in the canadative sense excludes outport (222 s).

Silapani

Yajaavalkya Verse 222

One who causes must be another by breaking the hand, foot, etc such 30 a one shall pay the expenses for the restoration of for as much period as 15 required for him to be under missing etc to be sale, again for such interval. That punishment which has been stated for a sentile that also be shall be made to pay (222)

Ydinavalkya Ch. XIX Mitákshará & Súlupáni—Offences againsí property. 1259-Verses .173-124

Having mentioned the penalties for assunts upon the limbs of others, the Anthor now mentions the penalty for the spoliation of external property²

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 223

For striking at the wall, or for boring or breaking or demolishing it, he shall be made to pay a line of live, ten and Iwenty patiets respectively, and also the expenses (incidental thereto).

Mitakshara: -- For striking at a wall with a club or other similar weapon, or for making a whole in it, or for breaking it into two (sections), the fine shall be understood to be five panas, ten panas, and twenty panas respectively. For demolishing a wall, moreover, all these three fines shall be inflicted cumulatively. Also the amount ('of expenses) for rebuilding it shall be paid to the owner.

> Sûtanênê Yhinavatkya, Verse 221

15

For an attack with a club etc, or a wound with a stlok, or for piercing with the sword, one should be compelled to pay respectively five, ten, and twenty names. Similarly for pulling over a wall or the bricks, etc. by the reasoning of inxtanguition, twenty pages also should be declared and he should also be made to say the expenses for the restoration (223) 20

Vâjňavalkya, Verse 224

One throwing in a house a thing (which is capable of) causing hodily injury or deprivation of life shall be compelled to pay sixteen warms for the first, and the middle amercement for the second offence (respectively).

Mitakshara:-And again, one throwing into the house of another things which cause bodily injury e a thorns &c shall be compelled to pay sixteen paras; while one throwing things cousing deprivation of life e.o. poison, snake &c shall be punished in the middle amercement.

> Sûlapînl Yálňavalkya, Verse 224

30 For throwing thorns and other such things in the house as also a snake and the like for the first be shall be compelled to pay sixteen rongs. and for the second the middle amercement (224)

I. sifter spirit. The offences mentioned hitherto refer to a man's spore." internal property, such as hand, foot &c , now the Author mentions offences relating to his external property Shortey stated and in terms of the Indian Penal Code, the offences enumerated above are Offences sgamst the Body The author now enumerates Offences against Property.

The Author mentions the minishment for assaulting beasts

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 225

For causing pain, drawing blond as also for cutting off branches, or a limb of minor heasts, the fine shall be one beginning with two panas and upwards.

Mitakshara:-- In the case of minor beasts such as the goat, the ĸ sheep, the deer and the like, for beating, causing injury, and drawing blood; or a sakhangachhedane, for cutting off the branches -by the word S'alha-branch-are indicated such limbs as are without the circulation of life in them; limbs such as the horns &c.-angani. limbs, such as the bands, the feet, and like others. S'alha (A branch) and ainga 10 (a limb) joined together make up the compound expression S'allidigam. For cutting that, the fine is (laid down) commencing with two panas &c. A fine which has in it two panas is a fine of two panas. That series of panishments in which a sum of two panas is the first i.e. the beginning-is a fine beginning with two panas and upwards' That series of fines moreover is two manas, four panas, six panas, eight panas, and in a similar series and not as two panas, three panas four panas, five panas &c.

Page 135#

If it be asked how is that? the answer is: By regard to the 20 heinousness of the offence, the three kinds of higher punishments are to be understood as being higher than the lowest punishments. There, also, instead of resorting to the numbers three &c which have not been specifically mentioned, it is better to get at the aggravated penalty by the repetition of the number two which has been specially mentioned. Thus there is no fault.

Sittagant

Yaliiavalkya, Verse 225

For causing injury to inferior animals such as the goats, obeen, etc. for causing blood, and also for cutting the branches, such as the horns or the limbs or feet etc. the punishment shall respectively he in doubling 30 order beginning with two powers. At some place the reading is double of the double in order (225).

Yajnavalkya, Verse 226

For cutting off (their) genital organs, and for causing death, the middle amercement, as also the price. In the case of superior animals, the fine shall be double in similar cases.

Midskhard:—Moreover, for cutting off the genital organs of minor 5 houses, and for causing their death, the fine shall be the middle americant; and the price shall be paid to the owner. In the case of superior animals, however, a. g. the core, dephant, the horse, and like others, etchu sthêneşhu, for cimilar cases, i. e. for beating, or drawing out blood or doing similar acts, a fine double of that mentioned before 10 hould be understood.

Śūlapāni

Yajñavaikya, Verse 220

For the cutting of the genital organs of lower beasts or for killing them the middling amercament and the price should be caused to be paid to the owner of the animal. In the case of higher animals such as the cow (t. double the amount of that stated before should be levied (226).

The Author mentions the penalty for an injury to immovable property

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 227

For cutting off the branches, or the trunk, or uprooting entirely the trees which throw down branches having sprouts, as also trees which are the means of livelihood, the fine is twenty pages and (its) dooble.

Māšķiharā.—Prarchili, agroute, i.e. shoots, branches having these branches having sprouts; i.e. those branches which when cut off, a develop again at each knot of trees, like the buspan and the like such trees, are called prarchaidhinal, trees which throw done branches having grouns; for cutting off the branches of these. That from which the original branches shoot out is called the treak; for cutting that, as also for cutting up a tree together with its roots, there shall so he a fine beginning with twenty parars and increased by twofold of the previous one.

This is what is (intended to be) said: The three penalties of fine ris twenty panes, forty panes, and eighty panes are inflinted respectively for the offences of the entiting off of the branches and for the 35 offences to likewise to likewise to the content.

And even of trees which do not 'throw down branches having spronts,' but which are a means of livelihood e.g. the mango tree and the like, fines similar to these mentioned before must be understood for acts similar to those specified above, i.e. in the case of trees which are not the means of livelihood, nor do throw down branches having spronts.

S'ûta pânî Valiavalkya, Versa 227

For lopping off the branches of trees, the roots of which enter the ground such as the sata etc. or of trees such as the mange and the like which are the source of Hylfhood of the people, beginning with twenty pence the punishment should be increased in doubling order of the one prior in the case of the one following

The Author mentions a rule regarding particular trees Yainavalkya, Verse 228

In the case of trees growing in a sacrificial place, a cemetery, a hound; ary, a sacred place, or a temple, and trees well known, the line is two-fold. Mitakshara:-- For cutting off the branches or for doing like acts in

regard to trees growing on a sacrificial place or in a similar other place, & fine twice that mentioned before. So also in the case of trees which are 20 well known such as the pippala, palities and the like, the fine is two-fold-

S'âtapâni

Vájňavalkya, Verse 22B Chaitya is a tree growing on a high place; miruta, i.e. 'well-known', for the cutting of the trunk etc. of these twenty (pages) is the consequent 25 (inference) (228).

> The Author mentions a rule regarding creepers etc-Yajnavalkya, Verse 229

in the case of injury in the aforementioned parts to Guina, Guchchia, Kehuna, Latas (creepers), Pratana, Oshadhi, and Virudh, the fine is half

30 of that before mentioned. Mitakihara:- Golman such as the Malate plant and the like, are those

creepers which do not develop into any considerable length. Guchchhas 1. Pappala-is the holy fig tree. Freus Religions Palain also called Kimiuka Butes Frondors, see p. 1151 n. 5 also p 914 n. 3 (above)

2 These are the averal groups of convers and shrule with minute distinctions which have been industrial by Vrjilineivara further on.

3. mrfn (Milati) Erlass Carpophyllato, is a kind of jasmine with white fragrant flowers.

are not in form like creepers, nor are they generally strught and smooth, eg the Kurantola' plant and like others. Kabupah eg the Kurantola' plant not the like, which are generally straight and smooth Latáh or creepers which develop into considerable lengths such as the grape, Ahmulta' & Prahhah are creepers without knots or offshoots, and growing straight such as the Sana'a and others Orbadhayah are those which develop fruit such as the piddy plant etc. Vinidah are those which even when ext grow and develop in various parts, such as Santola'b and the like

In the eve of these, for mymics as aforementioned such asculing as lopping off, a fine half of that mentioned before must be un lerstood

Thus ends the Chapter on Assault,

Viramitrodaya

Having thus stated the penuity for an assault on the body of an individual, the Author mentions the same for an assault on other things

Yainavaikya Verses 223-29

For striking at c is folling to the ground, a purisher c of a wall on the prenng through it with a club etc. or for cutting it it is splitting into two with a word etc or for rending it with a stick time—the word tabled, "sundarly goes with all the three. The meanings of all have 20 been expressed by the words club etc. Tive, ten and twenty forast in order follow attentively in the case of stack, for felling it down, however, "codengroun, the expenses for it is the amount of money spent for the reconstruction of the wall. By the use of the word falls," also , simultanetty is intended (223)

¹ grove (Aurantalah) Amesana Venosions a species of Amazanih white or yellow in colour and having thoras

² wifk-(Asserts) Asserts Chram a kind of two with white red or Jollow flowers, known in marathi as the heaters (wift)

[ী] স্মৃদ্ভিত্ব (Annulia)s kind of excepts otherwise known in Vellars (প্যায়ী) (maratin ইন্যা or অনুধী নিয়া) represented as brusting itself round the mangotree and as it beloved of that free Also the name of a tree Dallergia Cosponana (বিহিন্য) Aple

⁴ tm(tu-Tl 15 plant cannot be 1 lentified

⁵ gg di (finduch)-Varuthi gada Coccidence dilidene-avery useful plani gener ally groung on tice 4, it is a drug of consideral le importance in the Indian medicino

1274 Viramitrodaya—For throwing danges ons things &a Yajiavalbya Ch XIV

Things which are likely to cause pain such as thorns etc., or which may cause deprivation of life, such as a snake etc. one throwing these in the house of another, shall be pureled in the manner that the throwing causes migry. There the first i.e. the one who throws thorns 5 etc., switcen panes; the second i.e. one who throws a serpent etc. should be completed to any the middle ampreciment (224).

In the case of minor bersts such as the deer, the goat etc. for an attack which brings out blood and causes pain, or for cutting off the brunches in the form of the horn etc. or for the injury to a limb such as a foot etc a series of punishments of which two frames is the first shall be influed. This is the meaning. Here by mentioning the number two the increase in the frames is by two and two in respective order (225).

For cutting off the organ of minor beasts or for killing them, the 1 middle undercement shall be the puneshment. And he shall also pay the price to the owner. For causing injury to superior animals, double the amount of penalty stated in the case of minor beasts should be militede. By the use of the word Ard and, been also the price should be paid. By the use of the word one, 'only', is excluded the payment of the price

20 in the case of the four such as the one who causes pain etc. (226).

In the case of trees which throw down hanches having surout,

such as the telestice and the blee, and also trees which are the means of livelihood such as the mange and the like, for cutting off the branches or the entire trunk from its roots up and of its limbs the punish; 25 ments shall be double commencing with twenty poars 1. c. twenty-festly, eighty respectively in the case of the three. By the use of the word cha, 'and', is included the fact that in the case of trees with roots going down being the source of livelihood and the rest etc., for cutting it off, double that shall be the punishment (227).

30 Chaipa, 'a sterrifemi place', i. c. a lovely place; boundary i. e. the limit of two villages and the like. On these i.e. on these hely and recrease illaces, on the places where there are temples of gods, for cutting off the branches of trees growing there, as also in the case of well known trees such as the pippina etc. double of that monitoned before i.e. of twenty places cite. Substitute the pursuament. By the use of the word theirs udded by inclusion the payment of expenses for restoration (228)

Guln th : c creepers not long nor thick such as Maleil etc Guchehh h not having the form of a creeper and not straight such as the Kura t'ek and the like Kehup th, small trees with straight stems such as the Karr ira plant and the lake Letth ercepers extending to great lengths such as the climitte and the like. These also growing thick without am knots or offshoots such as sared etc. Oshadharch. herb which develop fruit such as the paids plant etc. Although out they grow variously again and so called a guidh the such as the guiducht and the like For the cutting off of the branches of these, half of what has been stated before, are of twenty panes a e ten panas shall be the numishment. Here also the payment for the expenses of the recomme ment is also to be observed. Manu! "He who raises his band or a "stick, shall leve his hand cut off, he who in anger kicks with his "foot shall have his foot cut off (281) A low caste man who tries to "place himself on the same seat with a man of a high caste shall be "branded on his hip and be brushed, or (the king) shall cause his "buttocks to be gashed (282) If out of "reogance he spits (on a "superior), the king shall cause both his I pe to be cut off , if he urines "(on him), the penis, if he breaks wind (against him) the anus (283) "If he lays hold of the hair (the king) should lop off his bands "unbesttatingly, likewise (if he takes him) by the feet, the beard, "the neck, or the scrotum (284) This penalty is for a Sidra in regard to a Brihman : Vishnat "One who causes pain without "blood thurty panes, with blood sixty panes | For fear of prolimity other punishments are not stated here (223-229) 25

datament. Yajnayalkya Verse 229

Gulm in such as the m dat plant etc. Guchelhah such as the Kurantake etc. Kehurch such as the Karatra etc Lutth 1 e crespers such as grapes etc Pratanch : e creepers without Lucts or offshoots such as the 30 surred etc Osha tha sahae herbs such as corn trees which develop fruit Viru l'an e croopers which even when cut grow with special strongth such as the guduchi etc , for the cutting of the trunk etc of these half of the penalty stated before And the payment for their growth again

Thus ends the Chapter on Assaults

Ch VIII 281 84

CHAPTER XX

Sahasas or Hemons Offences

1276

5

20

Pages 135*

Now, intending to discuss the title of law celled the Sahasa, the Author first states its definition

Yâiñavalkya, Verse 230 (1)

When common property is forcibly carried away, that is called a Suhasa

Mitakshara -- A deprivation of property which is samanya, common, te which is held in common or, (also) having regard to its general chars 10 cteristic of being incapable of appropriation at will, which is another's property is a Sabasa. Whence? prasabhaharanat, on account of a forcible carrying away in short, on account of a deprivation by a show of force

This is what is (intended to be) said By disregarding the Royal sanction, or the protest of the people whatever net of beating, or as lo sulting the wife of mother and the like is committed in the presence of State Authorities or the ordinary people, all that is a Sahasa this is the unture of a Sibasa. Therefore even in the case of a deprivation of property which is common or which is another's, there is a Sihasu

on account of the same being done by means of a show of force The characteristics of a Sil and have been described by Naradal also 'Whatever act is performed by force by persons inflamed with (the pude of) strength is called a Sahasa, sahah means force in The Sahasa of this description, although it is closely

allied to theft, abuse assault and seduction of women, still differs from these on account of the special element of show of excessive force and so has been specially mentioned with the object of (laying down) excessive purishments

Of that also after having had down a threefold division into the lowest and the others and with a view to demonstrate the different punishments the characteristics have also been described by the same Sage? "That again is declared to be threefold in the S'detras ti (\$ dasa of) the first middlemost, and the highest degree. The " definition thereof has been given separately (3) Destroying revil ' mg dishgaring or otherwise (mjuring) fruits, roots, water and

95

"the like, or agricultural utensils, is declared to be a Sahasa, of the " first degree (4) (Injuring) in the same way clothes, cattle, food, "drink or household untensils, is declined to be a Silasa of the " middlemost degree (5) Taking human life by means of poison, " neapons, and the like indecent assault on another man's wife, and " whatever other (offences) encompressing life (may be imagined) is "called a Sahasa of the highest degree (6) The punishment to be "inflicted for it must be proportionate to the heaviness of the crime. "(so however as) not to be less than a hundred (Panas) for a " Sahasa of the first degree , whereas for a Sahasa of the middle " most degree the punishment is declared by persons agranted with the S'astra to be not less than five hundred (panas) (7) For a "Sahasa of the highest degree, a fine amounting to no less than a "thousand panas is ordained (Moreover) corpord punishment "confiscation of the entire property, banishment from the town, and 4 branding as well as amoutation of that limb (with which the come "had been committed) is declared to be the punishment for Sahasa of the highest degree(8) " Corporal punishment and the like punishment in a Sakasa of the highest degree should be administered cumulatively? or alternatively by a disciminating regard to the offence committed. 90

Among these, the Author mentions the penalty for a Sahasa which is in the form of deprivation of another's property

Yûjîia valkya, Verse 230 (2)
The fine is twice the amount of the value of it, but four-fold when (the

offence is) denied

Mitakshara — Of it, i.e. of the thing taken away, dwigune trace the

amount, milyst of the value shall be dandsh, the fine He, however who having committed a Kähasa mboute demis sayang. I did not do, for such a one, a fine is inflicted which is chatuguna four times the value of the thing.

30

From this very rule where a special penalty is laid down it may be inferred that the general rules of punishment land down in connection with Sulawa of the first degree and the like are applicable to cases other than (where there has been) the deprivation (of property).

¹ वृद्य — Chartisement which may extent to Capital punishment 2 सम्बद्धाः अन्ति ।

Vîramıtrodaya

1979

10

"Whatever act is performed by force by a person inflamed with "(the pride of) strength is called Sahase, saha means force in this "world Theft is a special variety of it. The difference between "(sahasa and theft) is as follows, where the criminal act consists of a "forcible attack it is sahasa, where it is done by fraud it is theft Thus characterized by Narada, the Author begins the title of law called Sahasa which consists of a forcible minry in spite of the knowledge of the owner and which is distinguished from theft.

Yümavalkva, Verse 210

Samanja, common', e e, which is held as common property, in short which is another's property. Property of this character such as gold etc. Of that forcebly carrying away a c dropping with force in the presence of the owner. Such a taking away by regard to the 15 literal meaning is sahasa, declared by the word sahasa i e declared in the Smrtis There, of that a c of the property twice the value when it is snatched away and four-fold when it is denied a c concealed (230).

S ûlapânî Yâlñvalkya Verso 230

Common property 10 property of a low kind, such as grain ste, or of 20 the ownership of the many, of common property such as porn ato Of common property also such as corn etc. deprivation in the prosping of the owner by force to taking it away by compulsion constitutes a title of law known as beingus offences. When, however anything is done in the 25 absence of the owner or is denied after committed that is known as that As says Manu ! It will be an offence of robbery when it is committed " in the presence (of the owner) and with violence, if (it is committed) in his absence it becomes theft, as also if it is denied after it has been "taken 'In the presence're, in the presence of the owner, in the absence. it becomes theft, 'denies fe conceals 30

Normal having stated five kinds of Sahasas such as the homicide ote has also stated an act done with violence to be Sthare thus ' Man-" slaugh'er, robbery, an indecent assault on another man s wife and the "two species of assault, these are the five kinds of helique offences * That again is of three kinds etc (see Narada ch xiv 3-8, see above Mitak-

35 shara'p 1276 | 3 to p 1277 | 18 | Whatever act is performed by force by persons inflamed with (the arrongance of) strength is called Sabasa (a belnous offence), and 1 or force means strength in this world (230)

The Author mentions a rule regarding one causing a Sahasa (to be committed)

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 231

He who causes the commission of a Sahasa, shall be made to pay a double line; and be who causes it by declaring "I shall pay" shall be made to pay four-feld.

Ministrata:—Yah, he, however, who says (to another), "Commit Silatas", and (thus) histayati causes a Salatas to be committed, dwigu-man dandam dapyah, shall be made to pay twice the amount of penalty, temposed upon the actor humself. He, movever, who says 'I shall pay you money', and thus causes a Silatase to be committed, such a one shall be compelled to pay challegamm, a four fold fine, on account of the aggressation of the otherse.

Vîramıtrodaya

The punishment for one who causes a Sahasa is like the one who 15 perpetrates it (himself) So the Author says

Ho who causes a sahara to be perpetrated by an order "do the "sibasa;" such a one shall be compelled to pay a penalty twice that for the perpetration of the sibasa. "On a possibility occurring of a 20 'penalty being indicated upon you, I shall by the amount," he who saying thus causes a sahara shall be compelled to pay four times that for the perpetration of sahara (231).

Sûlapâm

Yajnavalkya, Verse 211

He set He who causes by his weeds in commit an offence; such a one should be compelled to pay a penalty tente of that for the offices He moreover, who causes it to be done with the words "I shall "gare you money, you do," shall be compelled to pay four times on account of the aggressized form (of the offices) [631]

The Author mentions a special rule regarding a particular offence for abusing the vonerable, etc

Page 136*
The Author mentious rules regurding particular Sahasihas

Yâjiiavalkyn, Verses 232 & 233.

He who abuses or disobeys the venerable, who beats his brother's wife, who does not give what is promised, who breaks open a house (which is) sealed (232);

Or who does an injury to his neighbour, or blood relations and the like, for all such offenders fifty panes in the fine; this is the invariable rule.

Mińskara :—Of the teneralle, argustya, i e those who deserve to be respected, e g the teacher dee, he who ofters an abuse or transgresses their commands, as also he who beats his brother's wife; similarly one who does not pry an amount which was promised; i.e. agreed upon, he, also, who breaks open a house which is scaled; also, he who does an inpury to the owners of house or fields adjoining his own house or field, or to blood relations t e those born in his own family—and to the term dd, 'Also' (he who causes injury) to the inhabitants of his own village or country—all these shall be punishrable with a fine of lift wants.

Vîramitrodaya

15

The Author mentions particular punishments for particular saliasas Väläavatkya, Verses 202-22

One who lovels on abuse or transgresses the commanded of the venerable people such as the preceptor etc.; is utto who gives a beating to the brother's wide; likewise one who does not disture something to such as gold etc., which has been accepted for delivery from another; 20 he who breaks open a house which is sould with a semic (32);

One who does an upury to any one of these, us, the inhabinates of his own or of the neighbouring village, gentiles i, e persons born in his own family, and by the use of the word dai, 'et ceiter' treas and others slice, for these stated before, the penalty is measured by fifty donar. Thus has been definitely declared the purchanent in the Dharmanistin. By the use of the word dai, 'and', is included one who does not induce in a secoch (233)

Sülapâni Yâjñavalkys, Verss 232

36 He who abuses and also divelogs that respectable and can the preceptor size, and also one who best his bright. In wife, normalizing he who does not give what is promisely, and also one who breaks open a binuse which is easied, also must does an injury to the owners of the fields or bousse adjoining his own house or field, as also he substress: a persons born in his family—by the sect the word doe' and the like', as also precess of his village or country—such a one should be purshed with fitty ponce. (233)

Of the Gunarda such as a betalautseller and the like as alone are authorized by an association By the use of the word Adv for one causing inpury to Bress and the like also, a fine of fifty points should be imposed (233)

Yajnavalkya Verses 234-237

lie who wantonly consorts with a widow, who does not run (in respoose) to a call (for help), who causelessly raises a cry (for help), he who, being a Chandala touches men of the higher classes (234).

Who feeds Südra ascelles on (the occasions of) religious or obsequal ceremonies, who procounces an improper eath who being imqualified does an act which can be performed by those (unly) who are qualified (2355).

As also he who destroys the withty of a ball or inferior heasts who conceals common property who destroys the focus of a female slave (236)

Or whoever being the father, the son the sister and a brother, the husband and the wife the preceptor and the popil, shoodons each the uther when (that other is) not degraded shall be fixed a hundred pages (237)

Mitaksbara - Moreover, he who without a Niyoga or a proper appointment has connection with a widow (merely) by self will, or who upon a cry for help being raised by persons frightened by thieves 15 and like others, does not run for help even when he is able, as also he who causeless's raises a cry (for help), he, who being a Chandila touches a Bruhmana or others, also he, who, feeds 5'ddra ascenes such as the Dicambaras and others of religious or exequial ceremonies, he. also who pronounces an improper cath, eg I shall take my mother Ac, similarly, one who being unqualified eg a Sadra and the like does an act ea study &c which can be done only by one qualified A bull means a strong bull, 'minor beasts such as a goat do one who destroys the virility 1 c the procreative power of these In the case of the realing (one who destroys the variety) of trees and minor beats &c -(it should be interpreted thus,)-one who causes the destruction of the fruits or budding blossoms of trees etc., by means of sprinkling asafortida or other drugs, he who conceals common property, as who causes a deception in regard to property which is common, as also one causing the abortion of a female slave as also those who being related as their father etc aban lou each the other without being degraded all these severally deserve to be fined one bundled panas each

Thus ends the chapter on Sahasas.

With a view to treat of adultery with women as distinguished from solution and although differentiated from solution there being comparison between the two, the Author while string the penalty for that, mentions the penalty for others also with a view to brevity of the composition

Yājūavalkya Verses 234-37

Spachchhandena, 'wantonly . 1 e stechchhand, 1, e 'according to lus impulse, having intercourse with a widow, when a civ is raised by those frightened by robbers and others, one who though competent does not run up for preventing it, one who causelessly raises a hew and cry, 10 one being a Chandela touching Brillmanas or the like, one who feeds the Sudres or the ascettes such as the nude ones and the like at rituals in honour of the gods or of the manes, one who indulges in an improper outh such as "I shall approach the mother if this is filed and the like . similarly one unfit such as the sudra and the like per 15 forming the acts of those who are qualified such as the Bribmana and others studying the vedus, one who destroys the virility to a process. tive capteity of bulls or lower beists, one concealing common property which is undivided, one who destroys the embryo of a female slave, and any one of the following two abandoning the other 90 viz father and son, sister and brother, husband and wife, preceptor and the pupil when the other has not been degraded, such a one - : c all these, become liable for a penalty of one hundred panas. The use of the first chairs inclusive of one who raises up a cry even for a reason, by the second use, of one who has fallen, by the third, of the heretics, by the fourth, of a ritual in honour of men, by the fifth, of a bull, by the sixth, of the mother and the son renouncing each other, of the father and son by one alone, for the abandonment of the other Sankha says "He who lustfully abandons those not degraded shall incur the "penalty of a hundred This morcover, is, when the abandonment is by the unlearned, for an abandonment by the learned, however, says "Neither the mother, nor the father nor mife nor the son " should be east off, one easting them off when they are not guilty of " degradation shall be fined by the Ling are hundred When the learned cast off each other, a penalty of three hundred should be under-35 stood (234-37)

90

95

Sulanani

Yamayalkya Verse 214 He who has an intercourse with a widow without an appointment

one who does not run up (for hele) when loudly snycked by those courses sed with the fear of robbery as also one who conselessly raises up a cry. one who being a cland la touches the Brahmans and the others

Yannavalkya Verse 215

He also who causes the ascettes such as the diggal gras etc to be fed at rituals in honour of the Gods and the manes he also who utters an improper cath e o I shall have recourse to mother and he also who 10 being himself unfit such as a Sadra ote does acts for which he is not fit such as imparting education etc. (235)

Yalozyatkya Verse 216

Veshok a bull a e a fat bull other animals such as goat ste one who destroys the virgity as the progrestive capacity of these. For the read ing trees lower animals etc one who by the use of medicaments such as reaphostida ato causes the fruits and the flowers of trees to fall one who causes deception regarding common property one who causes abortion to a female slava (235)

Valouvetkya Verse 237

Those also such as the father and the like although not degraded abandon each other all these shall be hable to pay a penalty of a hus dred panas (237)

On the occasion of discursing Sthesas the Author mentions a penalty for similar offences by the washermen and like others

Yaniavalkya Verse 238

A washerman wearing the garments of another shall be fined three e annaand in cases of a sale, birling out pledge, or a loan on request len names PAGE 137*

Mitakshara - Nejakah a washerman 18 one who cleans clothes (by washing), such a one if he himself puts on clothes madeover to him 30 for being washed then should be fined three panas He moreover who sells them, or bires out e g (with an agreement such as) This 'cloth is being given to you for such a period so much money should be given to me, and who thus lets out on lare or makes a pledge of it. or gives it to his relatives and friends upon request, such a one shall be 35 fined ten panas for each offence Those c'other moreover, must be washed

on a smooth plank of the silk cotten the (S'Ahmall), not on a stone, and they should not be each niged; nor should they be allowed to be worn in soow home otherwise is shall be pumshed, safe the text of Manri "A washerman shall wash gently on a smooth board of the silk cotton "wood, he shall not return the clothes (of one person) for the clothes ("Of months, he are allow and body but the owner) to went them"

When, moreover, he destroys them through a mistake, then the rule stated by Nărad' should be observed. "An eighth part of its value "is the deprecention for a wearing appared wisheld for the first time, a 10 "fourth, when (it is a shed) twice, a third, (when wished) third "times, and a ball (when it is washed) four times (8). After the de "procestion of a half (of the value), a quarter shall be (considered "iss) reduced thenesforth till the fringe is tattered, and the cloth "becomes worn. In the case of a tutered cloth there is no rule regard in the reduction of its value."

Thus for a cloth which was purchased for eight journs and weshed only once, and which is lest, the pive to be paradished the bed (quantity of) paradis minus an eight pair+i once pana (of the cost pives), for a twicewashed cloth, however, less by a quarter, and for a three years of the pives, for a cloth washed four times a balf (of the pives); e four panae should be given. Therefore, the remaining fraction of the pives lessence by a quarter for each weshed should be given, until it becomes intered. Of a tattered cloth, more over, which is destroyed, the pives alread be determined at the option.

25 Vîramtrodaya

Now on the occasion of discussing Scharas the Author mentions penalties for offences similar to these by means of thuteou verses

Yājāavalkya Verse 238

Nejakak, 'a washemma, i.e. one who washes clothes. In the 30 resding rajaka also, the same is the meaning. When putting on the silken cloth of another given to had for washing, he shall be made to pay the penalty of three pasas.

He, however, who caller sells or large out for use with an agreement for the large, or who pledges it for a fixed period, or who offers to 35 his relatives for ornamentation on request clothes made over to him for washing, such a one shall be made to pay ten panas (238).

¹ sergin means inversion, perversion, Balambhatja 2 Ch VIII 396 2 C

10

Verses 258-439

Śūlapânī

On the occasion of treating the Sahasas the Author mentions a penalty for the washermen and the like in regard to offences of a like nature

Yânavelkva, Verse 238

A washerman, ie one who cleans the clothes, such a one if he burself mits on clothes which have been made over to him for washing, then be should be fined three panes. One, moreover, who sells these or pledges on an agreement that "this is being given to you for uso for a particular "period. you should give me so much money", in this way one who pledges it or creates a charge on it, or one who gives it over to his relatives on a request, such a one should be punished with ten panas for each offence

These ciothes, moreover, should be washed on a smooth plank of the silk cotton tree and not on a stone. As Manu! has observed "Nor " shall he return the clothes (of one person) for the clothes (of another). " nor allow anybody to wear them" (238)

Yamavalkya, Vorse 239

For witnesses in a dispute between a father and a son the fine is three panas Alsa for him who engages himself therein, for such a one also, the fine is eight fold

Mitakshara -In a dispute between a father and a son, ha who undertakes to be a witness, and does not ward off the dispute, such a one shall be fined three panas He, moreover, who in a dispute with a wager between them, becomes a streety—and by the use of the term cha, 'also', he who fans the dispute between there, even he, shall be fined an amount eight times three panas, a e twenty four panas. In the case of (a dispute between) a husband and a wife, or like others. this same (raic as to the) fine most be followed

Veramiteedaya Villiavalkya, Versa 230

In a quarrel between the father and the son, one who undertakes to be a witness and does not ward off the quarrel, for him the penalts is measured by three panas He, moreover, who intermeddles in their dispute and aggrerates the quarrel, for him - b) the use of the word api, even, in such a dispute for the surety -1 fine of eight panas should be administered (239)

Śūlapāni

Yajasvalkya, Verse 239 For witnesses in a depute between a fother and son the penalty is three pana. For one who intermeddles, the penalty is eight panas (239) š

Vantavalkva, Verse 240

He who falsafies scales royal mandates measures, and also standard cours, and also he who uses these, shall (both) he forced to pay the highest amercement

Mitakshara -Tala coales, a e the weighment rod Sasanam, a Royal

mandate, has been described before Mana a mensure, such as a practica, a drong, and the like Nanakam, n standard com se (money) stamt ed with (the royal) mark or the like, such as a dramma,3 a mishka, or the like

In the case of these, he who kulaket falsifies them : e who makes them different from the general standard of the country, whether less 10 or more or stamps (money such as) dramma and the like in an unusual manner, or alloys it with conper or other (base met il) and be also who uses them knowing them to be files, both of these shall each be fined in the lughest americaneut

Vîramitrodaya 15

Vajāavatkya, Verse 240
Tulā, 'scale' s c the weighing rod, sāsana', 'the royal command', has been mentioned before, minam, measure, such as prasthat etc. ndnakam, 'com , marked with signets such as the melika, drawma etc Of these one who manufactures a counterfest and fraudulently causes 20 delusion in another, and one who even though knowing, enters into transactions with these counterfeiters, such a one should be compelled to pay the highest amercement. By the use of the first cha is included one who causes the counterfest, and by the second cha, one who 95 deals with a counterfest (240)

> รี่นัยกลักใ Yajaavakya Versa 210

One who manufactures false scales and with these who knowingly makes sales and purchases com such as areaks etc. (210)

I se in the Acharadhyaya Versus 318 313, 320 page 580 Mr. Colebrooke gives- 'Market rates-(literally, Commands) the king s written precepts regulating market rates?

² Prosthe Drong-kinds of measures A Prosthe is a measure having thirty two pales while a D was to either the same as un Adhaha, or equal to 4 Adhahas or 12th of a Atlant or 32 or 64 stern Dramma (Colchronin rends blorms) - a d achma (c. f. the greek drachm)

Neight-A golden com of different values but generally taken to be equal to one harpha or Samma of 10 mg has or also a weight of gold equal to 108 or 150

10

15

The Author propounds a rule regarding the examiner of coins Yânïavalkya. Verse 241

He who declares good money had, as also he who declares bad money good, that examiner of come shall indeed be compelled to pay the highest americanent

Ydinavalkya Ch. XX

Vertes 261-212

Mitakshara —That examiner of coins, moreover who declares a dramma or other coin good even when it is alloyed? with copper or the like, or declares a good coin to be false, such a one shall be fined in the hirbest americane.

Viramitrodaya Yâjünvalkya, Verse 241

That examiner of coins who declares a faultless (coin) to be counterfeit, and a counterfeit coin to be faultless, shall be made to pay the highest amercament. By the use of the word char is included one who although knowing it to be counterfeit says. I do not know (241)

Sûtapûm Yâjdavalkva, Vetse 241

The examiner of courses one who tests the marks. The rest is clear

The Sage mentions a rule regarding a physician Yajñavalkya. Verse 242

A physician faltely posing himself as such shall be fixed in the first americaned in the case of lower animals, in the middlemost, in the case of men; and in the highest americanent, in the case of royal persons PAGE 1878.

Maksharā —Bhshak a physician moreover, vho, mahyā, fulsely, a seven when he is ignorant of the science of medicine, yet for the purpose of making out a hyelhood, poses himself as a dily qualified physician, and treats medically lower animals men or royal persons, such a one shall be fined in the first, maddlemost, and the highest americaments respectively

There also the amount of the fine whether it should be small or great, must be determined in the cases of lower animals &c by 3 regard to the value (of the particular beast) or the rarea (of the man), or the close relationship to royalty

Colchrooke reads as if the introductory remark of hipmanesvara referred to the cores and not to the person testing them

^{2 | #} which is over alloyed &c

10 .

Vîramitrodaya

Yâlñavalkva, Verse 242'

An apothecary faisely posing as one dealing with diseased animals i. c. animals such as the cow and the like, and giving them treatment, the first amercement, and posing himself falsely with reference to men not connected with royalty, the middle amercement; and the apothecary i. c. the physician posing falsely with royal personages shall be made to pay the highest amercement (242).

Śûlanâni

Yalñavatkva, Verse 242

"Lower animals" such as the cow etc, and in regard to ordinary, such as man, the middle (amercement) (242)

Yajnavalkya, Verse 243

He moreover, who restrains one who oneht not to be restrained, or 15 releases one who is restrained before the decision (in his case) is arrived at, such a one shall be fined in the highest amercement.

Mitakshara:-He, moreover, who restrains without the king's command one who does not deserve to be restrained and who is innocent: as also he who releases one who was restrained being summoned in 20 connection with a trial at law, even before yet the trial was concluded, shall be compelled to pay the highest amercement.

Vîramitrodaya Ysinevalkya, Verse 243

One who restrains one who does not deserve to be restrained, and 25 who being in authority discharges i. c. does not restrain one who deserves to be restrained, as also one who being authorised lets off one who has been summoned for a judicial trial when the trial has not been decided, shall be compelled to pay as penalty the highest amerocment. By the use of cha several times is included one who beats one who should not be beaten, as also one who releases one who has been 30 imprisoned (243).

Yajaavalkya, Verse 243

Having summoned one in whose case a decision has not been given. for the giving of a decision (243).

Yajnavalkya, Verse 244

Letter Mil 1

He who abstracts one-eighth share by a (false) measure or balance, such a one shall be compelled to pay a fine of two bundred panns and (proporthonately) determined (according) as the loss is greater or less

Multishari — Tirst grover, moreover, who from puddy, cotton or any other vendable commodity obstructs an eighth part by (using) a false measure, or a false bilance, or by any other menus, such a one shall be fined two hundred panes. The smallness or greatness of the fine must be determined by regard to the greater or less quality of the norm obstructed.

Vîramitrodaya

That grocer who in the case of routhful attitudes like the paddy, cotten etc. by a counterfect measure or by a counterfect balance deprives people of an eighth part, such a one should be made to pay the penalty of two hundred posses. In the case of more or less of the aughth part taken away, the penalty should be determined according to the less or greater loss cused By the word Api, even, y, included the deeptton in counting and the like, by the use of the word char is included the greater of less portion of the part theat off (244).

Śûjapâni Yâjñayajkya, Verse 244

Minemate by measure such as a prassha etc for an increase or a decrease and of the same when an eighth has been increased or decreased shall be compelled to pay a penalty as may be determined after investigation (244).

Yamavalkya, Verse 245

He who adulterates with articles of inferior quality, medicines, oil, salt, performes grain, sogar and the like which are kept for sale, shall indeed be compelled to pay sixteen paints.

Makkhari — Bhehajan, matchant, i.e., medicand drug, mehah, ad, such as clarified butter and the like, "strictes of perfumery," such as users' and the like. The term did "and the like" comprehends a selfatinds, pepper and the like. In the case of these the line for mixing inferior substances with those for the purpose of sale, as attempearum.

So that if the fraud be less than an eighth portion, the fine shall be less then two hundred panes, and greater if the fraud exceed the eighth portion.

² Known as Mus or udid (1751 in Maratha)—the root of the (1970) Vursua grass—Audropopus maricatus

Vîramitrodaya

Yannayalkva, Verse 245

Bhaishayam, 'medicament, i.e. medicinal articles, suchab, 'oily thougs, such as give etc., locamen, 'ait, such as the rock-salt, gandhal, lepratine, such as sure ate the oran and ignt new william in the menuing of the word dis (indicates) assimilate, march, pepper etc. In these articles of sale one mixing an article of inferior quality for the purpose of sile shall be composed to pay sitten panas. By the use of the word the, 'however, is excluded the penulty of two hundred or the like stated before (245).

Sûjapâni

l fijavalkya, Verse 245

One effecting a sale after mixing an article of inferior quality shall be punished sixteen pages (245)

Yajñavalkya, Vorse 246

When earth hide gem, yarn iron, wood, bork, or cloth, which is not of good quality, is made (to appear as) of good quality, the fine is eight times the amount of the sale

Middhafa — Morcover, when a superior quality does not exist un articles undivide the it is regarded as being alth, not of good quality, for gring such a fing the appearance of (a substance of) oned quality, Bulanne: a for the purposes of the sale, making it resemble a timing of a valenble land, by the addition of (a different) clour, colors or pure, as for instance counterfering Inservation, colors to purpose, as for instance counterfering Inservation, or the tiger-shub yadding savid colors to a cut skin, or a ruly by tunging a sphatida bead with another hise, or a silken thread, by giving a glossy appearance to a cotion thread, or salved, by bringing on a begit color by polshing black, instal, or sunded to a successive state of Enhant wood, or pensing the bark of Inselation for that of a clove, or counterfeiting a sulken cloth by creating a glossy appearance on a cotton obtain, in such

- I Known in Maratha as 201921 (Avia) Ayetanthee undulata
- 2 Mallila is a kind of parmine Physicanthus emblica 3 Known as the Bela (\$7) tree degle Marmelor or wood apple
- 4. Kentista is the name of a plant bearing a herry, which also is known as

cases) the fine must be understood to be eight fold of the commodity (offered) for sale up the earth, leather and the like, which is made to resemble (another commodity).

Vıramitrodaya

Vijimavalkya, Verse 246
In the case of earth etc. as articles for sale and not of the requirep quality: e when it is not of the quality which will induce the higher piece, one by bringing on a bright colour etc. with a view to make it appear of the quality which will induce a higher piece, sloudd be fined eight must be piece of the best article of the law of 246.

5

10

Sûlapânt

Vijiravskys, Verse 2,6

Of these which sere of some value, one special consideration considerates and one of good quality shall be fined eight lines the amount of the price received, as for example, minne earth with the finguous of the mind which dispute on the malked down and celling it as fragman demanding, and such other acts may be inferred.

Yâiñavalkya, Verses 247-248

He who pledges or sells a scaled casket or a valuable vessel which is artificially prepared, shall be lined thus (247)

attitically prepared, shall be lined thus (234)

For (a thing the value of which is) the fraction of a pana, hity (panas), and for a pana a hundred; for two panas, two bundred, and when the value is higher, (the fine is) higher

Page 139*

Mitakshara -Seal means a cover. That which has the cover of a seal is a sealed easiet samudram Parivartamam artificial preparation, i.e. transformation He who exhibits one casket (a e one) containing pearls, and changes it by a sleight of hands for another rasket filled up but containing Sphatikûs (or glass stones), as also he who counterfeits a valuable commodity such as the musk and the like. and either sells it or deposits it as a pledge the determination of fine 30 for such a one should be understood as follows If the actual prace of the counterfested must or other article is the fraction of a pana, pane bhinne, i e less i e if its value is less than a pana, the fine for a sale in which the counterfeited article was sold shall be fifty panas In the case where, however, the price is a pana, the fine shall be a hundred, and in the case of an article of the value of two panas two hundred; and in this manner the amount of the fine should be increased according as the price is (determined to be) higher.

25

Vîramltredaya

Väinavalkava, Verses 247-248

Mudram, 'seal', i.e. the cover, of that having been exhibited for sale etc., at the time of the sale with that a cover with a seal full of - 5 pearls, one who changes it by a sleight of the hand etc. for a pot full of bright pebbles etc., etc. (247-48).

Śūtavānt

.11 Yainavalkva, Verse 248

For one who misappropriates a valuable vessel or a scaled casket, or 10 artificially properes saffron etc. and who celledt, the determination of the fine should be made. How should it be made? So the Author says when it is less by a pana the penalty shall be fifty panas; when it is a full pana (the penalty is) hundred panes. For two panes, two hundred For a value exceeding this, the penalty should be made according to the excess.

Yâiñavalkva, Verse 249

For (traders) combining to maintain a price to the prejudice of lahourers and artisans, although knowing the rise or fall of the prices, the fine shall he the highest amercement.

Mitakibara:-Although knowing the increase or decrease in the market rates as regulated by the king, if traders combine, i.e. join 20 together, and out of greed for profit, maintain another price, which is detrimental to the labourers, karanam, such as the washermen and others, or silpinâm, the artisans such as painters or sculptors and the like, they shall be fined one thousand panas.

Sütapünt

Yajanvalkya, Verse 240

For grocers fixing a price for the corns etc. without the consent of the king and in a manner which would be oppressive, as also for the artisans and manufacturers for effecting an increase or decrease in the price declared by the king, the populty should be one thousand perces. Manufacturer, such as the weaver; Salps, artisan', sc, sculptor (249).

. .

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 250
For traders combining to obstruct (the sale of) a commodity by (de-

Yajaavalkua Ch. XX

highest amercement (249, 250).

Verses 250-251

manding) a wrong price, or for selling it, the fine laid down is the bighest americement.

Māākļarā:—Moreover, thoso traders who combining together, obstruct (the sale of) a commodity arrived from a foreign constry, by demanding it at a wrong price, anarbena, i.e. at a lower value, or sell it at a higher price, the highest amercement or fine for these has been laid down by Manu and others!.

Vîramitrodaya

Of those who fix an inconvenient price i. e. the rate for corns etc. and of the traders who bring about the depreciation or increase of the price fixed by the king, the penalty for these has been stated by the stages to be measured by a thousand parent. So also for those traders obstructing the sale of a valuable commodity by (setting up) a small price, and those who purchase by decid etc. or those who sell a commodity of sale multiple in the price the penalty laid down is the

Atiwhat price, then, must a commodity be sold? so the Author says 20
Yaifia valkya., Verse 251

The sale or purchase should be (conducted) at that price which is fixed by the king; the surplus made therefrom is understood to be the legal profit of traders.

Militikshari.— Rijani, by the Liot, when he is mear thickpate yorghab, 25 whatere price is determined, i.e. is regulated by him, by such a race the saile and purches must be made every day. That surplus which has been derived from it is misrarab, the surplus mede, i.e. the special belance i.e. the surplus over the rate as regulated by the king is the only legal profit of the traders and not the one made from rates determined by their own faucy. A special role, int, moreover, a mined by their own faucy. A special role, int, moreover, in the indicated by Mana' in the matter of regulating prices: "Once in "(every) hive nights, or at the close of each fortisipht or month, the "king shall settle the prices in the presence of these [ie a the traders]."

 [&]quot;The meeting which results therefrom is, that a fine is directed for the
offence of mixing or lowering the much it to fixed by the king." The sage
declares that practices and size headed be conducted according to the prices
regulated by the Severeign (Coldrenks).
 Ch. "Ull. 46", Some offlices of Mean read (2 above not)—

Viramitrodaya

Valifiavally, Verse 25!

Now with the avadiance of these penalties how can they have their subsistence? So the Author proceeds "by the king etc. That price which has been fixed by the indession in the presence of the king with his struction, with their price should the side and purchase be made by the merciants every day. Therefore the residue which would remain from the purchase and sale, i.e. the excess part, that alone, and the residue of the tradesimend dealing in profits. Here Manu's these aspecial rule. Once every two rughts, "ar at the close of each fortunglu or a month, the large should fix the "profess in the presence of these, i.e. it thatlers (25).

Sülapânl

Yajiiavalkya Verses 250-251

15 Whatever price is fixed by the king with that alone should the sale and purchase be effected. Whatever is realised by the sale of the articles of merchandise in excess of the original amount has been declared as the small profit for the traders.

Yajñavalkya, Verse 252

20 On commodities of one's own country a trader shall take five per bundred and ten on those from foreign countries when he buys and sells again immediately Milakshara —Moreover, he who nurchases a commodity obtained

minimizer a received he was purchased community outside in his own country and sells it such a one shall take a profit of five per cent i e five in one handred pearse. On a commodity however, obtained from a foreign country he should take as his profit, ten prizes on an original cost of one hundred pearse (oa) a commodity, the sale of which is brought shout immediately on the day of purchase

But he again who sells at a subsequent time for such a one, a 30 greater profit stall be allowed as a longer time elepses. And thus the market prices of commodities of his own country should be so regulated by the king that there may be a profit of five panns in a hundred panns on the regulated price.

ຣ໌ບີໄລນຈິກໄ

Yajñavalkya Verse 252

For an article of merchandise in one s own country the trader should recover five per cent as profit while for an article imported from another country, ten per cent provided the sale and purchase occur immediately, in the case of delay, there is no rule (252)

35

| Witakshara & Vira — How should the price be fixed? 1295

PAGE 140*

The Author mentions the principle for determining the price of a foreign commodity

Yâmayalkya. Verse 253

5

Adding the incidental charges to the cost of the commodity, let the price be fixed which shall be equitable both to the buyer and the seller

Mitaksbara -On a commodity arriving from another country, after calculating the charges which are mearred for carrying it from and back to the foreign' country, as also the customs and other dies and adding these to the original cost of the commodity, the price should be determined by the Aing2 which will be equitable both to the buyer and the seller, so that a profit of ten per cent may be made

Vîramitrodaya Of what kind, from what commodity is this residue? So the Author says " In ones country etc

Yñiñavalkva Verses 252-3 k If after purchasing in one s own country only it is sold, there, for a commodity of the value of hundred panas, five panas, but for having purchased in another country and brought in ones own country if a commodity is sold, there the trader shall take ten panas as the profit if he sells the commodity not after a long interval after its purchase. By 90 reason of its being in a distant country when there is long delay for a sale having added the expenses for the importation, preservation etc of the commodity and thus adding to the original cost on a hundred of that by regard to the difference due to the native and foreign comitre, the line should fix a price which should be fifteen per cent in excess and which should benefit the seller and the purchaser By the use of the word chance added the citizens also by the use of the word ea, 'only , 13 excluded (the possibility of) neglect by the king in the matter of fixing the price Here other pondities in regard to other subject natiers are not stated for fear of adding to the bulk of the book 30

Thus ends the Chapter on Sahasas

Sülanânî Válňsvalkya Verse 253

For an article of merchandise which has been received from a mountainous and distant country whatever has been incurred as expenditure etc the price of that should be determined by thousand folding the same in such a manner that it may not be detrimental to the vender or the purchaser (253)

Of Manu Ch VIII (01 where a general rule I as been laid down,

In this instance another or a foreign country should not be assumed by a difference in language or the intervention of mountains or tivers but by the actual distance by Depands

CHAPTER XXI

Non-delivery after Sale

Having finished a topic which incidentally arose, the Author now introduces the chapter on Non-delivery after Sale. Its characteristics, moreover, have been stated by Narada1: "When a commodity has "heen sold for a price and is not delivered to the purchaser, it is termed "Non-delivery of a sold chattel-a Title of Law." There, after mentioning the two-fold division of yendible things according as they are movable or immovable, its six-fold character less been demonstrated by the same Author: "In this world vendible property is of two kinds, movable and "immovable (2). The rule regarding delivery and non-delivery of "merchandise is declared six-fold by the learned riz. (What is sold) "by tale, by weight, by measure, according to work, according to its "beauty, and according to its splendour (3)." 'By tale' as the betel-nut 15 or the like, 'By weight' such as gold, musk, saffron and the like. 'By measure such as rice or the like. 'According to work' such as a horse, buffalo and the like as determined by the burden carried or the milk yielded by them. 'According to its beauty' such as a

prostitute &c. 'According to its splendour' i.e. according to their 20 lustre such as an emerall, a ruby or the like. The Author mentions a fine for one who having sold a merchandise of (any of) these six kinds, does not deliver it.

Yajnavalkya, Verso 254

He who, having received the price of a thing sold, does not, however, deliver it to the bayer, shall be compelled to deliver it together with interest; or with the foreign prolit, to one who has come from a foreign country.

Mikichari.—That merchandise of which the price has been received by the seller is ghitamilyam one, the price of which was received, if the seller does not deliver such a thing on demand to a local purson of the seller does not deliver such a thing on demand to a local purson to the seller does not be the seller does not be the seller to the the seller sale, but is obtainable at a low price at nonther time, then the seller shall be compelled to pay to the buyer the article together with the excess in value of the commodity—whether movable or immovable—over the one to which it is reduced. When there is no difference in

Ch. VIII. 1.
 Ch. VIII. 2-3.
 John is delivery and Addms is non-delivery. Dr. Jolly translates it as gift and receipt, and so does Colsbrooke III. 2. 3.

the price of the commodity and its original price, but the commodity retains the same price at which it was agreed to be sold at the time of the (contract of) sale, the seller shall be compelled to make over to the buyer the merchandise itself together with the profit which a seller may have made e g two, three, or the like per hundred as already discussed, or otherwise according to the option of the seller. As says Niradal: "If there has been a fall in "the market value of the article in question, the purchaser shall "receive both the article itself together with the difference in value. "This law applies to those who are inhabitants of the same place; "but to those who travel abroad, the profit srising from (trading "in) foreign countries shall be made over (as well)."

When, however, on account of a rise in the price of the commodity, there is a diminution in (the value of) the thing, then the seller must be compolled to deliver over the thing itself together with the 15 charges for enjoyment of the thing itself such as in the case of cloth, for wearing it, and in the case of a house, for comfortably dwelling in it. and the like. As says Narada": "If a man sells property for a certain "price, and does not hand it over to the purchaser, he shall have to "pay its produce, if it is immovable, or the profits arising from it. 20 "if it is movable property."

Possession by the seller is declared a decrease, as the thing loses in value from the point of view of the buyer. The decrease (here comprehended) is not say destruction of property such as the demolition of a wall, or the like; since that has already been mentioned in3: .25 "If the article should have been injured, or destroyed by fire, or

"carried off, the loss shall be (charged) to the seller alone, as he did
"not deliver (it) after it had been sold (by him)." When however, such a purchaser has come from a foreign

country for taking away the merchandise, then, the seller must be compelled to deliver over the Page 141* thing to the buyer together with such profit as might have been made by one taking the merchandise and selling

it in a foreign country. This rule, however, regarding the delivery of a thing purchased \$5 shall be observed in the absence of a rescission When, however, there is a rescission, the rule must be followed as hid down by Manuin: "If (anybody), after baying or selling anything de"

^{1,} Ch. VIII. 6. 2. Ch. VIII. 4. 3, Nårada Ch. VIII. 6. 4, Ch. VIII. 222.

S61apâat

Válňavalkya, Verse 254

He who does not deliver an article of merchanduse of which the price had been received by him, such as one shall be compelled to pay to the purchaser the price together with the interest, if that is received from the country. If, however, it was received from another country then he shall be compelled to pay together with the profit thereon Vishna" says. One who having received the price of a thing solid, does not deliver a does not give to the purchaser, used as campillation compelled to pay to him together to with interest, and he should be punished by the King with hundred "cause" (254)

Yajnavalkya, Verse 255

A commodity, even if sold once may be sold again, if the first purchaser do not take it, and if there is loss on account of the fault of the 15 purchaser, the same shall be his alone

Mitakinata — Moreover, when, however, the purchaser, repenting of his purchase, does not desire to take delivery of the commodity sold, then the commodity even when sold (once) may be sold to any other Also when the purchaser does not accept (delivery of) a thing when (it was) offered by the seller, and it the commodity is destroyed by act of Good or of the hins, then the loss will be of the

purchaser alone, since the loss happens through the fault of the purchaser in refusing to accept the commodity.

S ûlapânî

25 Yajiiavalkya, Verse 255

When an article has been sold and the first purchaser does not accept, it should be sold (again) If there is any depreciation through the fault of the purchaser then that is of the purchaser himself (255)

Yajfavalkya Verse 256

30 Should a commodity be sayured by act of God or of the long, the loss shall be of the seller alone of the did not deliver it on a demand

Makshara —Moreover, when, however, the seller does not deliver a ting even upon request by the buyer, even when he has not resented (the sale), and it is injured by an act of God or of the king, then such loss is of the seller alone Therefore another unblemeined commodity, smalar to that which has been damaged, must be delivered to the buyer

Sûlapânt

Yaliiavalkya, Verse 256

If through the act of king or God any blemish occurs in the article any depreciation that follows is of the soller only, if it is not delivered to the purchaser who was asking for it. It follows, therefore that if the purchaser does not accept when it is being delivered, then the fault is of the purchaser (256)

Yâmavalkya, Verse 257

If a man sell a commodity to one, when it had already been sold to another, or a blemished commodity as unblemished, the fine shall be double the price of the thing

Mitakshara -- Arun, he who sells or delivers a thing to one when it had already been sold to unother, and even without resembing (the first sale), or sells a commodity which has a flaw, by patching up the flaw, then a fine double in amount of the price of the coinmodity must be understood Narada1 ilso has stated a special rule in such a case "When a man sells something to one person "and delivers it to another person he shall be compelled to pay "double the amount and also a fine of an equal amount (8) When "a man exhibits a faultless thing but sells one having a flaw, such a one shall be compelled to pay twice its value and also an equal 'amount as fine (7)"

The whole of this law is to be observed in the case of a commo dity, for which a price has been paid. In the case of a commodity, however, for which no price has been paid, excepting such special aggreement as may have been entered into under the terms of the merely verbal negotiations between the seller and the buyer, there is no liability for entering (into a new transaction) or receding (from one already entered into) As says Naradat "Thus has the law "been declared with regard to a merchandise for which the price "has been tendered , when the price has not been tendered, there is no "rescission" to be imputed to the sendor, except in the case of a " special agreement "

De folk and honces is form. This appears to be a better scaling But all the editions of the Mitabel ora real 1700

⁸⁵

Vîramtirodaya Now "when a commodity has been sold for a price and is not "delivered to the purchaser, it is termed 'Non-delivery after sale ' A "title of liw, ' thus characterized by Naradal the Author treats of 5 the title of law called 'Non delivery after sale'

Válňavalkya Verses 254-57

When from the purchaser the price has been received by the seller himself, if he does not deliver the same to the purchaser, then he should be compelled to deriver the commodity to him together with the increase 10 The merease has been stated by Narada* "If a man sells property for a "certain price and does not deliver it to the purchaser, he shall pay its "depreciation if it is immovable, or the profits if it is a mornble "property. Kahayam, 'depreciation' ic (as for) possession; profits

such as service etc. By the use of the word eta, 'only', (however) is understood to be 15 in the absence of a repentance by the purchaser. On a repentance by him, however, the rule laid down by Manu! should be followed "If unybody in this world after buying or selling anything repent (of the burgain), he may give or take back that commodity within 20 "ten days Narada" "If there is a fall in the market value uself of the "article, the purchaser shall receive both the article itself and the "difference in value This law applies to those who are inhabitants of the same place, but to those who travel abroad, the profits ansing from (triding in) foreign countries shall be made over. Vishnus 'He who "does not deliver to the purchaser a commodity the price of which has

and he shall be fined hundred panas by the a the first purchaser on account of his change of mind flot taken of assection and 30 of asspection or after that time, while that commodity ing the mittrial there we also seems of the commodity in the commod there is a deprecation in the video of the commodity ing the sold of defect accurate and the video of the commodity s being sold of defect accurate and the video of the commodity. defect occurring in it by reason of the fault of the put account of a perly inspecting it or by an act of God or of the baser not prodepreciation will be of the purchaser only. If whenge then the 35 demanded it and the seller did not deliver, then the lottle purchastr himself This is the morning The word apr is u is of the sale s Ch V d in the sense

"been received by him, shall be competed to deliver it with interest

² Ch VIII 4 1 Ch VIII I 4 Oh WITT 6 Oh V 1-9 n 126-27

30

of opposition The use of the word a.a. only . twice, discriminates the purchaser and the seller, by the use of the word he the Author intends the cause for the depreciation

Without expressing disagreement if the first purchaser through confusion etc does not take delivery if the commodity is delivered in the hands of another after the acceptance of the price or if the commo dity having a fault has been sold as funitiess there the punishment shall be double of the price of both the commodities by the use of the word cha is added that to the purchaser also double that of the price should be given That says Naradal He who after having sold to one delivers it to another shall be compelled to pay double of that amount and also a fine of an equal amount (6) This law has thus been declared with regard to a merchandise for which a price has been paid. where the price has not been tendered there is no rescission to be 'imputed to the seller except in the case of a special agreement (10) By the use of the word tu however, is excluded (a transaction) where the price has not been received but the sale has been only by a word of mouth (254-5/)

Súlapant

Yajnavalkya Verse 257

When an article has been sold to one and it is again sold to another as faultless although faulty then double the amount of the price shall be the penalty (257)

Resolssion of a rule has (thus) been described, the characteristics of a rescission of a purchase have already been discussed before how the Anthor mentions a rule which is common to both of these

Yamavalkya Verse 258

No rescission of a sale of commodities shall be made by a trader unless he was impurant of the excessive or diminished rates (therefor) He who does (rescind) shall be liable to pay a fine of a sixth part

Mitakshara -Nanusayah karyah no rescussion (of a jurchase) shall be made by a purchaser, who does not perceive any excess in the price charged, or the commodity upon a valuation of the same subsequent to the time of the purchase but at the rates prevailing at the time

¹ Ch VIII 6 10 1 Ch VIII 5 10 2 It seems Mr Colebrookes reads this verse in Yapavalkya differenti His reading would appear to be remarkated into the translates as who knows the profit and loss & See Coldrooks Dig I p 68

of the purchase; nor shall the contract be recentled by the seller who does not perceive my loss in the commodity consequent upon its excessive value (in the market)

If, however, there is a cognition of increase or decrease, that the pur 5 chiser or seller or both may resund follows by the negative inference

The time for recession has however, been mentioned by Narada' When a purchaser, after having purchased an article for a (certain)

prion considers that he has made a bad bargain he may return it to the vendor on the same day, in an und imaged condition (2) When

"a the purchaser returns it on the second day the seller shall deduct
"a thirtieth part of the price, twice as much on the third day, after

' that time the thing belongs to the purchaser alone"

Page 1128

In the case moreover of a sale or purchase made without an

1302

examination (of the commodity) the time for resending the contract to account of a defect in the commodity has already been indicated in the leaft. Then one, few, seven days &c. Therefore from this text it may be inferred that a knowledge of the increase or decrease in the native my serie as a reston for a recession. Moreover, from the interval for testing a commodity prichared may be inferred the 20 reason for a recession on the ground of defects in the commodity. Therefore, whoever makes a recession in the absence of the three reasons—it is a defect in the commodity, of an increase or decrease in its value—even within the time allowed for incommodity. The same fine shall be fined a math part of the (value of the) commodity. The same fine shall be milited on one who makes a resignoun after the lapse of the interval allowed for a recession, even though there be (good) resum for a recession.

1 Ch IX 2 3 C/o sko Yajn II 177 p 1.02 abovo

2 Of Yajuavalkya II 177 see pago 1202 above Balambhatta attribites it to Manu apparently a mastake

3 Re-according to Yupanestrian there are only three main reasons for resembling a control of sales reproducts or (1) Addicts in the commodity or (2) admining our (3) less curring on account of the agrorouse of calcul, rather presuming a the sards at the same of the sale. These are the only ransons And according the recess on an essent of these recessors must be made within the term of the sales of the

In the case of things which do not suffer by enjoyment, and which have a fixed value, the fine for one making a rescission after the time for rescission is over, shall be imposed in accordance with the rule laid down by Manu! "But after (the lapse of) ten days he may neither give it nor cause it to be given (bick), both he who "takes it (back) as well as he who gives it (back), shall be fined "by the Ling six hundred nanas "

Thus ends the chapter called Non delivery after sale

Viramitrodava

Now of the rescussion of purchase which has been stated before 10 generally, the Author mentions the special rule in point in regard to non delivery after sale

Yatiavalkva Verse 258

A rescission should not be made by a trader when he has purchased without knowing the depreciation of the value of the commodits Having sold without knowing the appreciation in the price of the commodity, a reseission should not be made. Thus one who makes a rescission even without knowing, makes bimself amenable for penalty for a sixth of the price of the commodity By the use of the word cha, 'also, is meant that a resession may be made upon a discovery of the full or rise. Nor is there the text this ing sold etc. included

Brhaspail'. 'What has been sold by one intoricated, or insone, or "at a very low price, or under the auguste of feir," or by one not his 'own master, shall be relanguished by him (the purchaser), or (the seller) "may recover it bach | The connection is that the purchaser shall give it up, and the seller shall take it back,

Thus ends in the commentary on Yangay ilky a the Chapter on Non delivery after sale

Sulpant

Válunyalkya Verse 2x8

When merchants make purchases without ascertaining the appreciation or depreciation of the articles of sale a rescusion should not be made. When one makes a resolution, he shall be liable to pay as penalty one-sixth part of the price of the articles cold Narada states a special rule (see above Mitakshara p 1302 1 7-12) (238) 35

^{* 33111.5} 1 Cb VIII 223

The Benares edition reals at T, while Dr Jolly in his Extracts of Behaspati had it appears, the reading and which is better and therefore followed 4 Ch IN 2-3 and is accepted in the translation

ķ

20

30

CHAPTER XXII

Trading by Partnership

Now is being described the Title of Law called 'Triding in Partnership '

Yânîavalkva, Verse 259

Among traders carrying on a business in partnership with n view to gain, the prolit and loss shall be according to the (contribution of each to the) stock, or according as was determined by special agreement.

Mitakehara - Samayayah, portnership, is un ugreement! by which several persons agree to do any business together. Under such an 10 agreement, for such work as is done by each of the neople, such as traders, actors, dencers and like others working with a view to gain, the share of profit or less labhalabban, , e of an increase or decrease, will be determined by regard to the contribution of each; c accor-15 ding to the quantity of stock for the use of the trade supplied by each Or, the shares (in the profit and loss) shall be determined as fixed upon by any agreement or compact (between the parties), such as, having regard to the chief qualities and capacity in each, such a one should be entitled to two shares, such another one share, and the like

Vîramitrodaya

The Author now begins the title of law known as "Trading by Partnership '

Yájňavalkym Verse 250

Of the traders combining together for profit and engaging in a tran 25 saction, the profit or loss shall be (determined) according to (the contribution of) the original amount of money Or if the profit and loss have been the subject of a special agreement, then these shall be according to such arrangement in that manner in the case of traders, actors etc (259)

Ślitapâni

Ysjawatkya, Verse 250

Of tradesmen engaging in trade by a combination with a view to profit the profit or loss should be understood to be according to the share of the capital of each, or the same should be determined as may have been previously agreed to (259)

An agreement thus "We will all do this business together"

30

Yamayalkya, Verse 261

The king shall take as a tax a twentieth share of the price fixed (by A Commodity which has been specially reserved as also that which is worthy of a king shall, if sold, belong to the king.

Mitakshara - For fixing the price of a commodity s g such an 5 article of merchandise shall have such a price to by reason of the some being determined upon by the Ling he (a c the king) shall receive a twentieth part of the price as a tax That, moreover, which washdham, had been specially reserved a c prohibited by the king from being sold invwhere else, also that which is worth; of the king, such as jewels, rubies and the like, even when forbidden, if the same be sold out of greed for profit without informing the king tadrajagami the

same shall belong to the ling, a e all that merchandise the king shall appropriate without (regard to) the payment of the price

15 Vîramitrodaya

On the occasion of the treatment of the right to a share, the Author mentions the king's right to a share

Yâiñavallva Verse 261

By re son of his frung the price the king shall take a twentieth part, the meaning is that the lang shall take from the price. Some, however, hold that the Ling should not take a share, but the commodity itself or its price in entirety should be taken by the king Vaastddham, specially reserved ,: e 'this must not be sold here , a commodity which has been thus prohibited by the king but has been also there

25 Rajoyogyam cha, 'also that which is worthy of a king, such us a rouge elephant or the like although not prohibited have been sold elsewhere without the permission of the king, tad rangedmi, that goes to the king, may be appropriated by the king a even without his paying the price (261)

Sûjapâni

Vájňavalkva Verse 261

On account of the determination of the price the king may take a twentieth part of the price as his tax Such article, moreover as may have been prohibited by the king as for sale (in the market) on account of its extraordinary character as also such as is fit for the king as e g a 95 white chowry such article even though sold is of the king (261)

5

Mitâ, Vîra & Sûla - Emmon of Excise duties,

Yamavalkya, Verse 262

He who falsely declares the quantity, who avoids a follog office shall be compelled to nav eight times, as also he who purchases or sells fraudilently, PAGE 143*

Mitakshara -That trader, again, who conceals the (real) quantity of a commodity with the object of defrauding the customs (officers), or skulks away from the tolling offices , as also he who either purchases or sells an article which is the subject of a disoute (as to) whether it belongs to this or it is of that man, all these shall be fined eight times the amount of the value of the commodity

Viramitredaya

10 The Author mentions the penalty for one who does not nay to the ling and is adverse.

Vájányalkva, Verse 262

One who with a view to evade the payment of the tax declares falsely the measure in quantity of the merchandise such as cloth, pearls, 15 etc , or avoiding the excise officer energ this has been deposited by me here, it is neither sold nor purchased, and thus causing deception, a trader who thus effects a sale or purchase shall be compelled to pay eight times the price of the commodity as penalty. By the use of the word cha twice, the Author indicates severally as the cause for the infliction of 20 a fine upon those who deceitfully carry on sales or purchase indicated b) the word ending in the present participle termination (262)

Sûlapâni

Vâlčavalkva, Verse 262

lie who through covelousness declares falsely at the excise office the Quantity of an article for a sale, and one who without paying the fell ane ike off from the excess office, he also who with a view to defraud the king at the time of the sale ultimately fraudulently makes purchases or sales such a one should be compelled to pay aspendity an eightfold of the money defrauded When, moreover, without even going to the toll office. he goes elsewhere by another road, then the entire property should be confiscated As says Vishnut One who evades the toll office shall incur the forfeiture of the entirety " (262)

Ch III 16

A marine officer levying a land cess, shall be compelled to pay ten point. The same shall be (the fine) for not mixing the Brahmanas and Prutice was

5 Maškaharā — And agam, entoma dues are two fold tre one levied on land, and another levied on water. Of these, the tax levied on land has been mentioned in the text "The lang shall take as tax the twe meth "bare of the prior fixed." The tax levied on nater, however, has been mentioned in the text of Mama" "At a ferry, a conveyince shall b "mide to pay one possa, a man half a passa, an animal and "aleo a women a quarter and an anloaded man one half of a "quarter (401). Conveyances fully laden with results shall be mide "to pay toll at a ferry according to the solutance", empty vessels, as "also men without a luggage (shall pay) a trifle (400). But a ferry mercant for two mouths or more an section.

"a monh, as slee a Brahmana, students of the Yedas, shall not be
'made to pay toll at a ferry (407)"

Here is another special tule applicable even to both kinds of tolls
"A toll is never leaved on a som less than a kar hipana, it is never leave
20 "able on a livelihood gened by are not on an infinit not on a messence."

"able on a hielihood gained by art, not on an infant, not on a messenger, "nor on what has been received as alms nor on the remains of stoler property, nor on a Exacting in or on a bermit nor on a sacrifice".

That by which a thing is floated as a ferry e.g. a bout and the

like One who is commissioned to recover the toll levied on these is Tarisha a Marine Opiner Such a one, when he recovers a toll levied on that stall be find ten paras Mea, I can and Pratitive an industries of one sown house and the Ingelbours in its J. Front or rear People living in these parts are Printergus (somed together) make up (the compound expression). By immens and Printergus The non-invitation of these on exequal coassions or the like, who they are accomplished by the study of the Vedus and by their (pure) lives and when he has competence (to myste them.)—this wiself must write firmst

be understood to be punishable with ten panas

¹ Yamerelkya II 261 p 1306 above 2 Ch VIII. 401 405 and 40.
3 1 e according to the quantity of the goods as well as their value

व बाह्मणालिहीनो-बाह्मकिया बाह्मण्याम् । - स्थाति

⁶ See Vasishika Ch. XIX 37 Balambhatta assigns it to Manu

15

20

Varamteedaya

Like a trader not paying the tax, a penalty is payable by the officer of the king also when he recovers a tax which is not proper Intending to lay down thus rule the Author states a penalty also for transgressions of a like character.

Yñiñavatkva Verse 261

Tantah, 'A naval officer, 'e one appointed for the water tw, a 'twentieth part of the pines fixed as stated before' when he recovers a tay on land, such a one shall be compelled to pay the penalty of ten banas.

Prairies is a house in the close vicinity of one sown house one who does not minte Brillmanns remining there even when there is accessing for an invitation. To others shall be compelled to pay also a penalty of ten pansa By the use of the word about it is added "if he accepts his invitation Mann's streets the water tri. "At a ferry, a convojance shall be made to pay one peace, a man holf a journ, in numel and a woman, a quarter, and holded man. Convey notes fully laden with vessels shall be made to pay a tall at a ferry according to the "substance Empty vessels as also men without a luggage a trifle." A man is a name carrying a load.

Here there is a special rule over with source to the two birds of toils. A full is never level for a sum less than a Adr-kapana. It is never level for a sum less than a Adr-kapana. It is never levelbe on a livilhood guined by art, nor on unfaith, nor on a messenger, nor on what his becar necesser us sinse, nor on the remains of stolen property, nor on a Srotress mor on a limitation of a lemmi, nor on a sensifie (25).

Sutapant

Yajnavalkya, Verse 263

One authorized as a toll officer for the water cess recovering on land 30 the toll which is to be recovered on water should be compelled to pry ten points and one not inviting neighbouring Brábmanns on an occasion of feast should similarly be fixed ten pages (203)

¹ See verse 261 p 100f above

A receivery of severy and appears to be good. A rection give would, it were in it the reading at the first section. The need of an interference of the typers to the state of the severy of the typers to be an interesting named bitters out. This is regarded to the set of the severy sections of the severy of the

³ There is no chain the original

^{4 (}h V III 100-7

The Author mentions a rule regarding the property of a trader dving abroad

Vâjňavalkva, Verse 264

iff one goes abroad and dies (there), his wealth shall be taken by his 5 al madas bindharas of his mitte, or who may have come; and failing these. by the king.

Milaksbara -- When one of those who trade in partnership goes abroad and dies, then his store, his dayadah i co his sons and other lineal descendants, bandhavah i.e (relations) er parle materna, such as the maternal uncle and others, his justayah a e his bannida relations other than his lineal descendants; or who man have come, agatib i.e such of the members of the joint trading partnership as may have returned from the country abroad; (these) shall take. Tailing these, tair vina, s. e. in the absence of these rer, the disaddes and others, the king shall take.

By the use of the word withor, the Anthor indicates the precentain? nature of the right of the dividas and others to succeed. The rule as to the order of mocession, however, must be understood here to be the same as that propounded in the text?. "The wife, the daughter &c."

Among merchants also, he who is competent to offer the Inneral oblation and my the debts and do similar acts shall take. If there is no distinction as to the capacity (for doing the above), all the merchants trading together in union shall take after dividing. In the absence of these also, after waiting for the appearance of the digular and others for ten years, and on their non-appearance, the king may take it himself. All this has been made clear by Narada'. "Should one of the

25 " partners die, his altyl fas shall get his share (7) In the absence of " the digitar, any one of the partners), if all are equally competent-"On failure of these, he shall keep it well guarded for ten years (17).

"When such property without an owner, and unclaimed by a day ida "has been preserved for ten years, the king may then take it over to

"bimself Thus, the law will not be violated," I The whole of the passage has been translated and referred to by Measur

West and Habler in their Hindu Law at a 7 133 we and in Salvallen ve Ma 44's! 2' Born 20' (1 It) at p1, 218-21

^{7.} Ex "E l'aldjale-ort ceal Cortingent 2 Ye are the H 115 & 110 bee 1 100 alor

⁴ Th ast - taithat test will negate well the popul Courte best Driberana as I the eftennes ef l'a ne't el lle trebe - C) III 1, 17-18

Viramitrodava

If among men trading by partnership one dies, then by whom should his share be taken? So the Author says

Yajñavatkya Verse 264

While one trading as a partner dies list share his sons and the rest, his mother a sister's sons and the like of his sapindas who have gone there shall take the property according to the right. By the word ad, for , the alternative choice as well as the relative priority are included. Otherwise the whole goes to the lang (264)

Sulapänt

Yajñavalkya Verse 264

Among persons trading by partnership if one dies in unother country, his property, his sons sto in their absence, nucles sto in their absence kindred, and in their absence—except in the case of the property of a Brahmence—tho king, shall task (264)

Yâjňavalkya, Verse 265 (1)

A man of crooked ways let the other partners expel without profit, one mable (himself) may have it done by another

Page 144*

Midakdarā:—Moreover, phash, a man of creoled range, i e a cheat, —such a one, the other partners argatabhan tyaṣruḥ should digmte 20 of all profit and expel, i e deprive. He, moreover unaoog partners carrying on a joint trading brainess who saskbū 'is madle to supervise the vessels of merchandise and do other life acts such a one kārajel, may cruse his own duty, such as that of conveying the goods of trude, or supervise the accounts of the recorpts and debits relating 25 thereto and like other acts augusta, if another

The Author extends the law (relating to partnership) among traders as stated before, to priests officiating it a scentice and the like others

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 265 (2)

30

10

By this has (slso) been stated the law regarding officialing priests at sacrifices, husbandmen and arbisins

Milâksharâ:-Anena, by this r e by the statement of the rule1 regarding traders 145, "the profit and loss shall be Special rule regard "secording to the stock &c", riwyam regarding ing the distribution oficiating priests at sacrifices such as the Hotar and of the property of cacraticual priests

others, the husbandmen, as also the actors, dancers and others maintaining themselves on aits, vidhih, the land se the sale of conduct akhyatab has been stated

Even there, a special rule has been stated by Manu2 regarding the distribution of the property of priests "Among all (the priests) officiat-"ing at a sacrifice, those (first four who are) entitled to a half

' (Ardhinah) are the first (to receive), the next (four) one half of ' that, the set entitled to a third share, one third, and those entitled to a fourth a quarter" The meaning of this passage is this under the text " in a Jyoushioma" sperifice, they shall endow it with a "hundred" a hundred cons have been entoned as an endowment for 15

- 1 Yamayalkya II 209 see p 1304 above
- Ch VIII 210
- 3 The principal priests officiating at a sacrifice who ere called Riwiks are sixteen in number distributed under four classes, each class having four members (1) The first class includes those who are entitled to a half of the entire

Balahan, and are known as the Ardhurch

(2) The members of the second class are entitled to a half of the first and hence are known as Tadardhuah

(3) The third class are entitled to a third of the first class and are called

Trisunah (4) The fourth eless are entitled to a quarter of the first class, and are

Lnown as Padmoh

Although one hundred cows are considered as a proper Dakshind for a sacrifice, still to make the whole distribution commensurate, 96 is the number choten (see Amara I 3 16) so that the first class take 48 cows the second class 24, the third 16, and the fourth, 12, thus making up the total of 100 cows This is one way of distribution and has been expounded in the text of the Mitakebara Another way of distribution is suggested by some a g by harnda, according to which the whole is divided into 25 shares and the several classes shall receive 12, 6 4 and 3 theres each respectively, a mode which, it will be seen only deflects in the method of working out the figures but judds the same result

i Jeotschiovin is a Somm enerifice and is con idered as the type of a whole class of escribinal exemposes. At this sacrifice system officiating priests are required by law, and these are grouped mio four classes each class having again four prests in it as described in the note above, and in the test of the Mitakshare . the sacrifice as in licetive of respect to the river's or priests officiating at the sacrifice. The rheight moreover, are sexteen in number 122 the Hetr and others. There, in manner to the question, what nerson is entitled to what share? the rule in the present text is laid down Of all the sixteen priests offerning at a sacratice those who are the chief to the Hath, Adhramal, Brahmal and Udolt I these are entitled to a half of the hundred coas a e equal to forty eacht rows, to make the division complete into entire numbers? Those next in order i e the Maile n arringly Pratiper that & Brahman ichch imst. and Prastate are entitled to a half of that e e half of the principal share e co twenty four (cows) Those, moreover, who are entitled to a thirde is the Acheli in it. Ne ht is almidhra and Pranfart? these being entitled to a third a e a third of the principal share shall take a third r e states cows. Those indeed, who are entitled to a una ter it the Grillastud, Unnetit Ibil and Subrahmanuah, there shall take what amounts to a fourth part of the principal share is twelve cons

Indeed how can this rule as to shares prevail? There is here neither
An objection a compact (to this effect), nor a combination of
capital, nor any express text, under which there
may be a rule as to the shares. And under the matum of law tir. "In

"the absence of a special rule the shares shall be equal 'it is proper that all shall be entitled to equal shales or proportionately to their labour

Here the answer is In the Doudasaha' sacrifice which is only a variant of the principal sacrifice called hyotishioma,

The Answer it is not properto suppose that (the recognition of) 20 the Ardhinas Triuginas and Pinlinas is a mere repetition of something

I are compared, Januari X III 63 Thriteenth Adhabars a Bee note shown. The relative properties of the shows of the sevent elemen machine the three videous resolutions in relation to which the balf i.e. a to be determined. Moreover according to the latine, after a (I 3 to) the word order in the most of the sevent when the transmitting panels as not appeared by on the first class and then the numbers 24, 16 and 15 respectively are deduced by the method becambed above.

² Dead taha—is an offshort of the principal escrific called Jyonphrona which is called the Protein is a time because secretice as opposed to a Vibra which means a various of the base with slight variations as to details.

1314 Mitûksharî & Vîramitt**oda'ya—***The 2 ule discussed 🏻 Yerse* 195

already established, if in the principal sacrifice, the Main arama and the other priests were not entitled to a half, a third, and the fourth shares respectively. Therefore the rule as to (particular) shares as mentioned before (necessarily) follows from the very force of 5 the expressions Ardhas &c used in the Veda Thus there is no fault.

Thus ends the Chapter in the Law of Partnership

Veremitrodaya

Who indeed will not get is share? So the Author says Válňavalkva, Verse 265 (1)

Islands, 'crosked', a cone who by his tricks is instrumental in the t n non accomplishment of the business of the partnership such a one they (se the partners) should expel se drive out, without (giving any) profit The meaning is that if he is unable alone (to do it) then he should have his part performed by another, but should not practise deceit [265 (1)]

1 Samalitys-name, expression, one of the six mesns of proof of the Vanivoga vidba the other five being Stute Lange, Valyo, Prakarana and Sthana (See General Note on the Handa Law Texts p IV)

The meaning is thus The objector maintains in the statement of this objection, that the persons to whom the terms es Ardinas, Triumas &c are spoiled, are not necessarily entitled to a half, a third, or a fourth respectively, in every other exertice also, simply because of the fact that they get these shares in the principal sacrifice of Jeotschtonn This would be justifiable if it were a mere repetition of what had already been established. But no such rule has been established after a proper demonstration, and therefore there as no room for the adoption as a more repetition (Answeids) The suswer, however, is that it is not as a repetition (Annotal) of anything established (Siddle) that this rule as to shares is being propounded, but that the very force of the expressions to Ardhuas, &c used in the Yeda carries with at the menning that the several priests thus indicated are cutified to a half, a third, and a fourth share respectively

N B An Amusado (193113) is an explanatory revelation of, or reference to. what is already mentioned

It is also called also the subject of the assertion as opposed to fight, the fact or the quality asserted of the subjects of the predicate, and is to be proved or established The good is already known or assumed as astablished, while the fully is that to establish the connection of which with the ages is the object of the proposition. Thus Devadatta is wise, Here Devadatta is the 22 or the subject and being already known or assumed as established is from another point of yow also an NAME, but "nisdom' is that which is to be established with reference to Devadata and is therefore the four. This may be further developed to clarify the above thus "the Devadatia is good." Here Devadatia and his wisdom are known, and his goodness as to be established.

Viramitredaya

The rule as to profit and loss stated before' as the law among the tradesmen, the Author extends in the case of sacrificial priests and others

Yûlñavalkya, Verse 265 (2)

Anema, 'by this , i e by the statement of the rule as stated before, the law has been stated for the scenfierd priests, such as the Hold etc., for husbandmen i e those who carry on agriculture, for arresing, i. e. those who engage in works of art. dancing etc.

Regarding the division of property among the sacrificial priests 10 Manus states a special rule "Among all (the priests officiating at "a scorpfice,) those entitled to a half are the first (to receive), the next "(foor) one half of that, the set entitled to a fund share, one third and "those entitled to a fourth, a quarter

It is stated in the Vedic text that in a Journationa sacrifice they shall endow it with a hundred, by this a hundred cows has been enjoined. There, among the sixteen specifical priests, those who are the first four, are the Hota, Adhiana, Realing and Udgata are entitled in the expects of their first right to a share to a bulf by less a c. for these four, fortveight cons, for the next four viz Madriwarung, Pratibrasthata, Brahmanachchhamsi, and Praviota will have balf of it : e. will be entitled to twenty four as their slave, the third us. Arlichlanded, Noshid. Agnidhea and Proteharia, these four, are sharers for a third : e the third part of forty eight thus for them sixteen cows. The fourth, moreover, tiz Gravastud, Unneid, Pota and Subrahmanyah, they are the sharers of 25 the fourth of the first part, thus to them twelve cows are to be given Moreover, in whichever performance whatever quantity has been strated as the dakshow for that occasion which he should take these or all these all of them should take together Under the text "He gives two golden hights to the Adhiaryu such dakshimas as for example, for the sacred bath etc as have been stated in particular connections should be taken by him only, and here there is no division. This is the meaning. "Non after the Riank has been installed, Sankha and Likhita " after him another should be installed the dakshand shall be for him "only who has been installed before One who is installed after, may 95 "get a trifle, if he stays on for the period He should bide the time "waiting for the occasion He should not specified for another He

Verse 209, see page ahove

1316 Vîramitrodaya & Sûlapâqi-On a default ly some "should finish the sacrifice. having gone away, if one returns, he may

"get a trifle, if, however, the chief priest goes out, that priest should

"be fined a hundred coms," Manu! " After the dakshinds have been " paid, if he abundons his work he shall obtain his full share and have it 5 "performed by another 1 c, by the son or the like, Brhaspati", " What-"ever has been contributed jointly together, that should be demanded in "the same manner. Here if some one does not make a demand such a "one loses the profit Similarly! "When by the deficiency of one " partner as to cattle or seeds a loss happens in (the produce of) the field, 10 ," it must be made good by him. The meaning is, that on account of " whose contribution there is loss to the field by him should it be prid. "Also when goldsmiths or other artists operate totally, upon (a work " of) art, they shall stare the profits in due proportion corresponding "to the nature of their work. Profits : c. wages Katvavana": "Il 15 "artisans its apprentices, more advanced students, and teachers (are "employed together in one undertaking) they shall receive one after "another in order one, two, three, and four shares Brhasnatis "The " same rule has been declared for dancers by those conversant in law "The expert in fala (beating time) gets a bulf more, while the singers

20 "take equal shares.' Half more r c. together with the half.

Sûlapânl

Vâlôavalkva, Verse 265

One who is crooked : e deceifful, the partners should expel by culting off his share. One who is upable to look after a vessel etc should have 25 the work done by another

The law relating to the sacrificial prests busbandmen, and artisans is the same as stated (here) for tradesmen (255)

1 Cb VIII 208 Ch XIV 19 3 Ch NIV 2. Ch XIV 28

5 Ch V C12

6 Ch XXIV 120

CHAPTER XXIII

Now commences the chapter on Theft Its definition has been stated by Manu! 'An offence, which is committed Definition of Theft "in the presence, and with violence, would be called "Sahasa (t e robbery), if (it is committed) in the absence it would be "(called) Steya (theft), as also where anything is denied after it has "been committed," In the presence, t e in the presence of the owner guarding the property, or the king, or the chief officer, or like other. With trolence, 1 e with a show of criminal force, when a depri vation of another's property or any such similar act is committed, it becomes a Sakasa or robbery. Their, moreover, is two-fold 'In 'the absence' t c where, in the absence of the owner of the property. or like another, another's property is taken away by deception it is called theft Where, moreover, even when the act is committed in the presence, (the actor) denies (it) through fear (saying) 'I did not do this', even that is theft. It has also been said by Naradas

Page 145* "Taking away by any of these several means what

"suever, by deception, property of persons asleep,
"or disordered in intellect, or into acated, sages declare to be theft"

There, as the catching of a thief is necessary for punishing him, and as for catching him it is necessary to detect him, the Author presently mentions the means of detecting him.

Yājiāvalkyā, Verse 265

A thief is arrested by the police officer, by means of the lost article 25 or by the foot-mark, a man once convected of an offence as also one who lives in an inflorm offace.

Maikshafa —One, who is declared by the people Tris is a high manner—such a one should be arrested by the pohot officers, gribkish is a the state officers, such as the watchmen of the place and the like others, or he may be apprehended by means of the lopin lost article, is the vessel face which had been taken away, as (it a) on midex of

Ch VIII 332

² grains another reading which is seen in Manu and elsewhere. In that case it would mean faiter it has been taken away "

³ Cb XI 17V.

theft, or by tracing the foot nearly immediately from the day of the loss. He, moreover, para karmāparādhi nho had once been connected of an offence, i.e. who had once been found out to have committed a theft, as also one anddhawiakhah who lites in an and noun place, i.e. one whose b place of residence is unknown i.e. not well known, such in one also may be arrested.

^rSûlapânî

Yanayalkya, Verse 266

By the officers of the police one who has been pointed out as a thirf in about he apprehended for theft by a mark or sign of theft, by foot-mark is commencing with the place of less and in pursuit of the foot-terps of the threese etc., or previous note of robbery, and one who has not established by place of resulting the foot-terp.

Vâniavalkva, Verses 267-268

15 Others also may be apprehended on suspicion, such as those who conceal their caste name and the like, those who are addicted to gambling, women, and drinking, as also those whose mouth hecomes parched up and voice fallers (267).

Also those who make inquiries about another's wealth and houses,
those movements are mysterious who having no income spend much and
those who sell lost articles (258)

Mithibara"—Moreover, not only that those mentioned before should alone be arrested, but anyon, others also, by means of merks to be presently mentioned sadayā grahjah, may le ay prehended on suspicion

25 'On account of the concertment of the castel, e.g. in the form 'I am not a Sudra', on account of the cancertment of the name e.g. one saying 'I am not Lapitha'. And by the use of the term Adva' and the like,' those also should be apprehended, who are exposed by the concealment of their own country, vallege, family and the like.

Those who are excessively addicted to gambing, public women, drinking and other similar vices, as also one who when accested by the police officers whence have you come? "subkamblash, has his mouth purched up, or bhinnaisware, his route follers, tren he also may be appreciated. By the tree of the plural number are included also those whose forchead perspires, and the blue others."

1 See Yard 11 13-15 p 691 above

Likewise those who without any cause make inquiries, 'how much wealth has he ?, or where is his house ?, or those who move about concealing their identity by putting on a disguise, those also who having no income spen I much is also those who are vendors of lost articles, a c of the old clothes, broken pots, and similar other articles the owners whereof are not known, all these may be apprehend ed on suspicion as thieves

Having thus apprehended people having various marks of suspicion about their having committed a theft, a decision as to whether these are threves or good people should be declared after a minute inquiry, 10 and not by a mere discovery of the signs (of a thiel) as it is possible that the marks of (having) the lost article and others may be found even on one who is not a thick as sive Nameda! An article should "he determined upon as a lost one by special investigation a c when "at had dropped down from another's hand, and was discovered on "the ground, without any special desire for it, whether it was "deposited there by a thief Smularly the notenthful appear as the "truthful, likewise, the truthful look as if they were untruthful, thus "various aspects (of things) are seen (in this world), therefore an ' investigation has been prescribed' 20

Śûlapâni

Yamayalkya Verse 267

Others also besides those stated should be apprehended on suspicion as thieves by the denial of the name etc. Denial of name such as I am not such a one denial of casie such as I am not a Brahmana By the use of the word Ads and the like , are sucladed the country the family the place etc Similarly those addicted to gambling In these me manner when asked who are you?, Whence are you coming? those whose mouths become parched and the voice breaks these also should be apprehended (267) 30

Yamavalkya Verse 268

Those who make enquiries about the property of others as also about the houses those who move about secretly those who having no income mour expenditure those who dispose of broken earrings and the like (these) should be apprehended on suspicion (262)

Not found in the published edition of Narada by Dr Jelly

The person thus apprehended on a suspicion of theft must prove himself innocent; so the Author savs

Yajnavalkya, Verse 269

If one who has been apprehended on suspicion do not clear himself 5 from the (charge of) theft the king shall compel him to make good the lost article, and punish him with the penalty for a thief

Milakshara -If one apprehended on suspicion as a third does not clear himself from that charge, then he shall be compelled to pay the amount and be hable to corporal punishment as will be presently 10 mentioned Therefore, he should be cleared either by human proof;

or in its absence, by an ordeal PAGE 116*

15

Indeed, how can the statement c g "I am no thicl" be possible as evidence in an answer? of denial, because it is An objection negative in its nature. The answer is the admisublity of an affirmative as well as a negative The answer proof m an ordeal has been demonstrated in the text3 'Or by consent any one (of the two) may perform" Moreover, although buman proof is not possible in an answer of a simple

demai, still when it is somed to a special plea, which is of an affirmative character, and then becomes 'n combination of a denial and an exception', it makes it possible even for the negative evidence to be adduced e a if the accused prove by evidence his statement e a "At "the time of the loss or theft I was in another place", the absence of

theft (by hm) becomes necessarily proved, and thus indeed there follows an exhoneration 25

¹ See p 713 li 21-24

See Text p 7 Translation p 661, il 16-15 above Of Yajnavalkya II 96 (1) See p 913 above

See pages 684-660 above. The meaning is that a statement in a defence which is merely of a negative character cannot be established by proof positivee q when a man says 'I have not committed that theit '-unless he can establish come positive fact which will collaterally support his negative answer, e g. by his setting up an alibi when he can affirmatively prove that he was in another place at the time when the theft was committed. And for this he will have to file a mixed plea 'combined of a denial and a special plac."

Vîramitredaya

The Author treats of the law of theft by a separate chapter There, first the Author mentions the means of detecting a thef

Válásvatkva Verses 266-60

Gráhakuh, 'by the police officers, r c by the servants of the hing automized to arrest, or by another, such as the owner of the property etc., lottron, 'by (means of) the lost writed, r c the ressel etc thien away, as the sign of theft; or by marks of theft, such as footputs etc at the place of theft, a fibef is arrested; c. is determined as having committed a theft.

He also who by his past action, such as theft, had a previous conviction, he who has no fixed place of residence, such a one also is imprehended i. e. is suspected of having committed a theft (266)

Not only these two atone may be apprehended on suspicion, but those addicted to gambling etc., which asked "who are you?" exhibit 12 's parched mouth and a hoarse voice, or those who ask questions about the property or bouses of others, those moving about by disguising themselves, those who without any moome spend much, as also those who sell old clothes, vessels etc of unknown owners should be subjected to

When after one is subjected to the suspicion of theft, he does not acquit himself as innecent of theft by witnesses, ordon's etc. then he should be compelled to pay the lost property to the owner, and the king should punish him with the first americament which is the pen lity for a theff, as will be stated hereaften.

thefe, as will be stated hereafter

By the use of the word atho, 'thereafter', is menut 'fafter the lost

"monerty is found, the Author suggests that it is only in its absence
that the foot marks set should be traced. By the use of the word cha,
oud, has fonderess for theft, and by the use of the word ath the first marks at the content of the family etc., the word cha, 'also, is
included the determination of the family etc., the word cha,' also, is
connected with the first half is meant as a means of determination by
the exclusion of any other othermatics, by the use of the word dry

three vectors of any other othermatics, by the use of the word dry

three vectors of the size event times of the word 'the' are included those
who offer food, shelter etc to the thief and several others stated in

several other Santa (856–80).

Sûlapûnt Vâlñavatkya, Verae 269

When one who has been apprehended on suspicion as a thiri, if he does not exhonerate binasif, by visible or invisible means or does not acquit himself, then be should be compelled to pay the stolen property, and should be sentanced with the punnahment for a linit (203)

The Author mentions the penalty for a thief

1399

Yanavalkya Verse 270(1)

Having compelled the thick to restore the properly stolen (the king) should pough him by the several (modes of) corporal punishments

Milakshara -He, however who either by means of the tests stated before, or by means other than those has been proved to have committed a theft, should be compelled to make over to the owner the property apalitam stolen either the thing itself or by determining ats e pair dent (in money) wiwidhanwadhanghatayet and (the king) of mild 1 with him by the several (modes of) corporal 1 unishments 10

This, however has a reference to articles of a superior quality, in the case of which the punishment of the highest amercement is mourred, and does not hold in the case of the theft of articles of small or middling value such as flowers clothes &c. Since on account to of the text of Narada' viz 'The series of pumshments, which has been "ordained by the wise for the three I sads of Eshasas is equally applicable to theft, according as it concerns one of the three species of articles in their order . The corporal punishment, which is ordained for a Sthesa of the highest order. has been assumed in the case of articles of superior value. What moreover has been observed by Viddha Mans in the text The property of these is tainted with sin since it has been acquired by illegal means, therefore, the king should inflict ' corporal punishments on them and should not merely inflict a pecu 'mary fine that too has a reference to offences of a serious nature

25 The Author mentions an exception in the case of certain thieves Yanavalkva, Verse 270 (2)

He should brand a Brahmana and baoish bim from his kingdom

Mitakshara — Moreover, a Brahmana (who has been found as a) thief the king should not chastise by a corporal punishment, but after 30 branding him on the forehead, he should banish him from his kingdom The branding too should be with the mark of a dog's foot For Manu' says ' For violating the bed of the preceptor (the mark of) a female part shall be unpresse! for drinking spirituous higher the sign of a tavern in the case of a theft, moreover the mark of a dog s foot So ' should be made, for killing a Brahmana a headless corpse

This proceeding, moreover, shall be observed in the case of one who does not perform the (necessary) expiation after the punishment (was declared) as says Manu!: " But (men of) all castes who perform " the penances as prescribed, must not be branded by the king on the "forehead, but shall be made to pay the bighest amercement."

Vîramitrodaya

Not only should one be arrested on suspicion, but also one about whom the offence of theft has been determined; nor also is the punishment of a money kind such as the highest amereement etc., but even a corporal nunishment, banishment etc. shall also be for a thief; so the 10 Author proceeds

Válázvatkva, Verse 270

By several (varieties of) corporal punishments such as impaling on a cross, longing off of the hands and the like means of corporal punishments for thefts in the case of others (which will be stated hereafter), for like cases the Brahmanas should be branded on the forehead and banished from one's Kingdom (270).

Sûlanânî

Yálňavalkya, Verse 27o

Vadhash by corporal punishments, i. e. by means of punishments to be stated hereafter; sacijaham, with a brand, s. c. together with the mark of his own foot. As says Brhan Mann: "On account of the property having "been scaulred by Illegal means, the wealth of these is tainted with sin. "Therefore the king should inflict corporal punishments on them, and "should not merely inflict a pecuniary fine" (279).

The Author mentions the means of getting at the stolen.

property after the thief has been discovered Yâjñavalkya, Verse 271

In the ease of a murder or a thelt, the blame attaches to the villageofficer, when the offender has not (been traced to have) gone out; to the 30 owner of a pasture ground; to the detectives of thieres, when { the offence is committed) on the high-way or in a non-pasture ground.

Milákshará:-If the killing of man or of any other living unimal. or a deprivation of property, takes place in a village, then the blame for neglecting a thief would be that of the headman of the village

Ch. IX, 240.

Pajaavalkya Ch. XXIII Person 271-272

alone; and to stone for it, he himself must eath the thief and hand (him) over to the king. When he is unable to do that, he should pay the stolen amount to the owner; if he does not point out the foot-marks of the thief to have emerged from out of the village and beyold it.

5 When, moreover, such marks are pointed out, where the same (appear

of the thief to have emerged from out of the "Minge and rejoint it."

When, moreover, such marks are pointed out, where the same (appear to) enter, the owner of such property alone should make over the stoken amount. Likewise Nārada'nkee says: "I let, within whose pasture: "ground a robbery has been committed, must trace the thief to the best "of his power, or elso he must make good what has been stolen, when the 10. "foot-marks cannot, be traced beyond (into another mun's ground) (10). "When the foot-marks, after leaving that ground, are lost and cannot "be traced any further, the neighbours, the watchmen on the road, and "grovernors of that region shall be made to pay" (17)."

When, however, the theft takes place in a pasture-ground, the re15 sponsibility rests with the owner of the pasture alone. When, however, the deprivation takes place on the road itself, or in a nonpasture ground, awilake, i.e. in a place other than a pasture-ground, then the blame lies with the detectives of thieves, or the watchman of the road.

or the governor of that region.

Śŵlapâŋi Yâjñavalkya, Verse 271

When a man or the like has been killed, or a cow ste has been stelen in a village, the blame attaches to the village headman, if the foot-merk of the men etc. is not seen emerging out of the village. "Outside the 25 village," however, i.e. on the road, then it (i the blame) is of the owner of the peature ground. In non-pastures i.e. places other than peatures such as indicated, against this year (271).

Yajnavalkya, Verse 272

A village, however, should pay (when) within its own limits, or that 30 where the foot (mark) has reached. When beyond a krośa, five of the surrounding villages, or even ten villages also.

PAGE 146*

90

Mitakhara:—Moreover, when however, a theft &c., occurs outside a village, but in a field which is ou the outskirts of its boundary limits, then the inhabitants of that village alone should pay, if the footmark

^{1.} Appendix, 16 and 17.

of the thief has not emerged out beyond the boundary limit. When, however, it has emerged out, that village whereinto the foot marks of the thief enter, shall alone make over the thief and do other acts.

When, however, any one is killed or robbed midway between several towns, and in a place which is more than a krości outside (each of them) and foot-marks of the thief have also been lost on account of the pressure of the crowd, then in such a case five villages i a the five villages together, or ten villages i. a the ten villages together, should pay. The optional clause is used to indicate that as much should be done as would bring about a restoration of the property 10 stolen &c. from the villages contiguous to the place-

When, however, the king is not able to cause property stolen elsewhere to be restored, then he should indeed pay from his own treasury, ride the text of Gautama:2 "Having recovered property "stolen by thieves, he shall return it to the owner, or he shall pay out "of his own treasury."

In case of a doubt as to whether property was stolen or not, the decision should be made by means of human proof or by ordeal, as has been laid down by Vrddba-Mann: "If, while the property "is being caused to be restored, a doubt arises as to a theft [having 20 "taken place), the person robbed should be put to an oath, or he may "establish (his case) by (the evidence of) his relatives."

Vîramitrodaya Yalffavalkva, Verses 271-72

In the case of manslaughter, or theft of property, or robbery 25 ctc. the fault is of the headman of the village for conniving at a thief; therefore the village, its master, should restore back property which has been lost within his territory, if the footprints of the thief do not emerge out of the village. If, however, they emerge out then wherever they are traced as entering, the master of that territory should give. On the pasture-ground for the grazing of the cattle etc, the responsibility for assault or robbery is of the owner. At a place other than the pasture ground the responsibility

^{1.} t. e two English miles,

³ i. s. when the thieres are not traced, or having been traced have vanished then the king should pay from hir own treasury. Haralatta,

for assault, robbery etc. is of the pairol of the road in regard to the tracing of the theft. The ball of the verse beginning with 'within its limits etc.' is also connected with this. If, however, an assault or a robbery occur at a place intervening between several willages, and the foot-prints also are obliterated by the pressure of the crowd etc. then the people of the fire villages, or of ten willages solicatively, should pay.

The word atha vd 'or, even' is used as indicative of neglect.

Thus the result is that those who are in the neighbourhood of a krain should pay. In some books for kroish the reading is krahid, 10 'from the cultivated land.' By the first use of the word tu is excluded the responsibility beyond the territory.

When, however, the king is not able to compet the restoration from others of the proporty ablen, then the king himself should pay what was stolen by the thief. Since Gautama' has observed: "Having 15 "recovered property stolen by theres he shall return it to the owner, "or be shall now unt of his own tressur" (271-27).

Śūtacânt

Yajaavalkya, Verse 272

What is lost within one's boundary, the village itself should pay, or
where the foot-marks emerge out of a cultivated place and elsewhere,
what is solon away, the most of five villages together should gire by
regard to the contiguity, or men of ten villages is the optional rule (272).

The Author mentions a special possity in cases of particular offences
Yājňavalkya, Verse 273

25 The King shall cause to be impaled on a stake Bandigrahas, likewise the stealers of horses and elephants, as also those who commit murders with violence.

Miskplariz:—The king should cause to be impaled on a stake the Bandigrilhar's and like others, or also men who commit murders accound no panied with violence and force. This, moreover, is a relergarding only one kind of corporal pountainent, risk the text of Mau': "Those who "break into a (royal) storehouse, an armoury, or a temple, and those "who steal elephants, borses, or chariots, he shall indeed slay without "keritation."

^{1.} Ch. X. 46-47

Bandigrahar are those persons who foscibly take or carry away others as prisoners.
 Oh. JX, 280.

Śūtanāni

Yalnavalkya, Verse 273

Those desperades, who regardless of life, and with the object of (extracting) money, carry wealthy persons as prisoners, these, as also those who steal elephants and horses, similarly those who kill with vialence, all these the king should impale on stakes (273).

Yajnavalkya, Verse 274

The pick-pockets and cut-purses should be deprived of the tongs of their hands; for a second offence, they should be deprived of a hand or a foot.

Mitakshara: Moreover, he who throws up the clothes or other things i. e. carries them away is utkshepakah, a mck-nocket. He who carries away gold or other things tied in a cloth &c cither by loosening or cutting (the knot) is granthi-bhedakah, a cut-nurse These two respectively should have the foreinger and the thumb resembling a tongs removed from their hands-

Dwiliyaparardhe, on a second offence, moreover, a hand and a foot (joined together make up the compound expression) 'a hand and a foot.' That and each one of these, is 'a hand and a foot." Those two should each have 'a hand or a foot' taken away from them t. e. of the pick pocket and the cut-purse a hand and a foot should be cut off from each. This is the meaning.

This also has a reference to articles which bring on the highest Sahasa, since Narada has observed. "The amoutation of that limb "(with which the crime had been committed) is declared to be the " punishment for a Sahasa of the highest degree."

For a third offence, however, death alone (is the punishment). So Manu (says): "On the first conviction he should cause two "fingers of a cut-purse to be cut off; on the second, a hand and a "foot; on the third, he deserves death."

The meaning is, the punishment should be determined upon by 30 regard to the caste and the amount of property, as also the value &c.

Sûlapâni

Yajnavalkya, Verse 274

One who robs by lifting is a lifter. One who steale gold etc. tied in a cloth by breaking open the knot is a cut-purse. These two for a first offence should be deprived of the thumb and the first finger of the hand: for a second offence, should have their one hand and foot lopped off, and for the third offence these should be sentenced to death. As Menus has stated : "For the third he incurs a capital punshment" (274).

As it is impossible to lay down several nunishments in regard to each kind of property, having regard to the fact that the causes for a heavy or light punishment are immumerable, viz. the caste, the amount of the property, the relation, or the appropriation and dis-5 nosal (of the same), as also the age, capacity, the qualities, the country, the time, and such other causes; the Author mentions a general rule for determining a punishment

Yâiñavalkva, Verse 275

In the case of the theft of inferior, middling, and superior articles, the 10 fine shall he according to the value In passing sentence, the place, the time, the age and the capacity should be taken into consideration,

Mitakshara:- In the case of a theft, barane, of articles of inferior, middling, as also of superior quality, the punishment should be determined strate, according to the value, i. e. the price (of the article 15 40) The nature of an article of an inferior or other quality has been mentioned by Narada1: "Earthenware a seat a couch, bone, wood, "leather, grass, and the like, leguminous' gralp, and prepared food, "these are instances of articles of small value (14). Clothes other "than those made of silk, and likewise cattle other than cows, and 20 " metals other than gold, are orticles of middling value, as also are "rice and barley (15). Gold, jewels, a silken cloth, PAGE 1480 "a woman, a man, a cow, an elephant, and a horse,

"and the property belonging to a god, a Brahmana, "or a king, must be understood as articles of superior value (16)."

The same Author's has pointed out a rule of punishment in terms

25 of a Silasa of the inferior, middling, or superior quality in the case of articles of three classes, derived from the general rule: "That series "of punishments which has been ordained by the wise for the three "kinds of Siliasas is equally applicable even to theft regarding articles 30 "of the three classes respectively." In the case of a jewel or a pot which is made of earth, or of cattle other than cows and horses, such as a bullilo, a ram, and the like, and also gold, grain &c., belonging to a Brithmann, there is a rule of differentiation as to higher or lower quality 1. Ch. XIV. 15-16.

^{2.} Namiddays Is any pulse or grain growing in pole; a legeminous grain. 3 Cb. XIV. 21, Gentama Ch XII, 15-17,

and thus when it is desired to determine upon a higher or a lower form of punishment, it should be done by regard to the price &c.

There, moreover, dandakarmani, in massing sentence, for fixing upon a particular punishment, the country, the time, and the age (of the offender) &c, should be carefully taken into consideration, as the determining causes thereof. These, moreover, also imply the (consideration as to) the caste, the size of the (particular) article, and (the extent of) the appropriation, or like other matters Moreover's "The guilt of a S'adra in the case of a theft is eight-fold; and twice "that and higher still will be the punishment in each higher caste, and 'in cases of offences by the learned the punishment shall be very much "increased." The meaning is this: By the word 'guilt' (used) here, the punishment is intended. Whatever punishment has been prescribed for a particular theft, the same should be administerd eight-fold in the case of theft by a learned S'udra. In the case of learned men of other castes, morevoer, e. q. of the Vaisya, Kshatriya or Brahmana castes, the guilt is two fold and higher up i. e. sixteen, thirty two, and sixtyfour' times the amount; since there is a higher punishment for a theft committed by a learned S'ûdra.

The same meaning has been brought out by Maser'sho: "In (a gg, "case of) their the gill of a Sishra is eight-fold, sixteen times, that of "a Isthga, and thrity-two times in the case of a Richarlya (337).
"That of a Brilmann sixtyfour-fold; or quite folly a hundred, or "(even) twice four-and-sixty fold; (each of them) knowing the "nature of the offence (338)."

Similarly, a higher punishment is also determined by the consequences (of the offence). As says Mands "Of him who steels more "than ten Kumbar of grain, corposal punishment (shall be inlinted); "in other cases he shall be fixed eleven times as much, and shall be made "to pay the property" (to the owner)." A Kumbar is equal to 30 twenty Deman.

Bâlambhajta assigns this text to Vesishths, but it is not found in the Dharmactires of that author.

² There is a mistake in the print of the text here (p. 148-1-12) Instead of बोरशदाबिशका स विश्वणा स्टब्स बोरशदाबिशक्त विश्वणा

³ Ch VIII 337-338 4. Ch. VIII. 329. 5. or its value.

The punishments of beating, deprivation of a limb, or death, to be declared against the thief should be determined upon by regard to the qualities of the owner robbed, as also to the time being plentiful of harvests, or of famine. Moreover, in the case of precious jewels 5 and like articles, there is a particular punishment even by regard to the particular number (stolen); "At corporal nunishment shall be "inflicted in the case of a theft of more than a hundred of articles "made of gold, silver (or other like metal), and of most excellent "clothes; as also of (a theft) of all kinds of jewels. For (stealing) "more than fifty, the (punishment of) cutting off of the hands is "intended; in other cases, a fine eleven times the price (of the article "stolen) should be fixed." Likewise, from (the quality of) the particular article also: "For's stealing away men of noble family, and espe-" cially women, and precions gems of all kinds, he deserves (the 15 "nunishment of) death." For stealing away men not of a high family, however, there is a different punishment: "The punishment for one "who steals away a man, is the highest amercement; in the case, how-" ever, of a like offence against a woman, he shall be deprived of his "entire property; and a corporal ponishment for one who steals a 20 "maiden." In the case of articles of trifling value, however, vide the text of Narada': "In the case of articles of a value less than a "masha a fine five times the price of the article shall be imposed. For "stealing wood, a pot grass and the like, as also articles made of clay "hamboo, and vessels made of bamboo': muscles, bones and hides. 25 "For stealing vegetables with green roots, and fruits and roots, "preparations of cow-milk, and of the augur-caue, and salt or oil-"Cooked food, (specially) prepared food; fish, flesh; and every sort "of object of (any) value, a fine five times the value (shall be the

10

"punishment)."

A punishment, however, which in the cases of articles of small 30 value is less than a hundred, or limited by fifty, the same should be adequately adjusted in (the case of thefts of) articles of the value of a Masha or of a higher value. The text of Manus, moreover,

^{1.} See Manu Ch. VIII. 321-322. Bājambhajja assigns this text to Nārada. 2. Ch. VIII, 323, 3. Nårads: Appendix 28, Appendix 22-24. 6. The reading in Narada is agree of ration." 8. Ch. VIII. 829.

10

30

applicable to tribing articles, vis: " the fine shall be twice the value "of the article," has a reference to articles of a very small value e. qan earthen pot or the like. Moreover, there is an excess of punishment also on account of an aggravation of the offence: "'The king

"should cut off the hands of those thieves who make

PAGE 149* " holes in the walls and commit thefts at night, and "shall impale them on a pointed stake." Thus all these are innumerable, and cannot be specifically mentioned in regard to each article, and so a lower or higher form of punishment should be determined by regard to the several circumstances such as the caste, the quality and the quantity (of the subject matter), and like others.

Of travellers, however, there is no pugishment for slight infringements, as says Mang?: "A twice-born (man) who is travelling and " whose provisions are exhausted, shall not be fined, if he takes a "couple of stalks of sugar-cane, or a couple of roots from the field of "another man," Also": " Of gram, rice, wheat, barley, mallet, and "bean, a handful may be taken by those who are on their way in a "journey, if not prohibited. Likewise, he who has not eaten at six meals, "may take at the seventh meal from a man who neglects his sacred "duties, without (however) making a provision for the morrow." 20

Sûlapâni

Yâiñavaikya, Verse 275

For stealing articles of low, middling, and highest qualities, such as fuel, pots, sait, jaggree, camplior, saffron etc. the punishment should be administered by taking into consideration the original price, the place, and the time of the robbery in determining the penalty in passing sentence (2751

The Author mentions a punishment even for one who is not himself a thief, but who helps a thief

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 276

To him who knowingly supplies food, lodging, fire, water, counsel. implements, and expenses, to a third or a murderer, the punishment shall he the highest.

1. Author not known. 2. Ch. VIII. 341. 3. Cb. XI, 16.

days and thee nights, he may (even) steal, but only from one who does not nawar the responsibilities enjoined by the Sixtra, and, the their also should not be with a view to store up provisions, not even for the morrow, but simply to satisfy the hunger so keenly felt.

Mitakshara: -Bhaktam, food, for eating; avakaso, lodging, i.e. a resting place; aguil, are, for removing the cold of the thief; adakam, water, for one thirsty; mantral, counsel, i. e. advice in the matter of a theft; upakaranam, implement, i. e. the means for committing a theft; wyayah, h copenses, i. c. in the journey of one going out to another region for committing a theft. He who offers these chaurasya, to a thief, hantur wa, or to a murderer, januarapi, even (after) knowing him, (to be such). for him the punishment shall be the highest amercement-

1332

15

A blame attaches even to those who tolerate a thief, since Naradat 10 has said: "Or those who neglect? them though able (to arrest), "nartake of (the responsibility of) their crime themselves."

Śûlanâni Now an extension of the (rules regarding the) this yes

Bhaklam, food, i.e. for eating, 'lodging', a resting place; fire for relieving cold, water, for one thirsty; counsel, a c. advice; implements, the means for committing a thoit; expenses, i. c. in a journey of one going out to another region for committing theft; he who deliberately gives these to a thief or to a homicide, for him the penulty shall be the highest amercement. Katvavana says: "Those who purchase articles as also 20 "those who accept as donations, all these are equally punishable, as also "those who conceal them " (276).

Väjäavalkya, Verse 276

Yâiñavalkva, Verse 277

For giving a blow with a weapon, and also for causing an abortion, the punishment is the highest; for the killing of a man or a woman the punishment may be the highest or the lowest.

Mitakshara: - Sastravapatane, for giving a blow with a weapon, on the limbs of another, and garbharya patane, for causing abortion, in cases other than that of a female slave or of a Brilimana, the punishment 30 i. e the penalty shall be the highest. For causing the abortion of a female slave, however, a fine of a hundred has been mentioned (in the

L. Ch. XIV. 19.

^{2.} after—is forbearance or neglect, contivance. The meaning here is that there men who exhibit a kind of passiveness regarding criminals, even when they have the capacity to arrest them, knowing them to be such, render themselves responsible as accomplices

15

30

text? "One who destroys the factus of a female slave. As for the factus of a Brilmann, the Author will mention an extension of the role on "One who destroys a factus unhowingly &C" And for the murder of a man or a woman the highest or the lowest punishment must be understood as luid down, having regard to the character, mode of living, and such other circumstances (regarding the decrease).

Sülapânt

Yaliiavalkya, Verse 277

For destroying a worsh by striking a weapon the punishment is the highest (amercement). For killing a superior man or woman, the highest, and for murdering a lower man or woman, the lowest amercement (277)

Yajnavalkya, Verse 278

A woman who is superlatively wicked, who destroys males, who breaks reservoirs, shall, if not pregnant, be plunged unto water after a stone being tied

Matakuhara — Moreosen one who is extremely wicked is inprediable, a superstantely underly underly under theman, e.g. one who causes like destruction of a child in the embryo, also who causes like 10 in unscarpt, as a first who kills a man, and one who breaks reservoirs. These women, if not pregnant, should be plunged into water, after 13 mg a stone round their necks, o that ther may not summ up.

Silapant

Yajiiavalkya Verse 278

A woman who is superlatively wicked e who is an adulterers, as also a man who destroys an embankment, should have a stone tied to them and be immersed in water, the noman, also if she be not pregnant [278]

Yājāavalkya, Verse 279

One who administers posson, or sets fire or kills her busband, preceptor or her own child, shall be deputed of her ears, hands nose and lips and be caused to be killed by bullocks

1 II 200 see p 1281 1 9 above

² Visvelvara and Rajambiasta both say it as in the Iravaschittidhyaya, but it is not to be found there— It as probably a reference to Verse 230 following hereafter.

Mitakshara -- Moreover, (here again) one who is not pregnant is understood She also who administers a e puts poison into the food drink &c with the object of Lilling others, she again, who sets fire to a village de that it may be hunt, likewise, one who causes 5 her own husband preceptor, or children to be killed such a one should have her ears, hands, nose and has cut off, and herself caused to be killed by means of wild and untamed bulls

This statement of a rule regarding a Schasska and made in the chapter on theft should be understood to be made medeutally

Vitamitrodaya

While treating particularly of (the law of) theft, the Authormentions penalties for similar offences

Yalaavalkya Verses 273-79

10

Those who imprison moneyed men and keep them are (called) Bandu, rahas (men lifters), also those who steal horses or elephants, and 15 those who forcibly kill men, such threves the king should impale on spikes as (for) a capital punishment (273)

One stealing clothes after lifting them, as also stealing gold etc. tied in a cloth after untying the knot, should be deprived of the forefinger and the thumb, and on a second offence of pick pocketting, the 20 king should deprive them other of a foot or a hand (274)

For stealing articles of low, middling, or high value, the punishment should be administered by regard to the value of the article equal to

In the matter of determining the punishment, the country and 2.1 other circumstances also should be taken into consideration by the king There, says Narsda' "Earthen pots, bed steads, bones, wood, " hide, grass, and the like, as also leguminous grains and cooked food " are known as articles of low value Clothes excepting silken ones, as " also beasts excepting cow, and metals excepting gold, are articles of 30 " middling value, as also are padds and barley Gold, jewels, silken " articles, women men, cow, elephants, horses and articles belonging to

"the deity and the Britmanas should be regarded as articles of " the highest value 35

By the use of the ward tailed, 'also , is included the addition of the king. The word mahddrawya used here after discriminates I Ch XIV, 14-16

such elephants, horses as belong to the Ling By the first use of the word cha are included those who destroy the chanols, by the second use of the word char or moduled those who break through a fire place etc wide the text of Manu! "Those who break through the building containing fire or weapons or to comples of Gods, as also those 5 "who destroy the elephants, the horses and chartot, the long should certainly, chastise without hesitation By the use of the word word certainly, as excluded any monetary penalty Manu! has observed "By reason of baring sequired money by unjust means, their property lines a trust into therefore the king should punish them corporally 10 and should not inflict furciefly a monetary mush intent [20].

For him who offers food, place for residence, or fire for relieving cold, or offering fire, water see which would relieve cold, or instruments for stealing or killing such as a sword, or expenses for the journey over travelling to distant countries, or any of these to 15 a third of gold etc or to a homeoide or the like, even though not a thef, the highest is the one equal for a third, alone is the punishment in conformity with the following text of Narada "Those who invite or "those who give counsel or offer an anylini, all these are regarded as 20 "equally amenable to punishment, as also those who neglect running after "Those who give food or time, as also those who neglect running after "theres when they have expensit, these also are guilty of that offence." When the king is not able to ward off theves, one offering food etc to a third in the interest of self protection is not guilty, such this 25 text of Vishnu' "Except when the king is untable (276)

Por striking the holy of another with a weapon, and for bringing bout an abortion excepting in the exe of a feetus of a femule slave, or of a firthman, the penalty is the highest americant for destroying ackilding the wives of particular powers such as characterised by their being Barhamans, the penalty shall be the highest or the lowest americant. Wife, for the option (indicated here) is (to be excressed) by regardation the general behaviors and character By the use of the word agree "also, in the case of others than those known by their behaviour and character, the penalty shall be the middling americanent. By the use of the word day, having regard to the text of Verland." He who steals

¹ See Ch IX 280

² Not found in Manu 4 Ch V 17 5 Ch V 87

1386 Vira Sûla & Milâkshara - How to detect murder * Tajinavaliya Ch XXIII

"gems (shall pay) the highest amercement—is included the theft of a not valuable jewel (277)

A woman who is superluvely weeked, who kills the feeting is easies her own chorton, who distroys makes, as also one who breaks o reservoirs, should be plunged into water after a stone being itsel to her neck, provided they are not pregnant. By the first use of cha are included makes who we possoners or monodiaries. By the second use of the char is included acts stated in the cot of Saachan wir. "For "breaking open a well, a reservoir, a tank used for drinking water, or 10 for polluting liquid things, as also for offering a non-slave woman to a slave (278).

A woman who is a poisoner or incendary, or who brings about the death of the husband the father etc, or of the child, should be deprived of her ear, nose and lips, and be caused to be killed by a bull (273-79)

Sulagant

Valifavelkya Verse 270

The poisoner and the raceudary as well as a woman who murders her husband and others should have their ears hands nose and the hip lopped off and be caused to be destroyed by sharp borned cows (279)

PAGE 100*

15

20

Where a murder has been committed and the murderer is not known, the Author mentions the means of detecting the murderer

Yâjnavalkya, Verse 280

Of one who is killed by an unknown (person) the suns and relations should be immediately questioned as regards my quarrel as also separately such women of his as were in love with other men

Mitakohara — Of one who has been hilled by an anknown individual the sone undul near relations kalabanasa prashlayah should time lately be questioned as regark any quarret time. With whom had he had a quarret. 'I Lakewise such women belonging to the deceased, and who 30 were in love with other men i.e. were adulterous, should also be questioned.

Sûlapani

Yalnavalkya, Verse 280

Of one who has been killed by an unknown person the sons and relatives should immediately be questioned by the king a officers about any previous quarrel. Also his women in love with other men should each be questioned separately (200)

How should they be questioned? So the Author says Yâmavalkya, Verse 281

"Was he fond of women wealth or a with 2" or With whom did he go?" Or, (the king) may muntlely make inquiries of the people living 10 in the vicinity of the place of murder

Mithibata — Wis he foul of women '? 'Had he a greed for money '? or' Had he i desire to eun a hishhood'? or thus 'For what woman had he i fondness and how is she connected ? 'For which wealth hid he a fondness ? or 'Whence did he think of securing his 1 highhood'? or 'With whom did he go to another country !? Thus in window was should his adulterous women be separately questioned after gring them assurances.

Likewise, such men e g the cortheris foresters and others as were in the neighbourhood of the place of murder should also be questioned in confidence. Thus after determining upon the marderer by several means such as these, a pumishment adequate for him may be declared.

Veramitrodaya

In the text In the case of murder or thoft &c murder is stated by way of illustration there how can a be determined that he is a murderer? Autorparing this in the context the Author suggests an answer Yolmyalkya Verses 250 St

Where a person is killed and it is not known by what particular midvided he was killed there his sons and relations should immediately be questioned thus with whom had he a quarrel?" with a vice to (solve) the doubt regurding the estope of the that his women such as wife satter et. With which other pressus were they in love? should each be separately guestioned so as to avoid not disclosure through sympes in the presence of each other (2008).

1 Versa 271

And his sons and relations should be asked whether he was fond of women, or of money, or of employment, and with whom he land gone. In the absence of sons, relations, and others, persons in the vacinity of (tice place of) death such as the cow-head and the forester, he should guestion after creating confidence. By the use of the word cha, 'also', the Author includes the question such as 'with whose wife was he in love'? By the use of the word chy, 'also', is included the suggestion that even without a question one should find out a trace of cumity (280-81).

Śūlapāņi Yālāsvaikva. Verse 281

The investigating officer of the state should slowly and pursuasively question the people of the locality as to whether he was addicted to women, was coretous of weath, on was locking on for a living, a sale with whom he had gone, or the woman also, whose was she and the like. Byfanspati' 15 mays! "Where a corpse is found, but the murderer cannot be discovered, "the king shall trace him by drawing an inference from previous en"mittee of his" (281).

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 282

Those who set live to a field, a house, a forest, a village, a pasture 20 ground or a threshing lloor, likewise he who carnally knows the wives of the king, shall be burnt in a fire of grass.

Middichara—Moreover, those who set fire to kabelram, a field, in

which the truits and the crops leave ripeacel. We ma means a house; y samm, a forest i.e. a wild forcet or a pleasure forest; yillage; 25 wintam, a parture ground has been explained; for khalam, a threshing floor, as also he who has cornal intercourse with a wife of the king; all these should be covered with the ranged grass, and burnt. This punishment has been mentioned here, as it is incidental to the punishment of death, (which accurate) to the incendiaries of fields &c.

Thus ends the Chapter on Theit.

10

30

^{1.} Ch XXII. 34.

See Yajā II. 160 (2) and the Mitāksharā thereon p. 1171. Il 17-18 above.
 Straw-a kind of green at the root of which is found the fragrant than or were See Amara II. 4, 164.—the Andropogon Margantus.

15

Yîramitrateye

Now on the occasion of mentioning the penalties for theft and (causing) doubt, the Author states the penalties for a like offence

Yalifavalkya, Verse 282

The persons acting fire to any one of the six things such as a field and the rest, as also those lawing seven intercourse with the wife of the king, should be correctly with the ursua gress and burnt into fire By the use of the word the are included those stated by Manul in the text is: "if ow ho destroy a numpart (of a town), or fills up the delay, "who breaks throughthe (town) grates, should be immediately killed." By the use of the word fin, however, the Author evoluties its destruction by any other means, as says & Käyäyana. "Littler through a desire for "securing a friend, or earning wealth, one wishing the welfare of the king and the people should never let off despendes who are a dauger "to all beings." The king who either through a dauger so all beings. The king who either through covetourness or through "fair does not destroy sinners, there a commotion springs up in his "terringy and he is deprived of (lies) langdon (flus) langdon.

Nărada! "This liw of punishment has been generally stated for all, "everpting corporal punishment for a Dialimana, a Brishmana should not 20 bis billed, in the case the punishment is shaving of the head, banishment from the town with a brand mark of a culprit on the forehead and a "parade on a donkey "Yama". Never shall at any place be corporal "punishment for a Brishmana, he should be lepting pisson under restraint, "and the king should gove him food, or he should be ted with a rope, and "the king should compel him to de labour for a month or half is month "Taking into consideration the real subject matter, having regard to the "offence, a Brishmana may be compelled to perform works forbidden

Other punishments are passed over through fear of prolixity.

Here ends in the commentary on Yajauvalky a the Chapter on Their

Sulapânî Yamayalkya Verse 282

Those who set fire to a field etc, those who have intercourse with the king's wives should be build on a pile of fire, tho pile made of wions 35 or other grass (382)

Here ends the Chapter on Theti-

2 Ch XIV 910

CHAPTER XXIV

On Adultery with women

The Chapter of Law called 'Adultery is being now pronounded With a view to adjustment with the punishments for the lowest and

5 other Silusos a threefold division of the same has been specified by Vyasa "That has been designated as of three kinds the lowest, the ' middlemost, and the highest Conversation with another's wif

"in an improper place, or at an improper time, or in a solitary place throwing side long glances at each other, and (exchanging) smiles 10 'is known as the lowest Sthasa Sending fragrant scents and

"flowers, odours ornaments, elothes, and causing allurement by food "and drink, is known as the middlemost Sahasa Sitting together " in the same cent in a solitary place, with mutual contact as also " pulling each other's livir, is known as a complete act of adultery" 15 Sangrahanam, adultery is the (cornsl) umon of a min and a woman

As the detection of adultery is necessary for the punishment of on who commits it the Author mentions the means of detecting the same Yamavalkya Verse 283

A man should be caught in the very act of adultery with another's 20 wife, or while holding each other s have or by other signs of excitement of just, also by the admissions of both

द्वराज्यमध्य ८८

Pups 1510 Mitakshara - A man should be detected as intent on adultery by the knowledge derived from the signs of holding each other's hairs and the like Kesakessi holding each other . hairs is the (particular kind of) pus 25 time in which each holds the other's hars. Under the rule of gramma

I sa saigt 1 mmi H 2 27 The rule means this When two homony mons words both being in the locative case (an) or both being in the instruments care (34) are compound d the sen a home this happens therein with that the

compound to formed is Bah syrahi The locative case is used when the a moral that of account and the instru mental when the sess is that of striking e g in the casmple here dery degre Efficient of the tree - the branch with the form when two comist ants hold out to other a hair an a duel Am example of the in tramental is suggested

20

"therem or with that a Bahnvilla compound is formed" and under another rule' the ' The after sch (Z) comes after a Bihuvrihi when "the compound denotes a recuprocity of action the affix it his mided at the end of the compound And sit is in an alserbal form, the instrumental case is silent. Therefore the meaning is this I From his amusing himself with another swife when both hold each other's hair, or from the fresh signs of amorous intercourse, such as the marks created by the nails of the hands, or by the teeth and the like, or by the admission (of the fact) by both, (thus) bring known him to have 10 attempted to commit adulters, he should be arrested. The use of the expression 'anothers wife' is with a view to exclude a woman who has been appointed (to be get issue), or a protected female slave.

"Two homonymous words coming together indicating-this happens

Sûtapant \ ijnavalkya, Verse 283

In regard to adultery : e intercourse with other a women one may be apprehended while they mutually grasp each other sharr or by marks of

amorous contact such as the scars by the nall or teeth or by the ad mission of the woman or the man (283)

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 284

Touching the knot of the lower garment, the breasts the upper garments, thighs, and the hair, holding conversation at an improper place and time as also silling logether on one seat

Mulāksharā ---Moreover, he agum, who behaves as if with a lustful desire towards snother's wife by touching the part (of her body) 25 bearing the knot of the (lower) garment, or the garment covering her breasts, or the lower parts of her boly or the hair of her head. hkewise, he who holds conversation with her at an improper place, adeie : e m a place which is lonely or where crowds of people have

Panna V 4—127 उच्च क्रमाय तेलस

The whole formation is based on five rules of grammar at the two

Rajambatta 3 . • under a Nayoga or appointment to hoget an issue

gathered together, or which is obscured by darkness, or who closets himself with mother's wife on one sol's or my other like seat, as if intent on living carnal intercourse with her, such a one should be arrested as one who had attempted to commit adultery

This rule, however, applies to a man about whom there is a suspicion as to an offence Of any other, however, there is no guilt, as says Mann' "That man however, not before accused, who holds " conversation for some (good) reason, shall not meur any guilt, since "in him there is no transgression" It has been said by the same 10 Author, that he who forbears when touched by another's wife, such a one also may be apprehended "He who touches a woman at an "improper place, or forberrs when (himself) touched, all that is con "sidered as adulterous intercourse with mutual consent" It has been said by the Same sage? that he, moreover, who boastfully declares 15 before a company of gallants thus "There enjoyed this charming "accomplished woman times out of number" - such a one also may be appreliended "When a man, actuated by vanity, folly, or braggardism "declares hunself that he has enjoyed (the love of) a certain woman. ' that is also regarded as (constituting) an offence of adultery "

Viramitrodaya

20

Now on the occasion of treating an intercourse with the wife of the Ling the Author begins the title of hw known as 'Adultery with Women, which has been split into three varieties by Vyasa in the following manner "That has been designated as of three kinds, the lowest, the mid "dle and the highest Conversations with another a wife in an improper (or lonely) place, throwing sidelong glances at each other, and (exchang-"ing) smiles is I nown as the first kind of adulter; Sending fragmant "scents and flowers odours ornuments, and clothes, and causing allurement by food and druk is known as the middlemost Sitting together "in the sent in a solitary place, mutually reclining against each other, as 30 also pulling each other s hair is known as a complete act of adultery

There, first the Author mentions the means by which adultery developing into sexual intercourse may be known

¹ Ch VIII 35. 2 Ch VIII 358

Not found in Manu The text, however, 14 to be found in Natuda Ch XII co

15

Kesakers, 'pulling each other's hair , se pulling the braids of each other's hair or of the knot of the waist cloth at an improper place and time i e such as in a solitary place and at midnight or the like, having conversation and sitting together, in this manner a man may be apprehend. ed as having had adultery with sexual intercourse with another a wife. that should be determined by the capacity for intercourse. It should be immediately apprehended by signs, the result of amour, such as the nailscars etc. And also by the admissions of both the woman and the man as having a desire for sexual intercourse (283)

Nat. Another the knot of the wearing apparel, the covering of the breast : e the tying cloth, as also hair on the thighs, the touching By the use of the word cha, 'and , is added the sending of fragrant scents, flowers etc. By the use of the word eva 'also . is discriminated suspicion

Sûlanâni

Yâjñavalkys Verse 284

One pulling at the garment knot should be apprehended for adultary The rest is clear Brhaspati1 mentions the distinctions in this 'Casting ' sidelong glances smiling at her, similarly sending a femala messenger, touching her ornaments or clothes is termed an adulterous act of the first decree ctc " (Same as in Viramitrodaya citing Pudsa p 1342 1,24-32)

The Anthor mentions a penalty for a man and a noman who. having been once proliibited, again hold conversation or do like acts

Yamavalkva, Verse 285 95

A woman being forbidden shall pay a bundred, while a man two bundred as a penalty, when the probabilion had been to both, their pumish ment is the same as for adultery

Mikshaara - Pratishedhah a prolubition is that where, one is pro-Inhited e g by the husband, the father or the like A woman who 30 has been forbidden from holding conversation with a man and attempts to do the same (again) satam dadyal shall pay a hundred paras as penalty A man, moreover who sets about when similarly prohibited, penalty is main indiceiver. When, however, both the man and the woman were prohibited, and again attempt it then the same penalty as will be hereafter mentioued for adultery is according to the

Varnas (of the parties) shall be understood (for them) This rule, however, holds in cases other than those of the wives of Charanas and the like since Mann' has laid down of This rule does not apply "to the wives of Chib mas, nor of those who hive on (the intrigues 5 "of) their own (wives), for such men send their wives (to others) "or concealing themselves, allow them to hold criminal intercourse "

Viramitrodaya

The Author mentions a penalty for a man and woman holding conversation although prolubited

10 Yālijavatkus, Verse 28s

When prohibited by the father and others for (holding) conversation etc and the woman herself holds conversation with the man, then a hundred panas, when the man himself who is prohibited (holds), then he shall pay two-hundred sames as the penalty. When the man and the woman both are prohibited and hold conversations with each other. then the burkest amereement has been laid, as in the case of adultery. likewise in the same manner should the penalty be for them. This is the meaning By the use of the word tu, bowever, is excluded the prohibition of the other. This rule, however, is applicable in cases other than the wives of Charanas etc vide the text of manu! "This 20 sule does not apply to the wives of Charanas, nor of those who live on "(the intrigues of) their own (wives) For such men send their wives "(to others), or concealing themselves, allow them to hold criminal "intercourse" (285)

Sûlavânî

Valpavatkva, Verse 28s

A woman who has been prohibited by the husband or parents from holding a conversation etc and still are set on it shall pay a hundred ponce. A raan moreover, acting simuarly (shall pay) two hundred For both baving an intercourse although prohibited the punishment should be 30 administered as has been prescribed Manu' states a special rule 'Bag gars as also bards those who have tal en a sanzificial vow, and similarly ertions may have conversation with women without prohibition (285)

25

¹ Chiraves-are orters or sungers or persons of low reputs maintaining themselves by mendicusey and among to

³ arm ("is (Atmontonch) ' who maintain themselves on these own ! 4 Ch 5 Hi 559

⁵ Ch VIII 301

In the case of one of the same class the highest americament, in an Anuloma' (intercourse) the middle (americament), but in a Prabloma', death of the man, and the lopping off of the ear and the like of the woman

Middlehera —In the case of a man of any of the four turness, a man shall be fined one thousand and eighty panas for having intercourse with a woman of has own caste, but who was another's write or protected. When, however, he has intercourse with a woman of a lower order, and who is not inder the protection of any one, then shall be fined in the united university. When, moreover, he has intercourse with a woman of his own turne who was not under

ne shall be insed in the initials universities of the month of the own arms with a women of his own tiard who was not under (any one's) protection, or with a woman of a lower tiarns who was under protection, then a special penalty has been stated by Manji "A Brahman shall be fined a thousand, when he we intercorps with

"a guarded upin woman against her will, he
Page 152" shall be fined five bundred when he had con-

"next on with one who was willing (478) A

"Brihmana shall be compelled to pay a fine of one thousand if he has intercourse with women of two (classes)" who are under pro "tection, for (a similar offence against) a Sidra woman the fine for

"a Khairiya and Vanya shall be one thousand (333)"

This, moreover shall be understood to hold in the case of women

other than the waves of the preceptor or a friend, more Narias' has observed. 'Mother mother's sister, mother has the material and sistered.' Mother mother's sister, mother has been also preceptor of a paternal anale, or a friend or a "pupil sister, her friend daughter—nalw (73), daughter, spartnal protection the queen, a fernale sister the hurse a virtuour woman, protection the queen, a fernale sister the hurse a virtuour woman, and a woman who is of the highest class (74). When a man carnally shows any one out of these women, he as each to have committed the offence of violating the held of a preceptor. For such a came, a fine of the offence of violating the held of a preceptor. For such a came, a fine of the proceptor of the offence of violating the held of a preceptor.

¹ See Acharadhyaya Chapter IV These terms are used with reference to the mon who commute the set of adultery 3 A Bollowest woman

² Ch VIII 378 383
4 : these mentioned in Manu Ch VIII 382 a Ch XII 73-75
6 IEssay : the Special kind of crims where the offence is that of know-

ing carnally the preceptor s wife

In the case of a Pratitiona offence, e g intercourse with a woman of the highest class, death (is the sentence) for a male of the Kshatriya or other (lower) class. This, however, has a reference to a guarded woman, for any other, there is a pecuniary ince, vide the text of Manu! "But even these two?, if they offend with a Bi thman, who is guarded, "shall be numshed like a S'Adra, or be burnt in a fire of dry grass (377) "If a Varsya or a man of the Royal tribe has intercourse "with an unguarded Britman let him (r e the king) fine the " Varya five hundred, but the Kshatriva one thousand (376)" Of a S'adra, moreover, having intercourse with an unguarded woman of the highest class, the punishments are the excision of the organ, and

confiscation of the entire property, and of him having intercourse with a guarded woman (of a like description), the sentence is death and confiscation of the entire property, as has been stated by the same Sage3 13 "A Sudra having intercourse with a woman of a twice born class-"whether guarded or unguarded-if unquarded, he loses the organ, "and all his property if guarded, everything (even his life)"

Of a woman, however, having intercourse with a man of a lower tribs, the ears-and by the use of the word Ada, 'and the like,'-the 20 nose, should be lopped off. For one having intercourse with a man of a superior or equal tribe, a fine should be ordered

This rule regarding the sentence of death &c, is (intended) only for the king, he alone having the right to govern, and not for every twice born' individual. For such a one the holding of a weapon 25 having been prohibited by the texts 'A Brilmana should not take ' up a weapon even for inspecting (it)"

are the texts of Manu cried next

2 . . the Valsyn and Kehntraya referred to 10 VIII 376

3 Oh VIII 274

4 Here the term used is quite general but from the text quoted next, it appears to be intended for a Brahmana

5 The Author of this text is not known Bajambhatta, assigns it to Gantama but it is not found in that book Gantama on the other hand allows the profession of a warrier for a Brahmann when he cannot obtain his livelihood by the wave prescribed for him See Ch VII 0 To the same effect

¹ Ch VIII 377, 376

15

When however, while reporting to the king delay occurs in time and there is danger of the proper procedure being thwarted then one may himself Lill an adulterer and the like the taking up of arms at such a time having been permitted by the text 'the "twice boin men may take up arms when (the performance of)

"Dharma is being obstructed", also" "By killing a desperado (in-"tent on doing borin), the slayer mours no guilt, whether (he does it)

" publicly or in secret, (for in such a case) fury recoils upon fury" Lakewise in the case of a Kshatriya or a Vusya each having in-

tercourse with a nomin of the other class' a fine in each case of one thousand panus must be understood That has been stated by Manu' "If a Varsja approaches a guarded female of the Ashatriya crete, or a Kahatura a guarded Vassa woman they both deserve the same "punishment as in the case of an unguarded Brilimani woman "

Sála pânt

Now the penalty for intercourse

Yainavatkya Verse 286

For sexual intercourse with an unwiting woman of the same casts and under protection in the case of a Brahmana and the like the penalty is the highest amercement. For intercourse with the father's sister etc. death only, as the Author will state further on in the third Chapter For having intercourse however, with one not under protection and of a lower order, the punishment is the middle americament. Bo says Manus ' For a Brahmana heving intercourse with an unprotected Kahatriya or a Vaisya woman or with a Sadra the vensity shall be five hundred in the case of an anipage

"woman however it shall be one thousand (386) A Brâhmane should be fined one thousand for approaching these when under protection For the Kshatriya and a Vaisya approaching a Sudra woman the

' penalty shall be one thousand (384) For intercourse with women of higher orders in the case of Sudras

etc death (shall be the punishment) and of the women the ear nose etc should be out off (286)

Of Manu Ch VIII 348

² Manu Ch VIII 351 See page 36 Il 5-6

³ See the text of Mana sited next which makes this clear

Ch VIII 382 Yapu 111 232

^{- 6} Ch VIII 386, 384

On the occasion of considering offences regarding the wives of others, the Author mentions the punishment (for an offence) regarding a maiden also

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 287

1348

20

If any one kidnap a maiden having ornaments on, he shall pay the highest amercement, and the lowest amercement in other cases, when the maiden belonged to the same rearms; in the case of a maiden of a superior class, death has been ordined (as the punishment).

Mitäkharä:—Ohe kidnapping a maiden of bis, own cless, who is o about to be married, and who had been decked with ornaments, shall be punished in the blighest amercement; and one kidnapping a maiden of his own tribe not approaching a marriage, (shall be fined) in the lowest amercement. For a kighatriya or any other carrying away a maidlen of the highest tribe death alone (is the sentence). From the 15 rule as to punishment, it also appears (to be intended to be laid down) that the girl should be taken away from her abductor and be made over to some one else.

Śūlapāņi

Now the despoiling of a maiden / Yûlîavalkya, Verse 287

navatkya, verse 2

One kidnaphing for intercourse, an unwilling maiden decorated with ornaments, shall pay the highest amercement. One, however, otherwise carry tighter away, the lowest amercement. That, moreover, is in the case of women of the same caste. In the case of higher orders, however, for the kidnapper death shouse (shall be the punishment).

The Author mentions a penalty for taking away girls of inferior tribes

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 288 (1)

In the case of willing maidens of inferior classes, there is no offence; 30 etherwise, however, (there is) a punishment.

Maikshafa—If one carries away a maiden of un interior tribe who is full of love (for him), then there being no offence, (there is) no punishment. Anythis to, otherwise honesee, i.e. for one kidnapping an unwilling one, the lowest americanent, shall be the punishment.

The Author mentions a penalty for defiling a maiden Yajñavalkya, Verse 288 (2)

For defiling, however, the lopping off of the hands; and for (doing) similar acts towards one of a higher class, death

PAGE 153*

Mālākharā—'In the case of maniens of lower tribes' is understand If one forcubly defiles, by nail-sears or otherwise, a masten, who had no sevarial desere, then has hand should be lopped off, when, moreover, he defiles a murden of a like description, by penetrating into the femate organ by threating in his fingers, then the possibilities the lopping off of the fingers, together with the fine of as, hundred as stated by Mani. "Rut if any man through mostenes forcubly constanding a stated by Mani." Rut if any man through mostenes forcubly constanding a backer as fine of any handred. When however, he similarly defiles as before, one having a sexual desire even then a special rub has been stretch by the same." A man of an equal class defiling a "manden having a sexual desire shall not mour the (punishment of) "amputation of his fingers but shall, however be compelled to pay "a fine of two hundred, as a deterrent for a (like) repetition."

When, however, a mixed herself or a sharp woman defiles and the maden, there also a special rule has been stated by the same Sage? "A manden, who herself operates upon a manden, for such a one "the fine is of two hundred (369). But a woman who pollutes a "damsel shall inscribly deserte a sharing, and also the lopping off "of her two fingers and histories (the punishment) to be curried on "a donkey" (Operates upon a minden's e penetrates into the female organ of a maiden

When, however, a min has intercourse with a minden of the highest tribe, then whether she was willing or unvalling a Ashatrya and others shall invariably mear death task the text of Manu' A "man of a lower tribe who has mitercourse with a woman of a higher 3"class shall deserve corporal punishment"

When one has intercourse with one of his own class who has a sexual desire, then he shall pay the maiden's fee of a pur of cows to

¹ Ch VIII 367 3 Ch VIII 369-370

⁹ Ch VIII 366 4 Ch VIII 366

her father : if she be unwilling, the maiden's fee to the father, and an equal (amount) as fine to the king. For one having intercourse with one of his own varna but having no desire, corporal punishment alone : as says Manu: "He who has intercourse with one of an equal "class, shall pay the maiden's fee to the father if he desires," also: "He who violates an unwilling maiden shall instantly suffer corporal "punishment; but one defiling a maiden of an equal class who has "a sexual desire, shall not suffer corporal minishment"

Sûlepânl

Yálňavatkys, Verse 288 In the case of willing women of the lower order, there is no blame for having intercourse. In the case of the maidens of the same caste without an intercourse despoiling through arrogance by thrusting the finger, the cutting off of the hands. In the case of the superior women, death only (288).

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 289

10

For slandering a woman, a man shall pay a bundred; and two hundred for levelling a false accusation. For connexion with beasts he shall be compelled to pay a hundred; and the middling line for connexion with a 20 low woman or a cow.

Mitakılara:-Moreover, having regard to the context, a majden is intended here by the word 'woman'. If any person utters a slander concerning such a one ris. by declaring detects in her, such as the diseases of epilepsy, pulmonary consumption, and the like complaints of long standing, and of a lad type, even if they be (i.e.) existing (in her); or of having had mixed intercourse, and thus slander her saving 'She is not a maiden', such a one shall be compelled to pay a hundred. Mithyabhisamsane to, for a false accusation however, i.e. for declaring defects in her, which (in fact) did not exist, he shall be compelled to pay two hundred. For connexion with beasts excepting a cow, he should be compelled to pay a hundred. He, moreover, who has connexion with a bisam striyam, low woman, i. e. a woman who has intercourse with low people and since there is no particularisation, whether she be willing or anwilling; or one who has connexion with 35 a cow, such a one shall be punished with the middlemost amercement.

Sûlapûni

Yajaavalkya, Versa 289 On an occasion of a marriage of a woman one maintaining an existing defect should be compelled to pay a hundred. For a mention of a non-existing one he shell be compelled to pay ten hundred (289).

The Author mentions a penalty for having intercourse with a common woman

Yânîavalkva, Verse 290

In (the case of) women who are protected (slaves of another), and fikewise (of) kept mistresses, even though infercourse with them is permissible, a man shall be compelled to pay a fine of fifty paness.

Mitakshara - One who has connexion, this has to be understood The women of the tarnas already described are (considered as) slaves, these very women are prohibited by the master from intercourse with other men with an injunction to stay at home with the object of avoiding any lapse of service, these are known as Avaryddha? or protected stones Women restricted in the matter of several intercourse to a certain person are known as Bhunshyah on, ker t mustresses When female slaves are Avaruddha Bhinishya then in their case-likewise by the use of the particle cha, 'and,' harlots wanton women, common women and Blowshoft are also included, in their case also-ramvasvani although intercourse with them is permissible, as they are equally available to all males one having connexion with them shall be fined hity nanas. since they are as good as other's wives as they have been patronised by another This has moreover, been clearly stated by Nerada3 "A wanton "woman one not a Britman, a prostitute or a female slave inter "course with these women is permissible when they belong to a lower "order, but not in a higher order (78) When however, my one of

these is a kept mistress of another the offence is

Page 154 * 'equal to thit of comexion with another s wife
One must not approved these though intercourse

with them is permissible as they are patronized by another '(79)

with them is permissible as they are patronized by another (78)

Indeed 4 it is not correct to say that wanton women and the like

others being common women intercourse with

An Objection them is permissible. The type called 'common women' are not met with in this world either as forming any separate caste or mentioned in any Sastra. For the

forming any separate caste or mentioned in any Sastra. For the wanton women as even the female slaves are after all women of

¹ See Ch XIV 2 See Nagubas vs Monghibas 47 Bom 401

A kept mistress whose husband is alive is not an avariable stre. I possible to Chemicalia 48 Bom 203.

Chemicalia 48 Bom liere communices the objection that the statement that the women

referred to here are approachable by all is not moper. And for this purpose, the objector takes all the cases and examines them are (1) of the married women who have fallon off, (2) of thornandeus and (3) of prostitates

(some) sama side the text of Mana! "That woman who abandons "her (own) husband and goes to another man of her own tarna out " of love (for lum) is called a Swarrent or a wanton woman , slavery "is ordained in the descending order of the tamas and not in the "reverse order" And in the case of women having a varna it is not possible for connexion with another man when the hasband is living, or even when he is dead. Since a prohibition has been ordained in the text "Though destitute of virtue, or seeking pleasure (elsewhere), "or devoid of good qualities, (yet) a liusband must be constantly worshipped as a god by a faithful wife (154) At her pleasure, let 10 "her emacate her body by (hung on pune) flowers, roots and fruit , "but she must never even mention the name of another man after the "death of her husband (157)"

Nor even can a woman be a common woman in her maiden condition. For a gift is ordained only in the case of a maiden who 15 has been under the guardianship of her father or any other relation And even in the absence of a giver, it is only one of such a descript ion regarding whom a choice by berself has been ordained Nor, moreover, can there be a deprivation of the privilege of one's Dharma (merely) on account of a condition of slavery Slavery means only 20 dependence, not apostacy from one's own religion.

Nor, even a prostitule is a common woman, since there is no easte where an intercourse is permissible excepting with such as have sprung from the (anion with the women of) lower orders And if she fills within (one of) these, then a connexion is not permissible as has been mentioned before If (at be that) they are spring from a Pratitiona union, then certainly they are much more not approachable.

Therefore on account of the degradation incurred by these women by coupling with another man, and by a further repetition of 30 a censured act, as also by reason of the rule of probibition against

- 1 Not found in the published edition of Manu Of Narada Oh XII 49
 - 2 Of Manu Ch V 154 and 157
- 3 Hers, there eases are possible A prostitute may either belong to a easte (1) other than that sprung from an Analoma connexion of the Farner, or (2) which has ayrong from such a connexion, or (3) which originated in a Praislome connexion of the Parage, and the Author examines each case Sen Subodhini p 106 B 24-27 and Balambhatti p 340

n intercourse with one who has fallen, these women are not fit to be pproached by all ment

[The answer is] This is true But here, there being an (absolute) absence of a visible obstruction e g the fear of

the father or other guardien, or of the Royal he Answer sanction, the use of the language that's con exion with them is permissible' is proper And also by the text, ' In

the case of protected female slaves or kept mistresses &c ' the punish nent being confined to a particular case tite of a woman who has esorted to one particular man when that condition does not exist 10 n absence of punishment is necessarily inferred. And again in the ase of wanton women and others there is an absence of punishment,

ince no rule has been ordained; and also an inference may be drawn rom what is indicated in the text He should not cause anything to be paid by a maiden who approaches a man of a lugher caste?" 15 An expirition, however, on account of falling off from ones own eligion has been ordained equally for the women who are approached,

s also for the men who have connexion As for the inclusion of prostitutes among the Varians, which has been

maintained above as an inference from the fact that there is no separate aste for them on the argument that prostitutes are human boings and must therefore be included as falling within any of the Varnas or 1 Here ends the objection and the Answer communices next

3 This passage has been referred to in two recent cases is in (hundred va

Surajeam 30 Bom 434 at p 440 where Chandsvarker J descusses at and in Hiralal Singh vs Tripura Charan I by 40 Cal God (I B) at p. 063, where after

proting Dayabhaga Ch IV Sect III § 37, Mockerjee J observes as follows . The language used in this passage does not restrict its application to the and sanguage used as the powers only, the language is comprehensive mongh to include stradhans property of a prostitute sede does not by the mera fact of lapse ento prostitution coars to be a Hunds or to be subject to the rules of Hundu Law. and Yajiiyalkya II 200 and the Mitakahara thereon has been relied upon

6 See Prayaschittadbysys p 287 H 7-8 also Chemial vs Sucayeam 33 Bom 433 at p 440

6 The full syllogrem would stand thus All human beings must belong to some Parms or easte

The Prives are human beings The Prives belong to a larger or a caste

Analoma castes, as is the case with a Brihmura, that is not correct. These castes, e.g. Kunda', Goldan and the like, are unending. Therefore the inference must be drawn that a caste known as Feydbeng well known in the would similarly as that of a Brihmura and the 5 others is of an old origin, living spring from a connection with a Feyd female with a man of the rown caste or of a superior one, and derrute munitespace from having second intercourse with men. Nor

5 others is of an old origin, laving spring from a connection with a Vegal female with a man of her own casts or of a superior one, and deriving maintenance from having sexual intercourse with men. Nor is this tradition without a basis. It has indeed been recorded in the Skandapurana' thus: "There are certain Apparaisas called Faucha-10 "oldeda; their progeny is known as the Vergal and is regarded as a fifth "Caste". Therefore is there is no rule for them to marry and remun.

1 There are defined in Manu Ch. III. 174. They are sons begotten on another man's wife, when born during the hisband's life time, the son is called a Aunda, and when born after his death, he is known as a Goldia.

2 The passage in full has been given in the note on pp 154 105 of the Sanekri text. It details the account of the origin of the Velocia, describes their fivefold direction; the characteristics of each, and the general rules governing the class.

The following is the translation of the passage

"The easte known as the Vespi has been known from long before, Oh Daujat! Born of (en intercourse between persons of) the same casts or of different sates, and council to be submitted from some last recognize with males (1).

different costss, and carring its hreshood from sexual intercourse with males (1)

Counterains known as Panchachidae hired of old in the City of the Gods
Once upon a time they performed a summy dense in the presence of the Lord

of the Gods (2)
"The 18ge Darwiss, the best of the sages, learned, fiery and endowed with a
portion of the God Sira in him, being pleased with their dance and singing,

ejeculated 'Excellent 1' (3)

'He shouted loadly often and offen 'excellent', being full of delight Beeing this wonderful sight, the women viciously laughed loadly (4) (and exclaimed)

this wonderful sight, the women viciously laughed loadly (4) (and exclaimed).

Does this router of the Vedes appreciate our shill in ringing.

Thus seeing from the eight that he was being insulted, the eage became

excited with anger (5) and delivered a core time "Honce to the region of Earth' You do not deserve to be among the Gods"

LAGE

ı

"Then being full of fright, the Apearans began to cry in homility (6)

They respectfully begged "Oh protector of the homble, save us" (7)

Therespon the anger of the suppr major ranshed, and he delivred the ennealistic of this curve in the presence of the God Salen that "There shall arrive fills caste from these, from (people of) the four Varinas, and then the redemption of these will take place, and never otheren; e. oh Nover aging ones!" (9)

(Continued on next page)

verse 290

fixed to one man, in having connexion with a male of an equal or superior class there is no sin implied, nor (is any) penalty (incurred)

Page 155* by men having access to them when these are not under the protection of ony one Although there is no penalty, still invisible sin is verily

incurred since the rule is that a man should always retireth himself "to his wife", as also an expation las been orduned in the text "For having intercourse with buttes or prostitutes, the Prajapatya "expation is ordained

Śûlapâni

Vájnevalkya Verse 200

For having intercourse with the undeflowered protected femals alrays as distance, and also for intercourse with the protected women with their consist, likewise one having intercourse with momen of the lower orders clithough approachable should be compelled to pay a penalty of fifty pansa (230)

(Continued from last page)

"Those women too having come down upon the region of the Lirth, and being wanton and addicted to consulting, with great dought did service to the matter of the twice-born Forms (10)

"The progeny begotten from these is known as the Frigg, I shall describe

their fire-fold distinctions according to their qualifications (11)

"The Veryas are known as Lefavat: Rambia, Narika Ulakhali Among
Veryas the Nayika is known by her benity, and is colobrated for the splendour

of her diress' (12)

"Ralawsti is worsed in amorous intercourse and is one who has worked for the art of singing and Rambha is known to be an adopt in matters of beauty, sit and dress (13)

That woman who always has intercourse with two, three or six men, and who is absolutely wanting in art or beauty as known as Ulukhali (14)

'That woman who abandons her own bushaed and goes to another man of her own Fersa and of love (for hum) is called a Success, already is ordained in the descending order of the Farsas and not in the ascending order (10)

"Restrained in speech and restricting herself to intercourse with a men of a higher tribe, she is regarded as a Dhuma patas, and is connected as a Poterrale even among hardet (16)

"She, who having once accepted a fee from one man does not desire another who offers one or many reples, or one who offers tha (nevertigaty) over the three works or even India (17)

' Such a one even though a Fefys is to be regarded a virtuous and chaste woman, and is respected as a lawfully married wafs (18)"

92

By the text "In the case of protected female slaves," while prescribing a punishment for a connexion with a kept mistress, such as a female slave, a wanton woman and the like, it comes to be inferentially laid down that there is no penalty when these are not in 5 the keeping of any one So the Author mentions an exception to this

Yâmayalkva, Verse 291

For forcible intercourse with a Dies, the fine is declared to be ten panas Fer several (having intercourse) if she is unwilling twenty-four for each separately

10 Mitakshara - For a man having prasahya, for cible, e e compulsory intercourse, and without the payment of her fee, with a woman of the class who maintum themselves by sexual connexion with men, c. g a female dave, a wenton woman or the like, the fine is ten panas If several men have intercourse by force with one single woman even 15 when she was unwilling, then each shall be numished with a fine of twenty four panas, separately If however after paying the fee as desired by her, they afterwards have connexion with her by force, even

when she was unwilling then there is no guilt of theirs provided there 18 no appearance of a disease in her, since Narada² has laid down ' A 20 'female slave who does not go when called upon, shall not be punished, if she was diseased or exhausted, or engaged or was doing the service of the king "

Sulanunt

Yajaavalkya Verse 201

For a forcible intercourse with a slave ten punas For many having 25 intercourse with her when unwilling the penalty for each is twenty four panas (291)

Yâmavalkya Verse 292

A proslitate who has received her wages shall if nowilling pay double, 30 under similar circumstances the man also shall be compelled to pay an equal amount if none is received

Mitakshara -When after accepting her fee and even when in good health she is not willing for the owner of the money then she shall pay twice the amount of the fee Similarly if a man who having paid the fee, 35 is himself unwilling, he shall indeed forfest the amount (paid by him),

¹ Yam II 230

[&]quot; Not found in the published edition of Marada

for the same Sage¹ has said: "If a public woman declines to receive a man "after having received her fee, she shall now twice the amount (of the fee). "If the man be unwilling, he shall forfeit the amount, even if he had "naid the wages." Similarly another special rule also has been stated by the same Sage?: "The same (fine shall be imposed) on a man "who does not pay the (stipulated) fee, after having had connexion "with a woman (of this description) (18); or who had forcible con-"nexion and who has thus caused scars by the hand, teeth, or nails, "Should a man have connexion with her in an improper part, or "cause her to be approached by many, he must pay eight times the "amount of her fee, and a penalty in an equal amount (19). Women "who are prominent among Vesyas, who are adepts in matters of "saynal intercourse, and who are residents of the houses there, shall "declare a decision in case of any doubt, in disputes arising therefrom."

Yâjñavalkva, Verse 293

15 For a man having intercourse with a woman in an improper part, as also with a man, or for parsing urine, the fine shall be twenty-four prantes as also for having intercourse with a female ascelic.

Mitskshara:--Moreover, he who has sexual connexion with one's own wife in the mouth or any such other part, or discharges the urina or excretion in the mouth of a male; or likewise has intercourse with a female ascetic shall be fined twenty-four panas.

Śūlspāni

Yálñavalkya, Verse 293

For one having intercourse in the lower parts other than the female organ, twenty-four For baving intercourse with a male or sexually approaching a woman who has entered the fourth order, the penalty is twenty-four pones (293).

I. Nårada Ch. VI. IB.

Yâjiiavalkya, Verse 294

For having connection with an Antiga woman he shall be branded with an obscene mark, and bannshed. It a State a has a thic intercourse he himself becomes an Antiga For an Antiga having intercourse with an Arga woman, (death is the punishment)

Matsharā —An Autyā woman is a female of a Chanddla For having connexion with her, members of the three Varnas who are not ready to perform an expinition, shill be fined a choising a punts according to the rule of Mane' is "and a thousand for an Antyā woman," of the mark e gite make of the female organ, and the hing should beauth them from his lingdom. For one, however, who is ready for an expinition, fine alone (shill be the punshment) A Shā a, moreover, having connexion with a Chândla woman, himself becomes an Antya or Chândula. For one, however, born of an Antya og a Chandula or the like other, death alone (is the punshment) for having connexion with a woman of a higher class.

Thus ends the Chapter on Intercourse with Women

Viramliredaya

20 The Author mentions penalties for adultery proper (as are) on the several occasions

Yajñavalkya Verse 286-04

For having intercourse with an unwalling wife of another of the same varia and under his protection, the penalty is the highest americand for intercourse, however, with the father sister set the penalty is destill only as the Author will state in the third book, is says Manut. "A Brimhums shall be fined a house in determined a guarded syard woman against her will, he shall be fined five hundred "when he had connection with one who was willing. Here, for intercourse with a woman of Another saras of a lower order the punishment is the middling unerconnent. In this connection Manut. 'states a special time. "A Britishman having untercourse with "an unprotected Kahatuyâ or Vasy; women or with a Sudri, woman "an unprotected Kahatuyâ or Vasy; women or with a Sudri, woman "shall be punished with five hundred with a woman born of the lowest 35. "Class, however, a thousand A thousand shall a Britishman be compelled."

"to pay for hiving intercourse with one protected in a bouse. For a "Keherinja or a Vasya the same shall be the penalty with reference to "Keherinja or a Vasya the same shall be the penalty with reference to "Keherinja or "Wasya the expension pratiomya," in the inverse order, it is intended that the males of the Sudra etc shall be expitally pumshed for living intercourse with a Vusya woman, and for a 50 momen of a lower order the punishment shall be the lopping of of the ear and the nose. This is the meaning "This, moreover, has a reference to a woman under protection. That says Manna" "The same too "honever, for having intercourse with a Bakhman woman under "protection shall be punished like, a Sudra, or be burnt in a law-fice."

"If, however, a Vassya or a Kehatnya have intercourse with an "unprotected Brithman, the Vassya shall be made to pay five hundred, "and the Kehatnya one thousand For a woman having voluntary intercourse with a man of the same wires or one of a lower order, a punishment shall be administered. For one, however, who has been 1 emjoyed by a man, there shall be no punishment, and the following text of Mathyapurfan. "He, however, who volutes in any way another a "wife by force, for such a nne, the punishment shall be corporeal "chinstenemant. Of the woman, however, there shall be no offence

Manus "If a Varsya has intercourse with an improtected Kishatriya "woman, or a Kshatrija with a Vaisy's woman, these two shall deserve "the numerous the same as for one having intercourse with an un "protected Brihmani woman Gautama" in connection with the punish ment for a Sudra says "For an intercourse with an Arya woman, cutting "off of the male organ and deprivation of the entire property, and if she 25 "would be one under protection the additional punishment of death Baudhayana' states the procedure for (the punishment of) death 'One "should burn a Sudra with a bay fire. Herita "For one having violated "the bed of one of a superior order the Ling shall have him tied and "devoured by dogs and shall have him burnt with faggots Yama "If a "Br thman woman infatuated by passion has recourse to a Sidra such a "one the king shall purish to be devoured by dogs at the place of the "executions When a Brahmani woman has recourse to a Vaisya or a "Kshatrove, there shall be a shaving of her head and she shall be paraded on a donkey Behaspall "Where a woman comes to a min's house 35 "and excites his concupiscence by touching him or the like acts, she

¹ Ch VIII 377-75 2 Ch VIII 383 3 Ch XII 2-3 4 Ch II 253 5 Ch XXIV 15-16

1360 Viramitro

35

"shall be punshed, half her punshment shall he inflated upon the "mun Her nose, hips, and ears having been cut off, she shall be "grainded in the streets and plunged" into water, or she shall be get "devoured by dogs in a public place frequented by many persons (286)

5 Alanktion, 'bedecked with ornaments' i.e' bedecked with ornaments for (the purpose of) napituals, a marken from among those of the same versa; e. a marked of the same versa; for one kidnipping such a one for sevual intercourse when she is unwilling, the highest americanent, otherwise; e when she is not bedecked with ornaments for unipitals, one kidnipping a marken of the same versa; should pay as the peculty the first americanent. In the case of an inverse order; e for a member of a lover order identification, the marken of a hydrographic marken or higher order.

death has been laid down for the kidnapper (287)

In the case of maidens of lower orders who are willing and are

15 kidnapped the fault of the kidnapper shall not he such as to deserve a punishment otherwise, however, ie when she is not willing, there shall be punishment for the kidnapper. As says Narada' "For a member of "the same varua, for a transgression against a maiden of the same varua "with a passion, but, however, that one should adorn her with ornaments. "and after having duly bonoured her he should marry her In the case 90 of one who was unwilling and who has been kidnenned sant he says "One equal (in varna) should be resorted to after giving her the money "price, ornaments and stridhana in duplicale 'For defiling etc' For defiling a maiden by inserting a finger in the secret part of one who was unwilling, whether of the same or lower varna, the lopping off of the hands of the defiler should be made. In the case of one of a higher order, for causing the defilement, capital numishment has been stated. Here the option stated by Manui's admissible ' That man who through arrogance "forcibly contaminates a maiden, two of his fingers shall be instantly cut 30 "off, and he shall pay a fine of say hundred panes" (A punishment) exceeding six hundred being equal to the cutting of three fingers. Here Manu' "A man of equal caste who defiles a willing maiden shall not "suffer the amputation of the fingers, but shall pay a fine of two hun "dred with a view to prevent a repetition of the act (369)

" A damsel who herself pollutes another damsel shall be fined two

[&]quot;hundred panas, pay double the sulka and receive ten lashes with a rod(370)

1 The Benares edition reads upage shall be shattered to purces."

² Ch XII 72 3 Ch VIII 368 4 Ch VIII 369-71

"But a woman who pollutes a damed shall instantly have her head "shaved and two fingers cut, and also be paraded over a donkey" (371).

'Pollutes' $\iota, e,$ defiles by inserting her fingers; 'woman' $\iota, e,$ a young woman (288).

The Author mentions a penalty for other kinds of deliement by the verse 'A hundred for defiling a woman etc.' Here by the word woman is expressed by regard to the context, a maden, of her, for a slander by any one, other than the bridegroom, with the allegation of hor being affected with epilepsy, although existing, or a similar disease, such a one shall pay one hundred plants is the penalty. If, however, he makes an allegation as to epilepsy etc which as a fact does not exist, then he shall pay a fine of two hundred plants. For a bridegroom, however, for making a false allegation, a penalty has been stated in the first book' under the text; "Who falsely blames (a gail "shall be punished with) a hundred." For pomiting out a fault (which exist) as a fact, however, there is no offence for a bridgroom.

Beauts 1.6 beats others than cons, such as a sheep and the like. For councetion with a woman of a lower order, such as a Sudrá woman or a cow, he shall be made to pay the modife americament By the use of the word cha is cumulated what is established by the text of Manu cited before six. "For intercourse with a Kshatny' A or a Vassy' woman under "protection one shall be compelled to pay the highest americament" (289).

The Author mentions an exception to the text stated before (269) size, 'A woman of a lower order etc.' A female slave not taken over or marriagable by a pattenthy person is of three varieties. One who has been prevented from intercourse with any other man but has been reserved for insown edgo-ment is a kept matters as also a prestitute. A kept mistress, however, shall not be available for sexual intercourse by any person other than himself, one who would do service to self. In the case of these three crumerated, with the first and the first, even though intercourse with them is restricted to a particular mun, any other man having intercourse shall pay a ponalty of fifty phasas (290).

And for an intercourse with force with a female slave or with a prostitute the penalty is for forces. When a prostitute who is unwilling has been subjected to the infercourse of miny men without break, then 35 the nemalty for each is twenty four forces. Thus, therefore, for inter-

¹ Y2) Achara Verse 66 p 185 hns 16

course by one or many with a proshiute who is willing there is no punishment, as also in this case of an Awaraddha (a woman under protection) or a Blangada kept metrics for intercourse by men (for whom they were) restricted, there is no punishment since it has been stated "Those who "are supposability." I have there is agreement with Mittakshara.

- 5 "are approachable. Thus there is agreement with Mitakshara Misra, however, relying upon the text of Nirada etc." A twice born "person having intercourse with a prostitute becomes amenable to "punishment, the fee for a prestatule has been stated to be one pana; and thus the punishment for a Brahmaha has been stated to be equal to her to fee and in the form of the middle innercement and thus that there is nowhere an absence of punishment. His meaning, however, is this by having record to the text of Wallang to Where the passet of me.
- nowhere an absence of punishment. His meaning, however, is this by hiving regard to the text of Vyasa it: "Where those basest of men "have intercourse with a woman who has been approached before by "man), in the case of such a one the pousishment is intended to be as 15 "for a prostute and not as for a married woman,' by which such a person has been declared to be the basest of men a punishment has
- 15 "for a prostitute and not as for a married woman," by which such a person his been declared to be the basest of men a punishment has been stated for intercourse with one who has been approached bofore Moreover, under the text of the Author! "he should be solely "dervoted to his wife in the first book, (end) moreover, having regard to 29 the fact that the Author has by implication prohibited an approach to any other woman than ones ow a wife, it should be inferred.
- to any other woman than once one wife, it should be inferred by the expression gamjasu : e intended as approachable, to induct those who may be approached for a small penalty as compared with others, moreover, it cannot be [munitioned] that
 - 25 even although intercourse with a prostitute is probabited, there is an absence of punishment, as there is no authority. Nortage list stated a fine by way of a pensine in the text. "The punishment for one who approaches the unapproachable is declared to be find by a
- Aug as a penalty, the rules as to penance are for the purging of the 30 "accumulated sin and that the Author has stated the penalties as "en Jamas etc. and thus there is a conflict because the penalty.) in the text under consideration is in regard to a Sudri. Thus it should be inferred that where the Author has stated fifty for a Sudri, there for a Frimman the penalty is five hundred, for a Asharina, the first amorement, and
 - for a Varya half of it. In this way it appears that in a place where ten pains are mentioned, double should be inferred for a Varya (292) Ayoud its, 'in an improper part etc.' For one having intercourse

with a woman through the month or the west or with a mon in

20

the month or the waist having intercourse by penetration, the penalty is twenty-four paaas. By the use of the word api the Author adds an enhanced punishment than that mentioned before in the case of these who are approachable (233).

The onlyd woman, i. c. a Chinglath woman having intercourse with a member of the first three orders should be branded with an obscene mark i. e. an indescent mark i. c. the mark of the female organ, and should be banished from the territory. A Stdra, however, having intercourse with an onlyd woman should only be branded similarly with the mark of the female organ, but should do be banished. For a member of the may truthe having intercourse with an Arya woman the punishment (s) death (254).

Thus ends the Chapter on Adultery with Women

Sülapâni

Vājāvalkya, Verse 294
One who is born in the lowest is the lowest below which there, if no lower grade of a Süden caste; for having intercourse with such a dies see, with a Chanddle, he should be branded with a meric of a headless corpus and should be repelled. A Süden, how vere, should simply he branded as above. He should as little be expelled nor punished. For having intercourse with an unprotected twice-born woman, death alone is for a Süden (294).

In the book on Vyawahlra, another Title of Law vit. The and Narad. The first work is seen propounded by Maia and Narad. Then Whad's says: "That title of law in which the light "vales for women and men also regarding marriage and such other real war." I would be says: "Day and night, women must be kept in dependence by "their own males, and if they attach themselves to season! duplyment, "they most be kept under ones."

halfshough a sait mutually between the husband and wife as 30 plandifinand defendant in the king's court is problibled, still when transgression of their mutual daties has been mentioned directly or hy heartay, the couple should be restored to the right path by the king by a fine or the like (penalty); otherwise he becomes hiameworthy. This is the precept laid down for a king in connection 30 with the dutes of Husband and wife; in the Chapter called 4 the Duties of a King' in the book on Vyawahdra. This, moreover, has already been discussed in detail in the chapter on Marriage and so has not again been mentioned by the Lord of the Yogs.

^{1.} Oh. XII. 1. 2. Ch IX. 2. 3. See Page 780. II. 11-14 above.

CHAPTER XXV

Miscellaneous Disputes (Prakîrna)

Now is being commenced the title of Law called Miscellaneous Its nature has been stated by Narada1 ' Under the head of Miscellaneous 5 "(Disputes) are comprised Law Suits depending on the king (such "as) transgression of the King's Commandments, as also obedience "towards his munctions (1) Grants of towns, the divisions of the "constituent elements of a state, the duties and their opposite of "Pakhandis, Nanamas, S'rems and Ganas (2) Disputes, likewise, "between father and son, neglect of (prescribed) penances, abstraction 10 " of gifts (made to worthy persons) and also the wrath of the anchorites "(3) Sinful confusion of castes, the rules regarding their means of "subsistence, and (in short) whitever his not been noticed in the proceeding titles of law, all that shall come under (the title called)

15 "Miscellaneous (4)" In the Title of Law called the Miscellaneous, such disputes as have a reference to the transgression or the obedience of the king's commands, are closely connected with the king. In such cases, the king himself must assume the role of a contending party against such persons as act 'in violation of the (lows of) Sinrius and wege', and decide the suit. By saying this, the definition necessarily comes to be laid thus "That 20

"suit wherein the king is a party is called Proliving or Miscellaneous" PAGE 157# There the Author mentions a particular panalty for a particular offence

Yamavalkya Verse 295

He who either omits or adds anything by writing in the king's edict or

allows an adulterer or a thief to escape, shall suffer the highest amercement Mitakshara - He who writes rajasasanam the king's edict, by

exhibiting either less or more area of the land granted by the king, 80 he also who after apprehending an adulterer or a thief, lets him off without making him over to the lung both these shall be punished with the highest amercement-

25

¹ Ch XVII 14

All there terms have been explained before. See p. 12 % and notes 1 & 2 This is the definition of the Prakirna, and the description of it given by

hareda above as also the enumeration of titles which may be included therein It is clear that it includes those kends of disputes where the Biate is a party, a Disputes of a Public character Cf The Public Luw of the Roman Jurisprudence

Viramitrodays

Nårada has defined the title of law called 'Relations between men and women "Where the rituit of marriage of women and men is, "described, that title of law is called 'Relation between men and women. This chapter having been in terms afreedy dealt with by the chapter on marriage, the Author passes it over and begins by an entire chapter the title of law known is Miscellinous and characterized by Narada* as follows (same as Mitskibru on p. 1364 lines 4 15).

Yajnavatkya Verse 205

One who writes by either accession reddition in order commanded by the king for him, as also for one who lets go an adulterer or a third, the pentity is the highest americance. By the first use of the word opt is included an adverso implication, and by the second use (an addition in) the writing

Sûjapani

15

Yamavalkya Verse 205

He who until from or adds in writing to the order of the King for him, and for one who has sexual intercourse with others wives, as also for one who lets a thief off the penalty is the highest americannel (205)

As incidentally occurring in the context, the Author mentions a 20 penalty also in cases other than those which depend on the king

Yajñavalkya, Verse 296

For defiling a Diraya with an unestable thing the punishment shall be the highest americance, a Kahatriya like middlemost, a Vasaya, the lowest, and a Südra half of the lowest americance (shall be the punishment)

Minkshiri — Dunkujiwa for dofile ya Bedimara se making him eat an unestable thing abhaledyens se the utries, fee s.6. or any such thing invest with food or dirak a man becomes bable to be punished with the lightest americement for similarly dofiling a Kafe's trays, however, the middlemost (americanes), for defiling a Vasyay, 30 the lowest or first (americanest) and for defiling a S. data be becomes punnished with balf the first americanest. This is the connection. For defiling with girths or other similar unestable tangs a greater or less punnishment should be determined by regard to the greater or cless magnitude of the offence.

Vîramitrodays

Yajaavalkya, Verse 206

1366

15

For one accusing a Brāhmaṇa with having eaten an uneatable thing such as fish etc. the highest ameroement, for accusing a Kshatriya, the middle ameroement, for accusing a Vatiya the first ameroement, for accusing a Suira half of the first ameroement, shall be the penalty (296).

Śâlapâņi

Yainavatkya, Verse 206

For polluting a Brahmana with non-estables such as the fish etc. the 10 punishment is the highest ameroment; the rest is clear,

As for the higher punishment mentioned by Vishou': "One polluting a Brahmana with an uneatable shall be purished with tangoid coins" that has a reference to serious (allegations) ϵ , g, about enting garlio and such other uneatables (260)

Yûjhavalkya, Verse 297

He who deals in false gold, also one who sells unclean meat, shall be maimed and also compelled to pay the fine or the highest americanent.

Mikkharî:—Moreover, a goldsmith or other dealer in gold who palus off false gold prepared by the addition of lastre (to a base metal) by the addition of lastre (to a base who habitaally sells unclean meat s.g. the flesh of a dog or other like animal—and by the use of the word cha, 'also', also one who palus off imitation silver or other metal (as good metal)—all these shall be (mained by being) deprived of the three organs ris. the pole, the ears, and the bands. By the use of the word cha, 'and also',—shall also be compelled to pay the fine of the highest mareously, which comes to be inferred from (the use of) the (expression) cutting off of the organs. As for the pumiliment mentioned by Manu' 'Dut the king shall cause a goldsmith who behaves dishonestly, the 0' 'most noccups of all the thorns, to be cut to pieces by razors',' that has a reference to the gold of a Delty, a Pollmana or the King.

Vîramitrodaya

Yainayalkya, Verse 207

A goldsmith or the like dealing falsely and in similar manner Kitaih, in false, i, s. counterfeit gold creating an appearance of gold; a butcher or the like habitually selling unclean i.e. bad meat, such as the 5 flesh of dogs etc., should be deprived of three organs viz. the nose, the ear, and the hand and should be compelled to pay the highest americament. By the first use of the word cha is included the banishment etc. of a Brâhmana who is undeserving of a corporal punishment; by the second use the Author intends the prescribing of a cumulative punishment. By the word tu, 'however', the Author excludes other eases such as those which have a reference to the gold of the gods or of the Brahmann as per the following text: "A king should back to pieces with "edged weapons a goldsmith set on unjust dealings as the basest of the "offenders of all sinners and the greatest thern" (297).

10

15

25

Sûtacâni

Vájňavalkym, Verse 207

One who deals in counterfelt gold by putting on a colourable resemblance, those who sell probibited meat by describing it as goat most or the like allowed by law should be deprived of the three organs as, the nose, ear, and hands (297).

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 298

For any injury caused by a quadruped, when the keeper was crying loudly 'Be away', there shall be no blame; similarly for like injuries caused by wood, earth, stone, arm, or a yoked beast.

Mitakshara :- For the offence of killing a man or the like committed by a quadruped, such as a bull, an elephant, or like animals, the blame shall not accrue to the owner of the bull or any other animal when he was loudly crying ' Be away.' Similarly, for an injury likewise caused by the throwing of a club, a clod of earth, an arrow, or a stone, by means of the arms, or by a yoked beast i. c. by a horse or the like while carrying a yoke, on blame shall accrue to the thrower of the wood &c. when he was crying Be away. The object in stating that there is no offence in any jujury caused by throwing a wood &c., is to indicate that there is no penalty for such injury. However, the penance for doing anything even cointentionally does verily exist. By the use of the words wood &c. the missiles of S'alti. Tomara and the like are also included.

^{1.} Of Mann Ch. IX. 203.

ຮີ່ບໍ່ໂສດຜິກເ

Väinavaikva Verse 208

On an attack by a bull or like animals one who previously shouts loudly Be away, for such a one there is no fault which can deserve numshment. So also in the case of one practising at clubs or lumps of earth who before shouts out with the words Be away there is no fault (298)

Yamayalkya, Verse 299

In the case of maries caused by a conveyance owing to the nose string having been snapped or the yoke and the like having been broken or by In a rear motion (of the animals) the owner shell not be blamed Mitakshara - Moreover, the string which is used in the nostril

is (called) the nose string, that cart or other conveyance where the nose string of a bullock voked to the cart has given way is a chimma nasya conveyance, similarly (in the case of an injury caused) by a conveyance where the voke has given way. By the use of the term Ads 'and the like ' where the able or the wheels or any other part is broken by the vehicle moving rearwards a backwards, and by the use of the word cha 'also', by going crookedly or coming in front For an injury caused to men or others, the owner or the 90 driver, adoshabhâk shall not be blamed since the

minuty was caused by no action on his part So Page 158* also Manut (says) 'When the nose string is snapped when the yoke is broken when the vehicle turns sideways

- or back, or when the rale of a conveyance is broken, and similarly 95 "when a wheel is broken (291) When the leather thongs and
 - " sumiarly the rope round the neck or bridle are broken and when ' the driver was crying 'Be away, Manu has declared that in such
 - "crees there shall be no pumshment

Śūjanāni

Yojnavalkya Verse 200

That which is pieced on the nose is mania. When one is injured by a conveyance carried by bollocks whose nose-strings are snapped so also by a cart with its yeking pin broken By the use of the word dit et cetera'. are included the wheel and the like By such (conveyance) while riding 35 back if any injury is done the owner does not mour any blame (299)

30

25

The Author mentions a punishment for the owner in case of neglect
Yaufiayalkva. Versa 300

The owner of an animal possessed of tusks or horns, who although having the power, still fails in rehere, shall pay the lowest amercement, and a double, if the sufferer cred for help

a double, it is suiterer cred to help
Midskahaf — While a une is being injored by animals damsbiribhih,
posvessed of tusts, such as an elephrut &c trigibish or of horse,
such as bullocks &c, who are driven by an inexperienced driver, and
the owner atthough he was competent does not relieve them, and
then neglects them, then he shull pay the lowest americanent. In
having engaged an unskilled driver. When however, he does not
relieve even when the sufferer cred "Oh I am (hence) tilled, "then

a double (fine shall be past)

When, however, he engages a competent driver, then the
driver alone shall be pussibled, and not the owner, as savs Mami. 'If 18

"the driver be skillin, he alone shall be fined." Moreover, special
punishments shall be determined by regard to parhoular animals
(concerned) as says Mami. "If a man is killed, the guit will be at
"once the same as (that of) a thirth for large animals such as cows,
eighbants, complex or brosses, buil of that (200). For nururing small.

"elephants, camels or horses, bull of that (206). For myunng small cattle, the fine (shall be) two bondred panas, the fine for beautiful wild madrupeds and birds shall amount to fifty (panas) (297)

"For donkeys sheep, and govis the fine shall be five mashas, and the punishment for killing a dog or a pig shall be one masha (298)"

Viramitrodaya Väiñavalkva, Verses 208-300

When the owner of a quadrage (lonely warrs people with the words "Be away, be away, and any man is killed by an elephani, a bull, or the ble, such owner is not guilty of any officene, similarly doesnot an officene occur on the part of one warning people with the words be away" of for any injury caused by word etc stored in a curt, and also by others anata way from it (208)

So also the owner of a cart or any other vehicle is not guilty on account of any many caused by the hackward or forward movement of the vehicle due to the nose string having been snapped or the yoke 85 or the yoke pin being broken (299)

If one who is competent does not offer relief to one who is being injured by a horned beast such as the cow, or a tusked one, such as the elephant etc. such a one should be purished with the first amercement. Similarly when a loud cry is raised and he does not give relief. he 5 should be given double the nunishment. Yugyam, 'conveyance', i. e. that which is yoked; and the voke i. c. the wood of the voke. By the first use of the word tathd, 'also', is included the snapping stated by it, and by the second use is stated the snapping without the fault of the driver, as Mann; has declared a punishment for the owner for a 10 fault of the driver thus: "Where a conveyance goes astray on "account of the fault of the driver, there the owner is liable to punish-"ment; when injury is caused, the punishment is two hundred (panas)". in the expression 'be away', the use of the word evo does not exclude the tendency to kill. By the use of the word fathat, 'also', a third time, 15 are included animals like the female fox etc. (300).

Śūlanômi

Yājūavalkva, Verse 300

The owner of horned beasts such as the bull etc., as also of the animals with tusks, or tooth such as the apes etc. not offering relief, although competent, when one is attacked by these shall pay the first amercement. If the sufferer loudly cried for help such as " Take away the bull or the monkey" and if relief is not given, then he should pay double (300).

Yâjñavalkva, Verse 301

He who charges an adulterer as a thief shall he made to pay a fine of five 25 hundred. For him who takes money and lets him go, eight times (of) the same.

Mitakshara -- Moreover, out of fear for the disrepute of one's own family, he who accosts a jarsm, an adulterer with another man's wife, charges him chaurs, as a thief, and says "Get thee gone," dapysh-pancha talam damam, shall be made to pay five hundred as penalty i. e. that kind of fine in which are five hundred passes. He, moreover, who accepts money from the adulterer, as a bribe; and releases the adulterer, such a one shall be made to pay eight times the amount of the sum so received.

¹ Ch. VIII 204

Vîramitrodaya Yâlsavalkya Verse 201

One accusing an adulterer as a their out of fear of disreputation of the family, shall be made to pay a penalty of five hundred panar. Having accepted money payment as a bribe, one who lets go an adulterer should be made to pay a fine eight times of the amount recovered (301).

Sûlapâni

Vajāvalkyn, Verse 201

Ont of fear for a dureputo of (one s) family, one charging an adulterer with the words. "Hore is a thief running away" shall be 10 pumbhed five funded. One accepting money and releasing an adulterer shall be computed to any cloth times the amount fact.)

Yamayalkya, Verse 302

The king should banish after culturg off his tongue, him who always imprecates evil upon the king, who caleminates him, as also he who divulges his secret counsels.

Milikshiri — Agum, one impreenting evil upon the king $e \cdot g$ immered sentiments towards the king always $e \cdot w$ to peaks often and often, as also one who fasyariakrosakérnam codonnuates him, $e \cdot t$ to king $e \cdot e$ who has a habit of defaming him, as also one who divulges $e \cdot e$ reveals to unfriendly persons tamastrin his secret counsels calculated to increase the prosperity of his own Lungdom, or to bring about the fall of another hingdom, the king should ent off the tongue of such a one, and brunsh him from his own langdom

For stealing the treasury, or for a like offence, moreover, death of the stealing of the monthment, take the text of Mana? On those who robe the "hang's treasury, and those who perset in opposing the somands) "he shall indict various hads of corporal punishments, likewise who "consigner with the enemies". "Various (kinds of) punishments' eg confection of the entire property cutting off of a limb death &c. 30

Even where there is confiscation of his entire property, that which is the means of his hvelihood must, not be attacked excepting the implements of their, as says hardat. 'The weapons of soldiers, the "beasts of burden and the his of these who maintain themselves by "conveying the goods (of others), the ornsments of public women, 35

¹ Ch IX 275 2 Ch XVII 10-11

1372 Mitâ , Vîra & Śūla.—Dualging state secrets Fojhavolkya Cu.XXV

"the various musical or other instruments of those who are profiacient in these (10); And any implement by which artificers gain "their subsistence, must not be laid hold on by the king, even when "he confiscates their enter property (11)"

Page 159*

5 On account of the probabitive text, vic "for a Brilimans, how-"ever, there shall be no coporal punishment," the sirving of his head, and the like should be made in the place of execution, ride the text of Mand. "For a Brilimans, however, (the punishments are), "the shaving of the head, the branding and bruishing from the town 10 "us-, by tranding his forchead with the mark of the error with which

"he is charged, and by making him ride out on a donkey"

Vîramitrodaya Yêlîgyalkya, Verse 302

One who indulging foundly in demningations of the king i.e. one
traducing the king of one communicating his secret counsel to his enemies,
should have his tongue out off and be banished. This is the meaning

should have his tongue out off and be baushed. This is the meaning

By the first use of the word che is included the (punishment of)
deprivation of the entire property stated in the text of Naradal viz "Tor
"one who decries a king who is pursuing his own duties shall have his

"tonguecutoff this minds not inclined at the deprivation of the pro"perty." By the second use of the word cleans included punishments
presembed by Manu! in the various offences in the following text: "On
"those who rob the king's treasury, and those who persist in oppose
"ing (his commands) he shall inflict various kinds of corporal punish-

25 " ments, likewise on those who conspire with the enemies '

Sülanâni

Yājānvalkya, Verse 302

One who makes a public accessation against the king in the presence of a large number of people, one who traduces the king, as also one who discloves the secret coursed which as the basis of the stability of the kingdom, should have bis forgue cut off ard behausehed For a Brahmana, however, only bankhuserd (302)

¹ Dr Jully, edition reads পিন্তুস্থানি বিশ্বস্থান মি 'The tools of artizons' 2 Not found in the published editions of Manu

³ Ch XV-XVI 20 4. Oh IX. 275

⁰ Ch XV-XVI 20 4. Oh IX, 2

5

20

Yâmavalkya. Verse 303

For one who sells what was found on a dead hody, and likewise for him who strikes his Giru (sentors) and (also) for him who mounts the king's conveyance or throoe, the punishment is the highest amercement

Makshara — Moreover, for a vendor of what is found on the body of a dead person e g clothes flowers and the like, for one who strikes his Garai e the father, the preceptor and the like, and his ewise for one who without the permission of the long mounts on his conveyance e g the horse, the elephant and the like, as also his set, such as the royal throne or the like the punishments the linguists annecement

Viramitrodaya Yalgayalkya Verse 202

For one who sells articles such as a gartried etc found on a dead body, as also one who strikes a preceptor, and for him who mounts a horse belonging to the king or his throne without his permission, the penalty is the highest americancal. By the use of then ord tatks, false, are included these who beat their wives or sons with anything other than a repo or a bamboo stell or at a place other than the beat (303)

Sulapani Yajiiavalkya, Verse 303

For one who sells clothes and the like placed on a dead body, the punishment is the middle amercement, as also for one who beats a senior, or one who mounds the king a conveyance or throne (303)

Yamayalkva, Vorse 304

For him who puts out both eyes him who predicts' evil of the king and 25 for a S vidra living as a Brahmano the punishment is eight hundred (nanas)

Makshara—Again, he moreover, who through anger puts out the veys of another, he also, who being a scholar in the scence of the veys of a two days of the very large of the large of the large, by the end of the year you will be deposed from your throne' or the his, and likewise one who being a S mira, with the object of getting a meal eviablist the sacred thread and other marks of a Brilmana (on his body), for (all) those the possiblent is eight bundred (panas) i c that had of panishment in which there are

1 सजदिनदेशाल 11 may also mean "who imprecales evil or "spreads evil report" about the king; or also, who obeys the commands of the king's enemias "

eight of a hundred panas For a Sadra putting on the disguise of a Brahmana with the object of getting an anniversary meal, the pumshment prescribed in another Smits should be observed me "A mark "resembling the sacred thread should be carved on his body by means "of a heated pin" For one wearing the sacred thread or other sign of a Brahmana for obtaining munitenance, death alone (is the punishment).

ride the text. " He should cornorally number those Sudras who wear Viramitrodaya

Yalaavalkya Verse 304 For one piercing the eyes of another, as also for one who performs

"the marks of the tience born "

10

30

35

a beliest of an enemy of the king, as also for a Sudra subsisting on alms received by wearing the emblems of a Britmana, the punishment shall be eight hundred hangs. This is the meaning

If while living as a Britimana a Sudra has sexual connection or the 15 like with a Britmani then he should certainly be put to death. Vide the text of Manu! "All these the king shall punish, as also the "Sudras who went the marks of the twice born "For a Sudra wearing "the garb of a Britmana for (participating in the) smarla performances " such as a meal at a smaddha and the like, the king should brand the 20 " sacred thread on his body with a heated wire, the above numsh-

ment laid down in another Smrti should also be observed. The text of Manus 112 "One though born low, through avarice carps a hving "by the (performance of) acts proper for the highest, such a one the bing shall deprive of all wealth and banish, has a reference to a Vaisya and a Kehatriya By the use of the word tothe, 'also', is included the

case of one who predicts an evil of the king such as "at the end of the "year you will be deposed from your kingdom." (304)

Sülapäni

Yalaavalkya, Verse 304

Those who pierce the two eyes, those who prodict evil of the king , for a Sadra also who in the garb of a Brahmana carns a livlinged, the punishment is eight hundred panas, as says Nishnut 'For striking out both eyes of a man the king shall not release (such a one) from fall " as long as he lives, or he shall order him to he reduced to a similar state." (304),

The Author mentions a penalty for wrongly deciding a suit through anger, avance or like other cause

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 305

After, however, reviewing judicial proceedings which have been wrongly decided the assessors, together with the victorious party should be fined in twice the amount in dispute

Mithibata — Vyawahirin judical proceedings, dudriblia, which are strongly decided, in contravention of the Smitt and Usage, and which on account of passon avaries or like other cause, are suspected as being improperly conceived the lang should himself decide properly 16 again, and the assessors together with the victorious party in the former trial whose guit has been established should each he compelled to pay twoe the amoent of the fine which is prescribed for a defeated party in a lugition. In the left "out of pission, avarioe," there is no rule of punishment for the (wrongfal) winner; and thus 15 there is in the years no files of prepation of the former text!

When, however, a wrong decision is given in a suit on account of truit of the vittersess, then the witnesses alone should be pointsined and neither the winning party nor even the assessors. When however, a wrong decision is given in consolitation with the king the assessors and all others shall be punished, whe the test one quarter for the inquiry together with the lung) goes to the "offender, one quarter goes to the winters, one quarter goes to all "if the members of the court, 'and') one quarter goes to all "if the members of the court,' and one quarter goes to the king." This text moreover, is intended to demonstrate the guilt of the lung of and others (to) each severally and not of distributing the share of 1 yap II 4 seep \$4.83 3-5 device This see for the wrongful winners.

not mentioned in II 4 and so this fert is not open to the objection of the fault of ropetition or tantology

of repetition or tantoneys
2 of Naroda Ch III 12 see also III 11 Cf with this Manu Ch VIII 18,
19 &c
By there texts even if owe judges whe do not deliberately give a false decision

but whose decision comes to be wrong on account of their institutiveness at the trial, are equally guilty

Methatith is of opinion that the guilt goes to the king of the scritched were

passed by him, otherwise not

Mita , Vira. & Sûta.—Causes decided in ignorance Yajiawalkya Ch. XXV 11378

and not that even when the cause has been finally decided according to the rules of justice, it should be retried by a king through avarice &c Again, if a soit has been decided by another king, and if it be in

departure from justice, even such a proceeding should be set right and decided legally after a careful investigation, side the text'. 'What "has been decided through ignorance" by another king, and in de-"parture from the principles of pastice, even that should be made good

Viramitrodava

10 Yājāavalkya, Verse 306

20

25

' according to law, after weeding out the mustice "

The disputant who out of suspicion as to the honest character of the first investigation regards oneself as not defeated according to law, such a one, when the other side succeeds against him again, the first party should be compelled to pay hum as penalty twice the amount 15 in dispute (306)

Sülanünt

Válňavalkys, Verse 306

Although defeated by a judicial decision, one who thinks that he is not defeated, such a one after he is defeated again at court shall be compelled to pay as penalty twice the amount of the former penalty. So says Narada . "If a man is of openion that the suit has been decided and

" punishment declared in a way contrary to justice he may have the case "tried once more provided be should pay twice the amount inflicted . 'Decided 1e completed, decision decisied' 1e deposed to by the witnesses

For one who when defented destroys himself by the poison or the like Brhaspati states 'Ha who destroys himself by poison, hanging, or with weapon, such a one shall after death be beameared with forces, he does not deserve any rite (306)

The Author of this text is not known Neither Balambhatta nor Visvoswars mention the name

² There is an error in the print of the text at p 100 1 8. For राजा शानकत read शकाळनकत 3 Ch I 65.

90

The Author indicates the devolution of wealth unjustly recovered as a fine

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 307

What has been obtained, through myestice by the king as a fine, having deducated it to Varuna he should give it bimself to the Vipras (after) increasing it thirty-fold.

Matakhara —That fine which had been levied by the king through injustice out of avarine, should be increased thirty times, and the king should himself give the same to Brahmanes after mentally dedicating thus "This to Varina" and as much was taken unjustly in the form of a fine from a party so much should be repaid to him, otherwise there would be the offence of thefit, and also as the fine was recovered unjustly, the right of ownership of the first owner remound manifected

"This interpretation of the Dharma Sastra is the comognition of "Vijualneswara himself, a Yogin and a disciple of the sage bearing the 15 "bile of Uttama (1).

"Thus has been set out the commendary on the Vyawabāra
"Katada of the Sage Yāṇāvalkya, saturated with sweet language"terse, but dreet, and (at the same time) expounding the deep
meaning (in it) (2)

'The composition called Mittleshri has been set out by me in l'language deep (in meaning) and clear (in expression), wide in 'import (although) terse in form (3)

"The Interpretation of the work of the Sage Yuñavalkya, thus concluded to what learned man will at not be acceptable? Though extremely conces in words it as sectionary a maport, and sprinkles "the immortal nector (of learning) on the cars (4).

"Thus ends the Second Chapter called the Vyawahlra of the commentary called the Ru Mhifaltari on the Treatee on Dharma strength by Yajawahlra, teng a work of Vyamaharan Bhatfash the 30 water of the Asserted of the hieseed Paramahares, order, and the on of the worthy Padmanisha Bhatta Upadhyāya."

5

90

25

Viramitro4ava

Våläavalkya Verse 305 The Ling should try ugain cruses at law in pursuance of the (rules of) Sistra when he comes to know that these causes have been wrongly investigated through of feelings of passion, makes, anger etc and the councillors who tried the first cause should be severally punished with truce the amount involved in the suit, along with the successful party who got success by the wrong investigation. In some books instead of briliak, separately , the reading is damam punishment. Although as stated before, the punishment for the councillors has been here declared by way of the statement of a rule of punishment for the successful party, the first use of the word to, however, discriminates a cause honestly investigated by councillors from the one where the councillars become punishable on account of a retrail By the use a second time of it, 'however', is excluded the punishment of one who has been

Śûlapâpi

Yajnevalkya, Verse 305 When judicial trials held according to law are again investigated

with the help of many Brahmanas versed in the Sastras, if it is decided in the reverse, the first councillors together with the successful party shall each be smarately punished by the King Narada? states a special rule "In the case of those trials which have been tried by the help of witnesses " and councillors and also those which have been defeated on account of

fraudulently defeated (305)

¹ According to the Arya rules about the accrual of responsibility, in addition to the results of one's acts which the actor has to suffer in this world, he also prepares for huntelf by his thoughts and actions, comething which always sticks to him even after he leaves the human body. That is known as 2777 Apirea or "that unwen result of virtue and vice, which is a relation superinduced, not before possessed, unseen, but efficacions to ennuert the couse mance with the past and semote cause, and to bring about at a distant | oriod or in another world or both, the offect 2 See Jahmint III 7-6 (18-20)

The meaning is that in the case of Apures there is no division or distribution of the guilt or its consequences, but that each one is jointly and severally amenable to the entire result

सम्बद्ध : • सम्प Relating to , कनुसम्बद्धि : • relating to the actor

1.5

20

95

this own evidence, there is no re opening of R, not can there be under the "law are trial" In the case of those which have falled on account of the withnesses and the councillors by an accusation of the withnesses or councillors, there will not be a judicial trial again, "defeated by his own evidence" of defeated on account of the nutually contradicing testimony. So also Herhaspati By reason of his running away without filing a reply, as "also by taking resert to the opposite party, one who is defeated, the "plant of such a one is not admitted, as also of one who has given up be over a tenuent "1935" be over a tenuent "1935".

The Author mentions a penalty for one who tries to apset a proceeding decided according to his and justice

Yajiiavalkya, Verse 306

One who although deleated according to law and justice still thinks 'I am not conquered' such a one coming again (into Court) shall be made to pay double (the amount as) fine, when he is defeated

Middshara—He, moreover, who although defeated after a legal procedure, (still) impudently thinks "I'um not defeated," such a coming again into the court of law by addaeing evidence of false documents and the like, after he is again defeated at a legal trial, should be made to pay double the amount of fine Paus 160°

It has also been said by Nårsda' "If a man is of opinion that "the suit has been deadled and punchment deduced in a way oon "trury to justice, he may have the cause trud once more, provided "he should pay twice the amount of the fine inflicted "Tintam decided : e by means of the documentary evidence, witnesses, &c but where the fine was not pronounced "Liddrika dandam," where the punishment is declared," e which has been curried to the stage of the declaration of the fine

Again, as for the text of Masse" "Whenever a sunt has been deeded, or a fine dedared, a wave man should consider it as (finally) "deeded, and must not munt it," it means that, in cases where a doubt arises as to the legality of a deason on account of the complaint either of the pluntifi or of the defendant, the same may again be paintedly trued after living first affirmed hun to (pvy) a double fine, and not that even when the cause has been finally decided according to the rules of justice, it should be retried by a king through avarice &c.

Again, if a suit has been decided by another king, and if it be in departure from justice, even such a proceeding should be set right and decided legally after a careful investigation, vide the test: "What "has been decided through ignorance" by another king, and in defactor than the principles of justice, even that should be made good 'according to law, after weeding out the injustice."

Vîramitredaya Yîtîfavalkva, Verse 306

The disputant who out of suspicion as to the honest character of the first investigation regards oneself as not defented according to law, such a one, when the other side succeeds against him again, the first anyty should be compelled to pay him as possibly twice the amount 15 in dispute (300).

Sûlapani

Yajaavalkya, Verse 306

Although defeated by a judicial declation, one who thinks that he is not defeated, such a con efter be a defeated again at court shall be compiled to top as petalty twice the amount of the former penalty. So says Norack : "If a man is of opinion that the sent has been declated and "punishment declared in a way contract to justles, ha may have the case "tried once more provided he should pay twice the amount inflicted". Declade "c, completely Resisten declared 's deposed to by the witnesses.

25 For one who when defeated destroys himself by the poison or the like Brinapatt states: "He who destroys himself by poison, hanging, or with weapon, such a neel-stall after death be besmeared with forces; he does not deserve any rite" (306).

10

The Author of this text is not known. Noither Balambhatta nor Viveiwara mention the name.

^{2.} There is an error in the print of the text at p. 160 1. 8.

For राजा हानहतं उच्चे राजाजनहरू.

The Author indicates the devolution of wealth unjustly recovered as a fine

Yâjñavalkya, Verse 307

What has been obtained, through injustice by the king as a fine, having dedicated it to Varuna, he should give it himself to the Vipras (after) increasing it thirty-fold.

Milakhara:—That fine which had been levied by the king through injustice out of avarice, should be increased thirty times, and the king should himself give the same to Brahmans after ementally dedicating thus. "This to Varura-" And as much was take unjustly in the form of a fine from a party so much should be repaid to him, otherwise there would be the offence of theft; and also as the fine was recovered unjustly, the right of ownership of the first owner remained unaffected

"This interpretation of the Dharma-S'astra is the comopation of "Vijaanesvara himself, a Yogin and a disciple of the sage bearing the "title of Uttama (1).

"Thus has been set out the commentary on the Vyawahira
"Kinda of the Sige Yājiavalkya, satunated with sweet languages"
terse, but direct, and (at the same time) expounding the deep
meaning (in it) (2).

"The composition called Mitakshara has been set out by me in a language deep (in meaning) and clear (in expression), wide in "immort (although) terse in form (3).

"The Interpretation of the work of the Sage Yūjiavaikya, thus "concluded, to what learned man will it not be acceptable? Though %5 "externelly concise in words, it is so extensive in import, and sprinkles "the immortal nector (of learning) on the ears (4).

"Thus ends the Second Chapter catled the Vyawahûta of the "commentary called the Rie-Mildishara" on the Treaties on Dharma-"Sistan by Yājānaraliya, being a work of Vijānaestara Bhaṭṭāraka, the 30 "leader of the Another of the Hessed Paramatahuna, order, and the "sou of the worthy Padmanāha Bhaṭṭa Upādhyāya."

30

Vîramitrodaya

A penalty has been stated to be recovered according to Sastras; if, however, a penalty not according to Sastra is caused to be recovered by the king, then the king himself is the offender and he himself should 5 'pay the penalty; so the Author says

Yâjūavalkya, Verse 307

Anydyena, 'through injustice', i. e. not in accordance with the Sastra; yo dando grhitah, 'the penalty which has been recovered', tam, that', penalty increased thirty-fold varuadya nivedya, having dedicated to Varuna i.e. utsryya, having offered to Varuna, himself to the Brahmanns should give, i.e., make over.

Thus ends in the commentary called Viramitrodaya on Yajfiavalkya the Chapter known as the 'Miscellaneous title of law.'

Ś@łapânt

Yalnavalkya, Versa 207

The penalty which was unjustly recovered, he should first offer to Varuna and afterwards making it three-hundred-fold should himself give to the Brahmanas (207).

Manu! states the fruit of holding a judicial trial: "In this 20 "manner a king bringing to a conclusion all these titles of law, washing " away all sins he attains to the highest state," Having observed i.e. having investigated, is to be understood. He' mentions the fruit for the punishable ---

"Human beings who have committed ains and who have been " punished by the kings, (thus) purified go to heaven like meritorious 25 men. Thus by the guilty being punished and the law purified and " established, the king enjoys the fruit thereof along with the subjects. " Where the rule of punishment has not been stated by any of the good

" people, there taking into consideration the place, the time, etc., the punishment is to be declared; this is the rule."

Thus in the commentary of Yajnavalkya by Atlaphni cuds the Second Book.

1. Ob. VIII. 421.

2. Manu VIII. 319.

A List of Chapters in this Book is being stated.

Chap	ter I	On th	e General Rules of Procedure.
10	Π	13	Special Rules of Procedure-
,,	Ш	"	Recovery of Debts.
11	IV	,	The Law of Deposits.
15	V	н	Witnesses.
p	VI	,,	Documents
11	VII	n	Ordenis.
21	VIII	11	The Distribution of Dâya.
11	IX.	19	Boundary Disputes.
91	X	н	Disputes between owners and herdamen.
31	ΧI	,,	Sale without Ownership.
11	ΧII	**	Resumption of Gifts.
11	XIII	,,	Rescission of Purchase.
11	XIV	11	Bresch of Contract of Service.
11	χV	,,	Transgression of Compact.
11	XVI	,,	Non-payment of Wages.
31	XVII	n	Gambling and Betting on Animals.
11 2	ПАХ	12	Abuse.
19	XIX	ti.	Assault
11	XX	11	Sibasa or Heinous Offences.
31	XXI	91	Non-Delivery after sale.
12	XXII	91	Trading by Partnership.
,, 1	IIIX	9 1	Theft.
n. 2	XIV	н	Adultery with Women.
31	XXV	77	Miscellaneous : Prakirna.
T	in all	Alama ara	twenty-five Chanters.

Thus, in all, there are twenty-five Chapters-

